APPLICATIONS OF PATCHING TO QUADRATIC FORMS AND CENTRAL SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

DAVID HARBATER, JULIA HARTMANN, AND DANIEL KRASHEN

ABSTRACT. This paper provides applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras over function fields of curves over henselian valued fields. In particular, we use a patching approach to reprove and generalize a recent result of Parimala and Suresh on the *u*-invariant of *p*-adic function fields, $p \neq 2$. The strategy relies on a local-global principle for homogeneous spaces for rational algebraic groups, combined with local computations.

1. INTRODUCTION

A longstanding open problem in the theory of quadratic forms is to find a general method for evaluating the *u*-invariant of fields. To date, though, the *u*-invariant has been computed only in quite restricted situations. In this paper we prove a general result that provides the *u*invariant of function fields of curves for a variety of open cases, as well as implying known results in a unified way. Most notably, we obtain a new proof of the recent result of Parimala and Suresh ([PS07]) on the *u*-invariant of nondyadic *p*-adic function fields. Our approach also yields evidence for the expected growth of the *u*-invariant, for example upon field extensions.

The method used here is quite different from that of [PS07] and other works on this topic, and is not cohomological. The results stem from a local-global principle for the existence of points on certain homogeneous varieties, which yields a Hasse-Minkowski type statement for quadratic forms over function fields of curves.

Our proofs rely on ideas from *patching*, a method that has been used in the past to prove many results about Galois theory (see e.g. [Har03]). In [HH07], the first two authors extended patching to structures over fields rather than over rings, to make the method more amenable to other applications. This approach shows that giving an algebraic structure over certain function fields is equivalent to giving the structure over a suitable collection of overfields. As in earlier forms of patching, a key

The first author was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-0500118.

step is to prove a matrix factorization result. We use these ideas here, especially in the proof of our local-global principle.

In addition, we show how the same local-global principle can be used to obtain results about the period-index problem for central simple algebras. In particular, we give a new proof of a recent result of Lieblich ([Lie08]) on function fields of curves over henselian rings. It has been understood that there is a connection between results concerning uinvariants and the period-index problem for central simple algebras, and it is interesting to see how similar our proofs are in these two situations.

Below, we summarize the main results on quadratic forms and central simple algebras (which can be found in Sections 4 and 5).

1.1. **Results on quadratic forms.** We begin by recalling Kaplansky's definition of the *u*-invariant (some references use a modified definition due to Elman and Lam which agrees with this for nonreal fields, see e.g. [Pfi95], p. 114).

Definition 1.1

Let k be a field. The *u*-invariant of k, denoted by u(k), is the maximal dimension of anisotropic quadratic forms over k (or ∞ , if such dimensions are arbitrarily large).

The *u*-invariant and the possible values it can take for a fixed or varying field has been a major object of study in the theory of quadratic forms. (Note that it is a positive integer if it is finite.) There are many open problems concerning this number; see for example, [Lam05], Section XIII.6. On the other hand there has been a lot of recent progress, most notably in the computation of the *u*-invariant of function fields of non-dyadic *p*-adic curves due to Parimala and Suresh (see below).

It is generally expected that the *u*-invariant of field extensions should grow along with the cohomological dimension. In particular, for "reasonable" fields, one expects that finite extensions have the same *u*invariant, and that the *u*-invariant should double upon a finitely generated field extension of transcendence degree one. To formalize our discussion towards these expectations, we make the following definition:

Definition 1.2

Let k be a field. The strong u-invariant of k, denoted by $u_s(k)$, is the smallest real number n such that

- every finite field extension E/k satisfies $u(E) \leq n$, and
- every finitely generated field extension E/k of transcendence degree one satisfies $u(E) \leq 2n$.

If these *u*-invariants are arbitrarily large we say that $u_s(k) = \infty$.

Thus $u_s(k) \leq n$ if and only if every finitely generated field extension E/k of transcendence degree $\ell \leq 1$ satisfies $u(E) \leq 2^{\ell}n$. Since the *u*-invariant, if finite, is a positive integer, it follows that $u_s(k)$ is at least 1 and lies in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$.

Concerning quadratic forms, our main result is:

Theorem (Theorem 4.10)

Let K be a complete discretely valued field whose residue field k has characteristic unequal to 2. Then $u_s(K) = 2u_s(k)$.

More generally we show that this holds for excellent henselian discrete valuation rings (Corollary 4.12). As a consequence of these results, in many cases we are able to obtain exact values of the u-invariant and strong u-invariant, not just upper bounds.

By definition, a C_d -field has *u*-invariant at most 2^d . Using this, we deduce from our main theorem that if T is a complete (or excellent henselian) discrete valuation ring whose residue field is a C_d -field of odd characteristic, every function field F of a regular T-curve satisfies $u(F) \leq 2^{d+2}$ (see Corollary 4.13(a), which is more general). As a special case, we obtain the recent theorem of Parimala and Suresh ([PS07], Theorem 4.6; Corollary 4.15 below): A function field in one variable over a non-dyadic *p*-adic field has *u*-invariant 8. Our result also applies to function fields over the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in a non-dyadic *p*-adic field.

Applying induction to our main theorem we obtain that the *u*-invariant of an *m*-local field with algebraically closed (respectively, finite) residue field of characteristic unequal to 2 is 2^{m+1} (resp., 2^{m+2}); see Corollary 4.14. For example, the *u*-invariant of a one-variable function field over $\mathbb{Q}_p((t))$ is 16, for *p* odd. As another application, let *k* be a function field of transcendence degree *d* over an algebraically closed field of characteristic unequal to 2. Then the *u*-invariant of the function field of a *K*-curve is at most 2^{d+m+1} , for any *m*-local field *K* with residue field *k*; see after Corollary 4.13.

In addition to these, we obtain similar results for other classes of fields which naturally occur in the context of patching, described at the end of Section 4. More specifically, suppose that T is a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer t and residue field k of characteristic unequal to 2. If F is the fraction field of T[[x]] or of the t-adic completion of T[x], then $u(F) \leq 4u_s(k)$, with equality if $u(k) = u_s(k)$; e.g. if k is a C_d -field having u-invariant 2^d . In particular, if k is algebraically closed, then u(F) is equal to 4; and u(F) equals 8 if k is finite (example cases of the latter include k((x, y)) and the fraction field of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ with p odd).

1.2. Results on central simple algebras. Given a field k, recall that the *period* (or *exponent*) of a central simple k-algebra A is the order of the class of A in the Brauer group of k; and the *index* of A is the degree of the division algebra D that lies in the class of A (i.e. such that A is a matrix ring over D). The period and index always have the same prime factors, and the period always divides the index ([Pie82], Proposition 14.4(b)(ii)). The *period-index problem* asks whether all central simple algebras A over a given field k satisfy $ind(A) | per(A)^d$ for some fixed exponent d depending only on k. In analogy with the notion of the strong u-invariant (Definition 1.2), we make the following definition (extending that of Lieblich; see [Lie08], Definition 1.1):

Definition 1.3

Let k be a field. The Brauer dimension of k (away from a prime p) is defined to be 0 if k is separably closed (resp. separably closed away from p, i.e. the absolute Galois group of k is a pro-p group). Otherwise, it is the smallest positive integer d such that

- for every finite field extension E/k and every central simple *E*-algebra *A* (resp. with $p \not| \operatorname{per}(A)$), we have $\operatorname{ind}(A) |\operatorname{per}(A)^{d-1}$; and
- for every finitely generated field extension E/k of transcendence degree one and every central simple *E*-algebra *A* (resp. with p/per(A)), we have $ind(A)|per(A)^d$.

If no such number d exists, we say that the Brauer dimension is ∞ .

Again, we can summarize this by saying that the Brauer dimension of k is at most d if for every finitely generated field extension E/k of transcendence degree $\ell \leq 1$ and every central simple E-algebra A (resp. with $p \not| \operatorname{per}(A)$), we have $\operatorname{ind}(A) |\operatorname{per}(A)^{d+\ell-1}$.

As with the *u*-invariant, it is expected that this invariant should grow in parallel to the cohomological dimension. In particular, one expects that it should increase by one upon a finitely generated field extension of transcendence degree one. Early results in this direction were obtained by Saltman in [Sal97] and [Sal98], including the fact that ind | per² for *p*-adic curves, along with a general mechanism to relate the Brauer dimension of curves over discretely valued fields to that of curves over the residue field. (See also [For96].) Along these lines, in Section 5 we give an alternative proof of a result that was recently shown by Lieblich in the case d > 0 ([Lie08], Theorem 5.3):

Theorem (Theorem 5.5)

Let K be a complete discretely valued field whose residue field k has characteristic 0 (resp. characteristic p > 0). If k has Brauer dimension $d \ge 0$ (resp. away from p) then K has Brauer dimension at most d+1(resp. away from p).

More generally, as in [Lie08], we show a version of this result for excellent henselian rings. As an application of the above theorem, since the Brauer dimension of a finite field is 1, it follows that the Brauer dimension of a *p*-adic field is at most 2, and that of $\mathbb{Q}_p((t))$ is at most 3. As another application, let *k* be the function field of a curve over a separably closed field. Then the Brauer dimension of *k* is 1 by [deJ04]. So $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) = \operatorname{per}(\alpha)$ for all α in the Brauer group of k((t)) with $\operatorname{char}(k)$ not dividing the period. Similarly, $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha)$ divides $\operatorname{per}(\alpha)^2$ for all α in the Brauer group of k((t))(x) of period not divisible by $\operatorname{char}(k)$.

In analogy to the results on the *u*-invariant, we also obtain statements for fields that arise from patching; see Corollary 5.10. In particular, let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer t and residue field k of characteristic 0 (resp. characteristic p > 0) and Brauer dimension d (resp. away from p). If F is the fraction field of T[[x]] or of the t-adic completion of T[x], then $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{d+2}$ for all $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(F)$ with period not divisible by $\operatorname{char}(k)$. Moreover $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) = \operatorname{per}(\alpha)$ if F is k((x,t)) or the fraction field of k[x][[t]] where k is separably closed, or if F is the fraction field of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\operatorname{ur}}[[x]]$, provided that the residue characteristic does not divide $\operatorname{per}(\alpha)$.

1.3. Organization of the manuscript. The organization of the manuscript is as follows. Section 2 is concerned with a decomposition of vectors. It is fairly technical and may be skipped upon a first reading. Section 3 shows how this decomposition in vector spaces can be used to obtain a multiplicative decomposition (i.e. factorization) in rational linear algebraic groups (Theorem 3.6). The main result of the section, the local-global principle for homogeneous spaces (Theorem 3.7), is a rather direct consequence. It is the key ingredient for proving the upper bounds in the later results. In Sections 4 and 5, local computations combined with Theorem 3.7 yield the main results about quadratic forms and central simple algebras, respectively. Acknowledgment. The authors thank Karim Johannes Becher, Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, R. Parimala, Jakob Stix and V. Suresh for their comments on this manuscript.

2. Decomposition of vectors

The goal of this section is to prove a decomposition theorem (Theorem 2.5) that will be used in the next section to obtain factorization results and a local-global principle for rational linear algebraic groups. This strategy parallels that of [HH07], which concerned the group GL_n .

Throughout this section we let F_0 be the fraction field of a complete discrete valuation ring \hat{R}_0 with uniformizer t, and we let $| \ |$ be a norm on F_0 induced by the t-adic valuation — i.e. $|a| = \alpha^{-v(a)}$ for a real number $\alpha > 1$. This norm extends uniquely to a norm on a fixed algebraic closure \bar{F}_0 of F_0 (again denoted by $| \ |$). If $E \subseteq \bar{F}_0$ is a field extension of F_0 and V is a finite dimensional vector space over E with basis b_1, \ldots, b_n , we define a norm on V by setting $|\sum a_i b_i| = \max\{|a_i|\}$. Since V is finite dimensional, it is complete with respect to this metric if E is finite over F_0 . We will commonly identify such a vector space Vwith the points of the affine space $\mathbb{A}^n_{F_0}(E)$ and consequently talk about the norm of such points as well.

For $n \geq 0$, the *t*-adic topology on $\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^n(\overline{F}_0)$ is finer than the Zariski topology. This is because a basic open set in the Zariski topology is defined by the non-vanishing of a polynomial $f \in F_0[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, and because such an f is continuous in the *t*-adic topology.

Now fix n, let $A = F_0[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]$ be the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^{2n}$, and let $\widehat{A} = F_0[[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}]]$ be the completion at the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}_0 at the origin. Also let A_0 be the localization of A at \mathfrak{m}_0 ; thus $A_0 \subset \widehat{A}$. For short, we write x for (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) . Given a 2*n*-tuple $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{2n}) \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ of nonnegative integers, write $|\nu| = \sum \nu_i$ and let x^{ν} denote $x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_{2n}^{\nu_{2n}}$, a monomial of total degree $|\nu|$. For $f = \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} x^{\nu} \in \widehat{A}$ we define $||f|| = \sup\{|c_{\nu}|\}$ (or ∞ if the coefficients are unbounded). Note that ||f|| is finite for $f \in A$.

For a real number $M \geq 1$, let $\widehat{A}_M \subset \widehat{A}$ be the subset consisting of those f as above such that for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$ we have $|c_{\nu}| \leq M^{|\nu|}$. Since the absolute value on F_0 is non-archimedean, \widehat{A}_M is a ring; and it is complete with respect to the restriction of the \mathfrak{m}_0 -adic topology on \widehat{A} . Note also that $\widehat{A}_M \subset \widehat{A}_{M'}$ if M < M'. In the case that $M = |t|^s$ for some (possibly negative) integer s, the subring $\widehat{A}_M \subset \widehat{A}$ is just the power series ring $\widehat{R}_0[[x_1t^s, \ldots, x_{2n}t^s]]$. In general, the next result shows that we can view the elements of \widehat{A}_M as power series functions that are defined and t-adically bounded by 1 on the t-adic open disc of radius M^{-1} about the origin in $\mathbb{A}^{2n}(F_0)$.

- **Lemma 2.1** (a) Let $f \in A_0 \subset \widehat{A}$ satisfy $|f(0)| \leq 1$. Then for some $M \geq 1$ we have $f \in \widehat{A}_M$ and f = g/h for some $g, h \in A$ with $h \in \widehat{A}_M^{\times}$.
 - (b) Let $M \ge 1$ and let $f = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}} c_{\nu} x^{\nu} \in \widehat{A}_M$. If $a \in \mathbb{A}^{2n}(F_0)$ with $|a| < M^{-1}$ then the series

$$f(a) := \sum_{\nu} c_{\nu} a^{\nu}$$

converges t-adically to an element of F_0 , of norm at most 1.

(c) In part (a), if $a \in \mathbb{A}^{2n}(F_0)$ with $|a| < M^{-1}$ then the series f(a) converges t-adically to the value $g(a)/h(a) \in F_0$.

