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Let $X_{1-p}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be observations of a Markov chain of order $p$, with a parametric model for the conditional mean,

$$
E\left(X_{i} \mid \mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)=r_{\vartheta}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{X}_{i-1}=\left(X_{i-p}, \ldots, X_{i-1}\right)$ and $\vartheta$ is an unknown $d$-dimensional parameter. An efficient estimator for $\vartheta$ in this model is a randomly weighted least squares estimator that solves the estimating equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\sigma}^{-2}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right) \dot{r}_{\vartheta}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)\left(X_{i}-r_{\vartheta}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)\right)=0
$$

where $\dot{r}_{\vartheta}$ is the vector of partial derivatives of $r_{\vartheta}$ with respect to $\vartheta$, and $\tilde{\sigma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)$ estimates the conditional variance

$$
\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)=E\left(\left(X_{i}-r_{\vartheta}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)\right)^{2} \mid \mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right) .
$$

Aside: The optimal weights are never parametric functions; we always need nonparametric estimators. Wef 1996 AS.

The autoregressive model $E\left(X_{i} \mid \mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)=r_{\vartheta}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)$ can be described through its transition distribution

$$
A(\mathrm{x}, d y)=T\left(\mathrm{x}, d y-r_{\vartheta}(\mathrm{x})\right)
$$

with $\int T(\mathrm{x}, d y) y=0$ for $\mathrm{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)$.
It can also be written as a nonlinear autoregressive model

$$
X_{i}=r_{\vartheta}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

with $\varepsilon_{i}$ a martingale increment: depends on the past through $\mathbf{X}_{i-1}$ only and has conditional distribution $T(\mathrm{x}, d y)$ with $\int T(\mathrm{x}, d y) y=0$.

We now assume that we know something about the form of $T$. Then it is useful to describe the model through its transition distribution. Optimal estimators not as weighted least squares but as one-step (Newton-Raphson) estimators.
(Possible other approaches: constrained M-estimator, Rao/Wu 2009; empirical likelihood, Owen 2001.)

Our model has transition distribution $A(\mathbf{x}, d y)=T\left(\mathrm{x}, d y-r_{\vartheta}(\mathrm{x})\right)$ with $\int T(\mathrm{x}, d y) y=0$ and additional constraint
(1) $T$ is partially independent of the past, i.e., $T(\mathbf{x}, d y)=T_{0}(B \mathbf{x}, d y)$ for a known function $B: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{q}$ with $0 \leq q \leq p$.
(2) $T$ is invariant under transformation group $B_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$, $j=1, \ldots, m$. ( $T$ has density $t$ with $t(\mathbf{z})=t\left(B_{j} \mathbf{z}\right)$ for $\mathbf{z}=(\mathbf{x}, y)$.)

Optimal estimators for $\vartheta_{j}$ (and then jointly) are now constructed differently: First determine Cramér-Rao bound and influence function in the least favorable one-dimensional submodel; then construct one-step estimator with this influence function.

Perturb parameter as $\vartheta_{n u}=\vartheta+n^{-1 / 2} u$, and transition density as $t_{n v}(\mathrm{x}, y)=t(\mathrm{x}, y)\left(1+n^{-1 / 2} v(\mathrm{x}, y)\right)$. The log-likelihood ratio of the observations $X_{d-1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is locally asymptotically normal, i.e. approximated by

$$
n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{u v}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}, \varepsilon_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{2} E\left[s_{u v}^{2}(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon)\right],
$$

where

$$
s_{u v}(\mathrm{x}, y)=u^{\top} \dot{r}(\mathrm{x}) \ell(\mathrm{x}, y)+v(\mathrm{x}, y)
$$

with $\ell=-t^{\prime} / t$ and $t^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}, y)=\partial_{y} t(\mathrm{x}, y)$, and $\dot{r}=\partial_{\vartheta} r_{\vartheta}$.
The influence function for $\vartheta_{j}$ in the least favorable submodel is the gradient of $\vartheta_{j}$, determined as $s_{u^{*} v^{*}}$ such that

$$
n^{1 / 2}\left(\vartheta_{n u, j}-\vartheta_{j}\right)=u=E\left[s_{u^{*} v^{*}}(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon) s_{u v}(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon)\right], \quad \text { all } u, v .
$$

The variance bound is $\operatorname{Var} s_{u^{*} v^{*}}(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon)$. Constraint on $t$ also constrains the possible perturbations $v$, which leads to different $u^{*}$ and $v^{*}$.

