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1. Introduction and Statement of results

In this paper we study Poincaré series of small weight for the generalized Jacobi group

\[ \Gamma_g^J := \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{Z}^g) \quad (g \in \mathbb{N}). \]

We show that they form a generating system for the vector space of Jacobi cusp forms \( J_{\text{cusp}}^{k,m} \). As two applications, we estimate Fourier coefficients of Siegel modular forms and construct lifting maps from \( J_{\text{cusp}}^{k,m} \) to a subspace of elliptic modular forms. It is likely that one can generalize our results to certain congruence subgroups as done in [Br2].

In the following, let \( k \) and \( n \) be positive integers, \( r \in \mathbb{Z}^g \), and \( m \) a positive definite symmetric half-integral (i.e., \( 2m \) has integral entries and even diagonal elements) \( g \times g \) matrix such that \( D := \det \left( \frac{2n r}{r} \right) \) is positive. For \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) and \((\tau, z) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^g\), we define the Jacobi-Poincaré series of exponential type

\[
P_{k,m; (n,r), s}(\tau, z) := \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma_g^J)_\infty \backslash \Gamma_g^J} \left( \frac{v}{|c\tau + d|^2} \right)^s e^{n,r|\gamma(\tau, z)}.
\]

Here \( e^{n,r}(\tau, z) := e(n\tau + r^t z) := e^{2\pi i (n\tau + r^t z)} \), \((\Gamma_g^J)_\infty := \{ ((\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}), (0, \mu)) | n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^g \}\), \( v := \text{Im}(\tau) \), and \( |k,m| \) is the usual slash operator for the Jacobi group defined in Section 2. One can show (see [Br1]) that this series is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent on \( \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^g \) if \( \sigma := \text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}(g^2 - k + 2) \). If \( s = 0 \) and \( k > g + 2 \), then we obtain the usual Jacobi-Poincaré series as defined in [GKZ] and [BK]. By construction we have

\[
P_{k,m; (n,r), s}(k,m, \gamma(\tau, z)) = P_{k,m; (n,r), s}(\tau, z) \quad (\text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_g^J, (\tau, z) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^g).
\]

In Section 3 we show that the Poincaré series \( P_{k,m; (n,r), s} \) have an analytic continuation to \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2}(\frac{g}{2} - k + 2) \) following [BK] and [Br2]. Then we observe that the corresponding Petersson coefficients formula holds in this range too via analytic continuation, a point missed in [BK] and [Br2]. This enables us to extend their results to a larger domain with a shorter proof.

Theorem 1.1. Assume the notation above.

(1) The series \( P_{k,m; (n,r), s} \) has an analytic continuation to \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2}(\frac{g}{2} - k + 2) \) given by its Fourier expansion. Moreover, if \( s + k - \frac{g}{2} + 1 \) is not a non-positive integer, and \( \phi(\tau, z) = \sum n', r' c(n', r') e (n'\tau + r'z) \in J_{k,m}^{\text{cusp}} \), then one has

\[
\langle \phi, P_{k,m; (n,r), s} \rangle = \lambda_{k,m,D,s} \cdot c(n,r).
\]
where
\[ \lambda_{k,m,D,s} = 2^{-k-s+1} \cdot \Gamma\left(k - \frac{g}{2} - 1 + s\right) \cdot \pi^{-k + \frac{g}{2} + 1 - s} \cdot (\det(2m))^{k - \frac{g}{2} - \frac{3}{2} + s} \cdot D^{-k + \frac{g}{2} + 1 - s}. \]

(2) If \( k > \frac{g}{2} + 2 \), then the series \( P_{k,m,(n,r)} \) generate the space of Jacobi cusp forms \( J_{\text{cusp}} \) and we have for \( \phi(\tau,z) = \sum_{n',r'} c(n',r') e(n'\tau + r'z) \in J_{k,m,0} \)

\[ \langle \phi, P_{k,m,(n,r)} \rangle = \lambda_{k,m,D} \cdot c(n,r), \]

where \( \lambda_{k,m,D} = \lambda_{k,m,D,0} \).

In Section 4, we estimate the Fourier coefficients \( b_{n,r} \) of the Poincaré series \( P_{k,m,(n,r)} \) for \( k \geq g + 1 \) and \( g > 2 \). The case \( k \geq g + 2 \) is contained in [BK] and [Br2]. These estimates require using Theorem 1.1 and then estimating sums involving Kloosterman sums and Bessel functions. In the case \( k = g + 1 \) refined estimates for Kloosterman sums are required. We split the summation into more ranges than in [BK] and [Br2], use different estimates for Kloosterman sums in each range, and then optimize the cutoff points. This enables us to obtain estimates of the same quality as in [BK] and [Br2]. We show

**Theorem 1.2.** If \( k \geq g + 1 \) and \( g > 2 \), then

\[ |b_{n,r} \left( P_{k,m,(n,r)} \right) | \ll_k 1 + D^2 \cdot \det(2m)^{-\frac{g+1}{2} + \epsilon}. \]

Section 5 contains the main result of this paper. We enlarge the range for estimates to \( k > \frac{g}{2} + 2 \). The ideas used here are fundamentally different from those contained in [BK] and [Br2]. We employ the theta decomposition to reduce the estimation of the the Poincaré series \( P_{k,m,(n,r)} \) to the estimation of the Fourier coefficients of certain one dimensional Poincaré series. The difficulty here is that those Poincaré series involve multiplier systems which are not characters. We show

**Theorem 1.3.** If \( k > \frac{g}{2} + 2 \), then

\[ \left| b_{n,r} \left( P_{k,m,(n,r)} \right) \right| \ll_k 1 + \frac{D}{\det(2m)}. \]

As an application of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we obtain estimates for Fourier coefficients of Siegel modular forms for a much wider range than known before. Let us first describe what is known. Let \( F \) be a cusp form of weight \( k \) with respect to the Siegel modular group \( \Gamma_g := \text{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \) with Fourier coefficients \( a(T) \), where \( T \) is a positive definite symmetric half-integral \( g \times g \) matrix. It is well-known that

\[ a(T) \ll_F \left( \det T \right)^{-\frac{k}{2}}. \]

Resnikoff and Saldaña (cf. [RS]) conjectured that for every \( \epsilon > 0 \)

\[ a(T) \ll_{\epsilon,F} \left( \det T \right)^{-\frac{k}{2} - \frac{g+1}{4} + \epsilon}. \]

For \( g = 1 \) this conjecture is true (cf.[De] and [DS]), but for arbitrary \( g \) there are known counter examples (cf. [K2]). For \( k \geq g + 1 \), the best known estimate is

\[ a(T) \ll_{\epsilon,F} \left( \det T \right)^{-\frac{k}{2} - c_g + \epsilon}, \]
where

\[ c_g := \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } g = 2 \quad \text{[BK]}, \\
\frac{1}{4} & \text{if } g = 3 \quad \text{[Bre]}, \\
\frac{1}{2g} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{g}\right)\alpha_g & \text{if } g > 3 \quad \text{[BK], [Br2]}. 
\end{cases} \]

Here

\[ \alpha_g^{-1} := 4(g - 1) + 4 \left[ \frac{g - 1}{2} \right] + \frac{2}{g + 2}. \]

(1.9)

One directly sees that \( c_g \to 0 \) for \( g \to \infty \) (i.e., far from (1.7)).