Proof. (a) Since A_0 is the localization of $\widehat{R}_0[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ at the ideal (x_1, \ldots, x_n) , we may write f = g/h with $g, h \in \widehat{R}_0[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \subset A$ and with $h \notin \mathfrak{m}_0$. Here $||g|| \leq 1$ and ||h|| = 1, so $g, h \in \widehat{A}_1$. Since A/\mathfrak{m}_0 is a field, there exists $h' \in A$ such that $hh' - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}_0$. Writing hh' = 1 - e with $e \in \mathfrak{m}_0 \subset A$, we see that the inverse to h in \widehat{A} is given by $\sum_{i\geq 0} h'e^i$ (where this series converges in \widehat{A} because $e \in \mathfrak{m}_0$). So $f = \sum_{i\geq 0} gh'e^i \in \widehat{A}$. Let $M = \max\{1, ||h'||, ||e||\} < \infty$. Thus $g, h, h', e \in \widehat{A}_M$ (using that the nonconstant coefficients satisfy the required condition by our choice of M, and the constant coefficients have absolute value at most 1). Furthermore, since \widehat{A}_M is a ring, each term of $\sum h'e^i$ and of $\sum gh'e^i$ is also in \widehat{A}_M . Since \widehat{A}_M is complete with respect to the restriction of the \mathfrak{m}_0 -adic topology on \widehat{A} , these series converge to elements of $\widehat{A}_M \subset \widehat{A}$. Therefore, $f, h^{-1} \in \widehat{A}_M$ and $h \in \widehat{A}_M^{\times}$.

(b) Since $f \in \widehat{A}_M$, we have that $|c_{\nu}| \leq M^{|\nu|}$ for each ν . Let $a \in \mathbb{A}^{2n}(F_0)$ with $m := |a| < M^{-1}$. Thus $|c_{\nu}a^{\nu}| \leq (mM)^{|\nu|} < 1$ for each ν , since $0 \leq mM < 1$. Since F_0 is *t*-adically complete, the series f(a) (which has finitely many terms of each total degree) converges to an element of F_0 of norm at most 1.

(c) Since $h \in A \cap \widehat{A}_M^{\times}$, we have $h(a)h^{-1}(a) = 1$ and so $h(a) \neq 0$. Let $d > \deg(g)$ and let $C = \max\{\|g\|, \|h\|\}$. Let f_s be the polynomial truncation of the series $f \in \widehat{A}$ modulo the terms of degree $\geq s$. Thus the sequence $f_s(a)$ converges to some $c \in F_0$, by (b). If $s \geq d$, then $k_s := f_s h - g$ is a polynomial whose terms each have degree $\geq s$ and for which the coefficients of the terms of degree j have absolute value at most M^jC . With m := |a|, the absolute values of the terms of degree j in $k_s(a)$ are at most $(mM)^jC$, and so $|k_s(a)| \leq (mM)^sC$. Thus $k_s(a) \to 0$, since 0 < mM < 1. That is, $f_s(a)h(a) \to g(a)$, or equivalently ch(a) = g(a). Thus c = g(a)/h(a), i.e. the series f(a) converges to g(a)/h(a).

Lemma 2.2

8

Suppose $f \in \widehat{A}_M$ with $M \ge 1$, and write

$$f = c_{0,0} + L + \sum_{|\nu| \ge 2} c_{\nu} x^{\nu}$$

where L is a linear form in x_1, \ldots, x_{2n} and all $c_{\nu} \in F_0$. Let $s \geq 0$, let $0 < \varepsilon \leq |t|/M^2$, and suppose $a, a' \in \mathbb{A}^{2n}(F_0)$ with $|a| \leq \varepsilon$ and $|a'| \leq \varepsilon |t|^s$. Then

$$|f(a+a') - f(a) - L(a')| \le \varepsilon |t|^{s+1}.$$

Proof. We may rearrange the quantity of interest as:

$$f(a+a') - f(a) - L(a') = \sum_{|\nu| \ge 2} c_{\nu} \left((a+a')^{\nu} - a^{\nu} \right).$$

Since the absolute value is non-archimedean, it suffices to show that for every term $m = c_{\nu} x^{\nu}$ with $|\nu| \ge 2$ we have

$$|m(a+a') - m(a)| \le \varepsilon |t|^{s+1}.$$

For a given ν with $|\nu| \geq 2$, consider the expression $(x + x')^{\nu} - x^{\nu}$, regarded as a homogeneous element of degree $j = |\nu|$ in the polynomial ring $F_0[x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}, x'_1, \ldots, x'_{2n}]$. Since the terms of degree j in x_1, \ldots, x_{2n} cancel, the result is a sum of terms of the form $\lambda \ell$ where λ is an integer and ℓ is a monomial in the variables x, x' with total degree d in x_1, \ldots, x_{2n} and total degree d' in x'_1, \ldots, x'_{2n} , such that d + d' = jand d < j. Hence $d' \geq 1$. Consequently, for each term of this form,

$$|\lambda \ell(a, a')| \le |\ell(a, a')| \le \varepsilon^d (\varepsilon |t|^s)^{d'} = \varepsilon^j |t|^{sd'} \le \varepsilon^j |t|^s.$$

Since $(a + a')^{\nu} - a^{\nu}$ is a sum of such terms, and the norm is non-archimedean, we conclude $|(a + a')^{\nu} - a^{\nu}| \leq \varepsilon^{j} |t|^{s}$.

Since $m = c_{\nu} x^{\nu}$, it follows that

$$|m(a+a') - m(a)| \le |c_{\nu}|\varepsilon^{j}|t|^{s} \le M^{j}\varepsilon^{j}|t|^{s}.$$

Now $\varepsilon \leq |t|/M^2$, so $\varepsilon^{j-1} \leq |t|^{j-1}/M^{2j-2}$. Since |t| < 1, $M \geq 1$, and $j \geq 2$, we have

$$\varepsilon^{j-1} \le \frac{|t|^{j-1}}{M^{j+j-2}} \le \frac{|t|}{M^j}.$$

Rearranging this gives the inequality $(M\varepsilon)^j \leq \varepsilon |t|$ and so $(M\varepsilon)^j |t|^s \leq \varepsilon |t|^{s+1}$. Therefore

$$|m(a+a') - m(a)| \le M^j \varepsilon^j |t|^s \le \varepsilon |t|^{s+1},$$

as desired.

For the remainder of this section, it will be convenient to write $y_i = x_{n+i}$ for i = 1, ..., n. We will let $\nu = (\nu_1, ..., \nu_n)$ and $\rho = (\rho_1, ..., \rho_n)$ be *n*-tuples of non-negative integers; and for such ν, ρ we will write $|(\nu, \rho)| = \sum \nu_i + \sum \rho_i$ and will let $x^{\nu}y^{\rho}$ denote $x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_n^{\nu_n}y_1^{\rho_1} \cdots y_n^{\rho_n}$, a monomial of total degree $|(\nu, \rho)|$. An element of \widehat{A} will be written as $f = \sum_{\nu,\rho} c_{\nu,\rho} x^{\nu}y^{\rho}$ with $c_{\nu,\rho} \in F_0$.

Lemma 2.3

Let $f \in \mathfrak{m}_0 A_0$, and suppose there is some $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $f(a, 0) = a_i = f(0, a)$ for all $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in F_0^n$ for which f(a, 0) and f(0, a) converge. Then $f \in \widehat{A}_M \subset \widehat{A}$ for some $M \geq 1$, and its expansion has the form

$$f = x_i + y_i + \sum_{|(\nu,\rho)| \ge 2} c_{\nu,\rho} x^{\nu} y^{\rho}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1(a), both f and $g := f - x_i - y_i \in \mathfrak{m}_0 A_0$ lie in \widehat{A}_M for some $M \geq 1$; in particular, $g = \sum_{\nu,\rho} c_{\nu,\rho} x^{\nu} y^{\rho}$ with each $|c_{\nu,\rho}| \leq M^{|(\nu,\rho)|}$. Here g converges in a t-adic neighborhood of (0,0), on which g(a,b) = 0 if a = 0 or b = 0. To prove the result it suffices to show that $c_{\nu,\rho} = 0$ for $|(\nu,\rho)| < 2$. This is automatic for $c_{0,0}$ since $g \in \mathfrak{m}_0 A_0$. It remains to show that $c_{\nu,\rho} = 0$ for $|(\nu,\rho)| = 1$.

We argue by contradiction. Suppose that there exists (ν_0, ρ_0) such that $c_{\nu_0,\rho_0} \neq 0$ with $|(\nu_0,\rho_0)| = 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\nu_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\rho_0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Choose 0 < m < 1 such that $m \leq |c_{\nu_0,\rho_0}|$, and N > 0 such that $|t^N| < m/M^2$. Let $v = (t^N, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{A}^{2n}$; thus g(v) = 0. Also, $|L(v)| \geq m|t^N| > 0$, where L is the sum of the terms of g of degree 1. So $L(v) \neq 0$.

Now let $h = c_{\nu,\rho} x^{\nu} y^{\rho}$ be an arbitrary term of g whose degree $j := |(\nu, \rho)|$ is at least 2. We claim |h(v)| < |L(v)|. Showing this for all such h would imply that |g(v)| = |L(v)|. Since $g(v) = 0 \neq L(v)$, this would lead to a contradiction.

To verify the claim, we may assume $h(v) \neq 0$. Using the definition of v, we see directly that $h = cx_1^j$ for some $c \in F_0$, and that $|h(v)| = |c||t^N|^j$. Here $|c| \leq M^j$ since $g \in \widehat{A}_M$ and h is a term of g. We compute

$$\frac{|L(v)|}{|h(v)|} \ge \frac{m|t^N|}{|c||t^N|^j} \ge \frac{m}{M^j} \frac{1}{|t^N|^{j-1}}.$$

Since $|t^N| < m/M^2$, we have $1/|t^N| > M^2/m$ and so $1/|t^N|^{j-1} > M^{2(j-1)}/m^{j-1}$. Combining this with the above,

$$\frac{|L(v)|}{|h(v)|} \ge \frac{m}{M^j} \frac{1}{|t^N|^{j-1}} > \frac{m}{M^j} \frac{M^{2j-2}}{m^{j-1}} = \frac{M^{j-2}}{m^{j-2}} \ge 1,$$

because $j \ge 2$, $M \ge 1$, and 0 < m < 1. So |L(v)| > |h(v)| as desired.

For the next result and for use in the next section, we make the following hypothesis, continuing under the notation introduced at the beginning of the current section:

Hypothesis 2.4

We assume that the complete discrete valuation ring \widehat{R}_0 contains a subring T which is also a complete discrete valuation ring having uniformizer t, and that F_1, F_2 are subfields of F_0 containing T. We further assume that $V \subset F_1 \cap \widehat{R}_0$, $W \subset F_2 \cap \widehat{R}_0$ are t-adically complete T-submodules satisfying $V + W = \widehat{R}_0$.

The main theorem of this section is the following decomposition result, which is related to [HH07], Proposition 3.2 (with $\mathbb{A}_{F_0}^n$ here corresponding to the affine space of square matrices of a given size):

Theorem 2.5

Under Hypothesis 2.4, let $f : \mathbb{A}_{F_0}^n \times \mathbb{A}_{F_0}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F_0}^n$ be an F_0 -rational map that is defined on a Zariski open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{F_0}^n \times \mathbb{A}_{F_0}^n$ containing the origin (0,0). Suppose further that f(u,0) = u = f(0,u) whenever (u,0) (resp. (0,u)) is in U. Then there is a real number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $a \in \mathbb{A}^n(F_0)$ with $|a| \leq \varepsilon$, there exist $v \in V^n$ and $w \in W^n$ such that $(v,w) \in U(F_0)$ and f(v,w) = a.

Proof. Write the function f as an n-tuple (f_1, \ldots, f_n) with $f_i \in A_0$. In fact $f_i \in \mathfrak{m}_0 A_0$ since $f_i(0,0) = 0$. So by Lemma 2.1(a), there is a real number $M \geq 1$ such that $f_i \in \widehat{A}_M$ for all i; and by Lemma 2.3,

$$f_{i} = x_{i} + y_{i} + \sum_{|(\nu,\rho)| \ge 2} c_{\nu,\rho,i} x^{\nu} y^{\rho}$$
(*)

for some $c_{\nu,\rho,i}$ in F_0 .

As noted at the beginning of this section, the *t*-adic topology on affine space is finer than the Zariski topology. So there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(v, w) \in U(F_0)$ for all $v, w \in \mathbb{A}^n(F_0)$ satisfying $|(v, w)| \leq \delta$. Choose N > 0 such that $|t^N| \leq \min\{|t|/M^2, \delta\}$, and set $\varepsilon = |t^N|$. In particular, whenever $|(v,w)| \leq \varepsilon$, the point (v,w) lies in $U(F_0)$, and hence f(v, w) is defined.

Now suppose $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n(F_0)$ with $|a| \leq \varepsilon$. We will inductively construct sequences of elements $v_j = (v_{1,j}, \ldots, v_{n,j}) \in V^n$, $w_j = (w_{1,j}, \ldots, w_{n,j}) \in W^n$, with $j \ge 0$, such that $v_0 = w_0 = (0, \ldots, 0)$ and

- (1) $|(v_i, w_i)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $j \geq 0$;
- (2) $|v_j v_{j-1}|, |w_j w_{j-1}| \le \varepsilon |t|^{j-1}$ for all $j \ge 1$; and (3) $|f_i(v_j, w_j) a_i| \le \varepsilon |t|^j$ for all $j \ge 0$.

Since the T-modules V^n, W^n are t-adically complete, the second condition ensures that $v = \lim_{j \to \infty} v_j$ and $w = \lim_{j \to \infty} w_j$ exist in V^n and W^n . The first condition shows moreover that $|(v,w)| \leq \varepsilon$, so that $(v,w) \in U(F_0)$ and f(v,w) is defined. Finally, the third condition implies that f(v, w) = a. Thus it suffices to construct such sequences.

It follows from Lemma 2.1(b) that since at each stage we will have $|(v_i, w_i)| \leq \varepsilon \leq |t|/M^2 < 1/M$, the power series expressions for $f(v_i, w_i)$ are convergent. By Lemma 2.1(c) we may identify the limits of these evaluated power series with the values of the original rational functions.

Observe that the first and third conditions hold for j = 0. Now assume inductively that for some $j \geq 0$ we have chosen v_i, w_i satisfying the three asserted conditions (except the second, if j = 0). Define $b_j = (b_{1,j}, \ldots, b_{n,j}) = a - f(v_j, w_j)$. By the third condition on (v_j, w_j) , we have $|b_j| \leq \varepsilon |t|^j = |t|^{N+j}$. Write $b_j = t^{N+j} u_j$ with $u_j \in \widehat{R}_0^n$. By Hypothesis 2.4, we may write $u_j = v'_{i+1} + w'_{i+1}$ for $v'_{i+1} \in V^n$, $w'_{i+1} \in V^n$ W^n .

