

Efficient estimators in nonlinear and heteroscedastic
autoregressive models with constraints

Wolfgang Wefelmeyer
University of Cologne

jointly with

Ursula U. Müller (Texas A&M University)
and Anton Schick (Binghamton University)

A *nonlinear and heteroscedastic autoregressive model* (of order 1, for simplicity) is a first-order Markov chain with parametric models for the conditional mean and variance,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_i|X_{i-1}) &= r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1}), \\ E((X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1}))^2|X_{i-1}) &= s_{\vartheta}^2(X_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The model is also called *quasi-likelihood model*. We want to estimate ϑ efficiently. (For simplicity, ϑ is one-dimensional.) The *least squares estimator* minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1}))^2,$$

i.e. it solves the *martingale estimating equation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \dot{r}_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})(X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})) = 0.$$

(The dot means derivative w.r.t. ϑ .)

The least squares estimator is improved by weighing the terms in the estimating equation with inverse conditional variances,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_{\vartheta}^{-2}(X_{i-1}) \dot{r}_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})(X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})) = 0.$$

This *quasi-likelihood estimator* is still inefficient; it ignores the information in the model for the conditional variance. Better estimators are obtained from estimating equations of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n v(X_{i-1})(X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})) + w(X_{i-1}) \left((X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1}))^2 - s_{\vartheta}^2(X_{i-1}) \right) = 0.$$

The best weights (not given explicitly here) minimize the asymptotic variance; they involve third and fourth conditional moments

$$E((X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1}))^k | X_{i-1}), \quad k = 3, 4,$$

which must be estimated nonparametrically (by Nadaraya–Watson). The resulting estimator for ϑ is efficient, W. 1996, Müller/W. 2002. The improvement over the quasi-likelihood estimator can be large.

In this talk we are interested in models with *additional* information on the transition density. Then the above approach breaks down. We also use a different *description* of the model. Let $t(x, y)$ denote a standardized *conditional innovation density* (mean 0, variance 1). Introduce *conditional location and scale parameters*,

$$q(x, y) = \frac{1}{s_{\vartheta}(x)} t\left(\frac{y - r_{\vartheta}(x)}{s_{\vartheta}(x)}\right).$$

This describes the quasi-likelihood model.

We can now put constraints on t :

1. $t(x, y) = f(y)$: heteroscedastic and nonlinear regression with independent innovations.
2. no constraint.
3. $t(x, y) = t(x, 2x - y)$: symmetric innovations.
4. $t(x, y) = t(Ax, y)$ for a known A : partial invariance.

(Models 1. and 2. are known but treated differently here.)

For simplicity, in the following we treat only the *homoscedastic model*: We have a Markov chain with transition density

$$q(x, y) = t(x, y - r_{\vartheta}(x))$$

where t has conditional mean zero, $\int yt(x, y) dy = 0$.

Equivalently, we have a Markov chain with conditional mean

$$E(X_i|X_{i-1}) = r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1}).$$

With no further information on t , an efficient estimator of ϑ is the weighted least squares estimator

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\sigma}^{-2}(X_{i-1}) \dot{r}_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})(X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})) = 0,$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}^2(x)$ is a Nadaraya–Watson estimator for $\sigma^2(x)$.

We characterize efficient estimators using the Hájek–Le Cam approach via *local asymptotic normality*. Perturb the parameters ϑ and t as $\vartheta + n^{-1/2}u$ and $t(x, y)(1 + n^{-1/2}v(x, y))$ with $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and v in a space V that depends on what we know about t . Write $\varepsilon_i = X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})$. We get for the log-likelihood

$$\log \frac{dP_{n\vartheta uv}}{dP_n} = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n s_{uv}(X_{i-1}, \varepsilon_i) - \frac{1}{2} E s_{uv}^2(X, \varepsilon) + o_{P_n}(1)$$

with $s_{uv}(X, \varepsilon) = u\dot{r}(X)\ell(X, \varepsilon) + v(X, \varepsilon)$ and $\ell = -t'/t$.

An efficient estimator $\hat{\vartheta}$ for ϑ is characterized by

$$n^{1/2}(\hat{\vartheta} - \vartheta) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_{i-1}, \varepsilon_i) + o_{P_n}(1)$$

with $g = s_{u_*v_*}(X, \varepsilon)$ determined by

$$n^{1/2}((\vartheta + n^{-1/2}u) - \vartheta) = u = E s_{u_*v_*}(X, \varepsilon) s_{uv}(X, \varepsilon), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}, v \in V.$$

I.e. we express the perturbation of ϑ in terms of the inner product induced by the LAN variance.