To see how we can use Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 to obtain estimates for \( a(T) \), we write \( Z \in \mathbb{H}_g \) as

\[ Z = (\tau, z \tau^t), \]

where \( \tau \in \mathbb{H}, z \in \mathbb{C}^{g-1}, \) and \( \tau' \in \mathbb{H}_{g-1}. \) Then the function \( F(Z) \) has a so-called Fourier-Jacobi expansion

\[ F(Z) = \sum_{m > 0} \phi_m(\tau, z) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(m\tau')}, \]

where \( m \) runs through all positive definite symmetric half-integral \((g - 1) \times (g - 1)\) matrices, and where the coefficients \( \phi_m(\tau, z) \) are Jacobi cusp forms. Since the estimates in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are uniform in \( m \), we can use them to obtain estimates for \( a(T) \). This was first observed by Kohnen [K1] for \( g = 2 \), and generalized to general \( g \) by Kohnen and Böcherer for \( k > g + 1 \). The case \( k = g + 1 \) was considered in [Br2] using the "Hecke trick". Here we enlarge the range of weights to \( k > \frac{g+3}{2} \). For \( k = g \), we obtain estimates of the same quality as in [BK]. For \( \frac{g+3}{2} < k < g \), we have a slightly weaker bound. Using Theorem 1.2 and 1.3, we show

**Theorem 1.4.** We have for \( k \geq g \):

\[ a(T) \ll (\det T)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{2g} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{g}\right)\alpha_g + \epsilon}. \]

**Theorem 1.5.** We have for \( k > \frac{g+3}{2} \):

\[ a(T) \ll (\det T)^{\frac{k}{2} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{g}\right)\alpha_g + \epsilon}. \]

As another application of Theorem 1.1, we generalize results of [GKZ] and [Br3] and construct lifting maps from the vector space of Jacobi cusp forms to a certain subspace of elliptic modular forms. In their paper "Heegner points and derivatives of \( L \)-series II" [GKZ], Gross, Kohnen, and Zagier constructed certain lifting maps in the dimension 1 case of Jacobi forms, to obtain deep formulas relating height pairings of Heegner points to coefficients of Jacobi forms. In [Br3] lifting maps for higher genus were constructed for \( k \geq \frac{g+3}{2} \). Using Theorem 1.1, we can extend this to \( k \geq 3 \), independent of \( g \). Armed with the result of [Br3] and the one obtained here, following the approach of [EZ], one should then be able to develop a theory of newforms and hopefully use the Eichler-Shimura trace formula for elliptic cusp forms to compare the Hecke actions on these spaces in a nice compatible way. One then expects explicit formulas that express the central critical values of Hecke \( L \)-functions of elliptic Hecke eigenforms as squares of Fourier coefficients of generalized Jacobi forms.

Here we consider the case of general genus and all weights \( k \geq 3 \). In the following, let \( n_0, k, g \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( g \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \), and \( m \) a positive definite symmetric half-integral \( g \times g \) matrix \( r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^g \), \( D_0 := \det \left( \frac{2n_0 r'_0}{r_0 2m} \right) > 0 \) (under certain additional restrictions given in Section 7).
For an integer \( l \), let \( S_k(l)^{-} \) be the subspace of elliptic cusp forms with respect to \( \Gamma_0(l) \) that have eigenvalue \(-1\) under the Fricke involution. We define the following lifting maps.

**Definition 1.6.** For \( \phi \in J_{k+\frac{\sigma + 1}{2},m}^{cusp} \) and \( w \in \mathbb{H} \), we define

\[
S_{D_0,r_0}(\phi)(w) := 2^{1-g} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{d|n} \left( \frac{-D_0}{d} \right) d^{k-1} c_{\phi} \left( \frac{n^2}{d^2}, \frac{n}{d} r_0 \right) \right) e^{2\pi i n w},
\]

where \( c_{\phi}(n,r) \) is the \((n,r)\)-th Fourier coefficient of \( \phi \), and where \( \left( \frac{\cdot}{D} \right) \) denotes the usual Kronecker symbol. For \( f \in S_{2k} \left( \frac{1}{2} \det(2m) \right)^{-} \) and \( (\tau, z) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^g \), we define

\[
S_{D_0,r_0}^\ast(f)(\tau, z) := \left( \frac{i}{\det(2m)} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{D > 0} r_{k, \frac{1}{2} \det(2m), D_0 D, r_0 (2m)^{+} r^t, -D_0}(f) \cdot e^{2\pi i (n \tau + r^t z)},
\]

where \( D := \det \left( \frac{2n}{r}, \frac{r^t}{2m} \right), n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^g \), and where \( r_{k, \frac{1}{2} \det(2m), D_0 D, r_0 (2m)^{+} r^t, -D_0}(f) \) is a certain cycle integral, defined in Section 7.

Using Theorem 1.1, we show as in [GKZ] and [Br3]

**Theorem 1.7.** Assuming the hypotheses in Section 7, the following are true:

1. If \( \phi \) is an element of \( J_{k+\frac{\sigma + 1}{2},m}^{cusp} \), then the function \( S_{D_0,r_0}(\phi)(w) \) is an element of \( S_{2k}(\frac{1}{2} \det(2m))^{-} \).
2. If \( f \in S_{2k} \left( \frac{1}{2} \det(2m) \right)^{-} \), then the function \( S_{D_0,r_0}^\ast(f)(\tau, z) \) is an element of \( J_{k+\frac{\sigma + 1}{2},m}^{cusp} \).
3. The maps \( S_{D_0,r_0} \) and \( S_{D_0,r_0}^\ast \) are adjoint with respect to the Petersson scalar products.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic facts about Jacobi cusp forms. In Section 3 we show the analytic continuation of the series \( P_{k,m; (n,r),s}(\tau, z) \) to \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g}{2} - k + 2 \right) \) and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we consider the case \( k = g + 1 \) and show Theorem 1.2, refining arguments used in [Br2]. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.3. Using the theta decomposition, we reduce the estimation of the Poincaré series \( P_{k,m; (n,r),s}(\tau, z) \) to the estimation of the Fourier coefficients of certain one dimensional Poincaré series. This approach differs from the one used in [K1], [BK], and [Br2]. In Section 6 we combine the results of Sections 3-5 to obtain Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. Section 7 is devoted to the construction of the lifting maps and the proof of Theorem 1.7.
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**2. Basic facts about Jacobi cusp forms**