Let $v_{j+1} = v_j + t^{N+j}v'_{j+1}$ and $w_{j+1} = w_j + t^{N+j}w'_{j+1}$. It is immediate by construction that $|v_{j+1} - v_j|, |w_{j+1} - w_j| \leq \varepsilon |t|^j$ since $|t|^N = \varepsilon$. This proves the second condition on (v_{i+1}, w_{i+1}) . Since $|v_j|, |w_j| \leq \varepsilon \leq |t|/M^2$ (by the first condition on (v_j, w_j)) and since $|t^{N+j}v'_{j+1}|, |t^{N+j}w'_{j+1}| \leq \varepsilon |t|^j$, it follows by equation (*) and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_i(v_{j+1}, w_{j+1}) - a_i| &= |f_i(v_{j+1}, w_{j+1}) - f_i(v_j, w_j) - b_{i,j}| \\ &= \left| f_i(v_j + t^{N+j} v'_{j+1}, w_j + t^{N+j} w'_{j+1}) - f_i(v_j, w_j) - t^{N+j} (v'_{j+1} + w'_{j+1}) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon |t|^{j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the third condition on (v_{i+1}, w_{i+1}) . The first condition on (v_{i+1}, w_{i+1}) holds by the second condition on (v_{i+1}, w_{i+1}) together with the first condition on (v_i, w_j) , since the norm is non-archimedean and $j \ge 0.$

12 DAVID HARBATER, JULIA HARTMANN, AND DANIEL KRASHEN

The above decomposition theorem will be used in the next section to extend [HH07], Proposition 3.2, which used an additive decomposition to provide a factorization of matrices in GL_n . In applying Theorem 2.5 above to obtain factorization in more general rational linear algebraic groups G (Theorem 3.2 below), we will identify G birationally with an open subset of some affine space, with f above being the map there that corresponds to multiplication in G.

3. Factorization and a local-global principle

We say that a connected linear algebraic group defined over a field F is *rational* if it is rational as an F-variety. In this section we prove factorization theorems for such groups (Theorems 3.4 and 3.6), generalizing results of [HH07] about the rational group GL_n . The key step is Theorem 3.2, which relies on Theorem 2.5. Afterwards, in Theorem 3.7, we apply this factorization to obtain a local-global principle for homogeneous spaces for rational groups.

Lemma 3.1

Let G be a rational connected linear algebraic group over an infinite field F, let F_0 be an extension field of F, and let $g \in G(F_0)$. Then there exists a Zariski open subset $Y \subseteq G$ such that $g \in Y(F_0)$ and such that Y is F-isomorphic to an open subset of affine space over F.

Proof. Since G is rational, there exists a non-empty irreducible Zariski open subset $Y' \subseteq G$ that is isomorphic to an open subset of affine space. Since F is infinite, every non-empty open subset of affine Fspace contains an F-point. Consequently, there exists a point $y \in$ Y'(F), and the F_0 -scheme $y^{-1}g^{-1}Y'_{F_0} \cap Y'_{F_0}$ is a Zariski dense open subset of Y'_{F_0} . Since Y' is F-isomorphic to an open subset of affine space, and since F is infinite, it follows that Y'(F) is dense in $Y'(F_0)$ with respect to the Zariski topology. Therefore there exists $y' \in Y'(F)$ such that $y' \in y^{-1}g^{-1}Y'(F_0)$. That is to say, $g \in Y'(F_0)(yy')^{-1}$. Setting $Y = Y'(yy')^{-1}$, we find that Y is an F-subscheme of G such that $g \in Y(F_0)$ and $Y \cong Y'$ is F-isomorphic to an open subvariety of affine space. \Box

The following factorization theorem, which extends [HH07], Proposition 3.2, to more general rational linear algebraic groups than GL_n , relies on Theorem 2.5 above:

Theorem 3.2

Under Hypothesis 2.4, assume that F is a subfield of $F_1 \cap F_2$ that contains T, and that F_1 is t-adically dense in F_0 . Let G be a rational connected linear algebraic group defined over F. Then for any $g \in G(F_0)$ there exist $g_i \in G(F_i)$, i = 1, 2, such that $g_1g_2 = g$.

Proof. Since G is rational, there is a Zariski dense open subset U' of G that is F-isomorphic to a Zariski open subset U of \mathbb{A}_F^n , where n is the dimension of G. After translating, we may assume that U' contains the identity $e \in G$ and that the F-isomorphism $\phi : U' \to U \subseteq \mathbb{A}_F^n$ takes e to the origin in $\mathbb{A}^n(F)$. Consider the group multiplication map $\mu: G \times G \to G$, and let $\widetilde{U}' = \mu^{-1}(U') \cap (U' \times U') \subseteq G \times G$. Note that \widetilde{U}' is a Zariski open subset of $G \times G$ that contains the point (e, e), and that $\mu(\widetilde{U}') \subseteq U'$. The isomorphism $\phi: U' \to U$ induces an isomorphism $\phi \times \phi|_{\widetilde{U}'}: \widetilde{U}' \to \widetilde{U}$ for some dense open subset $\widetilde{U} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_F^n \times \mathbb{A}_F^n = \mathbb{A}_F^{2n}$. Hence there exists a morphism $f: \widetilde{U} \to U$ such that the following diagram commutes:

Since $\mu(g, e) = g = \mu(e, g)$ for $g \in G$, it follows that f(v, 0) = v = f(0, v) when (v, 0) and (0, v) are in \widetilde{U} . Consequently, it follows from Theorem 2.5 (with \widetilde{U} here playing the role of U there) and the assumptions of Hypothesis 2.4 that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $g \in U'(F_0)$ with $|\phi(g)| \leq \varepsilon$, there exist $v \in V^n$, $w \in W^n$ with $(v, w) \in \widetilde{U}(F_0)$ such that $f(v, w) = \phi(g)$. Thus $v \in U(F_1)$ and $w \in U(F_2)$.

To prove the theorem, consider first the special case that $g \in G(F_0)$ satisfies $|\phi(g)| \leq \varepsilon$. If we set $g_1 = \phi^{-1}(v)$ and $g_2 = \phi^{-1}(w)$ for v, w as above, then $g_i \in G(F_i)$ for i = 1, 2, and $(g_1, g_2) \in \widetilde{U}'$. But now we have $g_1g_2 = g \in G(F_0)$ by the above commutative diagram, as desired.

The general case reduces to the above special case by a classical argument (e.g. see [Kn62]). Namely, by Lemma 3.1, there is an open F-subset $Y \subseteq G$ such that $g \in Y(F_0)$, together with an open immersion $\psi: Y \to \mathbb{A}_F^n$. Now $\mathbb{A}^n(F_1)$ is t-adically dense in $\mathbb{A}^n(F_0)$, and the t-adic topology is finer than the Zariski topology. So since $\phi(e) = 0 \in \mathbb{A}_F^n$, there exists $h \in Y(F_1) \subseteq G(F_1)$ such that $|\phi(h^{-1}g)| \leq \varepsilon$. By the first part, $h^{-1}g = g'_1g'_2$ with $g'_i \in G(F_i)$, i = 1, 2. Setting $g_1 = hg'_1 \in G(F_1)$ and $g_2 = g'_2 \in G(F_2)$ gives the desired conclusion $g = g_1g_2 \in G(F_0)$. \Box

In order to apply this result, we recall the following notation and terminology, which arose in the context of patching in [HH07]:

Notation 3.3 [HH07] (Sections 4 and 6)

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer t and residue field k, and let \hat{X} be a normal irreducible projective T-curve with function field F and with closed fiber X. Given an irreducible component X_0 of X with generic point η , consider the local ring of \widehat{X} at η . For a (possibly empty) proper subset U of X_0 , we let R_U denote the subring of this local ring consisting of the rational functions that are regular at each point of U. In particular, R_{\emptyset} is the local ring of \widehat{X} at the generic point of the component X_0 . The *t*-adic completion of R_U is denoted by R_U . If P is a closed point of X, we write R_P for the local ring of \widehat{X} at P, and \widehat{R}_P for its completion at its maximal ideal. (Note the distinction \widehat{R}_P vs. $\widehat{R}_{\{P\}}$.) A height 1 prime \wp of \widehat{R}_P that contains t determines a branch of X at P, i.e. an irreducible component of the pullback of X to Spec \widehat{R}_P . Similarly the contraction of \wp to the local ring of \widehat{X} at P determines an irreducible component X_0 of X, and we say that \wp lies on X_0 . Note that a branch \wp uniquely determines a closed point P and an irreducible component X_0 . In general, there can be several branches \wp on X_0 at a point P; but if X_0 is smooth at P then there is a unique branch \wp on X_0 at P. We write \widehat{R}_{\wp} for the completion of the localization of \widehat{R}_P at \wp ; thus \widehat{R}_P is contained in \widehat{R}_{\wp} . which is a complete discrete valuation ring.

Since \widehat{X} is normal, the local ring R_P is integrally closed and hence unibranched; and since T is a complete discrete valuation ring, R_P is excellent and hence \widehat{R}_P is a domain ([Gro65], Scholie 7.8.3(ii, iii, vii)). For nonempty U as above and $Q \in U$, $\widehat{R}_U/t^n \widehat{R}_U \to \widehat{R}_Q/t^n \widehat{R}_Q$ is injective for all n and hence $\widehat{R}_U \to \widehat{R}_Q$ is also injective. Thus \widehat{R}_U is also a domain. Note that the same is true if U is empty. The fraction fields of the domains \widehat{R}_U , \widehat{R}_P , and \widehat{R}_{\wp} will be denoted by F_U , F_P , and F_{\wp} .

If \wp is a branch at P lying on the closure of $U \subset X_0$, then there are natural inclusions of \widehat{R}_P and \widehat{R}_U into \widehat{R}_{\wp} , and hence of F_P and F_U into F_{\wp} . The inclusion of \widehat{R}_P was observed above; for \widehat{R}_U , note that the localizations of R_U and of R_P at the generic point of X_0 are the same (viz. R_{\varnothing}); and this localization is naturally contained in the *t*-adically complete ring \widehat{R}_{\wp} . Thus so is R_U and hence its *t*-adic completion \widehat{R}_U .

Theorem 3.4 (Factorization over smooth curves)

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring, let \hat{X} be a smooth connected projective T-curve with function field F and closed fiber X. Define fields F_i , i = 0, 1, 2, by one of the following:

- (1) $F_i = F_{U_i}$ where U_1, U_2 are proper subsets of $X, U_1 \cup U_2 = X$, and $U_0 = U_1 \cap U_2$. (Note that here F_0 is not a complete discretely valued field unless $U_0 = \emptyset$.)
- (2) $F_1 = F_P$, $F_2 = F_U$, and $F_0 = F_{\wp}$, where P is a closed point of X with complement $U \subset X$, and \wp corresponds to the (unique) branch of X at P.
- (3) F_1 and F_0 are as in (2) and $F_2 = F_{\varnothing}$.

Let G be a rational connected linear algebraic group defined over F. Then for any $g \in G(F_0)$ there exist $g_i \in G(F_i)$, i = 1, 2, such that $g_1g_2 = g$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 hold in each of the three parts of the above assertion.

For part (1), write $\widehat{R}_i = \widehat{R}_{U_i}$. We first assume that U_0 is empty, so that \widehat{R}_0 is a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer t. In this situation let P be a closed point of U_1 and let \widehat{P} be a lift of P to \widehat{X} (i.e. an effective prime divisor on \widehat{X} whose restriction to X is P; see [HH07], Section 4.1). Let g_X be the genus of X, pick a non-negative integer $N > 2g_X - 2$, and let $V = L(\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{R}_1, N\widehat{P})$, the T-submodule of F_1 consisting of rational functions on $\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{R}_1$ whose pole divisor is at most $N\widehat{P}$. Note that $V \subset \widehat{R}_0$ since these rational functions do not have poles along the closed fiber (t). Let $W = \widehat{R}_2$. Then Hypothesis 2.4 holds for these rings and modules, by [HH07], Proposition 4.5.

To complete the proof of part (1) in this case, it suffices to verify that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, i.e. that F_1 is t-adically dense in F_0 . Since the fraction field of $\hat{R}_1/t\hat{R}_1$ is the same as $\hat{R}_0/t\hat{R}_0$ (viz. the function field of X), it follows from [HH07], Lemma 3.1(a), that the ring $R_0 := \hat{R}_0 \cap F_1$ is t-adically dense in \hat{R}_0 . For the density of F_1 in F_0 , let $x \in F_0$; since \hat{R}_0 is a discrete valuation ring with uniformizer t, we may write $x = t^{-n}y$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in \hat{R}_0$. By the density of R_0 in \hat{R}_0 , for any $\ell > 0$ there exists $x_0 \in R_0$ such that $x_0 - y \in t^{\ell+n}\hat{R}_0$. It then follows that $t^{-n}x_0 - x \in t^{\ell}\hat{R}_0$. But $t^{-n}x_0 \in F_1$, since the field F_1 contains R_0 . So F_1 is indeed dense in F_0 , finishing the proof of part (1) of the theorem in this special case.

More generally, if U_0 is not necessarily empty, then we proceed as follows (paralleling the proof of [HH07], Theorem 4.10). Let $U'_2 =$

 $U_2 \\ \searrow U_0$, and write $F'_2 = F_{U'_2}$ and $F'_0 = F_{\varnothing}$. Any $g \in G(F_0)$ lies in $G(F'_0)$, and so by the above special case we may write $g = g_1g_2$ with $g_1 \in G(F_1) \leq G(F_0)$ and $g_2 \in G(F'_2)$. But also $g_2 = g_1^{-1}g \in G(F_0)$; and $F'_2 \cap F_0 = F_2$ by Theorem 4.9 of [HH07] since $U'_2 \cup U_0 = U_2$. So actually $g_2 \in G(F_2)$, finishing the proof of part (1).

For part (3), take $V = \hat{R}_P$ and $W = \hat{R}_{\varnothing}$. Hypothesis 2.4 holds by [HH07], Lemma 5.3. Also, the fraction field of $\hat{R}_P/t\hat{R}_P$ is $\hat{R}_{\wp}/t\hat{R}_{\wp}$, by [HH07], Lemma 5.2(d). (Those results apply since if we let $\hat{R} = \hat{R}_{\{P\}}$, then it is straightforward to check that the rings \hat{R}_1 , \hat{R}_2 , \hat{R}_0 obtained from \hat{R} in [HH07], Notation 5.1, are the same as the rings \hat{R}_P , \hat{R}_{\varnothing} , \hat{R}_{\wp} here.) So as in the proof of (1), F_1 is *t*-adically dense in F_0 . Thus Theorem 3.2 implies the assertion.

Part (2) is now immediate from the other two parts. Specifically, by (3) we may factor any element $g \in G(F_0)$ as $g'_1g'_2$ with $g'_1 \in G(F_P)$ and $g'_2 \in G(F_{\varnothing})$. Taking $U_1 = \{P\}$ and $U_2 = U = X \smallsetminus U_1$, by (1) we may then factor g'_2 as g''_1g_2 with $g''_1 \in G(F_P)$ (since $F_{U_1} = F_{\{P\}} \subset F_P$) and $g_2 \in G(F_U)$. Writing $g_1 = g'_1g''_1 \in G(F_P)$ gives the desired factorization $g = g_1g_2$.

The above factorization theorem generalizes results of [HH07] about GL_n to rational connected linear algebraic groups G. Parts (1) and (3) for GL_n were respectively shown in Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 5.4 of [HH07] (which in the latter case again used the above comment about the rings in [HH07], Notation 5.1). Also, if $\widehat{X} = \mathbb{P}_T^1$, we can just take $V = \widehat{R}_1$ in case (1) of the above proof, corresponding to choosing N = 0.

