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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a simply connected Chevalley group, and $P=M N$ a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G$. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the Lie algebra of $N$. A choice of Chevalley basis defines a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure on $\mathfrak{n}$, The structure of $M$ orbits over $\mathbb{Z}$ on irreducible subquotients of $\mathfrak{n}$ could be highly non-trivial, and very interesting as Bhargava [B] shows. In the first part of this paper we deal with this question in the case when $G$ is simply laced and $N$ is abelian. In a sense, this is the most banal case. Our results can be described as follows. Let $M_{s s}$ be the "semi-simple" part of $M$. It is more natural to work with $M_{s s}$. Starting with the highest root $\beta$ one can, in a canonical fashion, define a maximal sequence of orthogonal roots $\beta, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r-1}$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $e_{\beta}, \ldots, e_{\beta_{r-1}}$ be the corresponding Chevalley basis elements in $\mathfrak{n}$. Then every $M^{s s}(\mathbb{Z})$-orbit in $\mathfrak{n}$ contains an element

$$
d e_{\beta}+d_{1} e_{\beta_{1}}+\ldots+d_{r-1} e_{\beta_{r-1}}
$$

such that $d_{1}\left|d_{2}\right| \ldots \mid d_{r-1}$. Moreover, all $d_{k}$ can be picked to be non-negative except perhaps $d_{r-1}$. This result is a generalization of a result of Richardson Röhrle and Steinberg [RRS], who considered the same question for groups over a field $k$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{n}=\Omega_{0} \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{r}
$$

where $\Omega_{0}=\{0\}$ and $\Omega_{j}$ is the $M_{s s}(k)$-orbit of $e_{\beta}+e_{\beta_{1}}+\ldots+e_{\beta_{j-1}}$ except, perhaps, $\Omega_{r}$ which could be a union of orbits parameterized by classes of squares in $k^{\times}$. Also, the case when $\mathfrak{n}$ is a 27 -dimensional representation of $E_{6}(\mathbb{Z})$ was recently obtained by Krutelevich $[\mathrm{K}]$ in his Yale Ph. D. thesis.

Our next result is an application to minimal representations of $p$-adic groups. Let $G$ be a simple split group of adjoint type and $G$. Let $P=M N$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup with abelian nil radical. Let $\Omega_{1}$ be the the set of rank $=1$ elements in the opposite nil-radical $\bar{N}$. The minimal representation of $G$ can be realized as a space of functions $f$ on $\Omega_{1}$ (see [MS]) such that the action of $P$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\pi(n) f)(y)=f(y) \psi(-\langle n, y\rangle) \text { and } \\
(\pi(m) f)(y)=\chi^{s_{0}}(m) \Delta^{-1 / 2}(m) f\left(m^{-1} y m\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\psi$ is an additive character of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ of conductor $0,\langle n, y\rangle$ the natural pairing between $N$ and $\bar{N}$, and $\chi^{s_{0}}(m)$ an unramified character of $M$, described in Section 3. The main disadvantage of this model is that we do not have any explicit formula for the action of the maximal compact subgroup $K=G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. In particular, it is not clear a priori how to
determine the spherical vector of the minimal representation. We accomplish this as follows. First of all, under the action of $M\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ the orbit $\Omega_{1}$ decomposes as a union of orbits each containing $p^{m} e_{-\beta}$ for some integer $m$. Thus a spherical vector $f$, since it is fixed by $M\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, is determined by its value on $p^{m} e_{-\beta}$ for all integers $m$. Furthermore, since $f$ is fixed by $N\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ as well, it must vanish on these elements if $m<0$. To determine $f$ exactly we shall use the fact that it is an eigenvector for the Hecke algebra. More precisely, we have $T_{i} * f=c_{i} \cdot f$ where $T_{i}$ is a Hecke operator corresponding to a miniscule coweight $\omega_{i}$. Such a coweight exists since we assume that $G$ has a maximal parabolic subgroup with abelian nilpotent radical. The support of the Hecke operator is $K \omega_{i} K$. The Cartan decomposition implies that $K \omega_{i} K$ can be written as a union $K \omega_{i} K=\cup_{j} p_{j} K$ for some $p_{j}$ in $P$. Then

$$
T_{i} * f=\sum_{j} \pi\left(p_{j}\right) f
$$

Thus the action of $T_{i}$ can be explicitly calculated since we know how $P$ acts! This gives us a recursive relation

$$
c_{i} \cdot f\left(p^{n} e_{-\beta}\right)=a_{1} f\left(p^{n+1} e_{-\beta}\right)+a_{0} f\left(p^{n} e_{-\beta}\right)+a_{-1} f\left(p^{n-1} e_{-\beta}\right)
$$

from which it is not too difficult to determine $f$ completely. In fact, the answer is a geometric series

$$
f\left(p^{n} e_{-\beta}\right)=1+p^{d}+\ldots+p^{n d}
$$

where $d$ depends on the pair $(G, M)$. In particular, this formula is a generalization of the wellknown formula for $G L_{2}$. Indeed, if $f$ is a spherical vector of the representation (parabolically) induced from two unramified characters $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$, then

$$
f\left(p^{n} e_{-\beta}\right)=\chi_{1}(p)^{n}+\chi_{1}(p)^{n-1} \chi_{2}(p)+\ldots+\chi_{1}(p) \chi_{2}^{n-1}(p)+\chi_{2}(p)^{n}
$$

The question of spherical vector was addressed in several papers. For $p$-adic groups, but working with a different model of the minimal representation, a formula for the spherical vector was found by Kazhdan and Polishchuk in [KP]. For real groups, in a situation similar to ours, the spherical vector was determined in a beautiful paper of Dvorsky and Sahi [DS]. Their result is a bit more restricted, for they assume that $\bar{N}$ is conjugated to $N$, which is not always the case.
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## 2. Maximal Parabolic subalgebras

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple split Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ a maximal split Cartan subalgebra. Let $\Phi$ be the corresponding root system. We assume that $\Phi$ is a simply laced root system, meaning that all roots are of equal length. In particular, the type of $\Phi$ is $A, D$ or $E$. Fix $\Delta=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l}\right\}$, a set of simple roots. Every root can be written as a sum $\alpha=\sum_{i=0}^{l} m_{i}(\alpha) \alpha_{i}$ for some integers $m_{i}(\alpha)$. To every simple root $\alpha_{i}$ we can attach a subalegebra $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{t} \oplus\left(\oplus_{m_{i}(\alpha)=0} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right) \\
\mathfrak{n}=\oplus_{m_{i}}(\alpha)>0 \\
\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $\mathfrak{m}^{s s}=[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ is a semi-simple Lie algebra which corresponds to the Dynkin diagram of $\Delta \backslash\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$. Let $\beta$ be the highest root, and $b=n_{i}(\beta)$. For every $j$ between 1 and $b$, define

$$
\mathfrak{n}_{j}=\oplus_{m_{i}(\alpha)=j} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} .
$$

Then $\left[\mathfrak{n}_{j}, \mathfrak{n}_{k}\right] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_{j+k}$. In particular, if $b=1$ then $\mathfrak{n}$ is commutative. Here is the list of all possible pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ with $\mathfrak{n}$ commutative. (The simple root defining $\mathfrak{m}$ will be henceforth denoted by $\tau$.)