Here we recall some basic facts about Jacobi cusp forms. For details we refer the reader to [EZ] and [Zi]. The Jacobi group \( \Gamma_g \) acts on \( \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^g \) in the usual way by

\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \cdot (\lambda, \mu) := \left( \frac{a \tau + b}{c \tau + d}, \frac{z + \lambda \tau + \mu}{c \tau + d} \right).
\]
Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ be a half-integer, $m$ be a positive definite symmetric half-integral $g \times g$ matrix, 
\[ \gamma = \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu) \right) \in \Gamma_g, \text{ and } \phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. \]
Then we define the following action
\[ \phi|_{k,m} \gamma(\tau, z) := (c\tau + d)^{-k} \cdot e(-c(c\tau + d)^{-1}m[z + \lambda \tau + \mu] + m[\lambda \tau + 2\lambda'mz]) \cdot \phi(\gamma \circ (\tau, z)), \]
where $e(w) := e^{2\pi i w}$ ( $\forall w \in \mathbb{C}$), and where $A[B] := B^t AB$ for matrices $A$ and $B$ of compatible sizes. Moreover we write $w^\frac{1}{2} := \sqrt{r} \cdot e^{i\phi}$ if $w = r \cdot e^{i\phi}$ with $-\pi < \phi \leq \pi$.

A holomorphic function $\phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a Jacobi cusp form of weight $k$ and index $m$ with respect to $\Gamma_g$, if for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ we have $\phi|_{k,m} \gamma(\tau, z) = \phi(\tau, z)$, and $\phi$ has a Fourier expansion of the form
\[ \phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{D > 0} c(n, r)e(n\tau + r^t z), \]
where $D := \det \begin{pmatrix} 2n & r^t \\ r & 2m \end{pmatrix}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}^g$. Let us denote by $J_{k,m}^{cusp}$ the vector space of these Jacobi cusp forms. It is a finite dimensional Hilbert space with the Petersson scalar product
\[ \langle \phi, \psi \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^g} \phi(\tau, z) \cdot \overline{\psi(\tau, z)} \cdot v^k \cdot \exp(-4\pi m[y] \cdot v^{-1}) \, dV_g, \]
where $dV_g = v^{-g-2} du \, dv \, dx \, dy$, $\tau = u + iv$, and $z = x + iy$.

3. Analytic continuation of $P_{k,m;(n,r),s}$ and Petersson coefficient formula

In this section we show the analytic continuation of the Poincaré series $P_{k,m;(n,r),s}$ defined in (1.1) and prove Theorem 1.1. The proof is basically the same as in [Br2] except for the simple observation in Lemma 3.3. For the convenience of the reader, we outline the argument here and refer to [Br2] for more detail.

**Lemma 3.1.** ([Br2], Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4) The Poincaré series has an analytic continuation to $\sigma > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g}{2} - k + 2 \right)$ given by the Fourier expansion
\[ P_{k,m;(n,r),s}(\tau, z) = \sum_{n', r' \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^g} g_{k,m;(n,r);s,v}^{\pm}(n', r')e(n'\tau + r'^t z), \]
where
\[ g_{k,m;(n,r);s,v}^{\pm}(n', r') := g_{k,m;(n,r);s,v}(n', r') + (-1)^k g_{k,m;(n,r);s,v}(n', -r'). \]
Here
\[ g_{k,m;(n,r);s,v}(n', r') := v^s \cdot \delta_m(n, r, n', r') + \sum_{c \geq 1} H_{m,c}(n, r, n', r') \cdot \Phi_{k,m,c,v}(n', r', s) \cdot c^{-k-2s}, \]
where
\[ \delta_m(n, r, n', r') := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D' = D, r' \equiv r \, \text{ (mod } 2m\mathbb{Z}^g), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]
with $D' := \det \begin{pmatrix} 2n' & r^t \\ r & 2m \end{pmatrix}$. Finally
\[ H_{m,c}(n, r, n', r') := \sum_{x \equiv y \pmod{c}} e_c((m[x] + r^t x + n)\bar{y} + n'y + r'^t x). \]
Lemma 3.3. Formulas (1.3) and (1.4) are true. From the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [Br2] it follows that the Fourier coefficients of \( P \) are independent of \( v \).

Moreover

\[
\Phi_{k,m,c,v}(n', r', s) := (\text{det}(2m))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot i^{-\frac{g}{2}} \cdot v^{\frac{g}{2} - k - s + 1} \cdot e_{2c}(r'm - r') \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u + i)^{\frac{g}{2} - k - s} \cdot (u - i)^{-s} \cdot e\left(-(2 \text{det}(2m))^{-1}\left(D'v(u + i) + \frac{D}{ve^{2}(u + i)}\right)\right) \, du.
\]

We next show that in the case \( s = 0 \) the Poincaré series are Jacobi cusp form.

Lemma 3.2. For \( k > \frac{g}{2} + 2 \) the function \( P_{k,m}(n,r,\rho) := P_{k,m}(n,r,0)(\tau, z) \) is an element of \( J_{k,m}^{\text{cusp}} \). It has the Fourier expansion

\[
P_{k,m}(n,r,\rho)(\tau, z) = \sum_{D' > 0} g_{k,m,n,r}(n', r') e(n'\tau + r'z),
\]

where

\[
g_{k,m,n,r}(n', r') := g_{k,m,n,r}(n', r') + (-1)^{k} g_{k,m,n,r}(n', -r'),
\]

with

\[
g_{k,m,n,r}(n', r') := \delta_{m}(n, r, n', r') + 2\pi i^{k} \cdot (\text{det}(2m))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (D'/D)^{\frac{g - 2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} \\
\times \sum_{c \geq 1} e_{2c}(r'm - r') \cdot H_{m,c}(n, r, n', r') \cdot J_{k - \frac{g}{2} - 1} \left(\frac{2\pi \sqrt{D'D}}{\text{det}(2m)} \cdot c\right) \cdot c^{-\frac{g}{2} - 1}.
\]

Proof. The fact that \( P_{k,m,n,r}(\tau, z) \) satisfies the correct transformation law under \( \Gamma_{g}^{J} \) follows directly from (1.2). Plugging \( s = 0 \) into Lemma 3.1 gives that it has the correct Fourier expansion. One can show exactly as in [Br2] that the Fourier coefficients of \( P_{k,m}(n,r,\rho)(\tau, z) \) are independent of \( v = \text{Im}(\tau) \) and are 0 unless \( D' > 0 \). Now the proof follows as in [BK] page 504.

We next show that the Petersson coefficient formula holds.

