Estimators in step regression models

Ursula U. Müller Texas A&M University Anton Schick
Binghamton University

Wolfgang Wefelmeyer Universität zu Köln

Abstract

We consider nonparametric regression models in which the regression function is a step function, and construct a convolution estimator for the response density that has the same bias as the usual estimators based on the responses, but a smaller asymptotic variance.

1 Introduction

We consider the nonparametric regression model $Y = r(X) + \varepsilon$, where ε has mean zero and X and ε are independent random variables with densities g and f. We assume that the regression function is a step function with unknown jump points and jump heights. For simplicity we assume that m, the number of jump points, is known. If f and g are positive and absolutely continuous, we can estimate the jump points with rate n^{-1} and the jump heights with rate $n^{-1/2}$. This follows from results for more general stepwise linear and stepwise smooth and parametric regression functions. For deterministic covariates, Yao and Au (1989) estimate a step regression function for known and for bounded m. Piecewise linear regression functions are studied by Quandt (1958, 1960), Hinkley (1969), Farley and Hinich (1970), Bai and Perron (1998), Koul and Qian (2002), and Koul, Qian and Surgailis (2003). For piecewise polynomial regression functions see Robison (1964), and for piecewise nonlinear regression functions see Feder (1975a, 1975b), Liu, Wu and Zidek (1997), Ciuperca (2004, 2009, 2011), Ciuperca and Dapzol (2008), and Launay, Philippe and Lamarche (2012). Our result extends to the case of an unknown but bounded number of jump points. An estimator for this number is obtained in Section 4 of Ciuperca (2011).

The estimators for the jump points and the jump heights determine an estimator \hat{r} for the regression function r. We can use it to estimate the errors $\varepsilon_i = Y_i - r(X_i)$ by residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{r}(X_i)$, and to estimate the error density f by a residual-based kernel estimator \hat{f} . We show in Lemma 1 that \hat{f} differs by a term of order $n^{-1/2}$ from the kernel estimator based on the true errors ε_i . There are similar results for the case that r is not a step function but smooth; see Schick and Wefelmeyer (2012) and (2013). Here the regression function has jumps, and the proof is different. In Theorem 1 we show that the residual-based kernel estimator \hat{f} is asymptotically normal with the same mean and variance as the error-based kernel estimator.

Assume for simplicity that the heights b_j are pairwise different. Then the response density has a convolution representation

$$h(y) = \sum f(y - b_j)P(r(X) = b_j)$$

and can be estimated by a convolution estimator

$$\hat{h}(y) = \sum \hat{f}(y - \hat{b}_j)\hat{p}_j$$

with estimators \hat{b}_j and \hat{p}_j for b_j and $P(r(X) = b_j)$. We show in Lemma 2 that it differs by a term of order $n^{-1/2}$ from the estimator with known covariate density and regression function. In Theorem 2 we show that the convolution estimator \hat{h} has the same rate and asymptotic bias as the kernel estimator based only on the responses, and that it is asymptotically normal with the same mean, but with a considerably reduced variance.

This differs from results for the case that r(X) is not discrete but has a smooth density. Then the corresponding convolution estimator can have the rate $n^{-1/2}$ of an empirical estimator; see again Schick and Wefelmeyer (2012) and (2013).

We show in Remark 1 that corresponding results hold when the covariate is discrete (and r is arbitrary). Estimators for the regression function in this case are considered in particular by Bierens and Hartog (1988), Rahbar and Gardiner (1995) and Ouyang, Li and Racine (2009).