As in Section 6 of [HH07], the second part of the above result will next be extended to curves \hat{X} that are not necessarily smooth, and to the case where several points are chosen. To do this, we will choose a finite morphism $\hat{X} \to \mathbb{P}_T^1$, so that the function field F of \hat{X} is a finite extension of the function field F' of \mathbb{P}_T^1 . We will then relate linear algebraic groups over F to linear algebraic groups over F', using the restriction of scalars functor $R_{F/F'}$ that takes affine varieties over Fto affine varieties over F', and which is characterized by the functorial isomorphism $\alpha_{X,Z}$: $\operatorname{Hom}_{F'}(Z, R_{F/F'}(X)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_F(Z \times_{F'} F, X)$ (see [BLR90], Section 7.6, Theorem 4, which does not require separability of F/F'). It will be convenient to use the following notation:

Notation 3.5

In the context of Notation 3.3, assume that $f : \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_T$ is a finite morphism such that $\mathcal{P} := f^{-1}(\infty)$ contains all points at which distinct irreducible components of the closed fiber $X \subset \widehat{X}$ meet. (Such an f exists by [HH07], Proposition 6.6.) We let \mathcal{U} be the collection of irreducible components U of $f^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1_k)$, and let \mathcal{B} be the collection of all branches \wp at the points of \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 3.6 (Simultaneous factorization for curves)

Let \widehat{X} be a normal connected projective T-curve and let $f: \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_T$ a finite morphism, in the context of Notation 3.5. Let G be a rational connected linear algebraic group over the function field F of \widehat{X} , and suppose that for every branch $\wp \in \mathbb{B}$ we are given an element $g_{\wp} \in$ $G(F_{\wp})$. Then we may find an element $g_P \in G(F_P)$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, and an element $g_U \in G(F_U)$ for each $U \in \mathfrak{U}$, such that for every branch $\wp \in \mathfrak{B}$ at a point $P \in \mathfrak{P}$ with \wp lying on the closure of some $U \in \mathfrak{U}$, we have $g_{\wp} = g_P g_U$ with respect to the natural inclusions $F_P, F_U \to F_{\wp}$.

To avoid possible confusion, we emphasize that each g_P (resp. g_U) depends only on P (resp. U); but that the identity $g_{\wp} = g_P g_U$ takes place in $G(F_{\wp})$, where we view g_P and g_U as elements of $G(F_{\wp})$ via the respective inclusions of $G(F_P)$ and $G(F_U)$ that are induced by the corresponding inclusions of fields. Thus if \wp, \wp' are each branches at Plying on the closure of U (e.g. if P is a nodal point on an irreducible component of X), then the products $g_{\wp} = g_P g_U$ and $g_{\wp'} = g_P g_U$ take place over different fields $F_{\wp}, F_{\wp'}$, with respect to different inclusions.

Proof. Let F' be the function field of \mathbb{P}_T^1 . Thus F, the function field of \widehat{X} , is a finite field extension of F' via f. Under Notation 3.3 for \mathbb{P}_T^1 , we may consider the rings \widehat{R}_{∞} , $\widehat{R}_{\mathbb{A}_1}$, and $R_{\wp'}$ where \wp' is the branch at ∞ defined by the closed fiber. Let F'_1 , F'_2 , and F'_0 be the corresponding fraction fields.

Let $G' := R_{F/F'}(G)$. By functoriality of $R_{F/F'}$, the F'-variety G' is a linear algebraic group (e.g. see [Mil72], Section 1) and it is rational. By the defining property of $R_{F/F'}$, there is a natural isomorphism $G'(F'_0) = G(F'_0 \otimes_{F'} F)$. Since $F'_0 \otimes_{F'} F = \prod_{\wp} F_{\wp}$ by [HH07], Lemma 6.2(a), we find:

$$G'(F'_0) = G(F'_0 \otimes_{F'} F) = G(\prod_{\wp} F_{\wp}) = \prod_{\wp} G(F_{\wp}).$$

Similarly,

$$G'(F'_1) = \prod_P G(F_P), \qquad G'(F_2) = \prod_U G(F_U),$$

via $F'_1 \otimes_{F'} F = \prod_P F_P$ and $F'_2 \otimes_{F'} F = \prod_U F_U$ ([HH07], Lemma 6.2(a)). In particular, we may identify our tuple $(g_{\wp}) \in \prod_{\wp} G(F_{\wp})$ with an element $g_0 \in G'(F'_0)$. By Theorem 3.4(2), there exist $g_1 \in G'(F'_1)$ and $g_2 \in G'(F'_2)$ such that $g_0 = g_1g_2$. Again using the above identifications, the element g_1 corresponds to a tuple $(g_P) \in \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} G(F_P)$ and g_2 corresponds to a tuple $(g_U) \in \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} G(F_U)$. By [HH07], Lemma 6.2(b), the above isomorphisms on $F'_i \otimes_{F'} F$ (for i = 0, 1, 2) are compatible, with respect to the inclusions of $\prod F_P$ and $\prod F_U$ into $\prod F_{\wp}$, and of F'_1, F'_2 into F'_0 . So by the functoriality of $R_{F/F'}$, the above factorization $g_0 = g_1g_2 \in G'(F'_0)$ yields the desired equality $g_{\wp} = g_Pg_U \in G(F_{\wp})$ for each point $P \in \mathcal{P}$, each component $U \in \mathcal{U}$, and each branch \wp at P lying on the closure of U.

We continue to adopt Notations 3.3 and 3.5, concerning a normal projective T-curve \widehat{X} with function field F and associated sets $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{B}$.

In what follows, if a linear algebraic group G acts on a variety H over a field F, we will say that G acts transitively on the points of H if for every field extension E of F the induced action of the group G(E) on the set H(E) is transitive. (See also Remark 3.9.)

Theorem 3.7 (Local-global principle for homogeneous spaces) Let G be a rational connected linear algebraic group over F that acts transitively on the points of an F-variety H. Then in the context of Notation 3.5, $H(F) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $H(F_P) \neq \emptyset$ for each $P \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $H(F_U) \neq \emptyset$ for each $U \in \mathfrak{U}$.

Proof. If H(F) is non-empty, then so are each $H(F_P)$ and $H(F_U)$, since F is contained in F_P and F_U .

For the converse, pick a point $h_P \in H(F_P)$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a point $h_U \in H(F_U)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$. For each $\wp \in \mathcal{B}$, as observed in Notation 3.3 there is a unique point $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a unique irreducible component X_0 of X such that \wp is a branch at P that lies on X_0 . The component X_0 is the closure of a unique $U \in \mathcal{U}$, which is thus also determined by \wp . Here we can view h_P and h_U as points of $H(F_{\wp})$ via the inclusions of F_P and F_U into F_{\wp} . Since G acts transitively on the points of H, there is an element $g_{\wp} \in G(F_{\wp})$ such that $g_{\wp}(h_U) = h_P$ in $H(F_{\wp})$. Since G is rational and we are in the situation of Notation 3.5, Theorem 3.6 implies that there is a collection of group elements $g_P \in$ $G(F_P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $g_U \in G(F_U)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$, such that for every branch \wp at P on the closure of U we have $g_{\wp} = g_P g_U$. Let $h'_P = g_P^{-1}(h_P) \in H(F_P)$ and $h'_U = g_U(h_U) \in H(F_U)$. Thus if P, U, \wp are a triple as above, then h'_P and h'_U become identified with the same element $h'_{\wp} \in H(F_{\wp})$ under the inclusions of $H(F_P)$ and $H(F_U)$ into $H(F_{\wp})$. (Here h'_{\wp} depends only on \wp since \wp determines P and U.)

We claim that there is an affine Zariski open subset Spec $A \subseteq H$ that contains the points h'_P , h'_U , h'_{\wp} for all P, U, \wp . This is clear if H is quasiprojective, since this set of points is finite. For a more general variety H, observe that if $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{B}$ are related by being members of a common triple P, U, \wp as above, then any affine open subset of H that contains h'_{ξ_1} must also contain h'_{ξ_2} (since they define the same point in $H(F_{\wp})$). But since the closed fiber of the curve \widehat{X} is connected, any two elements $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{B}$ are in the transitive closure of this relation. This proves the claim.

Let $\phi_P : A \to F_P$, $\phi_U : A \to F_U$, and $\phi_{\wp} : A \to F_{\wp}$ be the homomorphisms corresponding to the points $h'_P \in H(F_P)$, $h'_U \in H(F_U)$, and $h'_{\wp} \in H(F_{\wp})$. Thus if \wp is a branch at P on the closure of U, the maps ϕ_P and ϕ_U each induce the homomorphism $\phi_{\wp} : A \to F_{\wp}$ via the inclusions $F_P, F_U \hookrightarrow F_{\wp}$. So all the maps ϕ_P, ϕ_U , and ϕ_{\wp} together define a homomorphism ϕ from A to the inverse limit of the finite inverse system consisting of the fields F_P (for $P \in \mathcal{P}$), F_U (for $U \in \mathcal{U}$), and F_{\wp} (for $\wp \in \mathcal{B}$). But by [HH07], Proposition 6.3, this inverse limit is just F, with respect to the inclusions of F into the fields F_P, F_U, F_{\wp} . The F-homomorphism $\phi : A \to F$ then defines an F-rational point on H; i.e. $H(F) \neq \emptyset$ as asserted.

Corollary 3.8

Let G_1 and G_2 be linear algebraic groups such that $G_1 \times G_2$ is a rational connected linear algebraic group. Then the assertions of Theorems 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 and 3.7 hold for G_1 and G_2 .

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to prove the statement for G_1 . Theorems 3.2, 3.4 and 3.6 hold for G_1 by choosing a preimage in $G_1 \times G_2$ of each given point of G_1 ; factoring in $G_1 \times G_2$ by the respective theorems for that rational connected group; and then projecting the factorization to G_1 . Theorem 3.7 holds for G_1 because it holds for $G_1 \times G_2$ and because $G_1 \times G_2$ acts transitively on the points of any *F*-variety for which G_1 does.

Remark 3.9

In the special case that G is a (connected) reductive linear algebraic group over F and H is a projective F-variety, the transitivity condition in the above theorem simplifies. Specifically, it is equivalent to the *a priori* weaker condition that the group $G(\bar{F})$ acts transitively (in the classical sense) on the set $H(\bar{F})$, where \bar{F} is an algebraic closure of F.

20 DAVID HARBATER, JULIA HARTMANN, AND DANIEL KRASHEN

To see this, note that for any field extension E of F, the stabilizer of an E-point of H is a parabolic subgroup of G, by the projectivity of H. By the hypotheses on G, parabolic subgroups are self-normalizing ([Bor91], Theorem 11.16), hence distinct E-points have distinct stabilizers; and two such subgroups are conjugate under G(E) if they are conjugate under $G(\bar{E})$ ([Bor91], Theorem 20.9(iii)). But the transitivity of $G(\bar{F})$ on $H(\bar{F})$ yields the same over \bar{E} , implying the conjugacy of the stabilizers. Therefore the stabilizers of any two E-points of Hare conjugate under G(E), and the points are then in the same G(E)orbit. These extra hypotheses on G and H are in fact satisfied in the situations below where we apply the above theorem (see the proofs of Theorems 4.2 and 5.1); but we will not need to use this fact.

4. QUADRATIC FORMS

In this section we prove our results on quadratic forms. We do this by reducing to a local problem, using the local-global principle in Theorem 3.7. For generalities concerning quadratic forms, we refer the reader to [Lam05] and [Grv02].

Let F be a field of characteristic unequal to 2. Recall that by the Witt decomposition theorem ([Lam05], I.4.1), every quadratic form qover F may be decomposed as an orthogonal sum $q_t \perp q_a \perp q_h$, where q_t is totally isotropic, q_a is anisotropic, and q_h is hyperbolic (or zero). All factors are uniquely determined up to isometry. Here $q_r := q_a \perp q_h$ is regular (i.e. non-degenerate); and the q_t factor does not occur if q is regular. The Witt index $i_W(q)$ of q is $\frac{1}{2} \dim q_h$; if q is regular this is the same as the dimension of any maximal totally isotropic subspace ([Grv02], pp. 41-42). Since char $(F) \neq 2$, every quadratic form over Fis isometric to a diagonal form $a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2$, which is denoted by $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$. If E is a field containing F, then q_E denotes the form qviewed as a quadratic form over E.

Remark 4.1

If q is a regular quadratic form over a field F of characteristic unequal to 2, then the special orthogonal group SO(q) of isometries of q of determinant 1 is a rational connected linear algebraic group. More generally, let A be a finite dimensional central simple F-algebra with an involution ι (i.e. an anti-automorphism of order 2), and let $G = \{a \in A^{\times} | \iota(a) = a^{-1}\}$. Then the classical Cayley map $a \mapsto (1-a)(1+a)^{-1}$ defines a birational isomorphism from the connected component G° to the set of ι -skew symmetric elements, which is an F-linear subspace of A ([KMRT98], p. 201, Exercise 9); thus G° is rational.

Theorem 4.2

In the context of Notation 3.5, suppose q is a quadratic form over F of dimension unequal to two, such that $q_{F_{\xi}}$ is isotropic for each $\xi \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U}$. Then q is isotropic.

Proof. If the dimension of q is one, then each $q_{F_{\xi}}$ is totally isotropic, and hence not regular. Thus neither is q, and the conclusion follows in this case.

Now suppose $n := \dim q \ge 3$. By Witt decomposition, we may write $q = q_t \perp q_r$, where q_r is regular and q_t is totally isotropic. If $q_t \ne 0$ then q is isotropic and there is nothing to show. Therefore, we may assume that q (and hence each $q_{F_{\varepsilon}}$) is regular.

Let H be the projective quadric hypersurface defined by q. Observe that O(q) acts transitively on the points of the F-variety H (see the definition before Theorem 3.7). To see this, let L be a field extension of F, and let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in H(L)$. These points correspond to lines W_1, W_2 through the origin in \mathbb{A}^n_L that are totally isotropic with respect to q_L ; and hence any isomorphism $f: W_1 \to W_2$ as L-vector spaces is an isometry. By Witt's extension theorem ([Grv02], Theorem 5.2), such an f extends to an isometry of \mathbb{A}^n_L taking W_1 to W_2 . That is, some element of the orthogonal group O(q)(L) carries ξ_1 to ξ_2 . Hence O(q)acts transitively on the points of H.

Since $n \geq 3$, the quadric hypersurface H is connected. Therefore, the special orthogonal group SO(q), which is the connected component of O(q), also acts transitively on the points of H. By Remark 4.1, the group SO(q) is rational. Since SO(q) acts transitively on the points of H, Theorem 3.7 implies that H(F) is non-empty provided that each $H(F_{\xi})$ is. That is, if each $q_{F_{\xi}}$ is isotropic then so is q.