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | $A_{n-1}$ | $D_{n}$ | $D_{n+1}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{m}^{s s}$ | $A_{k-1} \times A_{n-k-1}$ | $A_{n-1}$ | $D_{n}$ | $D_{5}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| $\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{n})$ | $k(n-k)$ | $n(n-1) / 2$ | $2 n$ | 16 | 27 |

Explanation: in the first case, $\mathfrak{n}$ is equal to the set of $k \times(n-k)$ matrices. In the second case it is equal to the set of all skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrices, and in the third case $\mathfrak{n}$ is the standard representation of $\mathfrak{s o}(2 n)$. In the fourth case $\mathfrak{n}$ is a 16 dimensional spin representation and, in the fifth and last case, it a 27 dimensional representation of $E_{6}$.

We would like to determine $M^{s s}(\mathbb{Z})$-orbits on $\mathfrak{n}$. Consider the case when $\mathfrak{n}$ is the set of $n \times m$ matrices. As is well known, using row-column operations, every matrix $A$ can be transformed (reduced) into a matrix with integers $d_{1}\left|d_{2}\right| \ldots$ on the diagonal. The column operations correspond to multiplying $A$ by certain elementary matrices. For example, if $m=2$, then multiplying $A$ from the right by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

corresponds, respectively, to
(i) Adding the first column of $A$ to the second.
(ii) Permuting the two columns of $A$.
(iii) Changing signs in the first column of $A$.

Similarly, row operations correspond to multiplying $A$ by the elementary matrices from the left. An inconvenience here is the the last two matrices are not in $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ since they have determinant -1 . In order to remedy this, we shall replace them by the following matrices of determinant 1 :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Multiplying $A$ by these three matrices corresponds to so-called strict column operations:
(i) Adding the first column of $A$ to the second.
(ii) Permuting two columns of $A$, and changing the signs in one.
(iii) Changing the signs in both columns of $A$.

Since elementary matrices (of determinant one) generate $S L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, the strict row column reduction can be formulated as the following:
Every $S L_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) \times S L_{m}(\mathbb{Z})$-orbit in the set of $n \times m$ matrices contains a diagonal matrix $d_{1}\left|d_{2}\right| \ldots$ where all entries, save perhaps one, are non-negative.

The proof of this result is inductive in nature. The first number $d_{1}$ is the GCD of all matrix entries. Using row-column operations we can arrange to have $d_{1}$ on the left upper
corner, with 0 in all other positions in the first row and column. In this way we reduce to $(n-1) \times(m-1)$.

We claim that this inductive procedure can be done in general. To explain, we need another parabolic subgroup $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, so-called Heisenberg parabolic subgroup. Here $\mathfrak{l}^{s s}=[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$ corresponds to the subset of $\Delta$ given by $\left\{\alpha_{i} \mid\left\langle\beta, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle=0\right\}$. The possible cases are

$$
\begin{array}{c||c|c|c|c}
\mathfrak{g} & A_{n+1} & D_{n+1} & E_{6} & E_{7} \\
\hline \mathfrak{l}^{s s} & A_{n-1} & A_{1} \times D_{n-1} & A_{5} & D_{6}
\end{array}
$$

2.1. Fourier-Jacobi towers. (As described in the work of Weissman [W].) Fix a pair $(G, M)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ be the unique summand of $\mathfrak{l}^{s s}$ which is not contained in $\mathfrak{m}$. Put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathfrak{m}_{1}=\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{1} \\
\mathfrak{n}_{1}=\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, starting from a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ we have constructed another pair $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{1}\right)$. note, as a simple observation, that this process can be continued as long as the pair is not equal to $\left(A_{n}, A_{n-1}\right)$, which we will call a terminal pair. The length of the tower

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m}) \\
\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{1}\right) \\
\vdots
\end{gathered}
$$

finishing with a terminal pair, is the rank of $\mathfrak{n}$. In particular, the rank of $\mathfrak{n}_{1}$ is one less then the rank of $\mathfrak{n}$.

Some examples (of rank 3):

| $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ | $\left(E_{7}, E_{6}\right)$ | $\left(D_{6}, A_{5}\right)$ | $\left(A_{5}, A_{2} \times A_{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{1}\right)$ | $\left(D_{6}, D_{5}\right)$ | $\left(D_{4}, A_{3}\right)$ | $\left(A_{3}, A_{1} \times A_{1}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{2}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}\right)$ | $\left(A_{1},-\right)$ | $\left(A_{1},-\right)$ | $\left(A_{1},-\right)$ |

In the last tower, the corresponding sequence $\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{2}$ can be identified with $3 \times 3,2 \times 2$ and $1 \times 1$ matrices, respectively.

Theorem 2.1. Fix a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ such that the rank of $\mathfrak{n}$ is $r$. Let $\beta, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r-1}$ be the highest roots for $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{g}_{r-1}$, respectively. Then every $M^{s s}(\mathbb{Z})$-orbit in $\mathfrak{n}$ contains an element

$$
d e_{\beta}+d_{1} e_{\beta_{1}}+\ldots+d_{r-1} e_{\beta_{r-1}}
$$

such that $d_{1}\left|d_{2}\right| \ldots \mid d_{r-1}$. Moreover, all $d_{k}$ can be picked to be non-negative except perhaps $d_{r-1}$ which can happen only if the terminal pair is $\left(A_{1},-\right)$.

Proof. The proof is the induction on $r$. If $r=1$, then the pair is terminal and we have two cases. If the pair is $\left(A_{1},-\right)$ then $M^{s s}$ is trivial and orbits are parameterized by integers. If the pair is $\left(A_{n}, A_{n-1}\right)$ then $M^{s s}=S L_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\mathfrak{n}=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Here orbits are parameterized by non-negative integers.

Let $\Phi_{M}$ be the roots of $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\Sigma \subseteq \Phi$ be the set of all roots in $\mathfrak{n}$. Then any element of $\mathfrak{n}$ can be written as

$$
n=\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} t_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}
$$

for some integers $t_{\alpha}$. If $\gamma$ is in $\Phi_{M}$ then the adjoint action of the one-parameter group $e_{\gamma}(u)$ on $e_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
e_{\gamma}(t)\left(e_{\alpha}\right)=e_{\alpha}+t\left[e_{\gamma}, e_{\alpha}\right] .
$$

Indeed, $\left[e_{\gamma}\left[e_{\gamma}, e_{\alpha}\right]\right]=0$ since $\gamma \neq-\alpha$, so the exponential series defining the action of $e_{\gamma}(u)$ reduces down to the first two terms.

