

Mock theta functions
and
representation theory of
affine Lie superalgebras and superconformal algebras

Minoru Wakimoto

March 23 and 25, 2015
Spring School
“Characters of Representations and Modular Forms”
Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn

Weyl-Kac type character formula for integrable representations of affine Lie algebras and their modular properties:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{Cc} \oplus \mathbf{Cd}$$

Weyl-Kac character formula for integrable highest weight module $L(\Lambda)$:

$$\text{ch}_{L(\Lambda)} = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{w \in W} \varepsilon(w) e^{w(\Lambda + \rho)} = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{w \in \overline{W}} \varepsilon(w) w \left(\underbrace{\sum_{\alpha \in M} e^{t_\alpha(\Lambda + \rho)}}_{\text{theta function}} \right)$$

where

$$R := \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}\alpha} : \text{ denominator of } \mathfrak{g}$$

$$M := \text{coroot lattice of } \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$$

$$t_\alpha(\lambda) := \lambda + (\lambda|\delta)\alpha - \left\{ \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} (\lambda|\delta) + (\lambda|\alpha) \right\} \delta$$

$$\rho := \text{Weyl vector}$$

Example: In the case $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Pi &= \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\} & : \text{simple roots} \\ h^\vee &= 2 & : \text{dual Coxeter number} \\ \Lambda &= (m-j)\Lambda_0 + j\Lambda_1 & (m, j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ 0 \leq j \leq m) \\ q &:= e^{-\delta} \end{array} \right.$$

Then

$$q^{\frac{|\Lambda+\rho|^2}{2(m+2)}} \sum_{\alpha \in M} e^{t_\alpha(\Lambda+\rho)} = e^{2\pi i(m+2)t} \theta_{j+1, m+2}(\tau, z)$$

$$q^{\frac{|\Lambda+\rho|^2}{2(m+2)} - \frac{|\rho|^2}{2h^\vee}} \underset{\uparrow}{\text{ch}}_{L(\Lambda)} = e^{2\pi imt} \frac{[\theta_{j+1, m+2} - \theta_{-(j+1), m+2}](\tau, z)}{[\theta_{1,2} - \theta_{-1,2}](\tau, z)}$$

where

$$\theta_{j,m}(\tau, z) := \sum_{k \in \frac{j}{2m} + \mathbf{Z}} e^{2\pi imkz} q^{mk^2} : \text{Jacobi theta function}$$

Weyl-Kac type character formula for partially integrable representations of affine Lie superalgebras:

$$\mathrm{ch}_{L(\Lambda)} = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{w \in W^\#} \varepsilon(w) w \left(\frac{e^{\Lambda + \rho}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + e^{-\beta_i})} \right)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \beta_i & \in & \Pi \\ (\Lambda + \rho | \beta_i) & = & 0 \\ (\beta_i | \beta_j) & = & 0 \\ \{\beta_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n} & : & \text{maximal} \end{array} \right. \quad (n := \text{atypicality})$$

conjectured by Kac-W (1988)
 proved by Gorelik-Kac (arXiv:1406.6860)

Also important is the super-character:

$$\text{ch}_{L(\Lambda)}^{(-)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ch}_{L(\Lambda)} \Bigg| \begin{array}{ll} e^{-\alpha_i} \mapsto -e^{-\alpha_i} & \text{if } \alpha_i \text{ is odd} \\ e^{-\alpha_i} \mapsto e^{-\alpha_i} & \text{if } \alpha_i \text{ is even} \end{array}$$

$$= \frac{1}{R^{(-)}} \sum_{w \in W^\sharp} \varepsilon^{(-)}(w) w \left(\frac{e^{\Lambda+\rho}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - e^{-\beta_i})} \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{(-)}(r_\alpha) &:= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha/2 = \text{root} \\ -1 & \text{if } \alpha/2 \neq \text{root} \end{cases} \\ R^{(\pm)} &:= e^\rho \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{even}}} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}\alpha}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\text{odd}}} (1 \pm e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}\alpha}} : \text{(super)denominator of } \mathfrak{g} \end{aligned}$$

Example. In the case $\widehat{sl}(2|1)$:

$$R^{(-)} \cdot \text{ch}_{L((m-1)\Lambda_0)}^{(-)} = e^{2\pi i m t} \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{e^{2\pi i m j(z_1 + z_2)} q^{mj^2}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j} - \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{e^{-2\pi i m j(z_1 + z_2)} q^{mj^2}}{1 - e^{-2\pi i z_2} q^j} \right\}$$

$\parallel \text{ put} \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \text{ put}$

$$\Phi_1^{[m]} \qquad \qquad \qquad \Phi_2^{[m]}$$

$(m \in \mathbf{N})$

Problem: What is the modular property of this function?

