

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta

On t-core and self-conjugate (2t - 1)-core partitions in arithmetic progressions



Kathrin Bringmann^{a,*}, Ben Kane^{b,*}, Joshua Males^{a,*}

 ^a Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Division of Mathematics, University of Cologne, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Cologne, Germany
 ^b Department of Mathematics, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 July 2020 Received in revised form 15 February 2021 Accepted 6 May 2021 Available online xxxx

Keywords: t-core partitions Hurwitz class numbers Abaci and t-residue diagrams

ABSTRACT

We extend recent results of Ono and Raji, relating the number of self-conjugate 7-core partitions to Hurwitz class numbers. Furthermore, we give a combinatorial explanation for the curious equality $2 \operatorname{sc}_7(8n+1) = \operatorname{c}_4(7n+2)$. We also conjecture that an equality of this shape holds if and only if t = 4, proving the cases $t \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ and giving partial results for t > 5.

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and statement of results

A partition Λ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a non-increasing sequence $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ of nonnegative integers λ_j such that $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \lambda_j = n$. The Ferrers-Young diagram of Λ is the s-rowed diagram

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: kbringma@math.uni-koeln.de (K. Bringmann), bkane@hku.hk (B. Kane), jmales@math.uni-koeln.de (J. Males).

 $\mathbf{2}$



We label the cells of the Ferrers–Young diagram as if it were a matrix, and let λ'_k denote the number of dots in column k. The *hook length* of the cell (j, k) in the Ferrers–Young diagram of Λ equals

$$h(j,k) := \lambda_j + \lambda'_k - k - j + 1.$$

If no hook length in any cell of a partition Λ is divisible by t, then Λ is a *t*-core partition. A partition Λ is said to be *self-conjugate* if it remains the same when rows and columns are switched.

Example. The partition $\Lambda = (3, 2, 1)$ of 6 has the Ferrers-Young diagram



and has hook lengths h(1,1) = 5, h(1,2) = 3, h(1,3) = 1, h(2,1) = 3, h(2,2) = 1, and h(3,1) = 1. Therefore, Λ is a *t*-core partition for all $t \notin \{1,3,5\}$. Furthermore, switching rows and columns leaves Λ unaltered, and so Λ is self-conjugate.

The theory of t-core partitions is intricately linked to various areas of number theory and beyond. For example, Garvan, Kim, and Stanton [6] used t-core partitions to investigate special cases of the famous Ramanujan congruences for the partition function p(n). Furthermore, t-core partitions encode the modular representation theory of symmetric groups S_n and A_n (see e.g. [5,8]).

For $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $c_t(n)$ denote the number of t-core partitions of n, along with $sc_t(n)$ the number of self-conjugate t-core partitions of n. In 1997, Ono and Sze [13] investigated the relation between 4-core partitions and class numbers. Denote by H(|D|) (D > 0 a discriminant) the D-th Hurwitz class number, which counts the number of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of integral binary quadratic forms of discriminant D, weighted by $\frac{1}{2}$ times the order of their automorphism group.¹ Then Ono and Sze proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2 of [13]). If 8n + 5 is square-free, then

$$c_4(n) = \frac{1}{2}H(32n+20).$$

¹ Some authors write H(D) instead of H(|D|); in particular this notation was used in [12].

More recently Ono and Raji [12] showed similar relations between self-conjugate 7-core partitions and class numbers. To state their result, let

$$D_n := \begin{cases} 28n + 56 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 7n + 14 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 1 of [12]). Let $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ be a positive odd integer. Then

$$sc_{7}(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}H(D_{n}) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1}{2}H(D_{n}) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, by combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and using elementary congruence conditions, one may easily show that for $n \not\equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ and 56n + 21 square-free,

$$2 \operatorname{sc}_7(8n+1) = \operatorname{c}_4(7n+2). \tag{1.1}$$

This fact hints at a deeper relationship between sc_{2t-1} and c_t , which we investigate. Our main results pertain to the case of t = 4. We begin by extending recent results of Ono and Raji [12]. Letting $\operatorname{sc}_7(n)$ denote the number of self-conjugate 7-core partitions of n and (\div) denote the extended Jacobi Symbol, we may state our first theorem. For this, for $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ we set H(n) := 0 if $n \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or -n is not a discriminant.

Theorem 1.3. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n) = \frac{1}{4} \left(H(28n+56) - H\left(\frac{4n+8}{7}\right) - 2H(7n+14) + 2H\left(\frac{n+2}{7}\right) \right).$$

While Theorem 1.3 gives a uniform formula for $\operatorname{sc}_7(n)$ as a linear combination of Hurwitz class numbers, it is also desirable to obtain a formula in terms of a single class number. For this, let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be chosen maximally such that $n \equiv -2 \pmod{2^{2\ell}}$ and extend the definition of D_n to

$$D_n := \begin{cases} 28n + 56 & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 7n + 14 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ D_{\frac{n+2}{2^{2\ell}} - 2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

and

$$\nu_n := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \,, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \,, \\ \nu_{\frac{n+2}{2^{2\ell}-2}} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \,, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

A binary quadratic form [a, b, c] is called *primitive* if gcd(a, b, c) = 1 and, for a prime p, *p-primitive* if $p \nmid gcd(a, b, c)$. We let $H_p(D)$ count the number of *p*-primitive classes of integral binary quadratic forms of discriminant -D, with the same weighting as H(D).

Corollary 1.4. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\operatorname{sc}_7(n) = \nu_n H_7\left(D_n\right).$$

Remark. For $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$, one has $H(D_n) = H_7(D_n)$ and hence the cases $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ of Corollary 1.4 with $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ are covered by Theorem 1.2.

For n + 2 squarefree, we may use Dirichlet's class number formula to obtain another representation for $sc_7(n)$; Ono and Raji [12, Corollary 2] covered the case that $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ is odd.

Corollary 1.5. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is an integer for which n + 2 is squarefree, then

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n) = -\frac{\nu_{n}}{D_{n}} \begin{cases} \sum_{m=1}^{D_{n}-1} \left(\frac{-D_{n}}{m}\right) m & \text{if } n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}, \\ 7^{2} \left(7 + \left(\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}\right)\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}-1} \left(\frac{-\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}}{m}\right) m & \text{if } n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}. \end{cases}$$

The following corollary relates $sc_7(m)$ with m+2 not necessarily squarefree to $sc_7(n)$ with n+2 squarefree, for which Corollary 1.5 applies. The cases $\ell = r = 0$ with $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ odd were proven in [12, Corollary 3]. For this μ denotes the Möbius function and $\sigma(n) := \sum_{d|n} d$.