1. $t(x, y) = f(y)$: **heteroscedastic and nonlinear regression with independent innovations.**

We obtain the efficient influence function

$$g(X, \varepsilon) = \Lambda^{-1} \left((\dot{r}(X) - \mu) \ell(\varepsilon) + \sigma^{-2} \mu \varepsilon \right)$$

with $\ell = -f'/f$, $\mu = E\dot{r}(X)$, $\sigma^2 = E\varepsilon^2$ and $\Lambda = J(R - \mu^2) + \sigma^{-2}\mu^2$ with $J = E\ell^2(\varepsilon)$ and $R = E\dot{r}^2(X)$. Different route in Koul and Schick 1997. An efficient estimator $\hat{\vartheta}$ of ϑ can be obtained as one-step improvement of an initial estimator $\tilde{\vartheta}$ (e.g. least squares),

$$\hat{\vartheta} = \tilde{\vartheta} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}(X_{i-1}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_i)$$

with $\tilde{g}(X, \varepsilon) = \tilde{\Lambda}^{-1} \left((\dot{r}_{\tilde{\vartheta}}(X) - \tilde{\mu}) \tilde{\ell}(\varepsilon) + \tilde{\sigma}^{-2} \tilde{\mu} \varepsilon \right)$, residual estimators $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i = X_i - r_{\tilde{\vartheta}}(X_{i-1})$, empirical estimators $\tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{r}_{\tilde{\vartheta}}(X_{i-1})$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\varepsilon}_i^2$, and $\tilde{\ell} = -\tilde{f}'/\tilde{f}$ for a kernel estimator \tilde{f} , and with $\tilde{\Lambda} = \tilde{J}(\tilde{R} - \tilde{\mu}^2) + \tilde{\sigma}^{-2} \tilde{\mu}^2$ and $\tilde{J} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\ell}^2(\tilde{\varepsilon}_i)$, $\tilde{R} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{r}_{\tilde{\vartheta}}^2(X_{i-1})$.

2. no constraint on $t(x, y)$.

We obtain the efficient influence function

$$g(X, \varepsilon) = M^{-1} \dot{r}(X) \sigma^{-2}(X) \varepsilon$$

with $\sigma^2(x) = \int y^2 t(x, y) dy$ and $M = E \sigma^{-2}(X) \dot{r}^2(X)$. We have already obtained an efficient estimator as an appropriately weighted least squares estimator $\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\sigma}^{-2}(X_{i-1}) \dot{r}_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1}) (X_i - r_{\vartheta}(X_{i-1})) = 0$. Here we obtain another efficient estimator as one-step improvement of an initial estimator $\tilde{\vartheta}$ (e.g. least squares),

$$\hat{\vartheta} = \tilde{\vartheta} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}(X_{i-1}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_i)$$

with

$$\tilde{g}(X, \varepsilon) = \tilde{M}^{-1} \dot{r}_{\tilde{\vartheta}}(X) \tilde{\sigma}^{-2}(X) \varepsilon, \quad \tilde{M} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\sigma}^{-2}(X_{i-1}) \dot{r}_{\tilde{\vartheta}}^2(X_{i-1})$$

and $\tilde{\sigma}^2(x)$ the Nadaraya–Watson estimator for $\sigma^2(x)$.

3. $t(x, y) = t(x, 2x - y)$: **symmetric innovations.**

We obtain the efficient influence function

$$g(X, \varepsilon) = T^{-1} \dot{r}(X) \ell(X, \varepsilon)$$

with $T = E \dot{r}^2(X) \ell^2(X, \varepsilon)$.

We obtain an efficient estimator $\hat{\vartheta}$ of ϑ as one-step improvement of an initial estimator $\tilde{\vartheta}$ (e.g. least squares),

$$\hat{\vartheta} = \tilde{\vartheta} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}(X_{i-1}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_i)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(X, \varepsilon) &= \tilde{T}^{-1} \dot{r}_{\tilde{\vartheta}}(X) \tilde{\ell}(X, \varepsilon), \\ \tilde{T} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{r}_{\tilde{\vartheta}}^2(X_{i-1}) \tilde{\ell}^2(X_{i-1}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_i) \end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{\ell} = -\tilde{t}' / \tilde{t}$ with \tilde{t} a Nadaraya–Watson estimator for t .