2 Results

Let $Y = r(X) + \varepsilon$, where X and ε are independent random variables with positive and absolutely continuous densities g and f, and $E\varepsilon = 0$ and $E\varepsilon^2 < \infty$. We assume that the regression function is a step function

$$r = b_1 \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, a_1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{m} b_i \mathbf{1}_{[a_{i-1}, a_i)} + b_{m+1} \mathbf{1}_{[a_m, \infty)}$$

with unknown jump points $a_1 < \cdots < a_m$ and unknown heights b_1, \ldots, b_{m+1} , and known m. We observe independent copies $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$ of (X, Y). By Ciuperca (2009), there are estimators \hat{a}_j for a_j with $n(\hat{a}_j - a_j)$ bounded in probability, and estimators \hat{b}_j for b_j with $n^{1/2}(\hat{b}_j - b_j)$ bounded in probability. They are obtained by minimizing, for an appropriate convex function ϱ , the process $\sum_{i=1}^n \varrho(Y_i - r(X_i))$ in the parameters a_1, \ldots, a_m and b_1, \ldots, b_{m+1} of r. This is an M-estimator for r. For the choice $\varrho(y) = y^2$ it is a least squares estimator. The minimizing values \hat{a}_j and \hat{b}_j determine an estimator for the regression function,

$$\hat{r} = \hat{b}_1 \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, \hat{a}_1)} + \sum_{j=2}^{m} \hat{b}_j \mathbf{1}_{[\hat{a}_{j-1}, \hat{a}_j)} + \hat{b}_{m+1} \mathbf{1}_{[\hat{a}_m, \infty)}.$$

It can be used to estimate the errors ε_i by residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{r}(X_i)$, and the error density f by a residual-based kernel estimator

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_b(x - \hat{\varepsilon}_i)$$

with $K_b(x) = K(x/b)/b$, where K is a kernel and b a bandwidth.

In order to show that $\hat{f}(x)$ is asymptotically normal, we compare it first with the kernel estimator based on the true errors,

$$\bar{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_b(x - \varepsilon_i).$$

Lemma 1 shows that $\hat{f}(x)$ differs from $\bar{f}(x)$ by a term of order $O_p(n^{-1/2})$. The proof is in Section 3.

Lemma 1. Let g be positive and absolutely continuous, and let f be twice continuously differentiable at x. Choose a kernel K with bounded support that is twice differentiable with second derivative fulfilling a Lipschitz condition, and a bandwidth b with $b \to 0$ and $n^{1/4}b \to \infty$. Then

$$\hat{f}(x) = \bar{f}(x) + f'(x) \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} p_j(\hat{b}_j - b_j) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Denote by K_r the bounded functions K on the real line that vanish ouside a compact set, and that are (signed) kernels of order r, i.e., $\int K(t) dt = 1$, $\int t^j K(t) dt = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, r-1$, and $\int t^r K(t) dt \neq 0$.

Let f be r times continuously differentiable at x and $K \in \mathcal{K}_r$. The following results are well known, also under mixing conditions and for linear processes. See Parzen (1962), Chanda (1983), Bradley (1983), Tran (1992), Hallin and Tran (1996) and Lu (2001). A convenient reference is Müller and Wefelmeyer (2014), Lemma 1 and Proposition 1. Set

$$\mu_r = \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \int t^r K(t) dt, \qquad \sigma^2 = \int K^2(t) dt.$$

If $b \to 0$, then

$$b^{-r}E(\bar{f}(x)-f(x)) \to f^{(r)}(x)\mu_r.$$

If $nb \to \infty$, then

$$nb \operatorname{Var} \bar{f}(x) \to f(x) \sigma^2$$
.

The optimal rate is achieved by a bandwidth of the form $b = cn^{-1/(2r+1)}$ for some constant c. We absorb the factor c as a scale factor into K and work with $b = n^{-1/(2r+1)}$. With this bandwidth,

$$n^{r/(2r+1)}(\bar{f}(x) - f(x)) \Rightarrow N(f^{(r)}(x)\mu_r, f(x)\sigma^2).$$

Since $\hat{b}_j - b_j = O_p(n^{-1/2})$ is asymptotically negligible, $n^{r/(2r+1)}(\hat{f}(x) - f(x))$ has the same asymptotic distribution as $n^{r/(2r+1)}(\hat{f}(x) - f(x))$. Together with Lemma 1 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1. Let g be positive and absolutely continuous, and let f be positive and r times continuously differentiable at x for an $r \geq 2$. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_r$ with K'' Lipschitz. Set $b = n^{-1/(2r+1)}$. Then $n^{r/(2r+1)}(\hat{f}(x) - f(x))$ is asymptotically normal with mean $f^{(r)}(x)\mu_r$ and variance $f(x)\sigma^2$.