Basic affine Lie superalgebras : (Kac: 1978)

- $\widehat{sl}(m|n) \quad (m \neq n)$
- $\widehat{psl}(n|n)$
- $\widehat{osp}(M|N)$
- $\widehat{D}(2, 1; a)$
- $\widehat{F}(4)$
- $\widehat{G}(3)$

Importance of modular properties:

quantum reduction

affine Lie superalgebras → W-algebras

Examples:

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------|
| $\widehat{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ | → | Virasoro |
| $\widehat{osp}(1 2)$ | → | super-Virasoro |
| $\widehat{sl}(2 1)$ | → | N=2 SCA |
| $\widehat{osp}(3 2)$ | → | N=3 SCA |
| $\widehat{psl}(2 2)$ | → | N=4 SCA |
| $\widehat{D}(2, 1; a)$ | → | big N=4 SCA |
| ⋮ | | |

Modular properties of affine Lie superalgebras



Modular properties of **SCA's**

Example. Representations of $\widehat{sl}(m|n)$ and $\widehat{osp}(M|N)$ on the Fock space:

The case $\widehat{sl}(m|n)$ and $\widehat{osp}(2m|2n) = \widehat{D}(m, n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fermions : } & \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \psi^{(i)}(z) & = & \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}} \psi_r^{(i)} z^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} \\ \psi^{(i)*}(z) & = & \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}} \psi_r^{(i)*} z^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right. \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \\ \text{bosons : } & \left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \varphi^{(i)}(z) & = & \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}} \varphi_r^{(i)} z^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} \\ \varphi^{(i)*}(z) & = & \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}} \varphi_r^{(i)*} z^{-r - \frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right. \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \end{aligned}$$

(anti)commutation relations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} [\psi_r^{(i)}, \psi_s^{(j)*}] & = & [\psi_s^{(j)*}, \psi_r^{(i)}] = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{r+s,0} \\ [\varphi_r^{(i)}, \varphi_s^{(j)*}] & = & -[\varphi_s^{(j)*}, \varphi_r^{(i)}] = -\delta_{i,j} \delta_{r+s,0} \end{array} \right.$$

Define weight and charge for each particle:

| | weight | charge | | weight | charge | |
|--|--|--------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| $\psi_r^{(i)}$ | $\varepsilon_i + r\delta$ | +1 | | $\varphi_r^{(i)}$ | $\varepsilon'_i + r\delta$ | +1 |
| $\psi_r^{(i)*}$ | $-\varepsilon_i + r\delta$ | -1 | | $\varphi_r^{(i)*}$ | $-\varepsilon'_i + r\delta$ | -1 |
| F : Fock space with | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{vacuum} : 0\rangle \\ \text{annihilation} : \psi_r^{(i)}, \psi_r^{(i)}, \varphi_r^{(i)}, \varphi_r^{(i)*} \quad (r > 0) \\ \text{creation} : \psi_r^{(i)}, \psi_r^{(i)}, \varphi_r^{(i)}, \varphi_r^{(i)*} \quad (r < 0) \end{array} \right.$ | | | | | |
| $F = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbf{Z}} F_s$ | $\left(\bigoplus_{s: \text{even}} F_s \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{s: \text{odd}} F_s \right)$ | | | | | : charge decomposition |
| | | | | | | |
| | F_{even} | | F_{odd} | | | |

- F_s : irreducible $\widehat{gl}(m|n)$ -module
- $F_{\text{even}}, F_{\text{odd}}$: irreducible $\widehat{osp}(2m|2n)$ -module

Characters of these representations:

$$\text{ch}_{F_{\text{even}}} + \text{ch}_{F_{\text{odd}}} = \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (1 + e^{\varepsilon_i} q^{r+\frac{1}{2}})(1 + e^{-\varepsilon_i} q^{r+\frac{1}{2}})}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - e^{\varepsilon'_i} q^{r+\frac{1}{2}})(1 - e^{-\varepsilon'_i} q^{r+\frac{1}{2}})} \times (\text{weight of } |0\rangle)$$

$$\text{ch}_{F_{\text{even}}} - \text{ch}_{F_{\text{odd}}} = \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (1 - e^{\varepsilon_i} q^{r+\frac{1}{2}})(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_i} q^{r+\frac{1}{2}})}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + e^{\varepsilon'_i} q^{r+\frac{1}{2}})(1 + e^{-\varepsilon'_i} q^{r+\frac{1}{2}})} \times (\text{weight of } |0\rangle)$$

- $\text{ch}_{F_{\text{even}}}, \text{ch}_{F_{\text{odd}}}$: modular forms
- $\left\langle \text{ch}_{F_{\text{even}}}^{(-)}, \text{ch}_{F_{\text{odd}}}^{(-)}, \text{ch}_{F_{\text{even}}^{\text{tw}}}^{(-)}, \text{ch}_{F_{\text{odd}}^{\text{tw}}}^{(-)} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{C}}$: $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -invariant
↑
supercharacters of irreducible $\widehat{osp}(2m, 2n)$ -modules

Character of F_s ;

For simplicity, consider the case $n = 1$;

$$\text{ch}_{F_s} = \frac{e^{\Lambda_0+s\varepsilon'_1} q^{-\frac{s}{2}}}{\varphi(q)^{m+1}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon'_1} q^j)(1 + e^{\varepsilon'_1 - \varepsilon_1} q^{j-1}) \sum_{k=(k_2, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbf{Z}^{m-1}} \frac{e^{\sum_{i=2}^m k_i(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon'_1)} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^m k_i(k_i+1)}}{1 + e^{\varepsilon'_1 - \varepsilon_1} q^{|k|-s}}$$

where $\varphi(q) := \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)$

In particular in the case $m = 2$;

$$\text{ch}_{F_s} = \frac{e^{\Lambda_0+s\varepsilon'_1} q^{-\frac{s}{2}}}{\varphi(q)^3} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon'_1} q^j)(1 + e^{\varepsilon'_1 - \varepsilon_1} q^{j-1}) \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{e^{k_2(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon'_1)} q^{\frac{1}{2}k_2(k_2+1)}}{1 + e^{\varepsilon'_1 - \varepsilon_1} q^{k_2-s}}$$