Corollary 1.6. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies n+2 squarefree, $\ell, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $f \in \mathbb{N}$ with gcd(f, 14) = 1, then

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}\left((n+2)2^{2\ell}f^{2}7^{2r}-2\right) = 7^{r}\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n)\sum_{d|f}\mu(d)\left(\frac{-D_{n}}{d}\right)\sigma\left(\frac{f}{d}\right).$$

We also provide a combinatorial explanation for Corollary 1.4. To do so, we first extend techniques of Ono and Sze [13] and explicitly describe the possible abaci (defined in Section 4) of self-conjugate 7-core partitions. Then, in (4.1) below we construct an explicit map ϕ sending self-conjugate 7-core partitions to binary quadratic forms, via abaci and extended *t*-residue diagrams (defined in Section 4).

In order to describe the image of this map, for a prime p and a discriminant $D = \Delta f^2$ with Δ fundamental, we call a binary quadratic form of discriminant D *p*-totally imprimitive if the power of p dividing gcd(a, b, c) equals the power of p dividing f (i.e., if the power of p dividing gcd(a, b, c) is maximal). Furthermore, recall that two binary quadratic forms of discriminant D are said to be in the same genus if they represent the

same values in $(\mathbb{Z}/D\mathbb{Z})^*$. The principal binary quadratic form of discriminant D, which acts as the identity under Gauss's composition laws, is defined by $u^2 + Dv^2$ if $D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $u^2 + uv + \frac{D-1}{4}v^2$ if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We call the genus containing the principal binary quadratic form of discriminant D the principal genus. The image of ϕ is then described in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the image of ϕ is a unique non-principal genus of 7primitive and 2-totally imprimitive binary quadratic forms with discriminant -28n - 56. Moreover, suppose that ℓ is chosen maximally such that $n \equiv -2 \pmod{2^{\ell}}$ and $\frac{7n+14}{2^{2\ell}}$ has r distinct prime divisors. Then every equivalence class in this genus is the image of $\nu_n 2^r$ many self-conjugate 7-cores of n.

Note that Theorem 1.7 along with [13, Theorem 6] provides a combinatorial explanation for (1.1). The cases $t \in \{2,3\}$ are simple to describe, and immediately imply that relationships similar to (1.1) along arithmetic progressions do not exist for $t \in \{2,3\}$, which we see in Section 5.1. We prove a similar result for t = 5 in Proposition 5.3. Based on these results we offer the following conjecture, along with partial results on possible values of $t \pmod{6}$ along with the possible shapes of arithmetic progressions in Section 5.3.

Conjecture 1.8. The only occurrence of arithmetic progressions for which c_t and sc_{2t-1} agree up to integer multiples non-trivially (even asymptotically) is when t = 4.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we provide proofs for Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5, Corollaries 1.4 and 1.6 are shown in Section 3. Section 4 is dedicated to providing a combinatorial explanation of Theorem 1.2 and its generalization in Corollary 1.4. In Section 5 we prove Conjecture 1.8 in the cases $t \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ and provides partial results for larger t.

Acknowledgments

The research of the first author is supported by the Alfried Krupp Prize for Young University Teachers of the Krupp Foundation and has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 101001179). The research of the second author was supported by grants from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR, China (project numbers HKU 17301317 and 17303618). The authors thank Ken Ono for insightful discussions pertaining to this paper, and for hosting the third author at the University of Virginia during which this research was partially completed. The authors also thank Andreas Mono for useful comments on an earlier draft. The authors also thank the referees for helpful comments.

2. Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5

Our investigation for the case t = 4 begins by packaging the number of self-conjugate 7-cores into a generating function and using the fact that it is a modular form to relate $sc_7(n)$ to class numbers. We thus define

$$S(\tau) := \sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{sc}_7(n) q^{n+2}.$$

As stated on [12, page 4], S is a modular form of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(28)$ with character $(\frac{28}{2})$.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3

To prove Theorem 1.3, we let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(\tau) := \mathcal{H} \big| (U_{\ell_1,\ell_2} - \ell_2 U_{\ell_1} V_{\ell_2})(\tau) \big|$$

Here for $f(\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_f(n) q^n$

$$f | U_d(\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_f(dn) q^n, \qquad f | V_d(\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_f(n) q^{dn},$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(\tau) := \sum_{\substack{D \ge 0 \\ D \equiv 0,3 \pmod{4}}} H(D) q^D.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Shifting $n \mapsto n-2$ in Theorem 1.3 and taking the generating function of both sides, the claim of the theorem is equivalent to

$$S = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H}_{1,2} \left| \left(U_{14} - U_2 \middle| V_7 \right) \right|.$$
(2.1)

By [3, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.6], both sides of (2.1) are modular forms of weight $\frac{3}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(56)$ with character ($\frac{28}{\cdot}$). By the valence formula, it thus suffices to check (2.1) for the first 12 coefficients; this has been done by computer, yielding (2.1) and hence Theorem 1.3. \Box

2.2. Rewriting $sc_7(n)$ in terms of representation numbers

The next lemma rewrites $sc_7(n)$ in terms of the representation numbers $(m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$

$$r_3(m) := \# \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = m \right\}$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, we furthermore set $r_3(m) := 0$ for ease of notation.

Lemma 2.1.

(1) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\operatorname{sc}_7(n) = \frac{1}{48} \left(r_3(7n+14) - r_3\left(\frac{n+2}{7}\right) \right).$$

(2) If $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$, then we have

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n) = \frac{1}{48} \left(\left(7 + \left(\frac{D_{n}}{7}\right)\right) r_{3}\left(\frac{n+2}{7}\right) - 7r_{3}\left(\frac{n+2}{7^{3}}\right) \right).$$

Proof. (1) By the proof of [3, Lemma 4.1] we have

$$\Theta^{3}(\tau) = \sum_{n \ge 0} r_{3}(n)q^{n} = 12\mathcal{H}_{1,2} | U_{2}(\tau),$$

where $\Theta(\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$ is the usual theta function. Plugging this into (2.1), the claim follows after picking off the Fourier coefficients and shifting $n \mapsto n+2$. (2) Recall that for $f(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_f(n)q^n$ a modular form of weight $\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, the

 p^2 -th Hecke operator is defined as

$$f|T_{p^{2}}(\tau) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \left(c_{f}\left(p^{2}n\right) + \left(\frac{(-1)^{\lambda}n}{p}\right) p^{\lambda-1}c_{f}(n) + p^{2\lambda-1}c_{f}\left(\frac{n}{p^{2}}\right) \right) q^{n}.$$

It is well-known that

$$\Theta^3 | T_{p^2} = (p+1)\Theta^3. \tag{2.2}$$

Rearranging (2.2) and comparing coefficients we obtain, by (2.2), for $m := n + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$,

$$r_3(7m) = 8r_3\left(\frac{m}{7}\right) - \left(\frac{-\frac{m}{7}}{7}\right)r_3\left(\frac{m}{7}\right) - 7r_3\left(\frac{m}{7^3}\right).$$

The claim follows by (1). \Box

2.3. Formulas in terms of single class numbers

We next turn to formulas for $sc_7(n)$ in terms of a single class number.

Corollary 2.2.