We note that in the above proof, the transitivity of SO(q) on the points of H can also be proven by applying Remark 3.9. Namely, SO(q) is connected and reductive, and the projective variety H is homogeneous for that group over \overline{F} (i.e., $SO(q)(\overline{F})$ acts transitively on $H(\overline{F})$). So Remark 3.9 implies that SO(q) acts transitively on the points of H.

The above result can be regarded as a Hasse-Minkowski theorem for quadratic forms over the function field of a curve defined over a complete discretely valued field. As a consequence, we obtain the following:

Corollary 4.3

In the context of Notation 3.5, suppose q is a regular quadratic form over F. Then $i_W(q) \in {\min(i_W(q_{F_{\varepsilon}})), \min(i_W(q_{F_{\varepsilon}})) - 1}$, where the minimum is taken over all $\xi \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U}$. Moreover the second case can occur only if all $q_{F_{\varepsilon}}$ are hyperbolic.

Proof. We proceed by induction. If the dimension of q is one, then q and $q_{F_{\xi}}$ cannot contain a hyperbolic plane, and so the Witt indices are all 0. If the dimension of q is two, and if any $q_{F_{\xi}}$ is anisotropic, then so is q; thus $i_W(q) = 0 = \min(i_W(q_{F_{\xi}}))$. The remaining two-dimensional case is when all $q_{F_{\xi}}$ are hyperbolic, in which case $\min(i_W(q_{F_{\xi}})) = 1$ and $i_W(q)$ is equal to 1 or 0 depending on whether or not q is hyperbolic.

For the inductive step, consider a form q of dimension $n \geq 3$ and assume that the assertion holds for forms of dimension n-2. We may suppose that $\min(i_W(q_{F_{\xi}}))$ is nonzero (otherwise there is nothing to show). In particular, each $q_{F_{\xi}}$ is isotropic. Then by Theorem 4.2, q is isotropic. By Witt decomposition, this implies that $q \simeq h \perp q'$ for some q' and a hyperbolic plane h. Hence $i_W(q') = i_W(q) - 1$ and $\dim(q') = n - 2$. Moreover $q_{F_{\xi}} \simeq h_{F_{\xi}} \perp q'_{F_{\xi}}$ for all ξ . Thus $q_{F_{\xi}}$ is hyperbolic if and only if $q'_{F_{\xi}}$ is, and $i_W(q'_{F_{\xi}}) = i_W(q_{F_{\xi}}) - 1$. The conclusion of the corollary thus holds for q' and hence for q.

We thank J.-L. Colliot-Thélène for bringing to our attention the following example, which shows that Theorem 4.2 does not in general hold in dimension two, and that the second case of Corollary 4.3 can occur for forms that are hyperbolic over the fields F_{ξ} .

Example 4.4

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer t, fraction field K, and residue field k of characteristic unequal to 2. Consider the field $F = K(x)[y]/(y^2 - x(x-1)(1-xt))$, which is a degree two extension of the function field K(x) of \mathbb{P}^1_T . The normalization \widehat{X} of \mathbb{P}^1_T in F is a normal projective T-curve that is equipped with a degree two finite morphism $f: \widehat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_T$. The closed fiber X of \widehat{X} is a rational k-curve with a single node P, which is the unique point lying over the point at infinity on \mathbb{P}^1_k ; and the complement U of P in X is the inverse image of the affine k-line. The general fiber of \widehat{X} is an elliptic curve over K; this is a Tate curve in the case that K is a p-adic field. With a = x(x-1), let $\widehat{X}' \to \widehat{X}$ be the unramified degree two cover with function field $F' := F[\sqrt{a}]$, and let q be the quadratic form $\langle a, -1 \rangle$ over F. Then q is anisotropic over F because a is not a square in F. But $\widehat{X}' \to \widehat{X}$ is split over P and U and hence over the spectra of \widehat{R}_P and \widehat{R}_U . Hence the two-dimensional form q becomes isotropic (and thus hyperbolic) over F_P and over F_U . This shows that Theorem 4.2 does not always hold for forms of dimension two. Moreover, the Witt indices $i_W(q_P)$

and $i_W(q_U)$ are equal to one, whereas that of the anisotropic form q is equal to zero. Thus $i_W(q)$ can equal $\min(i_W(q_{F_{\xi}})) - 1$ in the locally hyperbolic case of Corollary 4.3.

Next, we consider a variant on Hensel's Lemma.

Lemma 4.5

Let R be a ring and I an ideal such that R is I-adically complete. Let X be an affine R-scheme with structure morphism $\phi : X \to \operatorname{Spec} R$. Let $n \geq 0$. If $s_n : \operatorname{Spec} R/I^n \to X \times_R (R/I^n)$ is a section of $\phi_n := \phi \times_R (R/I^n)$ and its image lies in the smooth locus of ϕ , then s_n may be extended to a section of ϕ .

Proof. Write $X = \operatorname{Spec} S$ for some R-algebra S, with structure map $i: R \to S$. Let $X' \subseteq X$ be the smooth locus of X over R, and let ϕ' be the restriction of ϕ to X'. Since X' is smooth over R, it is formally smooth over R (see [Gro67], Definition 17.3.1). That is, for any $m \geq 1$, any section $s_m : \operatorname{Spec} R/I^m \to X' \times_R (R/I^m)$ of $\phi'_m :=$ $\phi' \times_R (R/I^m)$ lifts to a section s_{m+1} : Spec $R/I^{m+1} \to X' \times_R (R/I^{m+1})$ of $\phi'_{m+1} := \phi \times_R (R/I^{m+1})$ (see [Gro67], Definition 17.1.1). Hence by induction, there is a compatible system of sections $s_m : \operatorname{Spec} R/I^m \to$ $X' \times_R (R/I^m) \subseteq X \times_R (R/I^m)$ of the maps ϕ_m , for $m \ge n$, with each s_m in particular lifting s_n . Here the morphism s_m : Spec $R/I^m \to$ $X \times_R (R/I^m)$ corresponds to a retract $\pi_m : S/I^m S \to R/I^m$ of the mod I^{m} -reduction $i_{m}: R/I^{m} \to S/I^{m}S$ of i (i.e., $\pi_{m} \circ i_{m}$ is the identity on R/I^m). Writing $p_m: S \to S/I^mS$ for the reduction modulo I^mS , we obtain a compatible system of maps $\pi_m \circ p_m : S \to R/I^m$, which in turn defines a map $\pi: S \to R$ given by their inverse limit (using that R is I-adically complete). The map π is then a retract of i and thus corresponds to a section of ϕ that extends s_n .

In fact, the above lemma holds even without the assumption that X is affine over Spec R, by using Corollaire 5.1.8 and Théorème 5.4.1 of [Gro61] in place of the inverse system argument at the end of the above proof. By citing the above lemma in that more general form, one could use the projective hypersurface H of Theorem 4.2 rather than the associated affine quadric Q in the proof of Proposition 4.8 below, and one would not need to choose an affine open subset in the proof of Proposition 5.2. The proof above, however, is more elementary.

In the context of Notation 3.5, assume that the residue field k of T has characteristic unequal to 2. In particular, F does not have characteristic 2. As a consequence, any quadratic form q over F may be diagonalized.

Definition 4.6

If $q = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ is a regular diagonal quadratic form over a field F as above, its *singular divisor* on \widehat{X} is the sum of those prime divisors on \widehat{X} at which the divisor of some a_i (viewed as a rational function on \widehat{X}) has odd multiplicity.

Observe in the above definition that a change of variables $x'_i = c_i x_i$ with $c_i \in F^{\times}$ does not affect the singular divisor, since each a_i is then multiplied by a square. In particular, in the context of Notation 3.5, for every $\xi \in \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{P}$, there is such a change of variables taking q to another diagonal form $q' = \langle a'_1, \ldots, a'_n \rangle$ with each $a'_i \in \widehat{R}_{\xi} \cap F$. Here q'is isometric to the form q, and has the same singular divisor.

We recall the following standard result:

Lemma 4.7

Let S be a two-dimensional excellent normal scheme. Then there is a birational morphism $\pi: S' \to S$ such that S' is regular. Moreover if D is a divisor on S then we may choose $\pi: S' \to S$ such that the support of $\pi^{-1}(D)$ has only normal crossings.

Proof. The first part of the assertion is resolution of singularities for surfaces; see [Abh69] or [Lip75]. If $\pi : S' \to S$ is as in the first part, then by [Lip75], page 193, there is a birational morphism $S'' \to S'$ of regular surfaces for which the inverse image of $D' = \pi^{-1}(D)$ is a normal crossing divisor on S''.

Recall from Definition 1.1 that the *u*-invariant of a field is the maximal dimension of anisotropic quadratic forms over the field. Below we use Notation 3.3.

Proposition 4.8

Let X be a regular projective T-curve with function field F and closed fiber X. Let q be a regular diagonal quadratic form over F.

- (a) Let X_0 be an irreducible component of X, with function field $\kappa(X_0)$. If dim $q > 2u(\kappa(X_0))$ then q_{F_U} is isotropic for some Zariski dense affine open subset $U \subset X_0$.
- (b) Let P be a closed point of X with residue field $\kappa(P)$, and assume that there are c components of the singular divisor of q that pass through P. If dim $q > 2^c u(\kappa(P))$ then q_{F_P} is isotropic.

Proof. Write $q = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_d \rangle$ with $a_i \in F$. After a multiplicative change of variables, we may assume that each a_i lies in \widehat{R}_U or \widehat{R}_P respectively.

(a) Since \widehat{X} is regular, the maximal ideal of the local ring at the generic point η of X_0 (which is a codimension one point of \widehat{X}) is principal. So there is a Zariski affine open neighborhood Spec $R \subset \widehat{X}$ of η whose closed fiber U is an affine open subset of X_0 along which X is regular, and such that the defining ideal of U in Spec R is principal, say with generator $t_0 \in R \subset F$. Thus $t \in t_0 R$.

Consider the principal divisor $(a_1 \cdots a_d)$ on \widehat{X} . Each of its components other than X_0 (which may or may not be a component of this divisor) meets U at only finitely many points. After shrinking U by deleting those points, we may assume that the restriction of this divisor to $\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{R}_U$ is either trivial or is supported along the closed fiber. Thus q is isometric to a diagonal form over $\widehat{R}_U \cap F$ whose entries are each either units in \widehat{R}_U or the product of t_0 and a unit (since even powers of t_0 may be factored out). Therefore, over $\widehat{R}_U \cap F$, the form q is isometric to $q' \perp t_0 q''$, where q', q'' are diagonal forms all of whose entries are units in \widehat{R}_U . It suffices to show (possibly after shrinking Uagain) that either q' or q'' is isotropic over F_U , since then $q' \perp t_0 q''$ and hence q would be as well.

Since dim $q = \dim q' + \dim q''$, the assumption on dim q implies that either q' or q'' has dimension e greater than $u(\kappa(X_0))$. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{A}^e_{\widehat{R}_{i}}$ be the affine quadric cone defined by that subform and let $Q' \subset Q$ be the complement of the origin. (Thus Q is the affine cone over the projective quadric defined by that subform.) Since $e > u(\kappa(X_0))$, it follows that $Q'(\kappa(X_0)) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore there is a rational section of the affine morphism $Q \to \operatorname{Spec} R_U$ over U whose image lies on (the closed fiber of) Q'. This rational section is defined as a morphism on a dense open subset of U. Replacing U by that subset, we may assume that this rational map is a section $U \to Q' \subset Q$ of $Q \to \operatorname{Spec} R_U$ over U. Now Q' is the smooth locus of Q over \widehat{R}_U , since the residue characteristic of T is not 2, and since the quadratic form is diagonal with unit coefficients. So by Lemma 4.5, the section over U lifts to a section Spec $\widehat{R}_U \to Q$. This yields an F_U -point of Q that is not the origin (since its restriction to U is not). Hence either q' or q'' is isotropic over F_U , as desired.

(b) Since R_P is regular and local, it is a unique factorization domain ([Eis95], Theorem 19.19). So the components D_j of the singular divisor D that pass through P are the loci of irreducible elements $r_j \in \hat{R}_P$, $1 \leq j \leq c$. After rescaling the variables we obtain an isometric form $q' = \langle a'_1, \ldots, a'_d \rangle$ with the same singular divisor as q, such that each a'_i is of the form $u_i \prod r_j^{n_{ij}} \in \hat{R}_P \cap F$ for some units $u_i \in \hat{R}_P^{\times}$, where each $n_{ij} = 0$ or 1. For each *c*-tuple $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_c) \in \{0, 1\}^c$, let $S(\lambda) = \{i \mid n_{i,j} = \lambda_j \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, c\}$ and define $q_{\lambda} = \underset{i \in S(\lambda)}{\perp} u_i$. Let \overline{q}_{λ}

be the reduction of q_{λ} modulo the maximal ideal of \widetilde{R}_{P} .

Since dim $q > 2^e u(\kappa(P))$, at least one of the 2^e forms \overline{q}_{λ} over $\kappa(P)$ has dimension e greater than $u(\kappa(P))$. Hence $Q'(\kappa(X_0)) \neq \emptyset$, where Q' is the complement of the origin in the affine cone $Q \subset \mathbb{A}^e_{\widehat{R}_P}$ defined by the form q_{λ} . Since Q' is the smooth locus of Q over \widehat{R}_P , Lemma 4.5 lifts the $\kappa(X_0)$ -point of Q' to a section Spec $\widehat{R}_P \to Q$. This yields an F_P -point of Q that is not the origin, thereby showing that q_{λ} is isotropic over F_P . Thus so is $(\prod r_j^{\lambda_j})q_{\lambda}$. Since $(\prod r_j^{\lambda_j})q_{\lambda}$ is a subform of q', this implies that q' is isotropic as well. Hence so is the isometric form q. \Box

Lemma 4.9

Let T be a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and residue field k of characteristic unequal to 2. Then $u(K) \ge 2u(k)$ and $u_s(K) \ge 2u_s(k)$.

Proof. Let t be a uniformizer of T, and hence of its completion \widehat{T} . Let q be an anisotropic form over k and let n be its dimension. Since the characteristic of k is not 2, we may assume that q is diagonal. Let \widetilde{q} be a diagonal lift of q to T. By [Lam05], VI.1.9(2), $q' = \widetilde{q} \perp t\widetilde{q}$ is anisotropic over \widehat{K} , the fraction field of \widehat{T} . Hence q' is also anisotropic over K. This shows that if $u(k) \geq n$ then $u(K) \geq 2n$; and that proves the first assertion.

For the second assertion, let $n = u_s(k) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. By definition of u_s , there is either an anisotropic quadratic form q of dimension $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ over a finite extension E of k, or an anisotropic quadratic form q of dimension $2n \in \mathbb{Z}$ over a finitely generated field extension E of k of transcendence degree one. After replacing q by an isometric form, we may assume in either situation that q is diagonal. We consider the above two cases in turn.

In the former case, u(E) = n. Observe that there is a finite extension L of K whose residue field is E. (Namely, we inductively reduce to the case that E = k[a] for some $a \in E$, say with monic minimal polynomial $f(y) \in k[y]$; and then take $L = K[\tilde{a}]$, where \tilde{a} is a root of some monic lift of f(y) to T[y].) By the first assertion of the lemma, $u(L) \geq 2u(E) = 2n$. But $u_s(K) \geq u(L)$. So $u_s(K) \geq 2n = 2u_s(k)$.