Now assume that $r>1$. Let $n$ be in $\mathfrak{n}$. If $n=0$, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let $\Sigma_{1} \subseteq \Sigma$ the set of all roots in $\mathfrak{n}_{1}$. Then

$$
\Sigma=\{\beta\} \cup \Sigma_{\beta} \cup \Sigma_{1}
$$

where $\Sigma_{\beta}$ is the set of all roots $\alpha$ in $\Sigma$ such that $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=1$. In order to use induction, we have to show that $n$ contains in its $M_{s s}(\mathbb{Z})$-orbit an element such that
(i) $t_{\beta}>0$ and $t_{\alpha}=0$ for all $\alpha$ in $\Sigma_{\beta}$.
(ii) $t_{\beta}$ divides $t_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha$ in $\Sigma_{1}$.

We deal first with (i). Recall that the Weyl group $W_{M}$ of $M$ acts transitively on the set of roots in $\Sigma$. After conjugating $n$ by an element in $W_{M}$, if necessary, we can assume that

$$
0<\left|t_{\beta}\right| \leq\left|t_{\alpha}\right|
$$

for all $\alpha$ in $\Sigma$ such that $t_{\alpha} \neq 0$. If $t_{\alpha} \neq 0$ for a root $\alpha$ in $\Sigma_{\beta}$, then we can write $t_{\alpha}=q t_{\beta}+r$ where $|r|<\left|t_{\beta}\right|$. Notice that $\gamma=\alpha-\beta$ is a root. Furthermore, since $n_{\tau}(\alpha-\beta)=0$ it is a root in $\Phi_{M}$. (Recall that $\tau$ is the simple root defining $\mathfrak{m}$.) It follows that

$$
e_{\gamma}(q)\left(t_{\beta} e_{\beta}+\ldots+t_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}+\ldots\right)=t_{\beta}+\ldots+r e_{\alpha}+\ldots
$$

(This formula is correct if $\left[e_{\gamma}, e_{\beta}\right]=-e_{\alpha}$. If $\left[e_{\gamma}, e_{\beta}\right]=e_{\alpha}$ then $q$ has to be replaced by $-q$.) In any case, if $t_{\alpha} \neq 0$ for some $\alpha$ in $\Sigma_{\beta}$ then we can decrease the smallest non-zero coordinate of $n$. Proceeding in this fashion we can accomplish (i) in finitely many steps.

Next, we deal with (ii). Let $\alpha$ be in $\Sigma_{1}$ such that $t_{\beta}$ does not divide $t_{\alpha}$. After conjugating by an element of $W_{M_{1}}$, if necessary, we can assume that $\alpha=\beta_{1}$. Let $\delta$ be a simple root such that $\langle\beta, \delta\rangle=1$. Then $\alpha=\beta_{1}+\delta$ is a root in $\Sigma_{\beta}$ and

$$
e_{\delta}(1)\left(t_{\beta} e_{\beta}+t_{\beta_{1}} e_{\beta_{1}}+\ldots\right)=t_{\beta}+\ldots \pm t_{\beta_{1}} e_{\alpha}+\ldots
$$

Thus we are back in the situation of the proof of (i) and, in the same fashion, we can decrease the smallest coordinate of $n$. This process has to stop in finitely many steps. This proves part (ii) and, therefore, the theorem.

Corollary 2.2. [RRS] Let $k$ be any field. If $\left(A_{1},-\right)$ is not the terminal pair, then $\mathfrak{n}=$ $\Omega_{0} \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{r}$ where $\Omega_{i}$ is the $M^{s s}(k)$-orbit of $e_{\beta}+\ldots+e_{\beta_{i-1}}$. If $\left(A_{1},-\right)$ is the terminal pair then $\Omega_{r}$ is a union of $M(k)$-orbits parameterized by classes of squares in $k^{\times}$. In any case, elements in $\Omega_{i}$ are said to have rank i.

## 3. Degenerate principal series

In this section we shall assume that $G=G_{a d}$ is of adjoint type. We give an explicit model of the minimal representation of $G$. The discussion here is based on [S] and [W]. basic properties of the Since $G$ is assumed to be of adjoint type, it acts faithfully on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and the torus $T$ of $G$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda_{c} \otimes k^{\times}$where $\Lambda_{c}$ is the lattice of integral coweights.

It is the lattice dual to the root lattice with respect to the usual form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Let $\lambda(t)$ denote the element $\lambda \otimes t$ in $T$. It acts on $e_{\alpha}$ by the formula

$$
\lambda(t) e_{\alpha} \lambda(t)^{-1}=t^{\langle\lambda, \alpha\rangle} e_{\alpha}
$$

Let $\tau$ be the simple root defining $P$, and $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$ the half-sum of all roots in $N$ and $\bar{N}$, respectively. Let $\Delta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be the modular character with respect to $\bar{N}$, which means that

$$
\int_{\bar{N}} f\left(m x m^{-1}\right) d x=\Delta(m) \int_{\bar{N}} f(x) d x
$$

Let $\rho_{N}$ and $\rho_{\bar{N}}$ be the half-sum of all roots in $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$, respectively. Then the composition of $\Delta$ with the embedding of $T$ into $M$ is given by

$$
\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda(p))=|p|^{\left\langle\lambda, \rho_{\bar{N}}\right\rangle}
$$

Furthermore, let $\chi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a character such that $\chi^{2\left\langle\tau, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}=\Delta$. Define the principal series $I(s)=\operatorname{Ind} d_{\bar{P}}^{G}\left(\chi^{s}\right)$, the space of all locally constant functions on $G$ such that

$$
f(\bar{n} m g)=\chi(m)^{s} \Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) f(g)
$$

There is a non-degenerate $G$-invariant hermitian pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{s}: I(-s) \times I(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
\left(f_{-s}, f_{s}\right)_{s}=\int_{\bar{P} \backslash G} f_{-s}(x) \bar{f}_{s}(x) d x=\int_{N} f_{-s}(x) \bar{f}_{s}(x) d x
$$

Here the last equality follows since $\bar{P} N$ is an open subset of $G$. Inside $I(s)$ there is a $P$ submodule of all functions in $I(s)$ supported in the open subset $\bar{P} N$. This can be identified with $S(N)$, the space of locally constant, compactly supported functions on $N$. The action of the maximal parabolic $P=M N$ on $S(N)$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\pi(n) f(x)=f(x+n) \\
\pi(m) f(x)=\chi(m)^{s} \Delta(m)^{1 / 2} f\left(m^{-1} x m\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next, we shall analyze the structure of $S(N)$, as a $P$-module, using the Fourier transform. To that end, notice that we have a natural pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ between $N$ and $\bar{N}$ induced by the Killing form. Thus $\bar{N}$ can be identified with the dual of $N$. The Fourier transform is an isomorphism of (vector spaces) $S(N)$ and $S(\bar{N})$ defined by

$$
\hat{f}(y)=\int_{N} f(x) \psi(\langle x, y\rangle) d x
$$

Using the Fourier transform we shall transfer the action of $P$ from $S(N)$ to $S(\bar{N})$. Let $f \in S(\bar{N})$, and $m \in M$. Then the Fourier transform of $\pi(m) f$ is

$$
(\widehat{\pi(m) f})(y)=\chi(m)^{s} \Delta(m)^{1 / 2} \int_{N} f\left(m^{-1} x m\right) \psi(\langle x, y\rangle) d x
$$