In particular for $s = 0$ (i.e, the space of charge = 0);

$$\text{ch}_{F_0} = \frac{e^{\Lambda_0}}{\varphi(q)^3} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon'_1} q^j)(1 + e^{\varepsilon'_1 - \varepsilon_1} q^{j-1}) \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{e^{k_2(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon'_1)} q^{\frac{1}{2}k_2(k_2+1)}}{1 + e^{\varepsilon'_1 - \varepsilon_1} q^{k_2}}$$

Putting $\begin{cases} \alpha_1 := \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon'_1 \\ \alpha_2 := \varepsilon'_1 - \varepsilon_2 \end{cases}$, we obtain the character of $\widehat{sl}(2|1)$ -module $L(\Lambda_0)$:

$$\text{ch}_{L(\Lambda_0)} = \frac{e^{\Lambda_0}}{\varphi(q)^2} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{-\alpha_1} q^{j-1})(1 + e^{\alpha_1} q^j) \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{e^{-n\alpha_2} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{1 + e^{-\alpha_1} q^n}$$

$$\text{ch}_{L(\Lambda_0)}^{(-)} = \frac{e^{\Lambda_0}}{\varphi(q)^2} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\alpha_1} q^{j-1})(1 - e^{\alpha_1} q^j) \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n \frac{e^{-n\alpha_2} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{1 - e^{-\alpha_1} q^n}$$

In coordinates: $\begin{cases} e^{-\alpha_1} = e^{2\pi i z_1} \\ e^{-\alpha_2} = e^{2\pi i z_2} \\ e^{\Lambda_0} = e^{2\pi i t} \end{cases}$ this formula for $\text{ch}_{L(\Lambda_0)}^{(-)}$ is written as follows:

$$\text{ch}_{L(\Lambda_0)}^{(-)} = \frac{e^{2\pi i t}}{\varphi(q)^2} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^{j-1})(1 - e^{-2\pi i z_1} q^j) \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n \frac{e^{2\pi i n z_2} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^n}$$

Zwegers' method (Quick review)

He rewrites this formula as follows:

$$\mathrm{ch}_{L(\Lambda_0)}^{(-)} = i e^{2\pi i t} \frac{\vartheta_{11}(\tau, z_1) \vartheta_{11}(\tau, z_2)}{\eta(\tau)^3} \cdot \mu(\tau, z_1, z_2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\tau, z_1, z_2) &:= \frac{e^{\pi i z_1}}{\vartheta_{11}(\tau, z_2)} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n \frac{e^{2\pi i n z_2} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^n} \\ \vartheta_{11}(\tau, z) &:= e^{\frac{\pi i \tau}{4}} e^{-\pi i(z + \frac{1}{2})} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - e^{2\pi i z} q^{n-1})(1 - e^{-2\pi i z} q^n) \end{aligned}$$

In order to extend $\mu(\tau, z_1, z_2)$ to a modular form, he introduced the function

$$R(\tau, z) := \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}} \left\{ \operatorname{sgn}(n) - E\left(\left(n + \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z)}{y}\right)\sqrt{2y}\right) \right\} (-1)^{n-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{n^2}{2}} e^{-2\pi i n z}$$

where

$$E(z) := 2 \int_0^z e^{-\pi t^2} dt \quad \text{and} \quad y := \operatorname{Im}(\tau)$$

Theorem (Zwegers): The function

$$\tilde{\mu}(\tau, z_1, z_2) := \mu(\tau, z_1, z_2) + \frac{i}{2} R(\tau, z_1 - z_2)$$

satisfies

$$1) \quad \tilde{\mu}(\tau + 1, z_1, z_2) = e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}} \tilde{\mu}(\tau, z_1, z_2)$$

$$2) \quad \tilde{\mu}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z_1}{\tau}, \frac{z_2}{\tau}\right) = -(-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{\tau}(z_1 - z_2)^2} \tilde{\mu}(\tau, z_1, z_2)$$

Want to extend his method to general cases; namely

- higher level
- higher rank cases.
- higher atypicality

Basic mock theta functions $\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}$

$$\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2) \stackrel{\text{put}}{:=} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} (\pm 1)^j \frac{e^{2\pi i m j(z_1 + z_2) + 2\pi i s z_1} q^{mj^2 + sj}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j} \quad \begin{pmatrix} m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N} \\ s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} (\pm 1)^j e^{2\pi i m \left(j + \frac{k}{2m}\right) z} q^{m \left(j + \frac{k}{2m}\right)^2} =: \theta_{k,m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, z)$: Jacobi theta functions

“What are the modular properties of these functions? ”

- Functions $\Phi_1^{(+)[m;s]}$ take place in supercharacters of $\widehat{sl}(2|1)$ -modules.
- These functions play basically important roles in modification of supercharacters for all affine Lie superalgebras.

Put $\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{2\pi i m t} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2)$

Want to study the modular properties of $\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}$



Behavior under the action of $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} || & || \\ T & S \end{array}$$

$$\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}|_T(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) := \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau + 1, z_1, z_2, t)$$

$$\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}|_S(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) := \frac{1}{\tau} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{z_1}{\tau}, \frac{z_2}{\tau}, t - \frac{z_1 z_2}{\tau} \right)$$

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}|_T = \text{easy} \\ \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}|_S = ? \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we discuss here about the function

$$\Phi_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) \stackrel{\text{put}}{:=} \Phi_1^{(+)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$$

and consider

$$\Phi_1^{[m;s]}|_S - \Phi_1^{[m;s]} = ?$$

Note: Let $\begin{cases} m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N} \\ s \in \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$. Then