8

(1) For $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ and $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we have

$$\mathrm{sc}_7(n) = \nu_n H(D_n).$$

(2) For $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$, $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7^3}$, and $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we have

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n) = \left(7 + \left(\frac{D_{n}}{7}\right)\right) \nu_{n} H\left(\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}\right).$$

(3) If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\operatorname{sc}_7(n) = \operatorname{sc}_7\left(\frac{n+2}{4} - 2\right).$$

(4) If $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7^2}$, then

$$\operatorname{sc}_7(n) = 7 \operatorname{sc}_7\left(\frac{n+2}{7^2} - 2\right).$$

Remark. For $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we have $7(n+2) \mid D_n$, so $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ implies that $7^2 \mid D_n$, and hence Corollary 2.2 (2) is meaningful.

Proof of Corollary 2.2. (1) Since $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$, the final term in Lemma 2.1 (1) vanishes, giving

$$\mathrm{sc}_7(n) = \frac{1}{48}r_3(7n+14)$$

The claim then follows immediately by plugging in the well-known formula of Gauss (see e.g. [11, Theorem 8.5])

$$r_{3}(n) = \begin{cases} 12H(4n) & \text{if } n \equiv 1,2 \pmod{4}, \\ 24H(n) & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ r_{3}\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) & \text{if } 4 \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

(2) Since $7^3 \nmid (n+2)$, the final term in Lemma 2.1 (2) vanishes, giving

$$\operatorname{sc}_7(n) = \frac{1}{48} \left(7 + \left(\frac{D_n}{7^2} \right) \right) r_3 \left(\frac{n+2}{7} \right).$$

The claim then immediately follows by plugging in (2.3).

(3) Since $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we have $4 \mid (n+2)$, and hence (2.3) and Lemma 2.1 (1) imply the claim.

(4) Since $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7^2}$, $7^3 \mid D_n$, so $7 \mid \frac{D_n}{7^2}$. Hence Lemma 2.1 (1), (2) imply the claim. \Box

2.4. Proof of Corollary 1.5

We next consider the special case that n + 2 is squarefree and use Dirichlet's class number formula to obtain another formula for $sc_7(n)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Note that since n + 2 is squarefree, either $-D_n$ is fundamental (for $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$) or $-\frac{D_n}{7^2}$ is fundamental (for $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$). Dirichlet's class number formula (see e.g. [14, Satz 3]) states that

$$H(|D|) = -\frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{m=1}^{|D|-1} \left(\frac{D}{m}\right) m.$$
(2.4)

By Corollary 2.2 (1), (2) (the conditions given there are satisfied because n+2 is squarefree and thus neither $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ nor $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7^3}$, we have

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n) = \nu_{n} \begin{cases} H(D_{n}) & \text{if } n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}, \\ \left(7 + \left(\frac{\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}}{7}\right)\right) H\left(\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}\right) & \text{if } n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}. \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

Since $-D_n$ is fundamental in the first case and $-\frac{D_n}{7^2}$ is fundamental in the second case, we may plug in (2.4) with $D = -D_n$ in the first case and $D = -\frac{D_n}{7^2}$ in the second case.

Thus for $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ we plug

$$H(D_n) = -\frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{m=1}^{D_n - 1} \left(\frac{-D_n}{m}\right) m$$

into (2.5), while for $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ we plug in

$$H\left(\frac{D_n}{7^2}\right) = -\frac{7^2}{D_n} \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{D_n}{7^2}-1} \left(\frac{-\frac{D_n}{7^2}}{m}\right) m.$$

This yields the claim. \Box

3. Proofs of Corollaries 1.4 and 1.6

This section relates $sc_7(m)$ and $sc_7(n)$ if $\frac{m+2}{n+2}$ is a square.

3.1. A recursion for $sc_7(n)$

In this subsection, we consider the case $\frac{m+2}{n+2} = 2^{2j}7^{2\ell}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1) We have

$$\operatorname{sc}_7((n+2)2^{2\ell}-2) = \operatorname{sc}_7(n).$$

(2) We have

$$\operatorname{sc}_7((n+2)7^{2\ell}-2) = 7^{\ell}\operatorname{sc}_7(n).$$

Proof. (1) Corollary 2.2 (3) gives inductively that for $0 \le j \le \ell$ we have

$$\operatorname{sc}_7((n+2)2^{2\ell}-2) = \operatorname{sc}_7((n+2)2^{2(\ell-j)}-2).$$

In particular, $j = \ell$ yields the claim.

(2) The claim is trivial if $\ell = 0$. For $\ell \ge 1$, Corollary 2.2 (4) inductively yields that for $0 \le j \le \ell$

$$\operatorname{sc}_7((n+2)7^{2\ell}-2) = 7^j \operatorname{sc}_7((n+2)7^{2(\ell-j)}-2).$$

The case $j = \ell$ is precisely the claim. \Box

3.2. Proof of Corollary 1.6

We are now ready to prove Corollary 1.6.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. We first use Lemma 3.1(1), (2) to obtain that

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}\left((n+2)2^{2\ell}f^{2}7^{2r}-2\right) = 7^{r}\operatorname{sc}_{7}\left((n+2)f^{2}-2\right).$$
(3.1)

We split into the case $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ (in which case $-D_n$ is fundamental) and $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$ (in which case $-\frac{D_n}{7^2}$ is fundamental).

First suppose that $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$. We use Corollary 2.2 (1) to obtain

$$sc_7((n+2)f^2-2) = \nu_n H(D_n f^2)$$

We then plug in [4, p. 273] (-D a fundamental discriminant)

$$H\left(Df^{2}\right) = H(D)\sum_{1\leq d|f}\mu(d)\left(\frac{-D}{d}\right)\sigma\left(\frac{f}{d}\right).$$
(3.2)

Hence by Corollary 2.2(1)

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}\left((n+2)f^{2}-2\right) = \operatorname{sc}_{7}(n)\sum_{1\leq d|f}\mu(d)\left(\frac{-D_{n}}{d}\right)\sigma\left(\frac{f}{d}\right),$$

and plugging back into (3.1) yields the corollary in that case.

We next suppose that $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$. First note that since $7 \nmid f$ and n+2 is squarefree, $(n+2)f^2 - 2 \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7^3}$ and $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. We plug in Corollary 2.2 (2), use (3.2) (recall that $-\frac{D_n}{7^2}$ is fundamental), and note that $\left(\frac{\frac{D_n f^2}{7^2}}{7}\right) = \left(\frac{\frac{D_n}{7^2}}{7}\right)$ to obtain that

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}\left((n+2)f^{2}-2\right) = \left(7 + \left(\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}\right)\right)\nu_{n}H\left(\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}\right)\sum_{1\leq d|f}\mu(d)\left(\frac{-\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}}{d}\right)\sigma\left(\frac{f}{d}\right).$$

We then use Corollary 2.2 (2) again and plug back into (3.1) to conclude that

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}\left((n+2)2^{2\ell}f^{2}7^{2r}-2\right) = 7^{r}\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n)\sum_{1\leq d|f}\mu(d)\left(\frac{-\frac{D_{n}}{7^{2}}}{d}\right)\sigma\left(\frac{f}{d}\right)$$

Since $7 \nmid f$, we have $\left(\frac{-\frac{D_n}{7^2}}{d}\right) = \left(\frac{-D_n}{d}\right)$ for $d \mid f$. Therefore the corollary follows. \Box

3.3. Proof of Corollary 1.4

We next rewrite Corollary 2.2 (2) in order to uniformly package Corollary 2.2 (1), (2), and (3). We first require a lemma relating the 7-primitive class numbers H_7 and the Hurwitz class numbers.