We turn now to estimation of the response density h. A simple estimator is the kernel estimator based on the responses,

$$\tilde{h}(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_b(y - Y_i).$$

If h is r times continuously differentiable at y, then we obtain as above, for $K \in \mathcal{K}_r$ and $b = n^{-1/(2r+1)}$,

$$n^{r/(2r+1)}(\tilde{h}(y) - h(y)) \Rightarrow N(h^{(r)}(y)\mu_r, h(y)\sigma^2).$$

A better estimator than \tilde{h} can be based on the convolution representation

$$h(y) = \int f(y - r(x))g(x) dx = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f(y - b_j)p_j$$

with $p_j = P(r(X) = b_j)$. Here we have assumed for notational simplicity that the heights b_1, \ldots, b_{m+1} are pairwise different. Then r(X) is supported by b_1, \ldots, b_{m+1} , with probabilities

$$p_1 = P(r(X) = b_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{a_1} g(x) dx,$$

$$p_{m+1} = P(r(X) = b_{m+1}) = \int_{a_m}^{\infty} g(x) dx,$$

$$p_j = P(r(X) = b_j) = \int_{a_{j-1}}^{a_j} g(x) dx, \quad j = 2, \dots, m.$$

We estimate the p_i empirically,

$$\begin{split} \hat{p}_1 &= \#\{i: -\infty < X_i < \hat{a}_1\}/n, \\ \hat{p}_{m+1} &= \#\{i: \hat{a}_m \le X_i < \infty\}/n, \\ \hat{p}_j &= \#\{i: \hat{a}_{j-1} \le X_i < \hat{a}_j\}/n, \quad j = 2, \dots, m. \end{split}$$

From $\hat{a}_j - a_j = O_p(n^{-1})$ it follows that $\hat{p}_j - p_j = O_p(n^{-1/2})$. The convolution representation for the response density h now suggests the convolution estimator

$$\hat{h}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \hat{f}(y - \hat{b}_j)\hat{p}_j.$$

Similarly as for \hat{f} , we compare \hat{h} first with the convolution estimator based on the true jump points a_j and heights b_j and on the true probabilities p_j ,

$$\bar{h}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \bar{f}(y - b_j) p_j.$$

As in Lemma 1 we now obtain that $\hat{h}(y)$ differs from $\bar{h}(y)$ by a term of order $O_p(n^{-1/2})$.

Lemma 2. Let f be positive and twice continuously differentiable at $y - b_1, \ldots, y - b_{m+1}$. Take g, K and b as in Lemma 1. Then

$$\hat{h}(y) = \bar{h}(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (f'(y - b_j) - h'(y)) p_j(\hat{b}_j - b_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f(y - b_j) (\hat{p}_j - p_j) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Since $\hat{b}_j - b_j$ and $\hat{p}_j - p_j$ are of order $O_p(n^{-1/2})$ and therefore asymptotically negligible, $n^{r/(2r+1)}(\hat{h}(y) - h(y))$ has the same asymptotic distribution as $n^{r/(2r+1)}(\bar{h}(y) - h(y))$. For large enough n, the supports of $K_b(\cdot -b_j)$ are disjoint, and hence $\bar{f}(y-b_j)$ use disjoint subsets of $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$ for different j. Together with the representation $\bar{h}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \bar{f}(y-b_j)p_j$ this implies $\operatorname{Var} \bar{h}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} p_j^2 \operatorname{Var} \bar{f}(y-b_j)$. Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 therefore give the following.