In the latter case, u(E) = 2n. Let $\{x\}$ be a transcendence basis for E over k. We may assume that E = k(x)[a] for some $a \in E$, say with monic minimal polynomial $f \in k[x, y]$ over k(x). Take a monic lift $\tilde{f} \in T[x, y]$ of f and let F be the fraction field of $T[x, \tilde{a}]$, where \tilde{a} is a root of \tilde{f} . This is a field of transcendence degree one over K. Taking the normalization of T[x] in F, we obtain a normal T-curve \tilde{X} whose closed fiber X is irreducible and has function field E. Let ξ be the generic point of X, and let R be the local ring of \tilde{X} at ξ . Thus R is a discrete valuation ring with fraction field F and residue field E. By the first assertion of the lemma, $u(F) \geq 2u(E) = 4n$; and so $u_s(K) \geq 2n = 2u_s(k)$.

We now prove our main result about quadratic forms, in terms of the strong u-invariant (see Definition 1.2).

Theorem 4.10

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring having fraction field K and residue field k, with char $k \neq 2$. Then $u_s(K) = 2u_s(k)$.

Proof. By the second part of Lemma 4.9, $u_s(K) \ge 2u_s(k)$. It remains to show that $u_s(K) \le 2u_s(k)$. Write $n = u_s(k)$, so every finite extension of k has u-invariant at most n. By Springer's theorem on nondyadic complete discrete valuation fields (see [Lam05], VI.1.10 and XI.6.2(7)), every finite extension of K has u-invariant at most 2n. To prove the desired inequality, we must therefore show that every finitely generated field extension of transcendence degree one over K has u-invariant at most 4n. Let F be such a field extension, and let q be a quadratic form over F of dimension > 4n. We wish to show that q is isotropic.

We may assume that q is regular, since otherwise it is trivially isotropic. The characteristic of F is not 2, by the same property for k; so there is a diagonal form over F that is isometric to q, and we may replace q by that form. Let \widehat{X}_1 be a normal projective model for F over T, and let D_1 be the singular divisor of q on \widehat{X}_1 (see Definition 4.6). By Lemma 4.7, there is a regular projective T-curve \widehat{X} with function field F, and a birational morphism $\pi : \widehat{X} \to \widehat{X}_1$, such that $\pi^{-1}(D_1)$ has only normal crossings. The singular divisor D of q on \widehat{X} is contained in $\pi^{-1}(D_1)$, and so it also has only normal crossings.

For each irreducible component X_0 of the closed fiber X of \hat{X} , the function field $\kappa(X_0)$ has transcendence degree one over k; and so $u(\kappa(X_0)) \leq 2u_s(k) = 2n$ by the definition of u_s . Hence for each such component, dim $q > 4n \geq 2u(\kappa(X_0))$; and thus by Proposition 4.8(a), we may pick a Zariski dense affine open subset $U_0 \subset X_0$ such that $q_{F_{U_0}}$ is isotropic. By [HH07], Proposition 6.6, there is a finite morphism $f: \hat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_T$ such that $f^{-1}(\infty)$ contains the (finitely many) points of X that do not lie in any of our chosen sets U_0 (as X_0 ranges over the components of X), as well as containing all the closed points at which distinct components of X meet. Under Notation 3.5, and by the choice of f, each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is contained in one of the above sets U_0 ; hence F_U contains F_{U_0} . Thus q_{F_U} is isotropic for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Meanwhile, since the singular divisor of q has at most normal crossings, the number of components of this divisor that pass through any closed point $P \in X$ is at most two. Since $u(\kappa(P)) \leq u_s(k) = n$ for each P, we have that dim $q > 4n \geq 4u(\kappa(P)) \geq 4$. So by Proposition 4.8(b), q_{F_P} is isotropic for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore by Theorem 4.2, q is indeed isotropic.

The above result generalizes from the complete case to the henselian case. First we prove a lemma that relies on the Artin Approximation Theorem ([Art69], Theorem 1.10).

Lemma 4.11

Let T be an excellent henselian discrete valuation ring, and let \widehat{K} be the completion of its fraction field K. Let E be a finitely generated field extension of K having transcendence degree at most one, and let X be a projective E-variety. Suppose that $X(\widehat{E}) \neq \emptyset$ for every finitely generated field extension \widehat{E} of \widehat{K} that contains E and satisfies tr. deg. $\widehat{K} = \operatorname{tr.deg.} E$. Then $X(E) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let t be a uniformizer of T. By hypothesis, X is a closed subset of some \mathbb{P}^n_E defined by homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in E[z_0, \ldots, z_n]$.

First consider the case that E is finite over K. After multiplying the polynomials f_i by some power of t, we may assume that each f_i lies in $S[z_0, \ldots, z_n]$, where S is the integral closure of T in E (this being the valuation ring of E). Extend the valuation on K to E. Then the completion \widehat{E} of E is finite over \widehat{K} (and is the compositum of its subfields \widehat{K} and E; so by assumption, X has an \widehat{E} -point. After multiplying a choice of coordinates of the point by some power of t, we may assume that each coordinate \bar{a}_i lies in the valuation ring \bar{S} of \widehat{E} (where \widehat{S} is also the integral closure of \widehat{T} in \widehat{E}). Thus we have a solution $(\bar{a}_0, \ldots, \bar{a}_n) \in \widehat{S}^{n+1}$ of the polynomial equations $f_1 = \cdots =$ $f_m = 0$, with not all \bar{a}_i equal to 0. So for some e > 0 and some i_0 , the element $\bar{a}_{i_0} \in \widehat{S}$ is not congruent to zero modulo $t^e \widehat{S}$. By the Artin Approximation Theorem ([Art69], Theorem 1.10), there exists a solution $(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \in S^{n+1}$ to the system $f_1 = \cdots = f_m = 0$ such that $a_i \equiv \bar{a}_i \mod t^e \widehat{S}$. In particular, $a_{i_0} \neq 0$. Hence (a_0, \ldots, a_n) defines an S-point of X, and $X(E) \neq \emptyset$.

It remains to consider the case that E has transcendence degree one over K. Thus $E = K(x)[y_1, \ldots, y_r]/(g_1, \ldots, g_s)$, a finite extension of K(x), for some polynomials $g_i \in T[x, y]$ defining a prime ideal $I \subset$ T[x, y] that does not extend to the unit ideal in K(x)[y]. (Here for short we write y for y_1, \ldots, y_r . Below we also write g for g_1, \ldots, g_s .) Since $\widehat{K}(x)[y]$ is faithfully flat over K(x)[y], the extension \widehat{I} of I to $\widehat{T}[x, y]$ does not induce the unit ideal in $\widehat{K}(x)[y]$. In particular, \widehat{I} is a proper ideal in $\widehat{T}[x, y]$.

We claim that \widehat{I} is a prime ideal in $\widehat{T}[x, y]$. For if it were not, then there would exist $c, d \in \widehat{T}[x, y] \setminus \widehat{I}$ for which $cd \in \widehat{I}$; i.e., $cd = \sum e_i g_i$ for some $e_i \in \widehat{T}[x, y]$. But then [Art69], Theorem 1.10, applied to the coefficients of the elements c, d, e_i , would produce a contradiction to Ibeing prime, which proves the claim.

Since $\widehat{I} = (g)$ is prime in $\widehat{T}[x, y]$, the ring $\widehat{E} := \widehat{K}(x)[y]/(g) = E \otimes_K \widehat{K}$ is a domain. But \widehat{E} is finite over the field $\widehat{K}(x)$, since E is finite over K(x); hence \widehat{E} is a field, and is the compositum of its subfields E and \widehat{K} . Since \widehat{E} has transcendence degree one over \widehat{K} , by the hypothesis there is an \widehat{E} -point of X; i.e. a solution $(\overline{a}_0, \ldots, \overline{a}_n) \in \widehat{E}^{n+1}$ to the system $f_1 = \cdots = f_m = 0$, with some $\bar{a}_{i_0} \neq 0$. Lifting each \bar{a}_i to an element of $\widehat{K}(x)[y]$ and then multiplying by a non-zero element of $\widehat{T}[x]$, we obtain elements $\hat{a}_i \in \widehat{T}[x, y]$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$, and elements $b_{jh} \in$ $\widehat{T}[x,y]$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$ and $h = 1, \ldots, s$, such that $f_j(\widehat{a}_0, \ldots, \widehat{a}_n) =$ $\sum_{h} b_{jh} g_h \in \widehat{T}[x, y]$ for all j. Moreover $\hat{a}_{i_0} \notin \widehat{I} \subset \widehat{T}[x, y]$, and hence for some e > 0 its image in $(\widehat{T}/t^e\widehat{T})[x,y]$ does not lie in the reduction of \widehat{I} . Applying [Art69], Theorem 1.10, to the coefficients of x and y in \hat{a}_i, b_{jh} , there exist $a'_i, b'_{jh} \in T[x, y]$ that are congruent to \hat{a}_i, b_{jh} modulo t^e , such that $f_j(a'_0, \ldots, a'_n) = \sum_h b'_{jh} g_h \in T[x, y]$ for all j. The reductions of a'_0, \ldots, a'_n modulo I then yield a solution $(a_0, \ldots, a_n) \in$ $(T[x,y]/I)^{n+1} \subset E^{n+1}$ to the system $f_1 = \cdots = f_m = 0$, with $a_{i_0} \neq 0$. This solution then defines an E-point of X.

Corollary 4.12

Let T be an excellent henselian discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and with residue field k of characteristic unequal to 2. Then $u_s(K) = 2u_s(k)$.

Proof. Let \widehat{K} be the completion of K; this is a complete discretely valued field with residue field k. Thus $u_s(\widehat{K}) = 2u_s(k)$ by Theorem 4.10. Also, $u_s(K) \ge 2u_s(k)$ by the second part of Lemma 4.9. Thus to prove the result it suffices to show that $u_s(K) \le 2u_s(k)$.

30 DAVID HARBATER, JULIA HARTMANN, AND DANIEL KRASHEN

So let E be a finitely generated field extension of K having transcendence degree $\ell \leq 1$, and let q be a quadratic form over E of dimension $n > 2^{1+\ell}u_s(k)$. We wish to show that q is isotropic over E. Let H be the hypersurface in \mathbb{P}_E^{n-1} defined by q (as in the proof of Theorem 4.2). Now $u_s(\widehat{K}) = 2u_s(k)$, and so $n > 2^{\ell}u_s(\widehat{K})$. Hence over every finitely generated field extension of \widehat{K} having transcendence degree ℓ , over which q is defined (e.g. containing E), the form q is isotropic. Equivalently, H has a rational point over e; i.e. q is isotropic over E.

Recall that k is a C_d -field if for all $m \geq 1$ and $n > m^d$, every homogeneous form of degree m in n variables over k has a non-trivial solution in k. In particular, a C_d -field k satisfies $u(k) \leq 2^d$ (by taking m = 2). Moreover, every finite extension of k is also a C_d -field, and every one-variable function field over k is a C_{d+1} -field ([Ser73], II.4.5). Hence $u_s(k) \leq 2^d$ for a C_d -field k.

Recall also that a field K is called an *m*-local field with residue field k if there is a sequence of fields k_0, \ldots, k_m with $k_0 = k$ and $k_m = K$, and such that k_i is the fraction field of an excellent henselian discrete valuation ring with residue field k_{i-1} for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. For K and k as above, it follows by induction that a finite extension of K is an *m*-local field whose residue field is a finite extension of k. Also note that if $\operatorname{char}(k) \neq 2$, $u_s(K) = 2^m u_s(k)$ by Theorem 4.12 and induction; and so $u(F) \leq 2^{m+1}u_s(k)$ for any one-variable function field F over K, by definition of u_s .

Corollary 4.13

Suppose that K is an m-local field whose residue field k is a C_d -field of characteristic unequal to 2, and let F be a function field over K in one variable.

- (a) Then $u_s(K) \leq 2^{d+m}$ and hence $u(F) \leq 2^{d+m+1}$.
- (b) If $u(k) = 2^{d}$ then $u(K) = 2^{d+m}$. Moreover if some normal Kcurve with function field F has a K-point, then $u(F) = 2^{d+m+1}$.
- (c) If $u(k') = 2^d$ for every finite extension k'/k, then $u(F) = 2^{d+m+1}$.

Proof. (a) By the discussion preceding this result, $u_s(K) = 2^m u_s(k)$ and $u(F) \leq 2^{m+1} u_s(k)$. But $u_s(k) \leq 2^d$ since k is a C_d -field. So the conclusion follows.

(b) Since k is a C_d -field with $u(k) = 2^d$, we have that $u(k) \le u_s(k) \le 2^d$ and so in fact all three quantities are equal. Applying Lemma 4.9 and induction yields that $u(K) \ge 2^m u(k) = 2^{d+m}$. But $u(K) \le u_s(K) \le 2^m u(k) \le 2^m u$

 2^{d+m} by (a). So all these quantities are equal too, proving the first assertion.

Now let X be a normal K-curve with function field F and let ξ be a K-point on X. The local ring at ξ has fraction field F and residue field K. So Lemma 4.9 implies that $u(F) \geq 2u(K) = 2^{d+m+1}$. The reverse inequality follows from part (a).

(c) The inequality $u(F) \leq 2^{d+m+1}$ is given in part (a). To show the reverse inequality, choose a normal (or equivalently, regular) K-curve X having function field F, and choose a closed point ξ on X. Let R be the local ring of X at ξ , with residue field $\kappa(\xi)$. Then the fraction field of R is F, and $\kappa(\xi)$ is a finite extension of K. Hence $\kappa(\xi)$ is an m-local field whose residue field k' is a finite extension of k. By hypothesis, $u(k') = 2^d$; and k' is a C_d -field since k is. So applying part (b) to k' and $\kappa(\xi)$, we find that $u(\kappa(\xi)) = 2^{d+m}$. Lemma 4.9 now implies that $u(F) \geq 2^{d+m+1}$.

For example, if k is a field of transcendence degree d over an algebraically closed field of characteristic unequal to 2, then k is a C_d -field (theorem of Tsen-Lang, see [Ser73], II.4.5(b)). So $u(F) \leq 2^{d+m+1}$ for any one-variable function field F over an m-local field with residue field k, by Corollary 4.13(a). This was known in the special case that F is a one-variable function field over k((t)). Namely, in that situation, k((t)) is a C_{d+1} -field by Theorem 2 of [Gre66]; so F is a C_{d+2} -field by the theorem of Tsen-Lang cited above and hence $u(F) \leq 2^{d+2}$.

As a special case of Corollary 4.13(b), the *u*-invariant of K(x) equals 2^{d+m+1} if K is an *m*-local field whose residue field is C_d , has *u*-invariant 2^d , and does not have characteristic 2.

Corollary 4.14

Let F be a one-variable function field over an m-local field whose residue field k has characteristic unequal to 2.