Lemma 3.3. Formulas (1.3) and (1.4) are true.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [Br2] it follows that the Fourier coefficients of \( P_{k,m}(n,r,\rho) \) have at most polynomial growth and the coefficients of \( P_{k,m}(n,r) \) have exponential decay. Together with (1.2) and Lemma 3.2, this implies that the left hand sides of (1.3) and (1.4) are well defined and absolutely convergent. For \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2}(g - k + 2) \) formula (1.3) follows by the usual unfolding argument as in [Br2]. The series \( P_{k,m}(n,r,\rho) \) has an analytic continuation to \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2}(\frac{g}{2} - k + 2) \). On the other hand, the right hand side of (1.3) clearly has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, with at most simple poles at \( s \) satisfying \( s + k - \frac{g}{2} + 1 \leq 0 \) is an integer. Now the lemma is clear.

Lemma 3.3 implies that \( P_{k,m}(n,r) \) form a generating system for \( J_{k,m}^{\text{cusp}} \) whenever \( k > \frac{g}{2} + 2 \). Moreover we obtain an estimate for the Fourier coefficients of a Jacobi cusp form.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that \( k > \frac{g}{2} + 2 \) and \( \phi \in J_{k,m}^{\text{cusp}} \) with Fourier coefficients \( c(n,r) \). Then we have

\[
|c(n,r)| \ll_{k} |b_{n,r}(P_{k,m}(n,r))|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{D^{\frac{g}{2} - \frac{g+1}{2}}}{(\text{det} 2m)^{\frac{g}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(g+3)}} \| \phi \| .
\]
Using (1.4) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we find
\[ |c(n,r)|^2 = \lambda_{k,m,D}^{-2} \cdot |\langle \phi, P_{k,m,(n,r)} \rangle|^2 \]
\[ \leq \lambda_{k,m,D}^{-2} \cdot \| \phi \|^2 \cdot \langle P_{k,m,(n,r)}, P_{k,m,(n,r)} \rangle = \lambda_{k,m,D}^{-1} \cdot b_{n,r}(P_{k,m,(n,r)}) \cdot \| \phi \|^2 \]
which immediately gives the lemma. \qed

4. THE CASE \( k = g + 1 \) AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we estimate the Fourier coefficients of the Poincaré series \( P_{k,m,(n,r)} \) for the special case \( k = g + 1 \) with \( g > 2 \). By Lemma 3.2 we have to estimate
\[ S_{m,n,r} := \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-\frac{g}{2}-1} |H_{m,c}(n,r,n,\pm r)| \left| J_{\frac{g}{2}} \left( \frac{2\pi D}{\det(2m)c} \right) \right|. \]

Estimating (4.1) requires more care than was necessary for the estimates in [Br2]. We use more refined estimates for Kloosterman sums, and split the summation into 3 ranges. In each range, we use different estimates for Kloosterman sums and Bessel functions and then optimize the cutoff points. This enables us to obtain estimates of the same quality as in [BK] and [Br2].

We need the following two estimates on Kloosterman sums which can be found in [BK] and [Br1] (implicitly in the proof of the analytic continuation of the Poincaré series), respectively:
\[ |H_{m,c}(n,r,n,\pm r)| \ll c^{g+\epsilon} \cdot (D,c), \]
\[ |H_{m,c}(n,r,n,\pm r)| \leq (2\det(2m)D)^{\frac{g}{2}} \cdot c^{\frac{g}{2}+1+\epsilon}. \]

Moreover, for \( l, x > 0 \), we have (see [Ba] pages 4 and 74)
\[ J_{l}(x) \ll \min \left\{ x^{-\frac{1}{2}}, x^{l} \right\}. \]

Now write
\[ S_{m,n,r} = \sum_{d|D} \sum_{c \geq 1} (cd)^{-\frac{g}{2}-1} |H_{m,c}(n,r,n,\pm r)| \left| J_{\frac{g}{2}} \left( \frac{A}{c} \right) \right|, \]
with \( A = \frac{2\pi D}{\det(2m)} \). We first estimate
\[ B_{m,n,r,d} := \sum_{c \geq 1} (cd)^{-\frac{g}{2}-1} |H_{m,c}(n,r,n,\pm r)| \left| J_{\frac{g}{2}} \left( \frac{A}{c} \right) \right|. \]

For this we split the sum into three parts. A part with \( c \leq A \), a part with \( A \leq c \leq B \) and a part with \( c \geq B \), where \( B := (D \cdot \det(2m))^{\frac{g}{2}} \). To estimate the sum with \( c \leq A \) we use the first estimate in (4.4) and (4.2). Thus we can estimate the contribution to \( B_{m,n,r,d} \) against
\[ A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot d^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \sum_{c \leq A} c^{\frac{g}{2}-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} = d^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot A^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon}. \]
Next we estimate the sum in the range $A \leq c \leq B$. For this we use the second estimate in (4.4) and (4.2). This shows that the contribution to $B_{m,n,r,d}$ can be estimated against

$$d^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot A^{\frac{g}{2}} \sum_{A \leq c \leq B} c^{-1+\epsilon} \ll d^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot A^{\frac{g}{2}} \cdot B^{\epsilon}. \tag{4.7}$$

To estimate the sum with $B \leq c$ we use the second estimate in (4.4) and (4.3). This shows that the contribution to $B_{m,n,r,d}$ can be estimated against

$$\text{det}(2m) \cdot A^{\frac{g}{2}} \cdot d^{\epsilon} \sum_{c \geq B} c^{-\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \ll d^{\epsilon} \cdot \text{det}(2m) \cdot A^{\frac{g}{2}} \cdot B^{-\frac{g}{2}+1+\epsilon}. \tag{4.8}$$

Recall our choice $B = (D \cdot \text{det}(2m))^4$. Combining (4.6), (4.7), and (4.8) gives

$$B_{m,n,r,d} \ll d^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot A^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot (\text{det}(2m)\cdot D)^{\epsilon} \ll D^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot \text{det}(2m)^{-\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

Thus

$$S_{m,n,r} \ll \text{det}(2m)^{-\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot D^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \sum_{d|D} 1 \ll \text{det}(2m)^{-\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot D^{\frac{g}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

This immediately gives (1.5) in Theorem 1.2.