An efficient estimator $\widehat{\vartheta}$ of $\vartheta$ is asymptotically linear with influence function equal to the gradient $s_{u^{*} v^{*}}$,

$$
\widehat{\vartheta}=\vartheta+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{u^{*} v^{*}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}, \varepsilon_{i}\right)+o_{P_{n}}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

A one-step (Newton-Raphson) improvement $\hat{\vartheta}$ of an initial estimator $\tilde{\vartheta}$ is of the form

$$
\widehat{\vartheta}=\widetilde{\vartheta}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{s}_{u^{*} v^{*}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}\right)+o_{P_{n}}\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}=X_{i}-r_{\tilde{\vartheta}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i-1}\right)$.
(1) Our model has transition density $a(\mathbf{x}, y)=t\left(\mathbf{x}, y-r_{\vartheta}(\mathbf{x})\right)$ with $\int y t(\mathbf{x}, d y) d y=0$ that is partially independent of the past: $t(\mathbf{x}, y)=t_{0}(B \mathbf{x}, y)$ for a (known) function $B: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{q}$ with $0 \leq q \leq p$.

The efficient influence function for $\vartheta$ is $\wedge^{-1} \tau(\mathrm{x}, y)$ with score vector

$$
\tau(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon)=(\dot{r}(\mathbf{X})-\varrho(B \mathbf{X})) \ell_{0}(B \mathbf{X}, \varepsilon)+\varrho(B \mathbf{X}) \sigma_{0}^{-2}(B \mathbf{X}) \varepsilon
$$

and information matrix $\wedge=E\left[\tau(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon) \tau^{\top}(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon)\right]$.
Here $\dot{r}=\partial_{\vartheta} r_{\vartheta}, \ell_{0}=-t_{0}^{\prime} / t_{0}$ with $t_{0}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x}, y)=\partial_{y} t_{0}(\mathbf{x}, y)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varrho(\mathbf{b}) & =E(\dot{r}(\mathbf{X}) \mid B \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{b})=\frac{\int_{B \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}} \dot{r}_{\vartheta}(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}}{\int_{B \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}} g(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x}} \\
\sigma_{0}^{2}(\mathbf{b}) & =E\left(\varepsilon^{2} \mid B \mathbf{X}=b\right)=\frac{\int y^{2} h_{0}(\mathbf{b}, y) d y}{\int h_{0}(\mathbf{b}, y) d y}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $g$ and $h_{0}$ densities of $\mathbf{X}$ and $(B \mathbf{X}, \varepsilon)$. To estimate $\vartheta$ efficiently, we therefore need estimators for the efficient score function, i.e. ( $p+1$ )-dimensional density estimators and (generalized) NadarayaWatson estimators. No gain if $t_{0}(\mathbf{b}, \cdot)$ are normal densities.
(2) Our model has transition density $a(\mathrm{x}, y)=t\left(\mathrm{x}, y-r_{\vartheta}(\mathrm{x})\right)$ with $\int y t(\mathbf{x}, d y) d y=0$ that is invariant under a group of transformations:
$t(\mathrm{z})=t\left(B_{j} \mathbf{z}\right)$ for $\mathrm{z}=(\mathrm{x}, y)$ and transformations $B_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$, $j=1, \ldots, m$.

The efficient influence function for $\vartheta$ is $\Lambda^{-1} \tau(\mathrm{x}, y)$ with score vector $\tau=\lambda-\lambda_{0}+\mu_{0}$ and information matrix $\Lambda=E\left[\tau(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon) \tau^{\top}(\mathbf{X}, \varepsilon)\right]$, with symmetrizations

$$
\lambda_{0}(\mathbf{z})=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda\left(B_{j} \mathbf{z}\right), \quad \mu_{0}(\mathbf{z})=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu\left(B_{j} \mathbf{z}\right)
$$

of

$$
\lambda(\mathrm{x}, y)=\dot{r}(\mathrm{x}) \ell(\mathrm{x}, y), \quad \mu(\mathrm{x}, y)=\dot{r}(\mathrm{x}) \sigma^{-2}(\mathrm{x}) y
$$

where $\dot{r}=\partial_{\vartheta} r_{\vartheta}$ and $\ell=-t^{\prime} / t$ with $t^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}, y)=\partial_{y} t(\mathrm{x}, y)$, and $\sigma^{2}(\mathrm{x})=$ $E\left(\varepsilon^{2} \mid \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}\right)$. To estimate $\vartheta$ efficiently, we need estimators for these expressions. No gain if $t(\mathbf{b}, \cdot)$ are normal densities.