$\Phi_1^{[m;s]} - \Phi_1^{[m;s]}|_S$ = holomorphic function w.r.to z_1 and z_2

(\because) $\Phi_1^{[m;s]}$ is meromorphic w.r.to z_1 with only simple poles:

$$\{\text{poles of } \Phi_1^{[m;s]} \text{ w.r.to } z_1\} = \mathbf{Z} + \tau \mathbf{Z}$$

$$\{\text{poles of } \Phi_1^{[m;s]}|_S \text{ w.r.to } z_1\} = \mathbf{Z} + \tau \mathbf{Z}$$

By simple calculation, we see that

$$\text{Res}_{z_1=j+n\tau} \Phi_1^{[m;s]} = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi ijnm} e^{-2\pi inmz_2} e^{2\pi imt} \quad ||$$

$$\text{Res}_{z_1=j+n\tau} \Phi_1^{[m;s]}|_S = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} e^{2\pi ijnm} e^{-2\pi inmz_2} e^{2\pi imt}$$

So $\Phi_1^{[m;s]} - \Phi_1^{[m;s]}|_S$ is holomorphic w.r.to z_1 . □

Note: Actually the following claim was proved in the above :

Let $\begin{cases} m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N} \\ s, s_1 \in \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$. Then

$\Phi_1^{[m;s]} - \Phi_1^{[m;\textcolor{red}{s}_1]}|_S$ = holomorphic function w.r.to z_1 and z_2

Note: For $\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}$ and $\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s']}$ $\begin{pmatrix} m & \in & \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N} \\ s, s_1 & \in & \mathbf{Z} \\ s', s'_1 & \in & \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix}$, the following holds:

- $\Phi_1^{(+)[m;s]} - \Phi_1^{(+)[m;s_1]}|_S$: holomorphic
- $\Phi_1^{(-)[m;s]} - \Phi_1^{(+)[m;s']}|_S$: holomorphic
- $\Phi_1^{(+)[m;s']} - \Phi_1^{(-)[m;s]}|_S$: holomorphic
- $\Phi_1^{(-)[m;s']} - \Phi_1^{(-)[m;s'_1]}|_S$: holomorphic

Quasi-elliptic properties of $\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}$:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1 + a, z_2 + b, t) = ? \\ \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1 + a\tau, z_2 + b\tau, t) = ? \end{cases} \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{Z})$$

Note :
$$\begin{cases} m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N} \\ a, b \in \mathbf{Z} \\ a + b \in 2\mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$$
 or
$$\begin{cases} m \in \mathbf{N} \\ a, b \in \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$$

\Downarrow

$$\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1 + a, z_2 + b, t) = e^{2\pi i s a} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$$

Note: Let $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
1) \quad & \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) - e^{4\pi i m z_1} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, \textcolor{red}{z_2 + 2\tau}, t) \\
&= e^{2\pi i m t} \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} e^{\pi i (k+s)(z_1 - z_2)} q^{-\frac{(k+s)^2}{4m}} \theta_{k+s,m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, z_1 + z_2) \\
2) \quad & \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) - e^{-4\pi i m z_2} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, \textcolor{red}{z_1 - 2\tau}, z_2, t) \\
&= e^{2\pi i m t} \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} e^{\pi i (k+s)(z_1 - z_2)} q^{-\frac{(k+s)^2}{4m}} \theta_{k+s,m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, z_1 + z_2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta_{j,m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, z) := \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (\pm 1)^k e^{2\pi i m \left(k + \frac{j}{2m} \right) z} q^{m \left(k + \frac{j}{2m} \right)^2}$$

Proof of 1) : Enough to show in the case $t = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, 0) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} (\pm 1)^j \frac{e^{2\pi i j m(z_1+z_2)} e^{2\pi i s z_1} q^{mj^2 + sj}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j} \\ &\quad (e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j)^{2m} e^{-4\pi i m z_1} \\ \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2 + 2\tau, 0) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} (\pm 1)^j \frac{e^{2\pi i j m(z_1+z_2)} \overbrace{q^{2mj}}^{\parallel} e^{2\pi i s z_1} q^{mj^2 + sj}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j} \\ &= e^{-4\pi i m z_1} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} (\pm 1)^j \frac{e^{2\pi i j m(z_1+z_2)} e^{2\pi i s z_1} q^{mj^2 + sj} (e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j)^{2m}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j} \end{aligned}$$

Making (1st eqn) $- e^{4\pi i m z_1} \times$ (2nd eq), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, 0) - e^{4\pi i m z_1} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2 + 2\tau, 0) \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{2\pi i jm(z_1+z_2)} e^{2\pi i s z_1} q^{mj^2 + sj} \underbrace{\frac{1 - (e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j)^{2m}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j}}_{||} \\
&\quad \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} (e^{2\pi i z_1} q^j)^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} e^{\pi i(k+s)(z_1-z_2)} q^{-\frac{(k+s)^2}{4m}} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} (\pm 1)^j e^{2\pi i m \left(j + \frac{k+s}{2m}\right)(z_1+z_2)} q^{m \left(j + \frac{k+s}{2m}\right)^2}}_{||} \\
&\quad \theta_{k+s, m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, z_1 + z_2)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Change of variables : $\begin{cases} z_1 = w - u \\ z_2 = -w - u \end{cases}$ i.e, $\begin{cases} u = -\frac{z_1 + z_2}{2} \\ w = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{2} \end{cases}$

$$\phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t) \stackrel{\text{put}}{:=} \Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$$

Note : $\begin{cases} m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N} \\ a, b \in \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$ or $\begin{cases} m \in \mathbf{N} \\ a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} \\ a + b \in \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$