Lemma 3.2. For a discriminant -D, we have

$$H_7(D) = H(D) - H\left(\frac{D}{7^2}\right).$$

Proof. A simple manipulation using the inclusion-exclusion principle immediately yields the claimed formula. \Box

To finish the proof of Corollary 1.4, for a fundamental discriminant $-\Delta$, we also require the evaluation of

$$C_{r,\Delta} := \sum_{d|7^r} \mu(d) \left(\frac{-\Delta}{d}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{7^r}{d}\right) - \sum_{d|7^{r-1}} \mu(d) \left(\frac{-\Delta}{d}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{7^{r-1}}{d}\right).$$

A straightforward calculation gives the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$C_{r,\Delta} = 7^{r-1} \left(7 + \left(\frac{\Delta}{7}\right)\right).$$

We are now ready to prove Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. We first consider the case that $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. If $n \not\equiv -2 \pmod{7}$, then Corollary 1.4 follows directly from Corollary 2.2 (1) and Lemma 3.2. For $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$, we choose $r_n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ maximally such that $n \equiv -2 \pmod{7^{2r_n+1}}$ and proceed by induction on r_n . For $r_n = 0$ we have $D_n = \Delta_n f^2 7^2$ with $-\Delta_n$ a funda-

and proceed by induction on r_n . For $r_n = 0$ we have $D_n = \Delta_n f^2 f^2$ with $-\Delta_n$ a mental discriminant and $7 \nmid f$. Since $7 \nmid f$, we have

$$\left(\frac{-\Delta_n f^2}{7}\right) = \left(\frac{-\Delta_n}{7}\right),\,$$

and hence combining Corollary 2.2 (2), (3.2), and Lemma 3.3 gives

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n) = \nu_{n} H(\Delta_{n}) \left(\sum_{d|7} \mu(d) \left(\frac{-\Delta_{n}}{d} \right) \sigma\left(\frac{7}{d} \right) - 1 \right) \sum_{d|f} \mu(d) \left(\frac{-\Delta_{n}}{d} \right) \sigma\left(\frac{f}{d} \right).$$

Noting that $7 \nmid f$ and

$$\sum_{d|f} \mu(d) \left(\frac{-\Delta_n}{d}\right) \sigma\left(\frac{f}{d}\right)$$
(3.3)

is multiplicative, we obtain

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n) = \nu_{n} H(\Delta_{n}) \left(\sum_{d \mid 7f} \mu(d) \left(\frac{-\Delta_{n}}{d} \right) \sigma\left(\frac{7f}{d} \right) - \sum_{d \mid f} \mu(d) \left(\frac{-\Delta_{n}}{d} \right) \sigma\left(\frac{f}{d} \right) \right).$$

We then apply (3.2) again and use Lemma 3.2 to obtain Corollary 1.4 in this case. This completes the base case $r_n = 0$ of the induction.

Let $r \ge 1$ be given and assume the inductive hypothesis that Corollary 1.4 holds for all *n* with $r_n < r$. We then let *n* be arbitrary with $r_n = r$ and show that Corollary 1.4 holds for *n*. By Corollary 2.2 (4), we have

$$\operatorname{sc}_7(n) = 7 \operatorname{sc}_7\left(\frac{n+2}{7^2} - 2\right).$$
 (3.4)

By the maximality of r_n , $7^{2r-1} \mid \frac{n+2}{7^2}$ but $7^{2r+1} \nmid \frac{n+2}{7^2}$, so $r_{\frac{n+2}{7^2}-2} = r-1 < r$ and hence by induction we may plug Corollary 1.4 into the right-hand side of (3.4) to obtain K. Bringmann et al. / Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 183 (2021) 105479 13

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}(n) = 7\nu_{\frac{n+2}{7^{2}}-2}H_{7}\left(D_{\frac{n+2}{7^{2}}-2}\right).$$
(3.5)

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\nu_{\frac{n+2}{7^2}-2} = \nu_n$$
 and $D_{\frac{n+2}{7^2}-2} = \frac{D_n}{7^2}$

and hence (3.5) implies that

$$\operatorname{sc}_7(n) = 7\nu_n H_7\left(\frac{D_n}{7^2}\right).$$

Hence Corollary 1.4 in this case is equivalent to showing that

$$H_7(D_n) = 7H_7\left(\frac{D_n}{7^2}\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Plugging Lemma 3.2 and then (3.2) into both sides of (3.6), cancelling $H(\Delta_n)$, and again using the multiplicativity of (3.3), one obtains that (3.6) is equivalent to $C_{r+1,\Delta_n} = 7C_{r,\Delta_n}$. Since $r \ge 1$, we have $r+1 \ge 2$, and Lemma 3.3 implies that $C_{r+1,\Delta_n} = 7C_{r,\Delta_n}$, yielding Corollary 1.4 for all $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

We finally consider the case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. We choose ℓ maximally such that $n \equiv -2 \pmod{2^{2\ell}}$. Lemma 3.1 (1) implies that

$$\operatorname{sc}_7(n) = \operatorname{sc}_7\left(\left(\frac{n+2}{2^{2\ell}} - 2 + 2\right)2^{2\ell} - 2\right) = \operatorname{sc}_7\left(\frac{n+2}{2^{2\ell}} - 2\right).$$

The choice of ℓ implies that $\frac{n+2}{2^{2\ell}} - 2 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. We may therefore plug in Corollary 1.4 and the definitions (1.2) and (1.3) to conclude that

$$\operatorname{sc}_{7}\left(\frac{n+2}{2^{2\ell}}-2\right) = \nu_{\frac{n+2}{2^{2\ell}}-2}H_{7}\left(D_{\frac{n+2}{2^{2\ell}}-2}\right) = \nu_{n}H_{7}\left(D_{n}\right). \quad \Box$$

4. A combinatorial explanation of Corollary 1.4

Here we provide a combinatorial explanation for Corollary 1.4. We use the theory of abaci, following the construction in [13].