Theorem 2. Let f be positive and r times continuously differentiable at $y-b_1, \ldots, y-b_{m+1}$. Take g, K and b as in Theorem 1. Then $n^{r/(2r+1)}(\hat{h}(y)-h(y))$ is asymptotically normal with mean $h^{(r)}(y)\mu_r$ and variance $\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f(y-b_j)p_j^2\sigma^2$.

From the convolution representation of h it follows that h and f are smooth of the same order. The corresponding mean for the kernel estimator $\tilde{h}(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_b(y - Y_i)$ based on the responses only is again $h^{(r)}(y)\mu_r$, but the variance is

$$h(y)\sigma^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f(y - b_j)p_j\sigma^2,$$

while the convolution estimator $\hat{h}(y)$ has p_j^2 in place of p_j . This is a variance reduction. It is noticeable if no weight is close to one, and it is considerable if there are many small weights. In particular, if r(X) is uniformly distributed, so that $p_j = 1/(m+1)$, the variance is reduced by the factor 1/(m+1).

Remark 1. Our approach also works when the covariate X is *discrete*, say with values a_1, \ldots, a_m in an arbitrary space. Then the regression function r may be arbitrary, because it enters the model only through the values $b_j = r(a_j)$. Again we assume that m is known, and that the b_j are pairwise different. Then r(X) is discrete with values b_j having probabilities

$$P(r(X) = b_j) = P(X = a_j) = p_j.$$

The values a_1, \ldots, a_m are eventually observed and need not be estimated. We estimate p_j empirically, by

$$\hat{p}_j = N_j/n$$
 with $N_j = \#\{i : X_i = a_j\}.$

An estimator for b_i is

$$\hat{b}_j = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_{i: X_i = a_j} Y_i.$$

The response density has the representation

$$h(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(y - b_j) p_j.$$

The error $\varepsilon_i = Y_i - r(X_i)$ is estimated by the residual $\hat{\varepsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{b}_j$ if $X_i = a_j$. Let $\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_b(x - \hat{\varepsilon}_i)$ denote the residual-based kernel estimator. The convolution estimator for h(y) is

$$\hat{h}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{f}(y - \hat{b}_j)\hat{p}_j.$$

Under the same assumptions on f, K and b as before, the above results continue to hold, with m in place of m + 1.

3 Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1. In a first step we show that asymptotically it makes no difference if we replace the \hat{a}_i by a_i . We simplify the notation by writing

$$A_1 = (-\infty, a_1), \quad A_{m+1} = [a_m, \infty), \quad A_j = [a_{j-1}, a_j) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 2, \dots, m.$$

We write \hat{A}_j if the a_j are replaced by \hat{a}_j . We write \tilde{r} for the estimator obtained from \hat{r} by replacing \hat{a}_j by a_j , i.e., $\tilde{r} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \mathbf{1}_{A_j} \hat{b}_j$. We define the residuals associated with \tilde{r} by $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j = Y_j - \tilde{r}(X_j)$ and set

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_b(x - \tilde{\varepsilon}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(X_i) K_b(x - \varepsilon_i + \hat{b}_j - b_j).$$

With A the complement of the union of the intervals $\hat{A}_j \cap A_j$, $j = 1, \ldots m + 1$, we can express

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_b(x - \hat{\varepsilon}_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_A(X_i) K_b(x - \hat{\varepsilon}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\hat{A}_j \cap A_j}(X_i) K_b(x - \varepsilon_i + \hat{b}_j - b_j).$$

Note that $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{A}(X_{i})$ is bounded in probability. Indeed, for positive constants B and C and $D_{n} = n \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |\hat{a}_{j} - a_{j}|$, we have

$$P(N > B) \le P(D_n > C) + P\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{[a_j - C/n, a_j + C/n]}(X_i) > B\right)$$

$$\le P(D_n > C) + \sum_{j=1}^m nP(a_j - C/n \le X \le a_j + C/n)/B$$

$$\le P(D_n > C) + \sup_y g(y)2mC/B.$$

It is now easy to see that

(3.1)
$$\sup_{x} |\hat{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(x)| \le 2 \sup_{y} |K_b(y)| N/n = O_p((nb)^{-1}) = o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