We introduce a new variable $z$ by $z=m^{-1} x m$. Then $d x=\Delta(m)^{-1} d z$, and the formula simplifies to

$$
(\widehat{\pi(m) f})(y)=\chi(m)^{s} \Delta(m)^{-1 / 2} \hat{f}\left(m^{-1} y m\right)
$$

This gives a formula for the action of $M$ on $S(\bar{N})$. Similarly - but much easier - we can derive the action of $N$ on $S(\bar{N})$. The two formulas are summarized below:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\pi(n) f)(y)=f(y) \psi(-\langle n, y\rangle) \text { and } \\
(\pi(m) f)(y)=\chi^{s}(m) \Delta^{-1 / 2}(m) f\left(m^{-1} y m\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m \in M, n \in N$ and $f \in S(\bar{N})$.
Let $\Omega_{i}$ be the set of elements of rank $i$ in $\bar{N}$. Let $S_{i}$ be the subset of $S(N)$ of all functions $f$ such that the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ vanishes on $\cup_{j<i} \Omega_{j}$. Then $S_{i}$ is a $P$-submodule, and the quotient $S_{i} / S_{i+1}$ is isomorphic to $S\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ - the space of locally constant and compactly supported functions on $\Omega_{i}$ - with the action given by the previous formulas. Every subquotient is irreducible by Mackey's lemma.

Let's look now at the special case $s=s_{0}$ when the minimal $V_{\min }$ representation is the unique submodule of $I\left(-s_{0}\right)$. Notice that the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{s_{0}}$ restricted to $V_{\min } \times S(N)$ is left non-degenerate. Indeed, any $f \neq 0$ in $V_{\min }$ will give you a non-trivial function when restricted to $N$ (since $N$ is dense in $\bar{P} \backslash G$ ) and, therefore, a non-trivial distribution of $S(N)$. In fact, we have a bit more. The pairing is left non-degenerate even when restricted to $V_{\min } \times S_{1}$. To see this recall that $V_{\min }$ is unitarizable. In particular, by a theorem of Howe and Moore, if an element $v$ in $V_{\min }$ is fixed by $N$ then $v=0$. Since any vector in $V_{\min }$ perpendicular to $S_{1}$ is $N$-fixed it must be zero. This shows that the pairing, restricted to $V_{\min } \times S_{1}$, is left non-degenerate. Since the $N$-rank of $V_{\min }$ is one the pairing is trivial on $S_{2} \subseteq S_{1}$. (This is basically a definition of the $N$-rank). Thus the pairing descends to a non-degenerate pairing in both variables of $V_{\min }$ and $S_{1} / S_{2}=S\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$, where the action of $P$ on $S\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\pi(n) f)(y)=f(y) \psi(-\langle n, y\rangle) \text { and } \\
(\pi(m) f)(y)=\chi^{s_{0}}(m) \Delta^{-1 / 2}(m) f\left(m^{-1} y m\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $m \in M, n \in N$ and $f \in S\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$. It follows that $V_{\min }$, as a $P$-module, embeds into the $P$-smooth dual of $S\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$. This dual can be described in the following way. While there is no $M$-invariant measure on $\Omega_{1}$, there exists a (modular) character $\delta_{1}$ of $M$ and a measure $d y$ on $\Omega_{1}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}} f\left(m y m^{-1}\right) d y=\delta_{1}(m) \int_{\Omega_{1}} f(y) d y
$$

for every locally constant and compactly supported function $f$ on $\Omega_{1}$. The $P$-smooth dual of $S\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to the space of locally constant, but not necessarily compactly supported, functions on $\Omega_{1}$ with the action of $P$ given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\pi(n) f)(y)=f(y) \psi(-\langle n, y\rangle) \text { and } \\
(\pi(m) f)(y)=\chi_{1}(m) f\left(m^{-1} y m\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the character $\chi_{1}$ is defined by $\chi_{1} \cdot\left(\chi^{s_{0}} \cdot \Delta^{-1 / 2}\right)=\delta_{1}$. It appears that we have an annoying issue of figuring out what $\delta_{1}$ is. It turns out that is not necessary. To this end, note that $V_{\min }$ is a quotient of $I\left(s_{0}\right)$ and the pairing of $V_{\min }$ and $I\left(s_{0}\right)$ descends down to a pairing between $V_{\min }$ and $V_{\min }$. It follows that $S_{1} / S_{2}$ is a submodule of $V_{\min }$ (the second factor) which shows that $\chi_{1}=\chi^{s_{0}} \cdot \Delta^{-1 / 2}$.

The possible cases for $s_{0}$ (see $[\mathrm{W}]$ ) and $\left\langle\tau, \rho_{N}\right\rangle$ are

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | $A_{n+1}$ | $A_{2 n+1}$ | $D_{n+1}$ | $D_{n+1}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{m}^{s s}$ | $A_{n}$ | $A_{n} \times A_{n}$ | $A_{n}$ | $D_{n}$ | $D_{5}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| $s_{0}$ | 0 | $n$ | $n-2$ | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| $\left\langle\tau, \rho_{N}\right\rangle$ | $n / 2+1$ | $n+1$ | $n$ | $n$ | 6 | 9 |

## 4. Eigenvalues of Hecke operators

Consider the root system of type $A_{n}, D_{n}$ or $E_{n}$, and let $\omega_{j}$ be the fundamental coweights as in Bourbaki tables. Let $\hat{\omega}_{b}$ be the fundamental weight corresponding to the unique branching vertex of the Dynkin diagram for $D_{n}$ and $E_{n}$. This is $\omega_{4}$ for all three exceptional groups. For the root system of type $A_{n}$ there is no branching point, but we define $\hat{\omega}_{b}$ to be the fundamental coweight of the middle vertex if $n$ is odd, or the arithmetic mean of the two middle vertices if $n$ is even. Let $\rho$ be the half sum of all positive roots. The Satake parameter of the minimal representation is $\lambda_{\min }(p) \in \hat{G}$, the dual group of $G$, where

$$
\lambda_{\min }=\rho-\hat{\omega}_{b} .
$$

If $\omega_{i}$ is a miniscule fundamental coweight, then the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator $p^{-\left\langle\rho, \omega_{i}\right\rangle} T_{i}$ on the spherical vector of the minimal representation is