\Downarrow

$$\phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u + a, w + b, t) = e^{2\pi i s(b-a)} \phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t)$$

Note: Let $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$. Then

- $\phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t) - e^{4\pi im(w-u)}\phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u-\tau, w-\tau, t)$
- = $e^{2\pi imt} \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} e^{2\pi i(k+s)w} q^{-\frac{(k+s)^2}{4m}} \theta_{-(k+s),m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, 2u)$
- $\phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t) - e^{4\pi im(w+u)}\phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u+\tau, w-\tau, t)$
- = $e^{2\pi imt} \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} e^{2\pi i(k+s)w} q^{-\frac{(k+s)^2}{4m}} \theta_{-(k+s),m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, 2u)$
- ↓↓
- $\phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t) - e^{8\pi im(w-\tau)}\phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u, w-2\tau, t)$
- = $e^{2\pi imt} \sum_{k=0}^{4m-1} e^{2\pi i(k+s)w} q^{-\frac{(k+s)^2}{4m}} \theta_{-(k+s),m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, 2u)$

Functions $G_1^{(\pm,\pm)[m;s,s']}$:

Notation in this section : $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$ and $\begin{cases} s, s_1 \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s', s'_1 \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$

Put

$$G_1^{(+,+)[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w, t) \stackrel{\text{put}}{:=} \phi_1^{(+)[m;s]} - \underbrace{\phi_1^{(+)[m;s_1]}|_S}_{\parallel} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} \phi_1^{(+)[m;s_1]} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{u}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau}, t - \frac{u^2 - w^2}{\tau} \right)$$

$$G_1^{(-,+)[m;s,s']}(\tau, u, w, t) := \phi_1^{(-)[m;s]} - \phi_1^{(+)[m;s']}|_S$$

$$G_1^{(-,-)[m;s',s'_1]}(\tau, u, w, t) := \phi_1^{(-)[m;s']} - \phi_1^{(-)[m;s'_1]}|_S$$

Lemma 1. Write simply $G_1^{[m;s,s_1]} := G_1^{(+,+)[m;s,s_1]}$. Then

1) $G_1^{[m;s,s_1]}$: holomorphic function

$$2) \quad G_1^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w+2, t) - G_1^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w, t)$$

$$= e^{2\pi imt} (-i\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s_1 \leqq k < s_1 + 4m}} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/2m\mathbf{Z}} e^{\frac{\pi ijk}{m}} e^{\frac{2\pi im}{\tau}(w+\frac{k}{2m})^2} \theta_{j,m}(\tau, 2u)$$

$$3) \quad G_1^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w, t) - e^{8\pi im(w-\tau)} G_1^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w-2\tau, t)$$

$$= e^{2\pi imt} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s \leqq k < s+4m}} e^{2\pi ikw} q^{-\frac{k^2}{4m}} \theta_{-k,m}(\tau, 2u)$$

4) $G_1^{[m;s,s_1]}$ is determined uniquely by the above 3 conditions.

Proof of 4): Assume that there exist 2 functions satisfying conditions 1), 2), 3), and let $g(w)$ be their difference. Want to show $g(w) = 0$. By 2) and 3),

- $g(w + 2) = g(w)$
- $g(w) = e^{8\pi im(w-\tau)} g(w - 2\tau)$ i.e, $g(w - 2\tau) = e^{8\pi im(\tau-w)} g(w)$

Consider the Jacobi's theta function

$$\vartheta_{11}(\tau, w) := e^{\frac{\pi i \tau}{4}} e^{-\pi i(w+\frac{1}{2})} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - e^{2\pi i w} q^{n-1})(1 - e^{-2\pi i w} q^n)$$

This function satisfies

$$\vartheta_{11}(\tau, w + 2) = \vartheta_{11}(\tau, w), \quad \vartheta_{11}(\tau, w - 2\tau) = e^{4\pi i(w-\tau)} \vartheta_{11}(\tau, w)$$

Put $f(w) := g(w)\vartheta_{11}(\tau, w)^{2m}$. Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(w + 2) = f(w) \\ f(w - 2\tau) = f(w) \\ f(w) : \text{holomorphic} \\ f(w) = 0 \text{ at some point} \end{array} \right. \implies f(w) = 0 \implies g(w) = 0$$

□

Zwegers' function $R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w)$ ($m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$) :

$$R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w) := \sum_{\substack{n \in j + \mathbf{Z} \\ n \equiv j \pmod{2m}}} (\pm 1)^{\frac{n-j}{2m}} \left\{ \operatorname{sgn} \left(n - \frac{1}{2} - j + 2m \right) \right. \\ \left. - E \left(\left(n - 2m \frac{\operatorname{Im}(w)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)}{m}} \right) \right\} e^{-\frac{\pi i n^2 \tau}{2m} + 2\pi i n w}$$

where $E(z) := \int_0^z e^{-\pi t^2} dt$

Properties of $R_{j;m}(\tau, w)$:

- $R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w + 1) = (-1)^{2j} R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w)$
- $R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w - \tau) = \pm e^{2\pi i m(\tau - 2w)} \{ R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w) - 2q^{-\frac{j^2}{4m}} e^{2\pi i j w} \}$