5. Theta Decomposition of Poincare Series

In this section we study the Poincaré series defined in (1.1) by using its theta decomposition. Let $T = \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ r/2 \\ m \end{array} \right)$ be a positive definite half-integral $(g + 1) \times (g + 1)$ matrix with $D = \text{det}(2T) = t (n - \frac{1}{4} m^{-1}[r]) > 0$ and $t := 2 \text{det}2m$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}^g/2m\mathbb{Z}^g$, we define the theta series

$$\Theta_l(\tau, z) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e \left( m \left[ \lambda + \frac{1}{2} m^{-1} l \right] \tau \right) = 2 \left( \lambda + \frac{1}{2} m^{-1} l \right)^t m z \right). \tag{5.1}$$

This theta series is known to be a Jacobi form of weight $\frac{g}{2}$ for the principal congruence Jacobi group $\Gamma(t) \times (\mathbb{Z}^g \times \mathbb{Z}^g)$. In fact, the vector-valued theta function

$$\Theta(\tau, z) := (\Theta_l(\tau, z))_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^g/2m\mathbb{Z}^g} \tag{5.2}$$

has the following transformation law for every $M \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\Theta|_{\frac{g}{2},m} M(\tau, z) := \left( \Theta_l|_{\frac{g}{2},m} M(\tau, z) \right)_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^g/2m\mathbb{Z}^g} = U(M)\Theta(\tau, z) \tag{5.3}$$

for some unitary matrix $U(M)$. Let $\chi_i^j(M)$ be the $(i,j)$-th entry of $U(M)$.

**Lemma 5.1.** Assuming the notation from above we have:

1. $|\chi_i^j(M)| \leq 1$.
2. $U(\gamma M) = U(M\gamma) = U(M)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma(t)$.
3. $\chi_i^j\left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) M \right) = e\left( -\frac{D percept}{c} c \right) \chi_i^j(M)$.
4. $\chi_i^j(-M) = i^{-q} \chi_{-j}^i(M)$.
Proof. (1) is clear from the fact that $U(M)$ is unitary. (2) follows from the fact that $\Theta_l|_{g/2}(\frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{t}) = A\Theta$, where $A$ is the diagonal matrix with the $(n, l)$th entry being $e\left(\frac{D_{bh}}{t}\right)$, with $D_t := t (n - \frac{1}{4} m^{-1}[l])$. (3) follows from the fact that $\Theta_l|_{g/2}(2)$ follows from the fact that $\Theta_l|_{g/2}(l)$ follows from $\Theta_l|_{g/2}(-I) = i^g \Theta_{-l}$, where $I$ is the identity matrix. □

By Lemma 5.1, it is easy to see that the Poincaré series

\begin{equation}
P_{s,l}(\tau) := v^s \sum_{M \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \chi_l(M) \cdot (ct + d)^{-k + \frac{g}{2}} \cdot |ct + d|^{-2s} \cdot e\left(\frac{D(a \tau + b)}{t(ct + d)}\right)
\end{equation}

is well-defined and is a (non-holomorphic) modular form of weight $k - \frac{g}{2}$ for $\Gamma(t)$. We remark that it is not a modular form for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ since $\chi_l^1$ is not a character. The following proposition describes how this Poincaré series is related to the Jacobi Poincaré series $P_{k,m;\tau}(\tau, z)$.

**Proposition 5.2.** One has

\[ P_{k,m;\tau}(\tau, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^g/2m\mathbb{Z}^g} \Theta_l(\tau, z) P_{s,l}(\tau). \]

In particular, $b_{n,r}(P_{k,m;\tau}) = b_{P,\tau}(P_{s,r})$, with $b_{P,\tau}(P_{s,r})$ the $\frac{D}{t}$-th Fourier coefficient of $P_{s,r}$.

Proof. We choose the elements $((\frac{a}{b}, \frac{c}{d}), (a \lambda, b \lambda))$ as a set of representatives of $(\Gamma^J_\infty \setminus \Gamma^J_\infty)$, where $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(c, d) = 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^g$, and where, for each pair $(c, d)$, we have chosen $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ad - bc = 1$. It is not hard to see from the definition that

\[ P_{k,m;\tau}(\tau, z) = \frac{v^s}{t} \sum_{M \in \Gamma(t)\setminus\Gamma} (ct + d)^{-k + \frac{g}{2}} \cdot |ct + d|^{-2s} \cdot e\left(\frac{n(a \tau + b)}{ct + d} + z t \frac{1}{ct + d}\right) \]

\[ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e\left(\{m[\lambda] + r^t \lambda\} \tau + 2 \lambda^t mz\right) |_{\frac{g}{2}, m} M. \]

Rewriting

\[ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e\left(\{m[\lambda] + r^t \lambda\} \tau + 2 \lambda^t mz\right) = e\left(-\frac{1}{4} m^{-1} [r^t] \tau - r^t z\right) \Theta_{r}(\tau, z) \]

one sees from (5.3) that $P_{k,m;\tau}(\tau, z)$ equals

\[ \frac{v^s}{t} \sum_{M \in \Gamma(t)\setminus\Gamma} (ct + d)^{-k + \frac{g}{2}} \cdot |ct + d|^{-2s} \cdot e\left(\frac{D(a \tau + b)}{t(ct + d)}\right) \cdot \Theta_{r}|_{\frac{g}{2}, m} M(\tau, z) \]

\[ = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^g/2m\mathbb{Z}^g} \Theta_l(\tau, z) \cdot P_{s,l}(\tau), \]

as claimed. Since $P_{s,l}$ is independent of $z$, it is easy to check the identity between the Fourier coefficients in the proposition. □

Proposition 5.2 leads to the study of the one variable Poincaré series $P_{s,l}(\tau)$. As usual, we break the sum in $P_{s,l}$ into three parts: $c = 0$, $c > 0$, and $c < 0$. For $c \neq 0$ we use the identity

\[ \frac{a \tau + b}{ct + d} = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{1}{c^2 (\tau + \frac{d}{c})}. \]
and write \( d \) as \( d + \lambda tc \) with \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( d \) running modulo \( ct \). Here we need \( P_{s,l}(\tau + t) = P_{s,l}(\tau) \), which follows from Lemma 5.1. A simple calculation gives

\[
(5.5) \quad P_{s,l}(\tau) = v^s \left( 1 + (-1)^k \right) e \left( \frac{D\tau}{t} \right) + v^s \sum_{c > 0} \sum_{d \equiv \lambda t \pmod{tc}} \left( \chi_l^c(c, d) + (-1)^k \chi_{-l}(c, d) \right) F_s \left( \tau + \frac{d}{c} \right).
\]

Here for \( M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \)

\[
\chi_l^c(c, d) := \chi_l^c(M) e \left( \frac{Da}{tc} \right),
\]

is well-defined (independent of the choice of \( M \)) by Lemma 5.1, and

\[
(5.6) \quad F_s(\tau) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} (\tau + \lambda t)^{-k} \cdot (\tau + \lambda t)^{-2s} \cdot e \left( -\frac{D}{tc^2} (\tau + \lambda t) \right).
\]

**Lemma 5.3.** The function \( F_s(\tau) \) has Fourier expansion

\[
F_s(\tau) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_{k,c,v}(\mu, s) \cdot e \left( \frac{\mu \tau}{t} \right),
\]

with

\[
(5.7) \quad \Phi_{k,c,v}(\mu, s) = \mu^{-k+\frac{q}{2}+2s} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\mu w}{c^2 v(u+i)} \right) du.
\]

Moreover,

1. If \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{q}{2} - k \right) \), then the coefficients \( \Phi_{k,c,v}(\mu, s) \) are holomorphic functions in \( s \).
2. If \( K \) is any compact set in the right half plane \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{q}{2} - k \right) \), then we have for \( s \in K \)

\[
\Phi_{k,c,v}(\mu, s) \ll_{K, c, t} e^{2\pi \mu v_1},
\]

where \( v_1 \) is a positive constant.