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{V\left(\omega_{i}\right)}\left(\lambda_{\min }(p)\right)=\sum_{\mu \sim \omega_{i}} p^{\left\langle\lambda_{\min }, \mu\right\rangle},
$$

the trace of $\lambda_{\min }(p)$ on the representation $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ of $\hat{G}$ with the highest weight $\omega_{i}$. Here the sum is taken over all weights $\mu$ of $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$. (Weight spaces of the miniscule representation are one-dimensional and are Weyl group conjugate to $\omega_{i}$.) We now give explicit formulas in the following cases:

Case $A_{2 n-1}$, and $\omega_{i}=\omega_{1}$, the highest weight of the standard $2 n$-dimensional representation. Then the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator $p^{-\left\langle\rho, \omega_{1}\right\rangle} T_{1}$ is

$$
p^{n-1}+p^{n-2}+\ldots p+2+p^{-1}+\ldots p^{2-n}+p^{1-n} .
$$

Case $A_{2 n}$, and $\omega_{i}=\omega_{1}$, the highest weight of the standard $2 n$-dimensional representation. Then the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator $p^{-\left\langle\rho, \omega_{1}\right\rangle} T_{1}$ is

$$
p^{n-1 / 2}+p^{n-3 / 2}+\ldots p^{1 / 2}+1+p^{-1 / 2}+\ldots p^{3 / 2-n}+p^{1 / 2-n}
$$

Case $D_{n+1}$, and $\omega_{i}=\omega_{1}$, the highest weight of the standard $2 n+2$-dimensional representation. Then the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator $p^{-\left\langle\rho, \omega_{1}\right\rangle} T_{1}$ is

$$
p^{n-1}+\ldots p^{2}+2 p+2+2 p^{-1}+p^{-2}+\ldots+p^{1-n}
$$

Case $E_{6}$, and $\omega_{i}=\omega_{1}$, the highest weight of the standard 27-dimensional representation of $E_{6}$. In the terminology of Bourbaki, the Satake parameter is

$$
\lambda_{\min }=(0,1,1,2,3,-3,-3,3)
$$

It will be convenient to realize $V\left(\omega_{1}\right)$ as an internal module in $E_{7}$. More precisely, consider the root system of type $E_{7}$ as in Bourbaki tables. If we remove the last simple root $\alpha_{7}$ then
we get a root system $E_{6}$. As usual, write every positive root of $E_{7}$ as $\alpha=\sum m_{i}(\alpha) \alpha_{i}$. The subspace

$$
\bigoplus_{m_{7}(\alpha)=1} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}
$$

is the 27 -dimensional representations of $E_{6}$ with the highest weight $\omega_{1}$ i.e. the first fundamental weight. Thus to tabulate the weights of this representation, we have to write down all roots $\alpha$ of $E_{7}$ such that $m_{7}(\alpha)=1$ which is the same as $\left\langle\alpha, \omega_{7}\right\rangle=1$, where $\omega_{7}=e_{6}+\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{8}-e_{7}\right)$. These are $\pm e_{i}+e_{6},(1 \leq i \leq 5) e_{8}-e_{7}$ (total of 11 roots here) and

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{8}-e_{7}+e_{6}+\sum_{i=1}^{5}(-1)^{\nu(i)} e_{i}\right)
$$

where $\sum \nu(i)$ is odd. This, second, group has 16 roots.
(Warning: $\omega_{7}$ is the fundamental weight for $E_{7}$. While simple roots for $E_{6}$ are also simple roots for $E_{7}$ this is not true for fundamental weights. First 6 fundamental weights for $E_{7}$ are not the fundamental weights for $E_{6}$.)

The eigenvalue of the Hecke operator $p^{-\left\langle\rho, \omega_{1}\right\rangle} T_{1}$ is
$\left(\sum_{m_{7}(\alpha)=1} p^{\left(\lambda_{\min }, \alpha\right\rangle}\right)=p^{6}+p^{5}+2 p^{4}+2 p^{3}+3 p^{2}+3 p+3+3 p^{-1}+3 p^{-2}+2 p^{-3}+2 p^{-4}+p^{-5}+p^{-6}$.
Case $E_{7}$, and $\omega_{i}=\omega_{7}$, the highest weight of the 56 -dimensional representation of $E_{7}$. Here the Satake parameter is

$$
\lambda_{\min }=(0,1,1,2,3,4,-13 / 2,13 / 2)
$$

Again, the representation $V_{\omega_{7}}$ can be written down as an internal module in $E_{8}$. Let $\alpha_{8}$ be the root for $E_{8}$ such that other simple roots belong to $E_{7}$. Then the 56 -dimensional representation is equal to

$$
\bigoplus_{m_{8}(\alpha)=1} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}
$$

So again we have to tabulate all roots for $E_{8}$ such that $\left\langle\alpha, \omega_{8}\right\rangle=1$. Since $\omega_{8}=e_{7}+e_{8}$, these are $\pm e_{i}+e_{7}(1 \leq i \leq 6), \pm e_{i}+e_{8}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ and

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{8}+e_{7}+\sum_{i=1}^{6}(-1)^{\nu(i)} e_{i}\right)
$$

where $\sum \nu(i)$ is even. There are 32 of this last type. Now it is not to difficult to see that he eigenvalue of the Hecke operator $p^{-\left\langle\rho, \omega_{7}\right\rangle} T_{7}$ for $E_{7}$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sum_{m_{8}(\alpha)=1} p^{\left(\lambda_{\min }, \alpha\right\rangle}\right)= \\
p^{\frac{21}{2}}+p^{\frac{19}{2}}+p^{\frac{17}{2}}+2 p^{\frac{15}{2}}+2 p^{\frac{13}{2}}+3 p^{\frac{11}{2}}+3 p^{\frac{9}{2}}+3 p^{\frac{7}{2}}+4 p^{\frac{5}{2}}+4 p^{\frac{3}{2}}+4 p^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
+4 p^{-\frac{1}{2}}+4 p^{-\frac{3}{2}}+4 p^{-\frac{5}{5}}+3 p^{-\frac{7}{2}}+3 p^{-\frac{9}{2}}+3 p^{-\frac{11}{2}}+2 p^{-\frac{13}{2}}+2 p^{-\frac{15}{2}}+p^{-\frac{17}{2}}+p^{-\frac{19}{2}}+p^{-\frac{21}{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 5. Satake transform

Let $U$ be the maximal nilpotent subgroup corresponding to our choice of simple roots. Let $\omega_{i}$ be a miniscule fundamental coweight. The purpose of this section is to decompose the double coset $K \omega_{i}(p) K$ as a union of single cosets $u \mu(p) K$, where $u \in U$. This will be accomplished by means of the Satake transform.