- (a) If k is algebraically closed, then $u(F) = 2^{m+1}$.
- (b) If k is a finite field, then $u(F) = 2^{m+2}$

Proof. (a) This is a special case of Corollary 4.13(c), using that an algebraically closed field k is C_0 , satisfies u(k) = 1, and has no non-trivial finite extensions.

(b) A finite field k is C_1 (by [Ser73], II.3.3(a)), and so $u(k) \leq 2$ by the comment before Corollary 4.13. But $u(k) \neq 1$ since the form $x^2 - cy^2$ is anisotropic for any non-square $c \in k$ (using char $k \neq 2$). Hence u(k) = 2. Since these properties hold for all finite fields of characteristic not 2, the assertion is again a special case of Corollary 4.13(c).

32 DAVID HARBATER, JULIA HARTMANN, AND DANIEL KRASHEN

From Corollary 4.14, we immediately obtain the following, which in the case of \mathbb{Q}_p was recently shown by Parimala and Suresh ([PS07], Theorem 4.6):

Corollary 4.15

Let p be an odd prime, and let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or of the field of algebraic p-adic numbers (i.e. the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{Q}_p). If F is a function field in one variable over K, then u(F) = 8.

Proof. This is the case of Corollary 4.14(b) with k a finite field and m = 1, taking the 1-local field to be a p-adic field.

Note that the above corollary shows that $u(F) \leq 8$ even if K is not a finite extension but merely algebraic.

As another example of Corollary 4.14(b), let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p((t))$ with p odd, and let F be a one-variable function field over K. Then K is 2-local with finite residue field, and so u(F) = 16.

We conclude this section by proving an analog of Theorem 4.10 for function fields of patches. This is done by means of the following lemma. We adhere to Notation 3.3.

Lemma 4.16

Let X be a smooth connected projective curve over a complete discrete valuation ring T and let F be its function field. Let $n \ge 0$ and assume that the residue characteristic of T does not divide n. Let U be a subset of the closed fiber X and let P be a closed point of \widehat{X} . If $a \in F_U^{\times}$ (resp. $a \in F_P^{\times}$) then there exists an $a' \in F$ and a unit $u \in F_U^{\times}$ (resp. $u \in F_P^{\times}$) such that $a = a'u^n$.

Proof. First consider the case that $a \in F_U^{\times}$. Since F_U is the fraction field of \widehat{R}_U , we may write $a = a_1/a_2$ where $a_1, a_2 \in \widehat{R}_U$ and $a_i \neq 0$. By the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem for \widehat{R}_U given in [HH07], Proposition 4.7, the nonzero element $a_i \in \widehat{R}_U$ may be written as a product $a_i = b_i c_i$ with $b_i \in F^{\times}$ and $c_i \in \widehat{R}_U^{\times}$ for i = 1, 2. Let t be a uniformizer of T. Then the reduction of c_i modulo t is an element $\overline{c}_i \in \widehat{R}_U/t\widehat{R}_U$, the ring of rational functions on X that are regular at the points of U. But this ring is also R_U/tR_U . So we may lift \overline{c}_i to an element $c'_i \in R_U \subset F$. Here $c_i/c'_i \in \widehat{R}_U^{\times}$, and in fact $c_i/c'_i \equiv 1 \mod t\widehat{R}_U$. Now the residue characteristic of T does not divide n, and 1 is an n-th root of c_i/c'_i modulo t. Hence c_i/c'_i has a (non-zero) n-th root $c''_i \in \widehat{R}_U$ by Hensel's Lemma. Thus $u := c''_1/c''_2$ lies in F_U^{\times} , and $a' := b_1c'_1/b_2c'_2$ lies in F. Since $a_i = b_i c'_i (c''_i)^n$, we have $a = a_1/a_2 = a' u^n$. This proves the result in this case.

Next consider the case that $a \in F_P^{\times}$. Taking $U = \{P\}$ in the previous case, we are reduced to showing that every element $a \in F_P^{\times}$ is of the form $a = a'u^n$ where $a' \in F_{\{P\}}^{\times}$ and $u \in F_P^{\times}$ (because $F_{\{P\}} \subset F_P$). By the local Weierstrass Preparation Theorem for \widehat{R}_P given in [HH07], Proposition 5.6, we may write $a_i = b_i c_i$ for some $b_i \in F_{\{P\}}^{\times}$ and $c_i \in$ \widehat{R}_{P}^{\times} . (As noted in the proof of Theorem 3.4(3), our rings $\widehat{R}_{\{P\}}$ and \widehat{R}_P correspond to \widehat{R} and \widehat{R}_1 in [HH07], Section 5.) Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of $\widehat{R}_{\{P\}}$ and let \mathfrak{m}' be the maximal ideal of \widehat{R}_P . So $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}_P$. Let $\overline{c}_i \in \widehat{R}_P^{\times}/\mathfrak{m}'$ be the reduction of c_i modulo \mathfrak{m}' . The inclusion $\widehat{R}_{\{P\}} \hookrightarrow \widehat{R}_P$ induces an isomorphism on the residue fields $\widehat{R}_{\{P\}}/\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}_{\{P\}} \to \widehat{R}_P/\mathfrak{m}'\widehat{R}_P$; so we can regard $\overline{c}_i \in \widehat{R}_{\{P\}}/\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}_{\{P\}}$, and we can lift it to an element $c'_i \in \widehat{R}_{\{P\}} \subset F_{\{P\}}$. Here $c'_i \neq 0$ since $\overline{c}_i \neq 0$ (because $c_i \in \widehat{R}_P^{\times}$). So $c_i/c_i \in \widehat{R}_P^{\times}$ is congruent to 1 modulo $t\widehat{R}_P$, and so by Hensel's Lemma is an *n*-th power of some non-zero $c''_i \in \widehat{R}_P$. Taking $a' = b_1 c'_1 / b_2 c'_2 \in F^{\times}_{\{P\}}$ and $u := c''_1 / c''_2 \in \widehat{R}_P \subset F_P$ with $u \neq 0$ then yields the desired identity $a = a'u^n$.

As a consequence of this lemma and Theorem 4.10, we obtain:

Corollary 4.17

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer t, whose residue field k is not of characteristic 2. Let \widehat{X} be a smooth projective T-curve with closed fiber X, and let ξ be a proper subset of X (resp. a closed point of X). Then $4u(\kappa(Q)) \leq u(F_{\xi}) \leq 4u_s(k)$ for any closed point $Q \in X$ (resp. for $Q = \xi$).

Proof. Let K be the fraction field of T and let E, F be the function fields of X, \hat{X} . Thus F is a one-variable function field over K. Let $k' = \kappa(Q)$, and let $I \subset \widehat{R}_{\xi}$ be the ideal that defines the closed fiber X locally.

For the first inequality, consider the case when $\xi = U \subset X$. The local ring A of X at Q is a discrete valuation ring having residue field k' and fraction field E. Also, the localization of \hat{R}_U at the prime ideal I is a discrete valuation ring having residue field E and fraction field F_U . Applying Lemma 4.9 to these two rings yields $u(F_U) \ge 2u(E) \ge 4u(k')$, as asserted. In the other case, when $\xi = P \in X$ (in which case Q = P), if we replace the ring A by its completion \hat{A} , the field E by the fraction field \widehat{E} of \widehat{A} , and \widehat{R}_U, F_U by \widehat{R}_P, F_P , then Lemma 4.9 similarly yields $u(F_P) \ge 2u(\widehat{E}) \ge 4u(k')$.

For the second inequality, let q be a quadratic form over F_{ξ} of dimension $n > 4u_s(k)$. We wish to show that q is isotropic. Since the characteristic of k and hence of F_{ξ} is not 2, the form q is isometric to a diagonal form $a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2$ with $a_i \in F_{\xi}$. By Lemma 4.16, $a_i = a'_iu_i^2$ for some $a'_i \in F$ and $u_i \in F_{\xi}^{\times}$. So after rescaling x_i by a factor of u_i , we obtain a form $q' = a'_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a'_nx_n^2$ that is isometric to q, with $a'_i \in F$. The dimension of the F-form q' is greater than $2u_s(K)$, since $u_s(K) = 2u_s(k)$ by Theorem 4.10. Therefore q' is isotropic over F and hence over F_{ξ} . Thus so is q.

Corollary 4.18

Under the hypotheses of Corollary 4.17, if k is algebraically closed (resp. finite), then $u(F_{\xi}) = 4$ (resp. 8).

Proof. Let $k' = \kappa(Q)$. In the algebraically closed case the result follows from Corollary 4.17 since k' = k and $u(k) = u_s(k) = 1$. In the finite case, k' is also finite, and both k and k' are C_1 -fields with u-invariant equal to 2 (as noted in the proof of Corollary 4.14(b)). Moreover $u_s(k) = 2$ since $u(k) \leq u_s(k) \leq 2$ for a C_1 -field. So the result again follows from Corollary 4.17.

For example, if k is algebraically closed (resp. finite), then the fraction fields of k[[x,t]] and k[x][[t]] each have u-invariant equal to 4 (resp. 8). This follows by taking $\widehat{X} = \mathbb{P}^1_{k[[t]]}$ and taking ξ equal to the affine line or one point. Similarly, taking $\widehat{X} = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ with $p \neq 2$, we obtain that the fraction field of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]$ has u-invariant 8, as does the fraction field of the p-adic completion of $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. The above corollary can also be applied to other smooth projective curves; but by restricting attention to the line we may weaken the above hypotheses on k:

Corollary 4.19

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer t, whose residue field k has characteristic unequal to 2 and satisfies $u(k) = u_s(k)$. Then the fraction fields of T[[x]] and of the t-adic completion of T[x]have u-invariant equal to 4u(k).

Proof. This is immediate from Corollary 4.17, by taking $\widehat{X} = \mathbb{P}_T^1$; taking $U = \mathbb{A}_T^1$ and P to be the point x = t = 0 in the respective cases; and taking Q to be the rational point x = t = 0 in both cases. \Box

In particular, if k is any field with $u(k) = u_s(k)$, the field k((x,t)) has u-invariant equal to 4u(k). For example, if k is a C_d -field with $u(k) = 2^d$, then k((x,t)) has u-invariant equal to 2^{d+2} . This is because $2^d = u(k) \le u_s(k) \le 2^d$, using that k is C_d . (Here, as above, we assume char $(k) \ne 2$.)

5. Central simple algebras

This section contains our results on central simple algebras. As in the previous section, we use Theorem 3.7 to reduce to a local problem. For basic notions concerning central simple algebras, we refer the reader to [Sal99] and [Pie82]. In particular, we recall that the index of a central simple *F*-algebra *A* can be characterized as the degree of a minimal *splitting field* for *A*, i.e. a field extension E/F such that *A* splits over *E* in the sense that $A \otimes_F E$ is a matrix algebra over *F*.

The notion of a central simple algebra over a field generalizes to that of an Azumaya algebra over a commutative ring; see [Sal99], Chapter 2, or [Gro68], Part I, Section 1. If A is an Azumaya algebra of degree nover a domain R, and $1 \le i \le n$, there is a functorially associated smooth projective R-scheme $SB_i(A)$, called the *i*-th generalized Severi-Brauer variety of A (see [VdB88], p. 334, and [See99], Theorem 3.6; their notation is a bit different). For each R-algebra S, the S-points of $SB_i(A)$ are in bijection with the right ideals of $A_S := A \otimes_R S$ that are direct summands of the S-module A_S having dimension (i.e. Srank) ni. If R is a field F, so that A is a central simple F-algebra, and if E/F is a field extension, then $SB_i(A)(E) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\operatorname{ind}(A_E)$ divides *i* ([KMRT98], Proposition 1.17). Here $A_E \cong \operatorname{Mat}_m(\Delta)$ for some E-division algebra Δ and some $m \geq 1$, and the right ideals of E-dimension ni are in natural bijection with the subspaces of Δ^m of Δ -dimension i/ ind (A_E) ([KMRT98], Proposition 1.12, Definition 1.9). Thus, writing D for the F-division algebra in the class of A, the F-linear algebraic group $\operatorname{GL}_1(A) = \operatorname{GL}_m(D)$ acts transitively on the points of the F-scheme $SB_i(A)$ (recall the definition given prior to Theorem 3.7).

We now place ourselves in the context of Section 3.

Theorem 5.1

Under Notation 3.3 and 3.5, let A be a central simple F-algebra. Then $\operatorname{ind}(A) = \operatorname{lcm}_{\xi \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{ind}(A_{F_{\xi}}).$

Proof. Let n be the degree of A, and let D be the F-division algebra in the class of A. Then $\operatorname{GL}_1(A) = \operatorname{GL}_m(D)$ is a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{A}_F^{n^2}$ (because multiplication in D is given by polynomials over F); so it is a rational connected linear algebraic group. As noted above, if $1 \leq i < n$ then $\operatorname{GL}_1(A)$ acts transitively on the points of $\operatorname{SB}_i(A)$; and if E is a field extension of F, then $\operatorname{SB}_i(A)(E) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\operatorname{ind}(A_E)$ divides i. So Theorem 3.7 implies that $\operatorname{ind}(A)|i$ if and only if $\operatorname{ind}(A_{F_{\xi}})|i$ for each $\xi \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U}$. Thus $\operatorname{ind}(A) = \operatorname{lcm}_{\xi \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{ind}(A_{F_{\xi}})$ as claimed. \Box

Before proving our results about the period-index problem for central simple algebras, we recall the notion of *ramification* for such algebras. Consider an integrally closed Noetherian domain R with fraction field E, the function field of $Y = \operatorname{Spec} R$. For a codimension one irreducible subvariety $Z \subset Y$ with function field $\kappa(Z)$, and an integer n not divisible by the characteristic of $\kappa(Z)$, there is a canonically defined *ramification map* (or *residue map*)

$$\operatorname{ram}_Z : \operatorname{Br}(E)[n] \to H^1(\kappa(Z), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

on the *n*-torsion part of the Brauer group (see [COP02], §2, or [Sal99], pp. 67-68; here we identify $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$). An element of $H^1(\kappa(Z), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ determines a cyclic Galois field extension $L/\kappa(Z)$ with a specified generator σ of $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\kappa(Z))$ whose order divides *n*. For a given class $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(E)[n]$ there are only finitely many codimension one subvarieties $Z \subset Y$ for which $\operatorname{ram}_Z(\alpha)$ is nonzero. We call the reduced closed subscheme supported on the union of these varieties *Z* the *ramification divisor* of α (or of an algebra in its class). By [Sal99], Theorem 10.3, and [Gro68], Part II, Proposition 2.3, if *R* is regular of dimension at most 2 and *n* is prime to the characteristics of all the residue fields $\kappa(Z)$, then

(*)
$$0 \to \operatorname{Br}(R)[n] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Br}(E)[n] \xrightarrow{\oplus_Z \operatorname{ram}_Z} \bigoplus_Z H^1(\kappa(Z), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

is an exact sequence of abelian groups. An *n*-torsion element of Br(E) is *unramified* if its ramification divisor is trivial; i.e. if its image under $\bigoplus_Z \operatorname{ram}_Z$ is zero. By the exact sequence (*), this is equivalent to saying that this element of Br(E) is induced by an *n*-torsion element of Br(R).