3. The function \( F_s(\tau) \) has an analytic continuation to \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{q}{2} - k \right) \).
4. If \( k > \frac{q}{2} + 1 \), then \( F_0(\tau) \) is a holomorphic function of \( \tau \) with Fourier expansion

\[
(5.8) \quad F_0(\tau) = 2\pi i \sum_{\mu > 0} \left( \frac{D}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(g+2-2k)} \cdot c^{k-\frac{q}{2}-1} \cdot J_{k-\frac{q}{2}-1} \left( \frac{4\pi \sqrt{D\mu}}{ct} \right) \cdot e(\mu \tau).
\]

**Proof.** Clearly \( F_s(\tau) \) has period \( t \) and thus a Fourier expansion

\[
F_s(\tau) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\mu}(v) \cdot e \left( \frac{\mu \tau}{t} \right),
\]

where

\[
a_{\mu}(v) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t F_s(\tau) \cdot e \left( -\frac{\mu \tau}{t} \right) du = \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau^{-k-\frac{q}{2}+s} \cdot e \left( -\frac{D}{tc^2 \tau} - \frac{\mu \tau}{t} \right) du.
\]
Making the substitution \( u \mapsto uv \) gives (5.7).

Claim (1) follows directly from Lemma 3.5 of [Br1] with \( c_1 = k - \frac{g}{2}, c_2 = \frac{v\mu}{t}, \) and \( c_3 = \frac{D}{tc^2v} \).

(2) follows from (1).

(3) If \( k > \frac{g}{2} + 1 \), then one has
\[
\Phi_{k,c,v}(\mu, 0) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{iv-\infty}^{iv+\infty} \tau^{\frac{g}{2} - k} \cdot e\left(-\frac{1}{t}\left(\mu \tau + \frac{D}{c^2 \tau}\right)\right) d\tau.
\]

For \( \mu > 0 \), the substitution \( \tau = i \cdot \left(\frac{D}{c}\right)^{1/2} \cdot w \) gives
\[
\Phi_{k,c,v}(\mu, 0) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{w' - i\infty}^{w' + i\infty} \tau^{\frac{g}{2} + 1 - k} \cdot w^{\frac{g}{2} - k} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{2\pi \sqrt{D\mu}}{ct} (w - w^{-1})\right) dw
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{t} \left[i \cdot \sqrt{\frac{D}{\mu}}\right]^{\frac{g}{2} + 1 - k} \cdot 2\pi i \cdot J_{k - \frac{g}{2} - 1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{D\mu}}{ct}\right)
\]

as claimed. The vanishing of the Fourier coefficients for \( \mu \leq 0 \) can be established if we deform the path of integration up to infinity. \( \square \)

From this we obtain the Fourier expansion of \( P_{s,l}(\tau) \). Combining the following theorem with Proposition 5.2 gives another proof of the analytic continuation of the Poincaré series \( P_{k,m,(n,r),s}(\tau, z) \).

**Theorem 5.4.**  (1) The function \( P_{s,l}(\tau) \) has an analytic continuation to \( \sigma > \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g}{2} + 2 - k\right) \) with the following Fourier expansion:
\[
P_{s,l}(\tau) = v^s \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{\mu}(P_{s,l}) \cdot e\left(\frac{\mu \tau}{t}\right)
\]

with
\[
b_{\mu}(P_{s,l}) = \left(1 + (-1)^k\right) \cdot \delta_{\mu,D} + \sum_{c>0} c^{\frac{g}{2} - 2s} \cdot K_\mu(c, tc) \cdot \Phi_{k,c,v}(\mu, s).
\]

Here \( \delta_{\mu,D} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = D, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \)

and
\[
K_\mu(c, tc) := \sum_{d \equiv (c,d) = 1 \pmod{tc}} e\left(\frac{\mu d}{tc}\right) \left(\chi_l^r(c, d) + (-1)^k \chi_{-l}^r(c, d)\right).
\]

(2) If \( k > \frac{g}{2} + 2 \), then \( P_r(\tau) := P_{0,r}(\tau) \) has the Fourier expansion:
\[
P_r(\tau) = \sum_{\mu > 0} b_{\mu}(P_r) \cdot e\left(\frac{\mu \tau}{t}\right)
\]

where
\[
b_{\mu}(P_r) = \left(1 + (-1)^k\right) \cdot \delta_{\mu,D} - 2\pi i^{\frac{g}{2} - k} \left(\frac{D}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(g+2-2k)} \sum_{c>0} \frac{1}{tc} K_\mu(c, tc) \cdot J_{k - \frac{g}{2} - 1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{D\mu}}{tc}\right).
\]
Proof. (1) The Fourier expansion of $P_{s,l}(\tau)$ follows from (5.5) and Lemma 5.3 for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2} (-k + \frac{g}{2} + 1)$. Notice that
\begin{equation}
|K_\mu(c, tc)| \leq 2tc.
\end{equation}
Now, using the Fourier expansion and Lemma 5.3 (1), one sees that for $\sigma > \frac{1}{2} (\frac{g}{2} + 2 - k)$
\begin{align*}
|b_\frac{\nu}{\tau} (P_{s,l})| & \ll_{K, \nu, D, t} \delta_\mu, D + e^{\frac{2\pi\nu}{t}(1 - \text{sign}(\mu)v_1)} \sum_{c > 0} c^{\frac{g}{2} + 1 - k - 2\sigma} \ll_{K, \nu, D, t} \delta_\mu, D + e^{\frac{2\pi\nu}{t}(1 - \text{sign}(\mu)v_1)}.
\end{align*}
Thus
\begin{equation}
|P_{s,l}(\tau)| \ll_{K, \nu, D, t} 1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi|\mu|v_1}{t}},
\end{equation}
which is absolutely convergent. This proves (1).

(2) By Lemma 5.3 and (1), one sees that $P_\nu(\tau)$ is a holomorphic function of $\tau$ in the upper half plane. The Fourier expansion formula follows from (1) and Lemma 5.3. □

Corollary 5.5. (Theorem 1.3) Assuming the hypothesis above, we have
\begin{equation}
|b_{n,r} (P_{k,m; (n,r), s})| = |b_{\frac{D}{\nu}} (P_{0,r})| \ll_{k} 1 + \frac{D}{\text{det}(2m)}.
\end{equation}

Proof. The first identity is already contained in Proposition 5.2. Set $A := \frac{4\pi D}{t}$. Similarly to the proof of (1.5), Theorem 5.4, (5.9), and (4.4) give,
\begin{equation}
|b_{\frac{D}{\nu}} (P_{0,r})| \ll_{k} 1 + \sum_{c > 0} |J_{k - \frac{g}{2} - 1} \left( \frac{A}{c} \right) | \ll_{k} 1 + \frac{D}{\text{det}(2m)}.
\end{equation}

□

6. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.4 AND 1.5

Here we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. For this we recall the following Lemma from [BK].