Zwegers' coordinates (a, b) :

$$\begin{cases} y = \operatorname{Im}(\tau) \\ w = a\tau - b \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{R}) \end{cases} \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{\operatorname{Im}(w)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau)} = a$$

$$1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} = -2iy \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}$$

$$2) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w) = 4\sqrt{my} e^{-4\pi mya^2} \theta_{j,m}^{(\pm)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w})$$

$$3) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) R_{j;m}^{(\pm)} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau} \right) = \frac{4\tau}{|\tau|} \sqrt{my} e^{-4\pi mb^2 \frac{y}{|\tau|^2}} \theta_{j,m}^{(\pm)} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\tau}}, \frac{2\bar{w}}{\bar{\tau}} \right)$$

Proof of 2) : $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right)$

$$\left(\sum_{n \equiv j \pmod{2m}} (\pm 1)^{\frac{n-j}{2m}} \left\{ \operatorname{sgn}\left(n - \frac{1}{2} - j + 2m\right) - E\left((n - 2ma)\sqrt{\frac{y}{m}}\right) \right\} e^{-\frac{\pi i n^2 \tau}{2m} + 2\pi i n w} \right)$$

$$= - \sum_{n \equiv j \pmod{2m}} (\pm 1)^{\frac{n-j}{2m}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) E\left((n - 2ma)\sqrt{\frac{y}{m}}\right)}_{\parallel} e^{-\frac{\pi i n^2 \tau}{2m} + 2\pi i n w}$$

$$\underbrace{E'\left((n - 2ma)\sqrt{\frac{y}{m}}\right) \cdot \left(-2m\sqrt{\frac{y}{m}}\right)}_{\parallel}$$

$$2 e^{-\pi(n-2ma)^2 \frac{y}{m}}$$

$$\stackrel{n=j+2mk}{\Downarrow} 4\sqrt{my} e^{-4\pi ma^2 y} \underbrace{\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} (\pm 1)^k e^{2\pi i m(k+\frac{j}{2m})^2 (-\tau + 2iy)} e^{2\pi i m(k+\frac{j}{2m}) \cdot 2(w - 2iay)}}_{\theta_{j,m}^{(\pm)}(-\tau + 2iy, \underbrace{2(w - 2iay)}_{\parallel})}$$

$$\quad \quad \quad -\overline{\tau} \quad \quad \quad 2\overline{w} \quad \quad \quad \square$$

Let $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$.

- $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) \left((-i\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i m w^2}{\tau}} R_{j;m}^{(+)} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau} \right) \right)$
- $= 4i \sqrt{\frac{y}{2}} e^{-4\pi m a^2 y} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}/2m\mathbf{Z}} e^{\frac{\pi i j k}{m}} \times \begin{cases} \theta_{k,m}^{(+)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w}) & \text{if } j \in \mathbf{Z} \\ \theta_{k,m}^{(-)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w}) & \text{if } j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$
- $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) \left((-i\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i m w^2}{\tau}} R_{j;m}^{(-)} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau} \right) \right)$
- $= 4i \sqrt{\frac{y}{2}} e^{-4\pi m a^2 y} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}/2m\mathbf{Z}} e^{\frac{\pi i j}{m}(k+\frac{1}{2})} \times \begin{cases} \theta_{k+\frac{1}{2},m}^{(+)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w}) & \text{if } j \in \mathbf{Z} \\ \theta_{k+\frac{1}{2},m}^{(-)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w}) & \text{if } j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$

Functions $a_j^{[m;s]}(\tau, w)$:

$$a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, w) \stackrel{\text{put}}{=} -R_{j;m}^{(+)}(\tau, w) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2m}}(-i\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i m w^2}{\tau}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s_1 \leqq k < s_1 + 2m}} e^{-\frac{\pi i j k}{m}} R_{k;m}^{(+)}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau}\right)$$

Lemma 2.

$$1) \quad a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, w) - e^{8\pi i m(w-\tau)} a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, \textcolor{red}{w} - 2\tau) = -2 \left\{ q^{-\frac{j^2}{4m}} e^{2\pi i j w} + q^{-\frac{(j+2m)^2}{4m}} e^{2\pi i (j+2m) w} \right\}$$

$$2) \quad a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, \textcolor{red}{w} + 2) - a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, w) = \frac{2i}{\sqrt{2m}} (-i\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s_1 \leqq k < s_1 + 4m}} e^{-\frac{\pi i j k}{m}} e^{\frac{2\pi i m}{\tau} (w + \frac{k}{2m})^2}$$

$$3) \quad a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, w) : \underline{\text{holomorphic}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Proof of 3)} : \quad & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, w) = - \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) R_{j;m}^{(+)}(\tau, w)}_{||} \\
& \quad 4\sqrt{my} e^{-4\pi ma^2 y} \theta_{j,m}^{(+)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w}) \\
& - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s_1 \leq k < s_1 + 2m}} e^{-\frac{\pi ijk}{m}} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right) \left((-i\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi imw^2}{\tau}} R_{j;m}^{(+)} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau} \right) \right)}_{||} \\
& \quad 4i\sqrt{\frac{y}{2}} e^{-4\pi ma^2 y} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}/2m\mathbf{Z}} e^{\frac{\pi ikn}{m}} \theta_{n,m}^{(+)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w}) \\
= & \quad 4\sqrt{my} e^{-4\pi ma^2 y} \left\{ -\theta_{j,m}^{(+)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w}) + \frac{1}{2m} \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}/2m\mathbf{Z}} \left(\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s_1 \leq k < s_1 + 2m}} e^{\frac{\pi ik}{m}(n-j)} \right) \theta_{n,m}^{(+)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w}) \right\} \\
& \quad \underbrace{2m \theta_{j,m}^{(+)}(-\bar{\tau}, 2\bar{w})}_{||} \\
= & \quad 0 \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Functions $\phi_{1,\text{add}}^{(\pm)[m;s]}$ **and** $G_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]}$:

Put

$$\phi_{1,\text{add}}^{(\pm)[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t) \stackrel{\text{put}}{:=} \frac{-1}{2} e^{2\pi i m t} \sum_{\substack{k \in s + \mathbf{Z} \\ s \leqq k < s + 2m}} R_{j;m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, w) \theta_{-j,m}^{(\pm)}(\tau, 2u)$$

For simplicity, consider the case “+” and $s, s_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ and put

$$G_{1;\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]} \stackrel{\text{put}}{:=} \phi_{1;\text{add}}^{(+)[m;s]} - \phi_{1;\text{add}}^{(+)[m;s_1]}|_S$$

Lemma 3.

$$G_{1;\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w, t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{2\pi i m t} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s \leqq j < s + 2m}} a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, w) \theta_{-j,m}^{(+)}(\tau, 2u)$$

Proof of Lemma 3 : Suffices to show in the case $t = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_{1;\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w, 0) \\
&= \underbrace{\phi_{1;\text{add}}^{(+)[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, 0)}_{||} - \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-\frac{2\pi im}{\tau}(u^2-w^2)} \underbrace{\phi_{1;\text{add}}^{(+)[m;s_1]}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{u}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau}, 0\right)}_{||} \\
&\quad - \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{s \leq j < s+2m} R_{j,m}^{(+)}(\tau, w) \theta_{-j,m}^{(+)}(\tau, 2u) \underbrace{\frac{-1}{2} \sum_{s_1 \leq k < s_1+2m} R_{k,m}^{(+)}\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau}\right) \theta_{-k,m}^{(+)}\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}, \frac{2u}{\tau}\right)}_{||} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \frac{(-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2m}} e^{\frac{2\pi imu^2}{\tau}} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/2m\mathbf{Z}} e^{-\frac{\pi ijk}{m}} \theta_{-j,m}^{(+)}(\tau, 2u) \\
&= \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{s \leq j < s+2m} \underbrace{\left\{ R_{j,m}^{(+)}(\tau, w) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2m}} (-i\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{s_1 \leq k < s_1+2m} e^{-\frac{\pi ijk}{m}} R_{k,m}^{(+)}\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau}\right) \right\}}_{||} \theta_{-j,m}^{(+)}(\tau, 2u) \\
&\quad \quad \quad - a_j^{[m;s_1]}(\tau, w)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Then, by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we get the following:

Lemma 4. (properties of $G_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]}$)

- $G_{1,\text{add}}^{[m]}$: holomorphic function

- $G_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, \textcolor{red}{w+2}, t) - G_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w, t)$

$$= e^{2\pi imt} (-i\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2m}} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s_1 \leqq k < s_1 + 2m}} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}/2m\mathbf{Z}} e^{\frac{\pi ijk}{m}} e^{\frac{2\pi im}{\tau}(w + \frac{k}{2m})^2} \theta_{j,m}(\tau, 2u)$$

- $G_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, w, t) - e^{8\pi im(w-\tau)} G_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]}(\tau, u, \textcolor{red}{w-2\tau}, t)$

$$= -e^{2\pi imt} \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ s \leqq k < s + 2m}} e^{2\pi ikw} q^{-\frac{k^2}{4m}} \theta_{-k,m}(\tau, 2u)$$

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^{[m;s,s_1]} &= -G_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s,s_1]} \\ \phi_1^{[m;s]} - \phi_1^{[m;s_1]}|_S &= -(\phi_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s]} - \phi_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s_1]}|_S) \end{aligned}$$

i.e,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^{[m;s]} + \phi_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s]} &= (\underbrace{\phi_1^{[m;s_1]} + \phi_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s_1]}}_{\parallel \text{ put}})|_S \\ \widetilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]} &\quad \widetilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s_1]} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, putting $\widetilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]} \stackrel{\text{put}}{:=} \phi_1^{[m;s]} + \phi_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s]}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]} = \widetilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s_1]}|_S$$

Theorem 1. Let $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $s, s_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$1) \quad \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s_1]}|_S = \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}, \quad \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}|_T = \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}$$

$$2) \quad \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, u+a, w+b, t) = \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t)$$

$$3) \quad \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, u+a\tau, w+b\tau, t) = q^{m(b^2-a^2)} e^{4\pi i m(-au+bw)} \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t)$$

where $a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$ s.t. $a+b \in \mathbf{Z}$.

$$4) \quad \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s_1]} = \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]} \quad \text{does not depend on } s \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Proof of 3) follows from 2) and transformation property:

$$\tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t) = \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-\frac{2\pi im}{\tau}(u^2-w^2)} \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{u}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau}, t\right)$$

Letting $\begin{cases} u \rightarrow u + a\tau \\ w \rightarrow w + b\tau \end{cases}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-\frac{2\pi im}{\tau}(u^2-w^2)} e^{4\pi im(-au+bw)} q^{m(b^2-a^2)} \\ \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, u + a\tau, w + b\tau, t) &= \frac{1}{\tau} \underbrace{e^{-\frac{2\pi im}{\tau}[(u+a\tau)^2-(w+b\tau)^2]}}_{||} \underbrace{\tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{u}{\tau} + a, \frac{w}{\tau} + b, t\right)}_{||} \\ & \quad \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{u}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau}, t\right) \\ &= e^{4\pi im(-au+bw)} q^{m(b^2-a^2)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\tau} e^{-\frac{2\pi im}{\tau}(u^2-w^2)} \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{u}{\tau}, \frac{w}{\tau}, t\right)}_{||} \\ & \quad \tilde{\phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, u, w, t) \end{aligned}$$