4.1. Abaci, extended t-residue diagrams, and self-conjugate t-cores

Given a partition $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$ with $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_s > 0$ of a positive integer *n* and a positive integer *t*, we next describe the *t*-abacus associated to Λ . This consists of *s* beads on *t* rods constructed in the following way [13]. For every $1 \le j \le s$ define structure numbers by 14 K. Bringmann et al. / Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 183 (2021) 105479

$$B_j := \lambda_j - j + s.$$

For each B_j there are unique integers (r_j, c_j) such that

$$B_j = t(r_j - 1) + c_j,$$

and $0 \leq c_j < t-1$. The *abacus* for the partition Λ is then formed by placing one bead for each B_j in row r_j and column c_j . The *extended t-residue diagram* associated to a *t*-core partition Λ is constructed as follows (see [6, page 3]). Label a cell in the *j*-th row and *k*-th column of the Ferrers–Young diagram of Λ by $k - j \pmod{t}$. We also label the cells in column 0 in the same way. A cell is called *exposed* if it is at the end of a row. The *region* r of the extended *t*-residue diagram of Λ is the set of cells (j, k) satisfying $t(r-1) \leq k-j < tr$. Then we define n_j to be the maximum region of Λ which contains an exposed cell labelled j. As noted in [6], this is well-defined since column 0 contains infinitely many exposed cells.

Example. Let t = 4 and construct the abacus and 4-residue diagram for the partition $\Lambda = (3, 2, 1)$. We begin with the abacus, computing the structure numbers $B_1 = 5$, $B_2 = 3$, and $B_3 = 1$. Then diagrammatically the abacus is

The extended 4-residue diagram of the partition is

Then the exposed cells in this diagram are (1,3), (2,2), and (3,1). One may then determine the region of these cells in the prescribed fashion. For example, the exposed cell (1,3) labelled by 2 belongs to the region 1, and hence $n_2 = 1$.

Using this construction, [13, Theorem 4] reads as follows. Note that this is implicitly proven in [10, Theorem 2.7.16].

Theorem 4.1. Let A be an abacus for a partition Λ , and let m_j denote the number of beads in column j. Then Λ is a t-core partition if and only if the m_j beads in column j are the beads in positions $(1, j), (2, j), \ldots, (m_j, j)$.

Furthermore, using extended t-residue diagrams, the authors of [6] showed the following result.

Lemma 4.2 (Bijection 2 of [6]). Let $P_t(n)$ be the set of t-core partitions of n. There is a bijection $P_t(n) \to \{N := [n_0, \ldots, n_{t-1}] : n_j \in \mathbb{Z}, n_0 + \cdots + n_{t-1} = 0\}$ such that

$$|\Lambda| = \frac{t|N|^2}{2} + B \cdot N, \qquad B := [0, 1, \dots, t-1].$$

When computing the norm and dot-product, we consider N, B as elements in \mathbb{Z}^t .

We call N the *list associated* to the *t*-residue diagram. We now show a relationship between abaci and lists of a partition.

Proposition 4.3. Let $N = [n_0, \ldots, n_{t-1}]$ be the list associated to the extended t-residue diagram of a t-core partition Λ . Let $\ell + s = \alpha_{\ell}t + \beta_{\ell}$ with $0 \leq \beta_{\ell} \leq t - 1$. Then N also uniquely represents the abacus $(\ldots, n_{t-1} + \alpha_{t-1}, n_0 + \alpha_0, n_1 + \alpha_1, \ldots)$, where $n_{\ell} + \alpha_{\ell}$ occurs in position β_{ℓ} of the abacus.

Proof. The largest part λ_1 corresponds to the maximum region of the *t*-residue diagram, and also the lowest right-hand bead on the abacus. Let $m_1 := \max\{n_0, \ldots, n_{t-1}\}$ be achieved at n_{ℓ_1} . Then $\lambda_1 = t(m_1 - 1) + \ell_1 + 1$. For the abacus, we correspondingly find $B_1 = \lambda_1 - 1 + s = t(m_1 - 1) + \ell_1 + s = t(m_1 + \alpha_1 - 1) + \beta_1$, where $\ell_1 + s = \alpha_1 t + \beta_1$ with $0 \le \beta_1 \le t - 1$. Hence we place a bead in the abacus at the slot $(m_1 + \alpha_1, \beta_1)$. Since this is a *t*-core partition, we also know that there are beads in all places above this slot. These beads correspond to other parts in the partition whose labels of exposed cells in the *t*-residue diagram are ℓ_1 but where the exposed cells themselves lie in a lower region. Thus the β_1 -th entry in the abacus takes value $m_1 + \alpha_1$.

Then removing the element n_{ℓ_1} from the list we are left with $[n_0, \ldots, n_{\ell_1-1}, n_{\ell_1+1}, \ldots, n_{t-1}]$. We use the same technique as before, identifying $m_2 := \max\{n_0, \ldots, n_{\ell_1-1}, n_{\ell_1+1}, \ldots, n_{t-1}\}$, achieved at n_{ℓ_2} . We have $k-j \equiv \ell_2 \pmod{t}$ such that $t(m_2-1) \leq k-j < tm_2$, meaning that $\lambda_j = k = t(m_2-1) + \ell_2 + j$. Plugging this in to the formula for the structure numbers we find that $B_j = t(m_2-1) + \ell_2 + s = t(m_2 + \alpha_2 - s) + \beta_2$, where $\ell_2 = \alpha_2 t + \beta_2$ with $0 \leq \beta < t$. Hence we place a bead in the abacus in the slot $(m_2 + \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ and all other slots vertically above this, and so the β_2 -nd entry in the abacus list is given by $m_2 + \alpha_2$. This process continues for each entry of the list.

If this process gives a non-positive value for the slots of the abacus in which beads are to be placed, we define the value in that column of the abacus list to be 0 (it is seen that these values arise from the exposed cells in column 0 of the extended *t*-residue diagram and hence are not a part of the partition). It is clear that the β_{ℓ} run through exactly a complete set of residues modulo *t*, and hence each column in the abacus is represented exactly once. It is easily seen that this process defines a unique abacus for each list *N* (up to equivalency by Lemma 4.5). The converse is also seen to hold. \Box **Remark.** If the resulting abacus A that appears under an application of Proposition 4.3 has a non-zero first column, we may use Lemma 4.5 to rewrite A as an equivalent abacus with a 0 in the first place.

We use Proposition 4.3 to restrict the possible shapes of abaci associated to selfconjugate *t*-core partitions.

Lemma 4.4. Let $t \ge 2$. With the notation defined as in Proposition 4.3, an abacus is selfconjugate if and only if it is of the form $(\ldots, -n_1 + \alpha_1, -n_0 + \alpha_0, n_0 + \alpha_0, n_1 + \alpha_1, \ldots)$.

Proof. The proof of [6, Bijection 2] implies that the elements in the list $[n_0, \ldots, n_{t-1}]$ associated to a self-conjugate partition satisfy the relations $n_{\ell} = -n_{t-\ell-1}$ for every $0 \le \ell \le t-1$. Combining this with Proposition 4.3 immediately yields the claim. \Box

4.2. Self-conjugate 7-cores

We now restrict our attention to abaci of self-conjugate 7-cores. We require [13, Lemma 1], which allows us to form a system of canonical representatives for abaci associated to 7-core partitions. Note that a similar result and following discussion holds for general t.

Lemma 4.5. The two abaci $A_1 = (m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_6)$ and $A_2 = (m_6 + 1, m_0, \ldots, m_5)$ represent the same 7-core partition.