In a second step, we replace \hat{b}_j by b_j . For $X_i \in A_j$, a Taylor expansion yields

$$\left| K_b(x - \tilde{\varepsilon}_i) - K_b(x - \varepsilon_i) - (\hat{b}_j - b_j) K_b'(x - \varepsilon_i) - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{b}_j - b_j)^2 K_b''(x - \varepsilon_i) \right| \le \frac{L|\hat{b}_j - b_j|^3}{6b^4},$$

with L the Lipschitz constant of K''. Hence

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \bar{f}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (\hat{b}_j - b_j) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j} (X_i) K_b'(x - \varepsilon_i)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} (\hat{b}_j - b_j)^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_j} (X_i) K_b''(x - \varepsilon_i) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

holds in view of $n^{-3/2}b^{-4} = o_p(n^{-1/2})$. For the second term in the expansion of $\tilde{f}(x)$ we use

$$E\mathbf{1}_{A_j}(X)K_b'(x-\varepsilon) = P(X \in A_j) \int K_b'(x-y)f(y) \, dy$$
$$= p_j \int K(t)f'(x-bt) \, dt \to p_j f'(x)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var} \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(X)K_b'(x-\varepsilon) \le E(K_b'(x-\varepsilon)^2) = b^{-3} \int f(x-bt)(K'(t))^2 dt.$$

Since $n^{-1}b^{-3} \to 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(X_i) K_b'(x - \varepsilon_i) = p_j f'(x) + o_p(1).$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(X_i) K_b''(x - \varepsilon_i) = p_j f''(x) + o_p(1) + O_p(n^{-1/2}b^{-5/2}).$$

The assertion follows.

Proof of Lemma 2. The proof follows along the lines of the proof of Lemma 1. We continue using the notation introduced there. Write

$$\tilde{f}(y - \hat{b}_j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_b(y - \tilde{\varepsilon}_i - \hat{b}_j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_b(y - \varepsilon_i - b_j + \tilde{r}(X_i) - r(X_i) - (\hat{b}_j - b_j))$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k}(X_i) K_b(y - \varepsilon_i + \hat{b}_k - b_k - (\hat{b}_j - b_j)).$$

By the same Taylor expansion as in the proof of Lemma 1,

$$\tilde{f}(y-\hat{b}_j) = \bar{f}(y-b_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (\hat{b}_k - b_k) p_k f'(y-b_j) - (\hat{b}_j - b_j) f'(y-b_j) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Using (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \hat{h}(y) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \hat{f}(y - \hat{b}_j) \hat{p}_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \tilde{f}(y - \hat{b}_j) \hat{p}_j + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \tilde{f}(y - \hat{b}_j) p_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \tilde{f}(y - \hat{b}_j) (\hat{p}_j - p_j) + o_p(n^{-1/2}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \bar{f}(y - b_j) p_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f'(y - b_j) p_j \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} p_k (\hat{b}_k - b_k) - \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f'(y - b_j) p_j (\hat{b}_j - b_j) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f(y - b_j) (\hat{p}_j - p_j) + o_p(n^{-1/2}). \end{split}$$

The assertion now follows with

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f'(y - b_j) p_j = h'(y).$$

References

- [1] Bai, J. and Perron, P. (1998). Estimating and testing linear models with multiple structural changes. *Econometrica* **66**, 47–78.
- [2] Bierens, H. J. and Hartog, J. (1988). Nonlinear regression with discrete explanatory variables, with an application to the earnings function. *J. Econometrics* **38**, 269–299.
- [3] Bradley, R. C. (1983). Asymptotic normality of some kernel-type estimators of probability density. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 1, 295–300.
- [4] Chanda, K. C. (1983). Density estimation for linear processes. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **35**, 439–446.
- [5] Ciuperca, G. (2004). Maximum likelihood estimator in a two-phase nonlinear random regression model. *Statist. Decisions* **22**, 335–349.
- [6] Ciuperca, G. (2009). The M-estimation in a multi-phase random nonlinear model. Statist. Probab. Lett. 79, 573–580.
- [7] Ciuperca, G. (2011). Estimating nonlinear regression with and without change-points by the LAD method. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **63**, 717–743.