□

Translating these to $\Phi_1^{[m;s]}$:

Corollary. Let $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, and put

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) &\stackrel{\text{put}}{=} \frac{-1}{2} e^{2\pi i m t} \sum_{s \leq j < s+2m} R_{j;m}^{(+)}\left(\tau, \frac{z_1 - z_2}{2}\right) \theta_{j,m}^{(+)}(\tau, z_1 + z_2) \\ \widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m]} &\stackrel{\text{put}}{=} \Phi_1^{[m;s]} + \Phi_{1,\text{add}}^{[m;s]} \end{aligned}$$

Then

- $\widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}$ does not depend on $s \in \mathbf{Z}$.
- $\widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}|_S = \widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}$, $\widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}|_T = \widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}$
- $\widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, z_1 + a, z_2 + b, t) = \widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$
- $\widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, z_1 + a\tau, z_2 + b\tau, t) = q^{-mab} e^{-2\pi i m(bz_1 + az_2)} \widetilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$

where $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Similar argument works for $\Phi_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}$ ($m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$):

Theorem 2. Let $m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, $s' \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}$. Then

1) S -transformation:

- $\tilde{\Phi}_1^{(+)[m;s]}|_S = \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(+)[m;s]}$
- $\tilde{\Phi}_1^{(-)[m;s]}|_S = \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(+)[m;s']}$
- $\tilde{\Phi}_1^{(+)[m;s']}|_S = \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(-)[m;s]}$
- $\tilde{\Phi}_1^{(-)[m;s']}|_S = \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(-)[m;s']}$

2) T -transformation:

$$\tilde{\Phi}_1^{(\pm)[m;s]}|_T = \begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(\pm)[m;s]} & \text{if } m+s \in \mathbf{Z} \\ \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(\mp)[m;s]} & \text{if } m+s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z} \end{cases}$$

$$\boxed{\text{Corollary.} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N} \\ s, s' \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z} \\ s - s' \in \mathbf{Z} \end{array} \right\} \implies \tilde{\Phi}^{(\pm)}[m;s] = \tilde{\Phi}^{(\pm)}[m;s']}$$

Elliptic transformation properties for $\tilde{\Phi}_1^{(\pm)}[m;s]$ ($m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$, $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$):

Theorem 3. Let $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N} \\ a, b \in \mathbf{Z} \\ a + b \in 2\mathbf{Z} \end{array} \right.$ or $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m \in \mathbf{N} \\ a, b \in \mathbf{Z} \end{array} \right.$. Then

- $\tilde{\Phi}_1^{(\pm)}[m;s](\tau, z_1 + a, z_2 + b, t) = e^{2\pi i sa} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(\pm)}[m;s](\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$
- $\tilde{\Phi}_1^{(\pm)}[m;s](\tau, z_1 + a\tau, z_2 + b\tau, t) = (\pm 1)^a e^{-2\pi i m(bz_1 + az_2)} q^{-mab} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(\pm)}[m;s](\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$

Note : Relation with Zwegers' functions μ and $\tilde{\mu}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\tau, z_1, z_2) &= \frac{e^{\pi i z_1}}{\vartheta_{11}(\tau, z_2)} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n \frac{e^{2\pi i n z_2} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\vartheta_{11}(\tau, z_2)} \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^n \frac{e^{2\pi i n [z_1 + (z_2 - z_1)]} e^{2\pi i (\frac{1}{2} z_1)} q^{\frac{1}{2} n^2 + \frac{1}{2} n}}{1 - e^{2\pi i z_1} q^n}}_{||} \\ &\quad \Phi_1^{(-)[\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}]}(\tau, z_1, z_2 - z_1, 0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mu}(\tau, z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{\vartheta_{11}(\tau, z_2)} \tilde{\Phi}_1^{(-)[\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}]}(\tau, z_1, z_2 - z_1, 0)$$

Modified supercharacter of $\widehat{sl}(2|1)$ -module $L((m-1)\Lambda_0)$:

$$R^{(-)} \cdot \text{ch}_{L((m-1)\Lambda_0)}^{(-)} = \Phi_1^{[m;0]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) - \Phi_2^{[m;0]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$$

where

$$\Phi_2^{[m;s]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) := \Phi_1^{[m;s]}(\tau, -z_2, -z_1, t)$$

Make this to be a modular form, replacing $\Phi_i^{[m;0]}$ with $\tilde{\Phi}_i^{[m;0]}$:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(-)} \cdot \tilde{\text{ch}}_{L((m-1)\Lambda_0)}^{(-)} &:= \tilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;0]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) - \tilde{\Phi}_2^{[m;0]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) \\ &\quad || \text{ put } \\ &\quad \tilde{\Phi}_1^{[m;0]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) \\ &\quad || \text{ put } \\ &\quad \tilde{\Phi}_2^{[m;0]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t) \end{aligned}$$

Properties of $\tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}$:

- $\tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}|_S = \tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}|_T = \tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}$
- $\tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}(\tau, z_1 + a, z_2 + b, t) = \tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$
- $\tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}(\tau, z_1 + a\tau, z_2 + b\tau, t) = q^{-mab} e^{-2\pi im(bz_1 + az_2)} \tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$
- $\tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}(\tau, z_2, z_1, t) = \tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$
- $\tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}(\tau, -z_1, -z_2, t) = -\tilde{\Phi}^{[m]}(\tau, z_1, z_2, t)$

where $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$.