Lemma 6.1. If $\phi_m$ is the $m$th Fourier Jacobi coefficient of a Siegel cusp form $F$, then we have
\begin{equation}
\| \phi_m \| \ll_{\epsilon, F} (\text{det} 2m)^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_g + \epsilon},
\end{equation}
where $\alpha_g$ is defined in (1.9).

Define
\begin{equation}
m_{g-1}(T) := \min \{T[U]_{g-1} | U \in \text{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z}) \},
\end{equation}
where $T[U]_{g-1}$ denotes the determinant of the leading $(g - 1)$ rowed submatrix of $T[U]$. To prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5, we may assume that $T = \left( \begin{array}{c} n \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} m \end{array} \right)$ with $\text{det} m = m_{g-1}(T)$ since both sides of the estimates are invariant under replacing $T$ with $T[U]$ with $U \in \text{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z})$. Now by Lemma 3.4 (with $g - 1$ instead of $g$) and Lemma 6.1
\begin{equation}
a(T) \ll |b_{n,r} (P_{k,m; (n,r)})| \ll_{\frac{1}{2}} D^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{g}{4} - \frac{1}{4} \cdot (\text{det}(2m))^\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \alpha_g + \epsilon}.
\end{equation}
We now use this estimate to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. Recall $D = \text{det}(2T)$. 
Proof of Theorem 1.4. From Theorem 1.3 we get
\[ b_{n,r} \left( P_{k,m;(n,r)} \right) \ll \det(2m)^{-\frac{g}{2}} \left( \det(2m)^{\frac{g}{2}} + D^{\frac{g-1}{2}} \cdot \det(2m)^{\frac{g-1}{2}} \right). \]
Combining this with (6.1) gives
\[ a(T) \ll \det(2m)^{-\frac{g}{2}-\alpha g + \epsilon} \cdot D^{\frac{g-1}{2}} \cdot \det(2m)^{\frac{g}{2}} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot \det(2m)^{\frac{g}{2}} + D^{\frac{g-1}{2}} \cdot \det(2m)^{\frac{g}{2}}. \]
Using reduction theory we can assume that
\[ \det m = m_{g-1}(T) \ll (\det T)^{1-\frac{1}{g}}. \]
This directly gives Theorem 1.4. \qed

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By (6.1) and Corollary 5.5, we have
\[ a(T) \ll \det(2m)^{-\frac{g}{2}-\alpha g + \epsilon} \cdot D^{\frac{g-1}{2}} \cdot \det(2m)^{\frac{g}{2}} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot \det(2m)^{\frac{g}{2}} + D^{\frac{g-1}{2}} \cdot \det(2m)^{\frac{g}{2}}. \]
Theorem 1.5 now follows directly using (6.2). \qed

7. Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section we construct lifting maps from the vector space of Jacobi cusp forms to a subspace of elliptic modular forms. Since we have shown the properties of the Poincaré series given in Theorem 1.1, we can proceed as in [Br2]. For the reader convenience we recall the arguments here. First we recall some facts from [GKZ] about quadratic forms, the generalized genus character, and geodesic cycle integrals. For \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \) let us define the integral binary quadratic form
\[ [a, b, c](x, y) := ax^2 + bxy + cy^2. \]
The group \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) acts on these forms in the usual way by
\[ [a, b, c] \circ \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{array} \right)(x, y) := [a, b, c](\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{Z}). \]
Let \( \Delta > 0 \) be a discriminant (of a binary quadratic form) and denote by \( D_\Delta \) the set of integral binary quadratic forms with discriminant \( \Delta = 4ac - b^2 > 0 \). Furthermore for a positive integer \( l \), denote by \( D_{l,\Delta} \) the subset of \( D_\Delta \) of all quadratic forms with the additional condition that \( a \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \). Moreover for integers \( \rho \pmod{2l} \) with \( \Delta \equiv \rho^2 \pmod{4l} \), let
\[ D_{l,\Delta,\rho} := \{ [a, b, c] \in D_\Delta \mid a \equiv 0 \pmod{l} \}, b \equiv \rho \pmod{2l} \}. \]
Both sets \( D_{l,\Delta} \) and \( D_{l,\Delta,\rho} \) are \( \Gamma_0(l) \) invariant. For a fundamental discriminant \(-D_0\) that divides \( \Delta \) with \(-D_0\) and \(-\Delta / D_0\) are squares \( \pmod{4l} \), define for \( Q = [al, b, c] \in D_{l,\Delta} \) the generalized genus character:
\[ \chi_{D_0}(Q) := \begin{cases} \left( \frac{-D_0}{n} \right) & \text{if } (a, b, c, D_0) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]
Here \( n \) is an integer coprime to \( D_0 \) represented by the form \([a_1, b, c_2]\) for some decomposition \( l = l_1l_2 \), \( l_i > 0 \) \((i = 1, 2)\). It is easy to show that such an \( n \) always exists and that the value of \( \left( \frac{-D_0}{n} \right) \) is independent of the choice of \( l_1, l_2, \) and \( n \).
Define for $f \in S_{2k}(l)$ and $Q = [a, b, c] \in \mathcal{D}_{l, \Delta, \rho}$ the cycle integral
\[ r_{k,l,Q}(f) := \int_{\gamma_Q} f(z) \cdot Q(z, 1)^{k-1} \, dz, \]
where $\gamma_Q$ is the image in $\Gamma_0(l) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ of the semicircle $a|z|^2 + bx + c = 0$ ($x = \text{Re}(z)$), orientated from $-\frac{b+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2a}$ to $-\frac{b-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2a}$ if $a \neq 0$ or, if $a = 0$, the vertical line $bx + c = 0$, orientated from $-\frac{c}{b}$ to $\infty$ if $b > 0$ and from $\infty$ to $-\frac{c}{b}$ if $b < 0$. It is not hard to see that the above definition makes sense (i.e., the integral is invariant with respect to the subgroup of $\Gamma_0(l)$ preserving $Q$) and depends only on the $\Gamma_0(l)$ equivalence class of $Q$. Furthermore, we define
\[ r_{k,l,\Delta,\rho,D_0}(f) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{l, \Delta, \rho}/\Gamma_0(l)} \chi_{D_0}(Q) \cdot r_{k,l,Q}(f). \]

Next we define a kernel functions for the cycle integrals
\[ f_{k,l,\Delta,\rho,D_0}(z) := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_{l, \Delta, \rho}} \frac{\chi_{D_0}(Q)}{Q(z, 1)^k} \quad (z \in \mathbb{H}). \]
It is known from [GKZ] that the series $f_{k,l,\Delta,\rho,D_0}(z)$ is absolutely and locally uniformly convergent for $k > 1$ and is an element of $S_{2k}(l)^-$. Moreover for $k = 1$ the series is continued, using the “Hecke-trick”, and again is an element of $S_{2k}(l)^-$. 