The modular character $\delta$ is given by $\delta(\lambda(p))^{1 / 2}=p^{\langle\rho, \lambda\rangle}$. The Satake transform $S: H_{G} \rightarrow$ $H_{T}$ is given by

$$
S(f)(t)=\delta(t)^{-1 / 2} \int_{N} f(t u) d u
$$

It is known that $S\left(T_{i}\right)=p^{\left\langle\rho, \omega_{i}\right\rangle} V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ where $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ is the fundamental representation of $\hat{G}=G_{s c}$ with the highest weight $\omega_{i}$. Here we use the identification of $H_{T}$ with $\mathbb{C}\left[\Lambda_{c}\right]$, the group algebra of the coweight lattice $\Lambda_{c}$. Under this identification $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ is a sum of delta functions for all weights $\mu$ of $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$. It follows that $S\left(T_{i}\right)(\mu(p))=0$ unless $\mu$ is a weight of $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ in which case it is equal to $p^{\left\langle\rho, \omega_{i}\right\rangle}$. Proposition 13.1 in [GGS] implies that, for every weight $\mu$ of $V\left(\omega_{i}\right)$, the number of single cosets of type $u \mu(p) K$ contained in $K \omega_{i}(p) K$ is equal to $p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{i}\right\rangle}$.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\omega_{i}$ be a miniscule fundamental coweight, and $\mu$ a Weyl group conjugate of $\omega_{i}$. If $u \mu(p) K$ is contained in $K \omega_{i}(p) K$ then it is equal to

$$
\left(\prod_{\alpha>0,\langle\alpha, \mu\rangle=1} e_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)\right) \mu(p) K
$$

for some (unique) $t_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} / p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
Proof. Notice that $e_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$ commute since the scalar product of $\mu$ and any root can be only $-1,0$ or 1 . In particular, the product in the proposition is well defined. Furthermore, since $e_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$ with $t_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ are contained in $K$ the single cosets (as defined in the statement) are contained in our double coset. We shall first show uniqueness. If

$$
\prod_{\alpha>0,\langle\alpha, \mu\rangle=1} e_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}\right) \mu(p) K=\prod_{\alpha>0,\langle\alpha, \mu\rangle=1} e_{\alpha}\left(t_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \mu(p) K
$$

then

$$
\prod_{\alpha>0,\langle\alpha, \mu\rangle=1} e_{\alpha}\left(\left(t_{\alpha}-t_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) / p\right) \in K
$$

This is possible if and only if $t_{\alpha} \equiv t_{\alpha}^{\prime}(\bmod K)$, as claimed. Finally, since we know that the number of single cosets of the form $u \mu(p) K$ is equal to $p^{\left\langle\rho, \omega_{i}+\mu\right\rangle}$, in order to prove the proposition it remains to verify the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\mu$ be a Weyl group conjugate of the miniscule coweight $\omega_{i}$. Then the number of positive roots $\alpha$ such that $\langle\alpha, \mu\rangle=1$ is equal to $\left\langle\rho, \omega_{i}+\mu\right\rangle$

Proof. Let $w$ be a Weyl group element such that $\mu=w\left(\omega_{i}\right)$. Let $\alpha$ be a positive root such that $\langle\alpha, \mu\rangle=1$. Then

$$
1=\langle\alpha, \mu\rangle=\left\langle w^{-1}(\alpha), \omega_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

This implies that $w^{-1}(\alpha)=\beta$ is positive, so we are counting the number of positive roots $\beta$ such that $w(\beta)$ is positive and $\left\langle\beta, \omega_{i}\right\rangle=1$. Since $\left\langle\beta, \omega_{i}\right\rangle=1$ or 0 for every positive root, the number of positive roots $\alpha$ such that $\langle\alpha, \mu\rangle=1$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{\beta>0, w(\beta)>0}\left\langle\beta, \omega_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

Since (this is well known) $\sum_{\beta>0, w(\beta)>0} \beta=\rho+w^{-1}(\rho)$ the Lemma follows.

## 6. Spherical vector

We would like to determine the spherical vector of the minimal representation. Under the action of $M\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ the orbit $\Omega_{1}$ decomposes as a union of of orbits each containing $p^{m} e_{-\tau}$ for some integer $m$. Thus a spherical vector $f$, since it is fixed by $M\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$, is determined by its value on $p^{m} e_{-\tau}$ for all integers $m$. In order to simplify notation, let us write

$$
f(m)=f\left(p^{m} e_{-\tau}\right)
$$

Next, since $f$ is fixed by $N\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ as well, $f(m)=0$ if $m<0$. To determine $f$ exactly we shall use the fact that it is an eigenvector for the Hecke operator $T_{\omega_{i}}=\operatorname{Char}\left(K \omega_{i} K\right)$ where $\omega_{i}$ is a miniscule fundamental coweight. As we know from the previous section, the double coset $K \omega_{i} K$ can be written as a union of single cosets $u \mu(p) K$ where $\mu$ is a Weyl group conjugate of $\omega_{i}$ and $u$ is in $U \cap K$. Also, for a fixed $\mu$ there are $p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{i}\right\rangle}$ different single cosets. It follows that $e_{-\tau}$ is a highest weight vector for $M \cap U$. Thus, it follows that

$$
\left(T_{i} * f\right)(m)=\sum_{\mu} p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{i}\right\rangle} \chi^{s_{0}}(\mu) \Delta^{-1 / 2}(\mu) f(m+\langle\mu, \tau\rangle)
$$

Since $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle$ is equal to $-1,0$ or 1 , the possible effects are shifting the index $m$ by one only. In particular, the formula gives a recursion relation as indicated in the introduction. It remains to calculate this formula in every case. But first we state the final result.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\Omega_{1}$ be the set of rank one elements in $\bar{N}$. Recall that the Chevalley basis gives a natural coordinate system of $\bar{N}$. If $x \in \Omega_{1}$, let $p^{m}$ be the greatest common divisor of all coordinates of $x$. Then $f(x)=0$ unless $m \geq 0$. If $m \geq 0$ then, after normalizing $f(1)=1$,

$$
f(x)=1+p^{d}+\ldots+p^{m d}
$$

where $d$ is given by the following table:

| $\mathfrak{g}$ | $A_{n+1}$ | $A_{2 n+1}$ | $D_{n+1}$ | $D_{n+1}$ | $E_{6}$ | $E_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{m}^{\text {ss }}$ | $A_{n}$ | $A_{n} \times A_{n}$ | $A_{n}$ | $D_{n}$ | $D_{5}$ | $E_{6}$ |
| $d$ | $n / 2$ | 0 | 1 | $n-2$ | 2 | 3 |

Proof. We calculate the recursive relation on a case by case basis using the data from the following tables. The first table includes the half sum of all the positive roots and the simple root $\tau$ not in $M$. The second table gives the characterization of $\chi^{s_{0}}(\cdot) \Delta^{-1 / 2}(\cdot)$ in terms of $\rho_{N}$, the half sum of the roots in $M$.