Thus every 7-core partition may be represented by an abacus of the form (0, a, b, c, d, e, f). Then in a similar fashion to Ono and Sze, we find that there is a one-to-one correspondence

 $(0, a, b, c, d, e, f) \leftrightarrow \{\text{all 7-core partitions}\},\$

where a, b, c, d, e, and f are non-negative integers. We thus assume that the first column in each abacus has no beads. We next use Lemma 4.4 to considerably reduce the number of abaci we need to consider.

Lemma 4.6. Assume that A = (0, a, b, c, d, e, f) is an abacus for a self-conjugate 7-core partition and recall that s = a + b + c + d + e + f. Let $s \not\equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

- (1) Assume that s = 7r. Then f = 2r, a + e = 2r, b + d = 2r, c = r.
- (2) Assume that s = 7r + 1. Then a = 2r + 1, b + f = 2r, c + e = 2r, d = r.
- (3) Assume that s = 7r + 2. Then b + a = 2r + 1, c = 2r + 1, d + f = 2r, e = r.
- (4) Assume that s = 7r + 3. Then b + c = 2r + 1, a + d = 2r + 1, e = 2r + 1, f = r.
- (5) Assume that s = 7r + 5. Then d + e = 2r + 1, c + f = 2r + 1, b = 2r + 2, a = r + 1.
- (6) Assume that s = 7r + 6. Then e + f = 2r + 1, d = 2r + 2, a + c = 2r + 2, b = r + 1.

The different types of abaci for self-conjugate 7-core partitions.	
Type of partition	Shape of abaci
Ι	(0, a, b, r, 2r - b, 2r - a, 2r)
II	(0, 2r + 1, a, b, r, 2r - b, 2r - a)
III	(0, a, 2r + 1 - a, 2r + 1, b, r, 2r - b)
IV	(0, a, b, 2r + 1 - b, 2r + 1 - a, 2r + 1, r)
V	(0, r+1, 2r+2, a, b, 2r+1-b, 2r+1-a)
VI	(0, a, r+1, 2r+2 - a, 2r+2, b, 2r+1 - b)

Table 1

Proof. We prove (1). By Proposition 4.3 we see that A corresponds to the list [-r, a - r]r, b-r, c-r, d-r, e-r, f-r. Using Lemma 4.4 and the fact that s = 7r, the conditions are easy to determine. The other cases follow in the same way. $\hfill\square$

Remarks.

- (1) It is clear how a similar result to Lemma 4.6 may be obtained for all self-conjugate t-cores.
- (2) The lack of the case $s \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ in Lemma 4.6 follows from the fact that there are no self-conjugate (2t-1)-core partitions with $s \equiv t \pmod{(2t-1)}$, which may be seen by inspecting the upper-left cell in the Ferrers–Young diagram of such a partition.

Lemma 4.6 shows that the abaci of self-conjugate 7-core partitions naturally fall into one of the distinct families given in Table 1, enumerated with parameters $a, b, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We relate the families of partitions to quadratic forms, with the relationship shown in the following proposition. For brevity, we write only triples without \pm signs - it is clear that changing the sign on any entry preserves the result.

Proposition 4.7. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be given.

(1) The Type I partition with parameters a, b, and r is a partition of n if and only if

$$7n + 14 = (7r + 3)^{2} + (7r + 2 - 7a)^{2} + (7r + 1 - 7b)^{2}.$$

(2) The Type II partition with parameters a, b, and r is a partition of n if and only if

$$7n + 14 = (7r + 4)^{2} + (7r + 2 - 7a)^{2} + (7r + 1 - 7b)^{2}$$

(3) The Type III partition with parameters a, b, and r is a partition of n if and only if

$$7n + 14 = (7r + 5)^{2} + (7r + 4 - 7a)^{2} + (7r + 1 - 7b)^{2}$$

Table 2 The different types of associated lists for self-conjugate 7-core partitions.	
Type of partition	Shape of associated list
Ι	[-r, a - r, b - r, 0, r - b, r - a, r]
II	[r+1, a-r, b-r, 0, r-b, r-a, -r-1]
III	[a - r, r + 1, b - r, 0, r - b, -r - 1, r - a]
IV	[a - r, b - r, r + 1, 0, -r - 1, r - b, r - a]
V	[a - r, b - r, -r - 1, 0, r + 1, r - b, r - a]
VI	[b-r, -r-1, a-r-1, 0, r+1-a, r+1, r-b]

(4) The Type IV partition with parameters a, b, and r is a partition of n if and only if

$$7n + 14 = (7r + 6)^2 + (7r + 5 - 7a)^2 + (7r + 4 - 7b)^2.$$

(5) The Type V partition with parameters a, b, and r is a partition of n if and only if

$$7n + 14 = (7r + 8)^{2} + (7r + 5 - 7a)^{2} + (7r + 4 - 7b)^{2}$$

(6) The Type VI partition with parameters a, b, and r is a partition of n if and only if

$$7n + 14 = (7r + 9)^2 + (7r + 8 - 7a)^2 + (7r + 4 - 7b)^2.$$

Proof. We only prove (1). Combining the definition with Proposition 4.3, the Type I partition Λ with parameters a, b, and r has the associated list [-r, a - r, b - r, 0, r - b, r - a, r]. By Lemma 4.2, we thus have

$$n = |\Lambda| = 7\left(r^2 + (a-r)^2 + (b-r)^2\right) + (a-r) + 2(b-r) + 4(r-b) + 5(r-a).$$

Hence we see that

$$7n + 14 = 49 \left(r^2 + (a - r)^2 + (b - r)^2 \right)$$

+ 7 (a - r + 2(b - r) + 4(r - b) + 5(r - a) + 6r) + 14
= 147r^2 + 49a^2 + 49b^2 + 84r - 98ar - 98br - 28a - 14b + 14.

This is exactly the expansion of

$$(7r+3)^2 + (7r+2-7a)^2 + (7r+1-7b)^2.$$

The other cases follow in the same way, using the associated lists in Table 2. \Box

Proposition 4.7 shows that for each self-conjugate 7-core of n there is a representation of $7n + 14 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ as the sum of three squares with none of x, y, z divisible by 7. Define

$$J(7n+14) := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \colon x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 7n + 14, \text{ and } x, y, z \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}\}$$

Let $K(7n+14) := J(7n+14)/\sim$ where $(x, y, z) \sim (x', y', z')$ if (x', y', z') is any permutation of the triple (x, y, z), including minus signs i.e., $(-x, y, z) \sim (x, y, z)$. Then it is easy to see that we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.8. There is an isomorphism between self-conjugate 7-core partitions and K(7n + 14).

Remark. Corollary 4.8 gives a combinatorial explanation for Lemma 2.1 (1). We then obtain an explanation of Corollary 1.4 via the following exposition, using Gauss' bijective map from solutions of the equation $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 7n + 14$ to primitive binary quadratic forms in certain class groups.