- [8] Ciuperca, G. and Dapzol, N. (2008). Maximum likelihood estimator in a multi-phase random regression model. *Statistics* **42**, 363–381.
- [9] Farley, J. U. and Hinich, M. J. (1970). A test for a shifting slope coefficient in a linear model. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 65, 1320–1329.
- [10] Feder, P. I. (1975a). On asymptotic distribution theory in segmented regression problems identified case. *Ann. Statist.* **3**, 49–83.
- [11] Feder, P. I. (1975b). The log likelihood ratio in segmented regression. *Ann. Statist.* 3, 84–97.
- [12] Hallin, M. and Tran, L. T. (1996). Kernel density estimation for linear processes: asymptotic normality and optimal bandwidth derivation. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* **48**, 429–449.
- [13] Hinkley, D. V. (1969). Inference about the intersection in two-phase regression. Biometrika 66, 495–504.
- [14] Koul, H. L. and Qian, L. (2002). Asymptotics of maximum likelihood estimator in a two-phase linear regression model. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* **108**, 99–119.
- [15] Koul, H. L., Qian, L. and Surgailis, D. (2003). Asymptotics of M-estimators in two-phase linear regression models. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **103**, 123–154.
- [16] Launay, T., Philippe, A. and Lamarche, S. (2012). Consistency of the posterior distribution and MLE for piecewise linear regression. *Electron. J. Stat.* **6**, 1307–1357.
- [17] Liu, J., Wu, S. and Zidek, J. V.(1997). On segmented multivariate regression. *Statist. Sinica* 7, 497–525.
- [18] Lu, Z. (2001). Asymptotic normality of kernel density estimators under dependence. Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. 53, 447–468.
- [19] Müller, U. U. and Wefelmeyer, W. (2014). Estimating a density under pointwise constraints on the derivatives. *Math. Meth. Statist.* **23**, 201–209.
- [20] Parzen, E. (1962). On estimation of a probability density function and mode. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **33**, 1065–1076.
- [21] Ouyang, D., Li, Q. and Racine, J. S. (2009). Nonparametric estimation of regression functions with discrete regressors. *Econometric Theory* **25**, 1–42.
- [22] Quandt, R. E. (1958). The estimation of the parameters of a linear regression system obeying two separate regimes. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 53, 873–880.
- [23] Quandt, R. E. (1960). Tests of the hypothesis that a linear regression system obeys two separate regimes. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 55, 324–330.

- [24] Rahbar, M. H. and Gardiner, J. C. (1995). Nonparametric estimation of regression parameters from censored data with a discrete covariate. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **24**, 13–20.
- [25] Robison, D. E. (1964). Estimates for the points of intersection of two polynomial regressions. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. **59**, 214–224.
- [26] Schick, A. and Wefelmeyer, W. (2012). Convergence in weighted L_1 -norms of convolution estimators for the response density in nonparametric regression. J. Indian Statist. Assoc. **50**, 241–261.
- [27] Schick, A. and Wefelmeyer, W. (2013). Uniform convergence of convolution estimators for the response density in nonparametric regression. *Bernoulli* 19, 2250–2276.
- [28] Tran, L. T. (1992). Kernel density estimation for linear processes. Stochastic Process. Appl. 41, 281–296.
- [29] Yao, Y.-C. and Au, S. T. (1989). Least-squares estimation of a step function. Sankhyā Ser. A 51, 370–381.