| $(G, M)$ | $\rho$ | $\tau$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(A_{2 n-1}, A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1}\right)$ | $\left(n-\frac{1}{2}, n-\frac{3}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}-n\right)$ | $(0, \ldots, 0,1,-1,0, \ldots, 0)$ |
| $\left(D_{n+1}, D_{n}\right)$ | $(n, n-1, \ldots, 1,0)$ | $(1,-1,0, \ldots, 0)$ |
| $\left(D_{n+1}, A_{n}\right)$ | $(n, n-1, \ldots, 1,0)$ | $(0, \ldots, 0,1,1)$ |
| $\left(E_{6}, D_{5}\right)$ | $(0,1,2,3,4,-4,-4,4)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,1)$ |
| $\left(E 7, E_{6}\right)$ | $\left(0,1,2,3,4,5,-\frac{17}{2}, \frac{17}{2}\right)$ | $(0,0,0,0,-1,1,0,0)$ |


|  | $\rho_{N}$ | $\chi^{s} \Delta^{-1 / 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(A_{2 n-1}, A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{n}{2}, \ldots, \frac{n}{2},-\frac{n}{2}, \ldots,-\frac{n}{2}\right)$ | $p^{-\frac{1}{n}\left\langle\cdot, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ |
| $\left(D_{n+1}, D_{n}\right)$ | $(n, 0, \ldots, 0)$ | $p^{\left(\frac{1}{n}-1\right)\left\langle\cdot, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ |
| $\left(D_{n+1}, A_{n}\right)$ | $\left(\frac{n}{2}, \ldots, \frac{n}{2}\right)$ | $p^{-\frac{2}{n}\left\langle\cdot, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ |
| $\left(E_{6}, D_{5}\right)$ | $(0,0,0,0,0,-4,-4,4)$ | $p^{-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\cdot, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ |
| $\left(E 7, E_{6}\right)$ | $\left(0,0,0,0,0,9,-\frac{9}{2}, \frac{9}{2}\right)$ | $p^{-\frac{4}{9}\left\langle\cdot, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ |

We start with the case $G=D_{n+1}$ and $M=D_{n}$. The Weyl group orbit of the highest weight $\omega_{1}=e_{1}$ consists of $\pm e_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. The eigenvalue of $T_{1}$ is

$$
p^{n}\left(p^{n-1}+\ldots+p^{2}+2 p+2+2 p^{-1}+p^{-2}+\ldots+p^{1-n}\right)
$$

Next, we shall work out $T_{1} * f(m)$ using the action of single cosets. The total number of single cosets is

$$
p^{2 n}+p^{2 n-1}+\ldots p^{n+1}+2 p^{n}+p^{n-1}+\ldots+p+1 .
$$

In order to calculate the coefficients $a_{1}$ and $a_{-1}$ in the recursive relation we are interested in conjugates $\mu$ of $\omega_{1}$ such that $\langle\tau, \mu\rangle=1$ or -1 . They are, followed by the number of cosets of the type $u \mu(p) K$, and the value $\chi^{S_{0}}(\mu) \Delta^{-1 / 2}(\mu)$ :

| $\mu$ | $\langle\tau, \mu\rangle$ | $p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{1}\right\rangle}$ | $\chi^{s_{0}} \Delta^{-1 / 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 1 | $p^{2 n}$ | $p^{1-n}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | -1 | $p^{2 n-1}$ | 1 |
| $-e_{1}$ | -1 | 1 | $p^{n-1}$ |
| $-e_{2}$ | 1 | $p$ | 1 |

In particular, it is not difficult to check that the right hand side of the recursion can be written as
$\left(p^{n+1}+p\right) f(m+1)+\left(p^{2 n-2}+\ldots+p^{n+1}+2 p^{n}+p^{n-1}+\ldots+p^{2}\right) f(m)+\left(p^{2 n-1}+p^{n-1}\right) f(m-1)$.
This gives plenty of reductions with the left hand side of the recursion, which is the product of the eigenvalue of $T_{1}$ and $f(m)$, and the recursion can be rewritten as

$$
\left(p^{2 n-1}+p^{n+1}+p^{n-1}+p\right) f(m)=\left(p^{n+1}+p\right) f(m+1)+\left(p^{2 n-1}+p^{n-1}\right) f(m-1)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
p^{n-2}[f(m)-f(m-1)]=[f(m+1)-f(m)] .
$$

This, of course, implies that $f(m)=1+p^{n-2}+\ldots+p^{m(n-2)}$ or, in words, it is a geometric series in $p^{n-2}$.

We now address the case $G=A_{2 n-1}$ and $M=A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1}$. The Weyl group of the miniscule weight $\omega_{1}=e_{1}$ consists of the elements $e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 2 n$.) As before, we need the eigenvalue of $T_{1}$, which is

$$
p^{\frac{2 n-1}{2}}\left(p^{n-1}+\cdots+p+2+p^{-1}+\cdots+p^{1-n}\right)
$$

because this (times $f(m)$ ) gives the left hand side of the recursion formula. Also,

$$
\chi^{s_{0}}\left(e_{i}\right) \Delta^{-1 / 2}\left(e_{i}\right)=p^{-\frac{1}{n}\left\langle e_{i}, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
p^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 1 \leq i \leq n \\
p^{\frac{1}{2}} & n<i \leq 2 n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Notice that only the elements $e_{n}$ and $e_{n+1}$ have nonzero dot product with $\tau$ (1 and -1 respectively), and $p^{\left\langle\rho, e_{i}+e_{1}\right\rangle}=p^{2 n-i}$. Thus, the right hand side of the equation is

$$
p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(p^{2 n-1}+\cdots+p^{n+1}\right) f(m)+p^{n} f(m+1)\right]+p^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[p^{n-1} f(m-1)+\left(p^{n-2}+\cdots+1\right) f(m)\right] .
$$

After combining both sides of the equation and simplifying, this becomes

$$
f(m)-f(m-1)=f(m+1)-f(m) .
$$

Hence, $f(m)=m$.
The next case is $G=D_{n+1}$ and $M=D_{n}$. As is the case when $G=D_{n+1}$ and $M=A_{n}$, we consider the Weyl group orbit of $\omega_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. As noted above, this orbit consists of all elements $\pm e_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n+1$.) First, we tabulate those elements $\mu$ such that $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle \neq 0$.

| $\mu$ | $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle$ | $p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{1}\right\rangle}$ | $\chi^{s_{0}} \Delta^{-1 / 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{n}$ | 1 | $p^{n+1}$ | $p^{-1}$ |
| $e_{n+1}$ | 1 | $p^{n}$ | $p^{-1}$ |
| $-e_{n}$ | -1 | $p^{n-1}$ | $p$ |
| $-e_{n+1}$ | -1 | $p^{n}$ | $p$ |