We elucidate the case $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ (a similar story holds for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$). By Gauss's [7, article 278], for each representation of 7n + 14 as the sum of three squares there corresponds a primitive binary quadratic form of discriminant -28n - 56. This correspondence is invariant under a pair of simultaneous sign changes on the triple (x, y, z). Explicitly, the correspondence is given by the following. For $(x, y, z) \in J(7n + 14)$ let $(m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0, n_1, n_2)$ be an integral solution to

$$x = m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1, \qquad y = m_2 n_0 - m_0 n_2 \qquad z = m_0 n_1 - m_1 n_0,$$

where a solution is guaranteed by Gauss's [7, article 279]. Then

$$(m_0u + n_0v)^2 + (m_1u + n_1v)^2 + (m_2u + n_2v)^2$$

is a form in CL(-28n - 56). Further, this map is independent of $(m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0, n_1, n_2)$. Hence, similarly to [13], we find a map ϕ taking self-conjugate 7-cores to binary quadratic forms of discriminant -28n - 56 given by

$$\phi: \Lambda \to A \to N \to (x, y, z) \to (m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0, n_1, n_2) \to \text{binary quadratic form.}$$
 (4.1)

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We first assume that $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$. It is well-known (see e.g. [7, article 291]) that we have $|\operatorname{CL}(-28n-56)| = 2^{r-1}k$, where k is the number of classes per genus, and 2^{r-1} is the number of genera in $\operatorname{CL}(-28n-56)$. Fix f_1, \ldots, f_k to be representatives of the k classes of the unique genus of $\operatorname{CL}(-28n-56)$ that ϕ maps onto. As in [13] we say that (x, y, z) and f_i are represented by $(m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0, n_1, n_2)$ if

$$x = m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1, \qquad y = m_2 n_0 - m_0 n_2, \qquad z = m_0 n_1 - m_1 n_0,$$

and

$$(m_0u + n_0v)^2 + (m_1u + n_1v)^2 + (m_2u + n_2v)^2 = f_j,$$

respectively. Let \mathfrak{M} denote the set of all tuples $(m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0, n_1, n_2)$ that represent some pair (x, y, z) and f_j . By Gauss's [7, article 291], we have $|\mathfrak{M}| = 3 \cdot 2^{r+3}k$, and each f_j is representable by $3 \cdot 2^{r+3}$ elements in \mathfrak{M} . It is clear that all representatives f_j have $(x, y, z) \in J(7n + 14)$. Note that the elements $(m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0, n_1, n_2)$ and $(-m_0, -m_1, -m_2, -n_0, -n_1, -n_2)$ both map to the same form in K(7n + 14), and there are no other such relations. Since each class in K(7n + 14) corresponds to $8 \cdot 6$ different triples, we see that each element in K(7n + 14) has $\frac{3 \cdot 2^{r+3}}{8 \cdot 6 \cdot 2} = 2^{r-2}$ different preimages. Hence the set of self-conjugate 7-cores is a 2^{r-2} -fold cover of this genus. To see that the genus is non-principal, we note as in [13, Remark 3 ii)] that to be in the principal genus, one of x, y, z would need to vanish. However, this is guaranteed to not happen by the congruence conditions on elements in K(7n + 14). The case where $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ is similar.

Finally, for $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, one uses the simple fact that if the sum of three squares is congruent to 0 modulo 4, then all squares must be even. Iterating this eventually reduces it to one of the cases covered above or the $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ case. \Box

5. Other t and Conjecture 1.8

In this section we consider other values of t, proving Conjecture 1.8 in the cases $t \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ and offering partial results if t > 5.

5.1. The cases $t \in \{2, 3\}$

With $\eta(\tau) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 - q^n)$ the usual *Dedekind eta-function*, [13, (3)] and [1, Theorem 13] give the generating functions of $c_2(n)$ and $sc_3(n)$ as

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} c_2(n)q^n = q^{-\frac{1}{8}} \frac{\eta(2\tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)}, \qquad \sum_{n\geq 1} sc_3(n)q^n = q^{-\frac{1}{3}} \frac{\eta(2\tau)^2 \eta(3\tau)\eta(12\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(4\tau)\eta(6\tau)}$$

These are modular forms of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ and levels 2 and 12, respectively. It is a classical fact that each is a lacunary series, i.e., that the asymptotic density of its non-zero coefficients is zero (for example, see the discussion after [8, (2)]). We immediately see that

$$c_2(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = \frac{j(j+1)}{2} \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, [6, (7.4)] stated that

$$sc_3(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = j(3j \pm 2) \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From these, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that is both a triangular number and satisfies $n = j(3j \pm 2)$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $sc_3(n) = c_2(n) = 1$.

Clearly, there are progressions on which both $sc_3(n)$ and $c_2(n)$ trivially vanish. For example, we have $sc_3(4n+3) = c_2(3n+2) = 0$. For t = 3 we simply observe the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. There are no arithmetic progressions on which c_3 and sc_5 are integer multiples of one-another, even asymptotically.

Proof. Comparing the explicit descriptions for $c_3(n)$ and $sc_5(n)$ given in [9, Theorem 6] and [6, Theorem 7] respectively immediately yields the claim. \Box

5.2. The case t = 5

In [6, Theorem 4], Garvan, Kim, and Stanton proved that

$$\mathbf{c}_5(n) = \sigma_5(n+1),$$

where $\sigma_5(n) := \sum_{d|n} (\frac{d}{5}) \frac{n}{d}$ denotes the usual twisted divisor sum. Furthermore, Alpoge provided an exact formula for $sc_9(n)$ in [1, Theorem 10]:

$$\begin{aligned} &27 \operatorname{sc}_9(n) = \\ & \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\sigma(3n+10) + a_{3n+10}(36a) - a_{3n+10}(54a) - a_{3n+10}(108a) & \text{if } n \equiv 1,3 \pmod{4} \,, \\ &\sigma(3n+10) + a_{3n+10}(36a) - 3a_{3n+10}(54a) - a_{3n+10}(108a) & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \,, \\ &\sigma(k) + a_{3n+10}(36a) - 3a_{3n+10}(54a) - a_{3n+10}(108a) & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \,, \end{aligned} \right. \end{aligned}$$

where k is odd and is defined by $3n + 10 = 2^e k$ where $e \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is maximal such that $2^e \mid (3n + 10)$. Here, the $a_n(E)$ are the coefficients appearing in the Dirichlet series for the L-function of the elliptic curve E. The curve 36a is $y^2 = x^3 + 1$, the curve 54a is $y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 + 12x + 8$, and the curve 108a is $y^2 = x^3 + 4$.

Proposition 5.3. There are no arithmetic progressions on which $27 \operatorname{sc}_9(n)$ and $\operatorname{c}_5(n)$ are asymptotically equal up to an integral multiplicative factor.

