

ON CONTRACTIBLE ORBIFOLDS

ALEXANDER LYTCHAK

ABSTRACT. We prove that a contractible orbifold is a manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

Following [Dav10], we call an orbifold X *contractible* if all of its orbifold homotopy groups $\pi_i^{orb}(X), i \geq 1$ vanish. We refer the reader to [Dav10] and the literature therein for basics about orbifolds. Davis has asked in [Dav10], whether any contractible orbifold X must be developable. In this note we answer this question affirmatively.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let X be a smooth contractible orbifold. Then it is a manifold.*

Proof. Since X is contractible, it is orientable. Let n be the dimension of X . Define on X a Riemannian metric and let the smooth manifold M be the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames on X (cf. [Hae84]). Then $G = SO(n)$ acts effectively and almost freely on M with $X = M/G$.

Let E denote a contractible CW complex on which G act freely, with quotient $E/G = BG$, the classifying space of G . Then $\hat{X} = (M \times E)/G$ is a model for the *classifying space of X* (cf. [Hae84]). By definition, the orbifold homotopy groups of X are the usual homotopy groups of \hat{X} . Thus, by our assumption, the topological space \hat{X} is contractible.

The projection $M \times E \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is a homotopy fibration. Thus the contractibility of \hat{X} implies that the embedding of any orbit of G into $M \times E$ is a homotopy equivalence between G and $M \times E$. Since E is contractible, the projection $M \times E \rightarrow M$ is a homotopy equivalence as well. Therefore, for any $p \in M$, the composition $o_p : G \rightarrow G \cdot p \rightarrow M$ given by orbit map $o_p(g) := g \cdot p$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Assume now that X is not a manifold. Then G does not act freely on M . Thus, for some $p \in M$, the stabilizer G_p of p is a finite non-trivial group. Then the orbit map $o_p : G \rightarrow M$ factors through the quotient

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R18.

Key words and phrases. Contractible orbifold, classifying space.

The author was supported by a Heisenberg grant of the DFG and by the SFB 878 *Groups, Geometry and Actions*.

map $\pi_p : G \rightarrow G/G_p$. Since the orbit map is a homotopy equivalence, there must exist some map $i : G/G_p \rightarrow G$ such that $i \circ \pi : G \rightarrow G$ is a homotopy equivalence. However, the manifolds G and G/G_p are orientable and the map $G \rightarrow G/G_p$ is a covering of degree $|G_p|$. Thus, for $m = \dim(G) = n(n-1)/2$, the image of π_p^* in $H^m(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of $H^m(G, \mathbb{Z})$ of index $|G_p|$. In particular, $(i \circ \pi)^*$ cannot be surjective. Contradiction. \square

A small observation on the proof above: If we do not assume X to be contractible, but merely k -connected, then the orbit map $o_p : G \rightarrow M$ is k -connected as well. Thus, for any $l < k$, the map $H^l(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^l(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Since the orbit map $o_p : G \rightarrow M$ factorizes through $\pi_p : G \rightarrow G/G_p$, we deduce as above that $H^l(G/G_p, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^l(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective, for all $l < k$. If X is not a manifold, i.e., if some G_p is non-trivial, the above contradiction shows that $k \leq n(n-1)/2$. However, recall that the free part of $H^*(G)$ is generated by elements of degree at most $2n-3$ ([Hat02], p.300). Hence, if G_p is non-trivial, the map $H^l(G/G_p, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^l(G, \mathbb{Z})$ cannot be surjective for all $l \leq 2n-3$. We deduce, that X is a manifold if it is $(2n-2)$ -connected.

I believe that this observation is far from optimal in high dimensions. In fact, I do not know a single example of a 4-connected non-developable orbifold. I would like to finish the note by formulating two problems.

PROBLEM 1.2. *Do highly connected non-developable orbifolds exist?*

PROBLEM 1.3. *Does an analogue of Theorem 1.1 hold true for non-smooth orbifolds? Does it hold true for etale groupoids of isometries?*

Acknowledgements I am grateful to Mike Davis and Burkhard Wilking for helpful discussions. I am indebted to the anonymous referee, for finding a mistake in an extension of the main theorem.

REFERENCES

- [Dav10] M. Davis. Lectures on orbifolds and reflection groups. In *Transformation groups and moduli spaces of curves*, Higher Education Press, pages 63–93. Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [Hae84] A. Haefliger. Groupoides d’holonomie et classifiants. *Asterisque*, 116:70–97, 1984.
- [Hat02] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

A. LYTCHAK, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, EINSTEINSTRASSE 62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

E-mail address: lytchak@math.uni-bonn.de