The left hand side of the recursion is identical to the other case with $G=D_{n+1}$, but the right hand side is
$f(m+1)\left(p^{n}+p^{n-1}\right)+f(m-1)\left(p^{n+1}+p^{n}\right)+f(m)\left(\left(p^{2 n}+\cdots+p^{n+2}\right) p^{-1}+\left(p^{n-2}+\cdots+1\right) p\right)$.
After cancellation and simplification the recursion becomes

$$
p[f(m)-f(m-1)]=[f(m+1)-f(m)] .
$$

Hence, $f(m)=1+p+\cdots+p^{m}$.
Next we consider $G=E_{6}$ and $M=D_{5}$. Recall that the eigenvalue for the Hecke operator $T_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{8}\left(p^{6}+p^{5}+2 p^{4}+2 p^{3}+3 p^{2}+3 p+3+3 p^{-1}+3 p^{-2}+2 p^{-3}+2 p^{-4}+p^{-5}+p^{-6}\right) \\
& =p^{14}+p^{13}+2 p^{12}+2 p^{11}+3 p^{10}+3 p^{9}+3 p^{8}+3 p^{7}+3 p^{6}+2 p^{5}+2 p^{4}+p^{3}+p^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As we have seen, there are 27 elements in the orbit of $\omega_{1}$. We list below those which have the property that $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle \neq 0$ along with the number of cosets of type $u \mu(p) K$ and $\chi^{s_{0}}(\mu) \Delta^{-1 / 2}(\mu)$.

| $\mu$ | $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle$ | $p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{1}\right\rangle}$ | $p^{-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\mu, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{6}-e_{1}$ | -1 | $p^{4}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $e_{6}+e_{2}$ | -1 | $p^{5}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $e_{6}+e_{3}$ | -1 | $p^{6}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $e_{6}+e_{4}$ | -1 | $p^{7}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $e_{6}+e_{5}$ | -1 | $p^{8}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}+e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{15}$ | $p^{-1}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}+e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{5}$ | $p^{-1}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}+e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{6}$ | $p^{-1}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}+e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}+e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{7}$ | $p^{-1}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}+e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{8}$ | $p^{-1}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}+e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{9}$ | $p^{-1}$ |
| $e_{8}-e_{7}$ | 1 | $p^{16}$ | $p^{-4}$ |

From the table above we can read off the coefficients of $f(m+1)$ and $f(m-1)$ on the right hand side. These are

$$
f(m-1)\left[p^{6}+p^{7}+p^{8}+p^{9}+p^{10}+p^{14}\right]
$$

and

$$
f(m+1)\left[p^{4}+p^{5}+p^{6}+p^{7}+p^{8}+p^{12}\right] .
$$

Similarly, we can tabulate the values of $p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{1}\right\rangle}$ and $p^{-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\mu, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ when $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle=0$. This will show that the final term on the right hand side of the equation is

$$
f(m)\left[p^{2}+p^{3}+p^{4}+p^{5}+p^{6}+p^{7}+p^{8}+2 p^{9}+2 p^{10}+2 p^{11}+p^{12}+p^{13}\right] .
$$

After subtracting this term from both sides and dividing by $p^{4}+p^{5}+p^{6}+p^{7}+p^{8}+p^{12}$ this becomes

$$
f(m)\left[p^{2}+1\right]=f(m-1) p^{2}+f(m+1) .
$$

This is obviously equivalent to

$$
p^{2}[f(m)-f(m-1)]=[f(m+1)-f(m)],
$$

which implies that $f(m)=1+p^{2}+\cdots+p^{2 m}$.
We now address the final case: $G=E_{7}$ and $M=E_{6}$. As we have already computed the eigenvalue for the Hecke operator $p^{-\left\langle\omega_{7}, \rho\right\rangle} T_{7}$ we see that the left hand side of our equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(m)\left[p^{24}+p^{23}+p^{22}+2 p^{21}+2 p^{20}+3 p^{19}+3 p^{18}+3 p^{17}+4 p^{16}+4 p^{15}+4 p^{14}\right. \\
& \left.+4 p^{13}+4 p^{12}+4 p^{11}+3 p^{10}+3 p^{9}+3 p^{8}+2 p^{7}+2 p^{6}+p^{5}+p^{4}+p^{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the case of $G=E_{6}$, one must tabulate each of the 56 elements $\mu$ in the orbit of $\omega_{7}$ along with number of cosets of type $u \mu(p) K$ (which is $\left.p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{7}\right\rangle}\right)$, and the value $\chi_{s_{0}}(\mu) \Delta^{-1 / 2}(\mu)$ (which is $p^{-\frac{4}{9}\left\langle\mu, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ ). As before, we do this for those elements $\mu$ such that $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle \neq 0$.

| $\mu$ | $\langle\mu, \tau\rangle$ | $p^{\left\langle\rho, \mu+\omega_{7}\right\rangle}$ | $p^{-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\mu, \rho_{N}\right\rangle}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{6}-e_{7}$ | 1 | $p^{27}$ | $p^{-6}$ |
| $-e_{5}-e_{7}$ | 1 | $p^{18}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{17}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{16}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{15}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{14}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{14}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}+e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{13}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{12}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}+e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | 1 | $p^{11}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $-e_{5}-e_{8}$ | 1 | $p$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $e_{5}-e_{7}$ | 1 | $p^{10}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $-e_{6}-e_{7}$ | -1 | $p^{26}$ | $p^{-2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{16}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{15}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{14}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{13}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{12}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}+e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{11}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(-e_{1}+e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{11}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}+e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}\right)$ | -1 | $p^{10}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $-e_{6}-e_{8}$ | -1 | 1 | $p^{6}$ |
| $e_{5}-e_{8}$ | -1 | $p^{11}$ | $p^{2}$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |

So, the right side consists of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(m+1)\left[p^{21}+p^{16}+p^{15}+p^{14}+p^{13}+2 p^{12}+p^{11}+p^{10}+p^{9}+p^{8}+p^{3}\right] \\
& +f(m-1)\left[p^{24}+p^{19}+p^{18}+p^{17}+p^{16}+2 p^{15}+p^{14}+p^{13}+p^{12}+p^{11}+p^{6}\right] \\
& +f(m)\left[p^{23}+p^{22}+p^{21}+2 p^{20}+2 p^{19}+2 p^{18}+2 p^{17}+2 p^{16}+p^{15}+2 p^{14}\right. \\
& \left.+2 p^{13}+p^{12}+2 p^{11}+2 p^{10}+2 p^{9}+2 p^{8}+2 p^{7}+p^{6}+p^{5}+p^{4}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We simplify (just as before) and this yields:

$$
p^{3}[f(m)-f(m-1)]=[f(m+1)-f(m)]
$$

which implies that $f(m)=1+p^{3}+\cdots+p^{3 m}$. The theorem is proved.
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