Proof. Applying the Hasse–Weil bound for counting points on elliptic curves as in [1, (13)] and letting $n \to \infty$ we have, for $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, that

22 K. Bringmann et al. / Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 183 (2021) 105479

$$\frac{27\,\mathrm{sc}_9(n)}{\mathrm{c}_5(3n+9)} \sim \frac{\sigma(3n+10)}{\sigma_5(3n+10)}$$

and for $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

$$\frac{27\operatorname{sc}_9(n)}{\operatorname{c}_5(n)} \sim \frac{\sigma(k)}{\sigma_5(n+1)}.$$

It is then enough to show that σ_5 is never constant along arithmetic progressions, i.e., the limit is not constant. To see this, consider an arithmetic progression $n \equiv m \pmod{M}$. Let ℓ be any prime which does not divide (3m + 10)M and for which $\left(\frac{\ell}{5}\right) = -1$. For each prime $p \neq \ell$ that lies in the congruence class of the inverse of $\ell \pmod{3M}$ and is relatively prime to 5(3m + 10) we may construct $n(p) = n_{\ell}(p)$ such that

$$3n(p) + 10 = (3m + 10)p\ell.$$

Note that 3n(p) + 10 lies in the arithmetic progression. A straightforward calculation shows that if the limit exists, then

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\sigma(3n(p) + 10)}{\sigma_5(3n(p) + 10)} = \pm \frac{1 + \ell}{1 - \ell} \frac{\sum_{d|(3m+10)} d}{\sum_{d|(3m+10)} \left(\frac{d}{5}\right) d}.$$

Since ℓ is arbitrary and there are infinitely many choices of ℓ by Dirichlet's primes in arithmetic progressions theorem, this is a contradiction. \Box

5.3. The case $t \ge 6$

And erson showed in [2, Theorem 2] that, for $t \ge 6$ and $n \to \infty$,

$$c_t(n) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{t-1}{2}} A_t(n)}{t^{\frac{t}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{t-1}{2}\right)} \left(n + \frac{t^2 - 1}{24}\right)^{\frac{t-3}{2}} + O\left(n^{\frac{t-1}{2}}\right),$$
(5.1)

where

$$A_t(n) := \sum_{\substack{k \ge 1\\ \gcd(t,k)=1}} k^{\frac{1-t}{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \le h < k\\ \gcd(h,k)=1}} e\left(-\frac{hn}{k}\right) \psi_{h,k}$$

for a certain 24k-th root of unity $\psi_{h,k}$ independent of n. As Anderson remarked, it is possible to show that $0.05 < A_t(n) < 2.62$, although A_t varies depending on both t and n.

In a similar vein, Alpoge showed in [1, Theorem 3] that, for $r \ge 10$ odd and $n \to \infty$, we have

K. Bringmann et al. / Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 183 (2021) 105479 23

$$\operatorname{sc}_{r}(n) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{r-1}{4}} \mathcal{A}_{r}(n)}{(2r)^{\frac{r-1}{4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{r-1}{4}\right)} \left(n + \frac{r^{2} - 1}{24}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{4} - 1} + O_{r}\left(n^{\frac{r-1}{8}}\right),$$
(5.2)

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{r}(n) := \sum_{\substack{\gcd(k,r)=1\\k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}}} (2,k)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} k^{\frac{1-r}{4}} \sum_{\substack{0 \le h < k\\\gcd(h,k)=1}} e\left(-\frac{hn}{k}\right) \chi_{h,k}$$

with $\chi_{h,k}$ a particular 24-th root of unity independent of n. Moreover, [1, (86) and (87)] imply that $0.14 < \mathcal{A}_r(n) < 1.86$.

Remark. Inspecting the asymptotic behaviours given in (5.1) and (5.2), it is clear that the only possibility of arithmetic progressions where the two asymptotics of $c_t(n)$ and $sc_r(n)$ are integer multiples of one another is r = 2t - 1.

The following lemma provides partial results on Conjecture 1.8.

Lemma 5.4. For $t \ge 6$ and $t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ there are no arithmetic progressions on which $c_t(n)$ and $sc_{2t-1}(n)$ are integer multiples of one another.

Proof. Using equations (5.1) and (5.2) we find that, for $M_1, M_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{c_t(M_1n+m_1)}{sc_{2t-1}(M_2n+m_2)} \sim \frac{(4t-2)^{\frac{t-1}{2}}A_t(M_1n+m_1)}{4^{\frac{t-3}{2}}t^{\frac{t}{2}}\mathcal{A}_{2t-1}(M_2n+m_2)} \frac{\left(24(M_1n+m_1)+t^2-1\right)^{\frac{t-3}{2}}}{\left(6(M_2n+m_2)+t^2-t\right)^{\frac{t-3}{2}}},$$

as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, for the two growing powers of n to be equal and cancel on arithmetic progressions, it is not difficult to see that we must also have that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. \Box

To prove Conjecture 1.8 it remains to consider the cases where $t \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. We easily find that for the powers of n to be equal we must have

$$M_2 = 4M_1, \qquad m_2 = 4m_1 + \frac{t^2 - 1}{6}.$$

It would therefore suffice to show that

$$\frac{(4t-2)^{\frac{t-1}{2}}A_t(M_1n+m_1)}{4^{\frac{t-3}{2}}t^{\frac{t}{2}}\mathcal{A}_{2t-1}\left(4M_1n+4m_1+\frac{t^2-1}{6}\right)}$$

is never constant as n runs. However, this appears to be a difficult problem, and we leave Conjecture 1.8 open.

References

- [1] L. Alpoge, Self-conjugate core partitions and modular forms, J. Number Theory 140 (2014) 60–92.
- J. Anderson, An asymptotic formula for the t-core partition function and a conjecture of Stanton, J. Number Theory 128 (2008) 2591–2615.
- [3] K. Bringmann, B. Kane, Class numbers and representations by ternary quadratic forms with congruence conditions, Math. Comput. (2021), accepted for publication.
- [4] H. Cohen, Sums involving the values at negative integers of L-functions of quadratic characters, Math. Ann. 217 (1975) 271–285.
- [5] P. Fong, B. Srinivasan, The blocks of finite general linear and unitary groups, Invent. Math. 69 (1982) 109-153.
- [6] F. Garvan, D. Kim, D. Stanton, Cranks and t-cores, Invent. Math. 101 (1990) 1–17.
- [7] C. Gauss, A. Clarke, Disquisitiones arithmeticae, Yale University Press, 1965.
- [8] A. Granville, K. Ono, Defect zero p-blocks for finite simple groups, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 348 (1996) 331–347.
- [9] M. Hirschhorn, J. Sellers, Elementary proofs of various facts about 3-cores, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 79 (2009) 507–512.
- [10] G. James, A. Kerber, The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 16, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1981.
- [11] K. Ono, The Web of Modularity: Arithmetic of the Coefficients of Modular Forms and q-Series, CMBS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 102, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2004.
- [12] K. Ono, W. Raji, Class numbers and self-conjugate 7-cores, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 180 (May 2021) 105427, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2021.105427.
- [13] K. Ono, L. Sze, 4-core partitions and class numbers, Acta Arith. 80 (1997) 249–272.
- [14] D. Zagier, Zetafunktionen und quadratische Körper, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.