**Lemma 7.1.** The Fourier expansion of $f_{k,l,\Delta,\rho,D_0}(z)$ ($k \geq 1$) is given by
\[ f_{k,l,\Delta,\rho,D_0}(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c^\pm_{k,l}(m, \Delta, \rho, D_0) e^{2\pi i m z}, \]
where
\[ c^\pm_{k,l}(m, \Delta, \rho, D_0) := c_{k,l}(m, \Delta, \rho, D_0) + (-1)^{k+1} c_{k,l}(m, \Delta, -\rho, D_0), \]
with
\[ c_{k,l}(m, \Delta, \rho, D_0) := \left( \frac{2\pi}{l} \right)^k \cdot (2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot \left( m^2 / \Delta \right)^{k-1} \cdot D_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \epsilon_l(m, \Delta, \rho, D_0) \]
\[ + i^{k+1} \cdot \pi \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot (m^2 / \Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \sum_{a \geq 1} (la)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot S_{la}(m, \Delta, \rho, D_0) \cdot J_{k-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{\pi m \sqrt{\Delta}}{la} \right) \]

Here
\[ \epsilon_l(m, \Delta, \rho, D_0) := \begin{cases} \frac{D_0}{f(m)} & \text{if } \Delta = D_0^2 \cdot f^2 (f > 0), \ f|m, \ -D_0f \equiv \rho \pmod{2l}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]
\[ S_{la}(m, \Delta, \rho, D_0) = \sum_{\substack{b(2la) \equiv 0 (2l) \\
 b^2 \equiv \Delta (4la) \}} \chi_{D_0} \left( \left[ a, b, \frac{b^2 - \Delta}{4la} \right] \right) \cdot e \left( \frac{mb}{2la} \right). \]

The following theorem is known from [GKZ].
Theorem 7.2. For $f \in S_{2k}(l)^-$ we have
\[
\langle f, f_{k,l,\Delta,s,D_0} \rangle = \pi \cdot \left( \frac{2k-2}{k-1} \right) \cdot 2^{-2k+2} \cdot \Delta^{-k+1/2} \cdot \tau_{k,l,\Delta,s,D_0} (f),
\]
where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual Petersson scalar product for elliptic cusp forms with respect to $\Gamma_0(l)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Before we give the proof, we state the needed conditions precisely as follows:

1. $-D_0$ is a a square (mod $\frac{1}{2} \det(2m)$) and is a fundamental discriminant.
2. If $p|\gcd \left( \frac{1}{2} \det(2m), D_0 \right)$, then $\ord_p \left( \frac{1}{2} \det(2m) \right) \leq \ord_p (D_0)$.
3. If $2 \not\equiv p |\gcd \left( \frac{1}{2} \det(2m), D_0 \right)$, then there always exists a matrix $U \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, such as $(2m)[U] \equiv \text{diag}(m_1, \cdots, m_{g-1}, 0) \pmod{p}$. We require $\prod_{1 \leq i < g} m_i$ to be a square (mod $p$).

Quadratic forms with the above conditions indeed exist (for an example see [Br1]). It can easily be shown that the last two conditions are satisfied if $\det(2m) \cdot D_0$ is square-free. Moreover it can be shown for $g = 1$ that the conditions are equivalent to the conditions given in [GKZ].

To prove Theorem 1.7, define

\[
(7.1) \quad \Omega_{k,m,D_0,r_0} (w; \tau, z) := c_{k,m,D_0} \cdot \sum_{D > 0} D^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot f_{k,\frac{1}{2} \det(2m),D_0D,\tau(2m)^*r_0,D_0} (w) \cdot e(n \tau + r^\prime z),
\]

where

\[
c_{k,m,D_0} := \frac{(-2i)^{k-1} \cdot D_0^{k-\frac{1}{2}}}{\left( \frac{1}{2} \det(2m) \right)^{k-1} \cdot \pi \cdot (2k-2)^{\frac{k}{2}}}.
\]

Here for a matrix $A$ we denote by $A^*$ the adjoint matrix of $A$. One can easily see, using the Fourier expansion of $f_{k,\frac{1}{2} \det(2m),D_0D,\tau(2m)^*r_0,D_0} (w)$, that the series $\Omega_{k,m,D_0,r_0} (w; \tau, z)$ is absolutely convergent. As a function of $w$ it is clearly an element of $S_{2k} \left( \frac{1}{2} \det(2m) \right)^-$. On the other hand, the same argument as in [Br3], Section 3 gives

\[
(7.2) \quad \Omega_{k,m,D_0,r_0} (w; \tau, z) = c_{k,m,D_0} \cdot \frac{\tau^{k-1} \cdot (2\pi)^k}{(k-1)!} \cdot \sum_{l \geq 1} \left( \sum_{dd'=1} \left( \frac{-D_0}{d} \right) \cdot d^{k-1} \cdot d'^{k-1} \cdot P_{k+\frac{2l+1}{2} \cdot m, (nod^2, rd')} (\tau, z) \right) \cdot e^{2\pi ilw}.
\]

This shows that $\Omega_{k,m,D_0,r_0} (w; \tau, z)$ is a Jacobi form in the variables $\tau$ and $z$. Now for $f \in S_k \left( \frac{1}{2} \det(2m) \right)^-$ we have by Theorem 7.2 and (7.1) that

\[
(7.3) \quad S_{D_0,r_0} (f) (\tau, z) = \langle f, \Omega_{k,m,D_0,r_0} (\cdot; \bar{\tau}, -\bar{z}) \rangle
\]

is a Jacobi form, proving Theorem 1.7 (2).

Next, for $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_{k+\frac{2l+1}{2} \cdot m}^{\text{cusp}}$, we have by Theorem 1.1 and (7.2)

\[
(7.4) \quad S_{D_0,r_0} (\phi) (\omega) = \langle \phi, \Omega_{k,m,D_0,r_0} (-\bar{\omega}, \cdot; \cdot) \rangle,
\]

proving Theorem 1.7 (1). Here we also used the fact $\Omega_{k,m,D_0,r_0} (w; -\bar{\tau}, -\bar{z}) = \Omega_{k,m,D_0,r_0} (-\bar{w}; \tau, z)$. Theorem 1.7 (3) is now clear from (7.3) and (7.4). \qed
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