ON A CONJECTURE OF B. BERNDT AND B. KIM

KATHRIN BRINGMANN AND AMANDA FOLSOM

ABSTRACT. We prove a recent conjecture of B. Berndt and B. Kim regarding the positivity of the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion of a class of partial theta functions. This generalizes results found in Ramanujan's second notebook, and recent work of Galway and Stanley.

1. INTRODUCTION

In his second notebook [1], page 324, Ramanujan claims an asymptotic expansion for the partial theta function

(1.1)
$$2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n^2+n} \sim 1 + t + t^2 + 2t^3 + 5t^4 + \dots$$

with $q = \frac{1-t}{1+t}$ as $t \to 0^+$. It is not clear, just given the left-hand side of (1.1), that the coefficients of its asymptotic expansion (in t) are always positive integers, nor that one should expect this. Galway [3] proved this curious fact to be true. Stanley [5], answering a question of Galway, then gave a nice combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion (1.1) as the number of fixed-point-free alternating involutions in the symmetric group S_{2n} , providing a second proof that the coefficients are positive integers.

Berndt and Kim [2] study more general partial theta functions.

(1.2)
$$f_b(t) := 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n^2 + bn},$$

where b is real. They show that, similarly to (1.1), $f_b(t)$ admits an asymptotic expansion of the form

(1.3)
$$f_b(t) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n,$$

where the a_n are given explicitly in term of Euler numbers and Hermite polynomials [2, Theorem 1.1]. For the purposes of this paper, we do not require the explicit shape of the a_n , so we do not state it here. (We point out a small typo in [2] equation (1.3): the exponent of (1-t)/(1+t) should read (1-2b)/4 rather than (2b-1)/4, as the authors correctly state in [2] equation (2.9).)

In analogy to the results established by Galway and Stanley pertaining to the coefficients of (1.1), Berndt and Kim prove that the coefficients a_n of the generalized partial theta functions defined in (1.2) are integers if $b \in \mathbb{N}$ [2, Theorem 2.5], and make the following conjecture regarding their positivity.

Conjecture (Berndt-Kim [2]). For any positive integer b, for sufficiently large n, the coefficients a_n in the asymptotic expansion (1.3), have the same sign.

The purpose of this note is to prove this conjecture of Berndt and Kim.

Theorem 1. The Berndt-Kim conjecture is true. More precisely, if $b \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, then $a_n > 0$ for $n \gg 0$, and if $b \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$, then $a_n < 0$ for $n \gg 0$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

To prove the theorem, we first observe that for integers $b \ge 2$,

(2.1)
$$f_{b+1}(t) = -q^{-b} \Big(f_{b-1}(t) - 2 \Big).$$

We proceed by induction on b to prove Theorem 1. The case b = 1 follows from [3] as mentioned in §1. To prove the case b = 2 we employ the fact that the q-series in this case is essentially a modular form. To be more precise, we have that

$$f_2(t) = -q^{-1}\left(g\left(\frac{i\theta}{2\pi}\right) - 1\right),$$

where we have adopted the notation $\theta = \log\left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)$ from [2]. The function $g(\tau)$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, is the modular form defined by

$$g(\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{2\pi i n^2 \tau} = \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{\eta(2\tau)},$$

where $\eta(\tau) := e^{\frac{2\pi i \tau}{24}} \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 - e^{2\pi i n \tau})$ is Dedekind's eta-function, a well known modular form of weight 1/2. Employing the modular transformation of η (see [4] e.g.)

$$\eta\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \sqrt{-i\tau}\eta(\tau)$$

we obtain that

$$g\left(\frac{i\theta}{2\pi}\right) \to 0$$

as $\theta \to 0^+$, and thus as $t \to 0^+$. Therefore

$$f_2(t) \sim q^{-1} = \frac{1+t}{1-t},$$

which clearly has positive coefficients in its *t*-expansion. We now assume Theorem 1 holds for some $b-1 \ge 1$, and prove that it also holds for b+1. (The first two inductive cases b-1 = 1, 2 are proven above.) We use (2.1) and split

$$q^{-b} = (1+t)^b (1-t)^{-b}$$

Since the *t*-expansion of $(1 + t)^b$ is finite and contains only positive coefficients, it suffices to prove that the *t*-coefficients in the asymptotic expansion of

$$-(1-t)^{-b} \left(f_{b-1}(t) - 2\right)$$

eventually all have the same sign. We will address the fact that the sign is dependent on the residue class of $b \pmod{4}$ as stated in Theorem 1 later.

It is easy to show that

$$(1-t)^{-b} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} {\binom{b-1+j}{b-1}} t^j.$$

By induction, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$f_{b-1}(t) - 2 \sim \sum_{n \ge 0} \alpha_n t^n,$$

as $t \to 0^+$, where $\alpha_n > 0$ for $n \ge L$, for some $L \ge 0$. Set

$$\beta_n := \binom{b-1+n}{b-1}.$$

It suffices to show that

(2.2)
$$\sum_{0 \le n \le m} \alpha_n \beta_{m-n}$$

is positive for $m \gg 0$. We break the sum (2.2) into two parts

$$\Sigma_1 := \sum_{0 \le n \le L-1} \alpha_n \beta_{m-n}, \qquad \Sigma_2 := \sum_{L \le n \le m} \alpha_n \beta_{m-n},$$

where we assume $m \gg 0$ is sufficiently large to ensure $m \ge L$.

Since L is independent of m and the β_j 's are monotonically decreasing (in j, for fixed b), we may bound

$$\Sigma_1 \ll \beta_m \ll m^{b-1},$$

as $m \to \infty$. Similarly, Σ_2 may be estimated from below by

$$\Sigma_2 \gg \sum_{L \le n \le m} \beta_{m-n} = \sum_{0 \le n \le m-L} \beta_n \gg \sum_{1 \le n \le m} n^{b-1} \gg \int_0^m x^{b-1} dx \gg m^b,$$

as $m \to \infty$. Since clearly $m^b \gg m^{b-1}$, the positivity of Σ_2 dominaties, and we have that the coefficients in the asymptotic *t*-expansion of $f_{b+1}(t)$ have for sufficiently large *m* the same sign as claimed.

The more precise claim that the coefficients in the asymptotic *t*-expansion for $f_b(t)$ are eventually positive for $b \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ and eventually negative for $b \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ follows easily by induction using (2.1), and the previously established facts that the coefficients in the asymptotic *t*-expansion of $f_1(t)$ and $f_2(t)$ are eventually positive.

References

- [1] B. Berndt, Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part V, Springer Verlag, New York, (1998).
- B. Berndt and B. Kim, Asymptotic expansions of certain partial theta functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 3779-3788.
- [3] W. Galway, An asymptotic expansion of Ramanujan, Number Theory (Ottawa, ON, 1996), CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1999), 107-110.
- [4] H. Rademacher, *Topics in analytic number theory*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wiss., Band 169, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1973).
- [5] R. Stanley, A survey of alternating permutations, Contemp. Math. 531, Amer. Math. Soc. (2010), 165-196.

KATHRIN BRINGMANN AND AMANDA FOLSOM

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 COLOGNE, GERMANY *E-mail address*: kbringma@math.uni-koeln.de

YALE UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, P.O. BOX 208283, NEW HAVEN, CT 06520-8283 *E-mail address*: amanda.folsom@yale.edu

4