Recall (from the introduction) that we say that a field k is separably closed away from p if its absolute Galois group is a pro-p group. By [Sha72], III.1, Proposition 16, this is equivalent to the condition that $cd_q(k) = 0$ for all primes $q \neq p$. By [Ser73], II.4.1, Proposition 11, if $q \neq char(k)$ the condition $cd_q(k) = 0$ implies that $cd_q(K) = d$ for any function field K of transcendence degree d over k. This in turn implies that there is no non-trivial prime-to-char(k) torsion in Br(K), for any finitely generated field K over k of transcendence degree ≤ 1 , by applying [Ser73], II.2.3, Proposition 4, to such a field K, and using that Br(K) = $H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Recall also that the Brauer dimension of k (resp. away from p) is defined to be 0 if k is separably closed (away from p), and that otherwise it is the smallest positive integer d such that for every finitely generated field extension E/k of transcendence degree $\ell \leq 1$, and every central simple E-algebra A (resp. with $p \not| \operatorname{per}(A)$), we have $\operatorname{ind}(A) |\operatorname{per}(A)^{d+\ell-1}$.

Proposition 5.2

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k, let \widehat{X} be a regular projective T-curve with function field F and let X be its closed fiber. Let A be a central simple F-algebra whose period n is not divisible by char(k). Let $d \ge 0$. Suppose that k has Brauer dimension at most d away from char(k). Under Notation 3.3 we have the following:

- (a) Let X_0 be an irreducible component of X. Then $ind(A_{F_U})$ divides n^{d+1} for some Zariski dense affine open subset $U \subset X_0$.
- (b) Let P be a closed point of X, and assume that the ramification divisor of A has at most a normal crossing singularity at P. If the period q of A_{F_P} is a prime number unequal to char(k), and F_P contains a primitive q-th root of unity, then ind(A_{F_P}) divides q^{d+1} .

Proof. (a) As in the proof of Proposition 4.8(a), there is an affine Zariski open neighborhood Spec $R \subset \widehat{X}$ of the generic point of X_0 whose closed fiber U is an affine open subset of X_0 along which X is regular, and such that the defining ideal of U in Spec R is principal, say with generator $t_0 \in R \subset F$. Let D be the ramification divisor of A in X. After shrinking U, we may assume that the ramification divisor of A on Spec R_U is either trivial or is the divisor of t_0 and that $\operatorname{ram}_U([A])$ corresponds to an étale cyclic Galois cover $U' \to U$ with Galois generator σ . By [Gro71], I, Corollaire 8.4, we may lift $U' \to U$ to obtain an étale Galois cover $\hat{U}' \to \text{Spec}(\hat{R}_U)$, which necessarily has the same (cyclic) Galois group. Let \widehat{L}/F_U be the corresponding cyclic field extension and $\widehat{\sigma}$ the lift of σ to \widehat{L} . Let B be the cyclic F_U -algebra $(\widehat{L}, \widehat{\sigma}, t_0)$, of degree dividing n (see, for example, [Sal99], p.7). Thus B is unramified away from t_0 on R_U ; and it follows from [Sal99], Lemma 10.2, that the cyclic cover of U and Galois generator that are associated to B agree with those associated to A (i.e. U' and σ). Let $C = A_{F_{U}} \otimes_{F_{U}} B^{\text{op}}$, where B^{op} is the opposite algebra. Notice that the period of C divides n since those of A_{F_U} and B^{op} do. Since $[B^{\text{op}}] = -[B]$ and the ramification map is a group homomorphism, the central simple algebra C is unramified over R_U .

38 DAVID HARBATER, JULIA HARTMANN, AND DANIEL KRASHEN

Suppose that $\operatorname{ind}(C_{F_V})|n^d$ for some dense open subset $V \subseteq U$. Since A_{F_V} is Brauer equivalent to $(C \otimes_{F_U} B)_{F_V}$, and since $\operatorname{ind}(B)|\operatorname{deg}(B)|n$, it would then follow that $\operatorname{ind}(A_{F_V})|\operatorname{ind}(C_{F_V})\operatorname{ind}(B)|n^{d+1}$. So to complete the proof of (a) it suffices to show that $\operatorname{ind}(C_{F_V})|n^d$ for some V.

Since the class of C in the Brauer group is unramified over F_U , the exact sequence of ramification (*) yields an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{C} over \widehat{R}_U with $\operatorname{per}(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{per}(C)$ and such that \mathcal{C}_{F_U} is Brauer equivalent to C. Since $\operatorname{per}(C)$ divides n, the central simple algebra $\mathcal{C}_{\kappa(U)}$ has period dividing n (here $\kappa(U)$ is the function field of U). By assumption on the residue field k, $\operatorname{ind}(\mathcal{C}_{\kappa(U)})|\operatorname{per}(\mathcal{C}_{\kappa(U)})^d|n^d =: i$ for d > 0. In fact, the same holds if d = 0 since in that case, $\operatorname{per}(\mathcal{C}_{\kappa(U)}) = 1$ by the comments before the proposition (using $\operatorname{char}(k) \not\mid n$).

Let m be the degree of \mathcal{C} over R_U . By tensoring \mathcal{C} with a matrix algebra, we may assume that m > i. We may therefore consider the *i*-th generalized Severi-Brauer R_U -scheme $SB_i(\mathcal{C})$. As noted before the statement of Theorem 5.1, the fact that $\operatorname{ind}(\mathcal{C}_{\kappa(U)})|i$ implies the existence of a $\kappa(U)$ -rational point on $\mathrm{SB}_i(\mathcal{C}_{\kappa(U)})$; or equivalently on $\mathrm{SB}_i(\mathcal{C})$, by functoriality of SB_i. Hence the morphism $\pi : SB_i(\mathcal{C}) \to Spec R_U$ has a section $\operatorname{Spec}(\kappa(U)) \to \operatorname{SB}_i(\mathcal{C})$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(\kappa(U))$, the generic point of the closed fiber U of $\operatorname{Spec}(\widehat{R}_U)$. Choose a Zariski dense open subset $V \subseteq U$ such that this section over $\operatorname{Spec}(\kappa(U))$ extends to a section over V, and such that the image of this latter section lies in an open subset of $SB_i(\mathcal{C})$ that is affine over \widehat{R}_U . Then by Lemma 4.5, the section over V lifts to a section over $\operatorname{Spec}(\widehat{R}_V)$. Thus we obtain an F_V -point of $SB_i(\mathcal{C})$; or equivalently, of $SB_i(\mathcal{C}_{F_V})$. Consequently, the central simple F_V -algebra \mathcal{C}_{F_V} has index dividing $i = n^d$. But \mathcal{C}_{F_V} is Brauer equivalent to C_{F_V} , since \mathcal{C}_{F_U} is Brauer equivalent to C. Hence $\operatorname{ind}(C_{F_V})$ also divides n^d , as desired.

(b) By our assumptions, \widehat{R}_P is a complete regular local ring whose fraction field contains a primitive q-th root of unity; and A_{F_P} is a central simple algebra whose period is q and whose ramification divisor has at most a normal crossing at P. Therefore [Sal07], Theorem 2.1, applies. In particular, A_{F_P} is Brauer equivalent to $B \otimes C$, where the class of C is unramified over \widehat{R}_P and the index of B divides q^2 . Namely, the abovementioned theorem asserts that B is either a symbol algebra of index dividing q or the product of at most two such symbol algebras, each of which determines a cyclic extension of the residue field at a branch of the ramification divisor at P. That same theorem says that the case of two symbol algebras occurs only if the cyclic field extension associated to one of the symbols is unramified at P (and is of degree prime to char(k)). If d = 0, this cyclic extension would have trivial residue field extension at P by the assumption on k, and would therefore be trivial. So in fact the index of B divides q if d = 0. That is, in general the index of B divides q^{1+e} , where e = 0 if d = 0 and e = 1 if d > 0.

As in the proof of part (a), we may find an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{C} over \widehat{R}_P such that \mathcal{C}_{F_P} is Brauer equivalent to C. By tensoring with a matrix algebra of suitable size, we may assume that the degree of \mathcal{C} is greater than q^{d-e} (with e as above). By the hypothesis on k, the algebra \mathcal{C}_k has index dividing $i := q^{d-e}$ (again using the comments before the proposition, in the case d = 0, to get $\operatorname{per}(\mathcal{C}_k) = 1$ and hence $\operatorname{ind}(\mathcal{C}_k) = 1$). Thus we obtain a section $\operatorname{Spec} k \to \operatorname{SB}_i(\mathcal{C})$ of $\operatorname{SB}_i(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Spec} \widehat{R}_P$ over $\operatorname{Spec} k$ whose image lies in (the closed fiber of) an affine open subset of $\operatorname{SB}_i(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\operatorname{SB}_i(\mathcal{C}) \to \operatorname{Spec} \widehat{R}_P$ is smooth and \widehat{R}_P is complete with residue field k, we may apply Lemma 4.5 to this affine open subset and obtain a section $\operatorname{Spec} \widehat{R}_P \to \operatorname{SB}_i(\mathcal{C})$. This in turn gives an F_P -point of $\operatorname{SB}_i(\mathcal{C})$, or equivalently an F_P -point of $\operatorname{SB}_i(\mathcal{C}_{F_P})$. In particular, we find that the index of \mathcal{C}_{F_P} divides $i = q^{d-e}$. But \mathcal{C}_{F_P} is Brauer equivalent to C. Since $A \cong B \otimes C$ we therefore find

$$\operatorname{ind}(A)|\operatorname{ind}(B)\operatorname{ind}(C)|q^{1+e}q^{d-e} = q^{d+1}$$

as desired.

Before using the above proposition to show our main result on Brauer dimension (Theorem 5.5), we prove two lemmas.

Lemma 5.3

Let K be a complete discretely valued field, and suppose that $\alpha \in Br(K)$ has period n, prime to the residue characteristic of K. Let L be a totally ramified extension of K of degree n. Then $\alpha_L \in Br(L)$ is unramified.

Proof. Let k be the common residue field of K and L. By [Sal99], Theorem 10.4, the ramification maps for K and L (with respect to the maximal ideals of the corresponding complete discrete valuation rings) form a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Br}(K) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ram}} & H^{1}(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ & & & & \\ \operatorname{res} & & & n \\ & & & \\ \operatorname{Br}(L) & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{ram}} & H^{1}(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

where the left hand vertical map is induced by restriction (in Galois cohomology), and the right hand vertical map is induced by multiplication by n. Since α has order n in the group Br(K), its image in the

lower right hand $H^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is zero. Hence $\alpha_L \in Br(L)$ is unramified.

Lemma 5.4

Suppose K is a complete discretely valued field with residue field k and valuation ring T. Let $\alpha \in Br(T)$. Then $ind(\alpha_K) = ind(\alpha_k)$.

Proof. Let A be an Azumaya algebra in the class of α , and let n be the degree of A over T (which is also the degree of A_K over K, and of A_k over k). For $1 \leq i < n$, we have a commutative diagram of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{SB}_{i}(A_{K}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{SB}_{i}(A) & \longleftarrow & \operatorname{SB}_{i}(A_{k}) \\ \pi_{K} & & \pi & & & \\ \pi_{K} & & & \pi_{k} & & \\ \operatorname{Spec}(K) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec}(T) & \longleftarrow & \operatorname{Spec}(k), \end{array}$$

where SB_i is the *i*-th generalized Severi-Brauer variety. Since π is a proper morphism, by the valuative criterion for properness it follows that any section of π_K may be uniquely extended to a section of π . Since π is a smooth morphism, it has a section if and only if π_k does, by Hensel's lemma. This implies that π_k has a section if and only if π_K has a section. But there is a *K*-point on $SB_i(A_K)$ if and only if the index of A_K divides *i*, and similarly for *k*. So $ind(\alpha_K)|i$ if and only if $ind(\alpha_k)|i$. Therefore $ind(\alpha_k) = ind(\alpha_K)$ as desired.

Theorem 5.5

Let K be a complete discretely valued field whose valuation ring T has residue field k. Suppose k has Brauer dimension $d \ge 0$ away from char(k). Then K has Brauer dimension at most d + 1 away from char(k).

Proof. Let A be central simple algebra over a finitely generated field extension F of K having transcendence degree $\ell \leq 1$, and assume that $p := \operatorname{char}(k) \geq 0$ does not divide $n := \operatorname{per}(A)$. We wish to show that $\operatorname{ind}(A)$ divides $\operatorname{per}(A)^{d+\ell}$. Let $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(F)$ be the class of A.

We begin by considering the case of $\ell = 0$; i.e., F is a finite extension of K, whose residue field k' is a finite extension of k. If $d \ge 1$, let L be a totally ramified extension of F of degree $n = \text{per}(\alpha)$. Thus α_L is unramified by Lemma 5.3. Equivalently, by the exact sequence (*) before the statement of Proposition 5.2, α_L is induced by an element α_S in Br(S), where S is the valuation ring of L. By Lemma 5.4, $\text{ind}(\alpha_L) = \text{ind}(\alpha_{k'})$, where $\alpha_{k'} \in \text{Br}(k')$ is the class induced by α_S . The hypothesis on k implies that $\text{ind}(\alpha_{k'}) | \text{per}(\alpha_{k'})^{d-1}$. But $\text{ind}(\alpha) | n \text{ind}(\alpha_L)$, by [Pie82], Proposition 13.4(v), since n = [L : F].

Also, $\operatorname{per}(\alpha_{k'}) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha_S) = \operatorname{per}(\alpha_L)$, since $\alpha_{k'}$ is induced by α_S . So $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha_L) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha_L)^{d-1}$ and

$$\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | n \operatorname{ind}(\alpha_L) | n \operatorname{per}(\alpha_L)^{d-1} | n \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{d-1} = \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^d,$$

as desired.

On the other hand, if d = 0, then k is separably closed away from p, and so has no cyclic field extensions of degree prime to p. Thus $H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial and α is unramified. So α is induced by an element $\alpha_R \in Br(R)$, where R is the valuation ring of F. Let α_k be the induced element of Br(k). Then $ind(\alpha) = ind(\alpha_k) = 1$ by Lemma 5.4 and the fact that Br(k) has no n-torsion (as noted before Proposition 5.2). So $ind(\alpha) | per(\alpha)^d$ holds trivially. This concludes the proof in the case $\ell = 0$.

We now turn to the case $\ell = 1$; i.e., F is a finitely generated field extension of K having transcendence degree one. Write $n = \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_i^{r_i}$, where the q_i are distinct primes unequal to p and each $r_i \ge 1$. Since α has order n in the abelian group Br(F), we may write $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m$, where α_i is q_i -power torsion. Here $per(\alpha) = \prod_i per(\alpha_i)$ because the q_i are pairwise relatively prime. Since the index of a tensor product of algebras divides the product of the indices, it follows that $ind(\alpha) | \prod_i ind(\alpha_i)$; so without loss of generality, we may assume that m = 1 and that $ind(\alpha)$ is a power of a prime q. Since $per(\alpha) | ind(\alpha)$, the period of α is also a power of q, say $n = q^r$.

Consider first the case r = 1, so that per(A) = q. Since $char(F) \neq q$, the extension $F(\zeta_q)/F$, where ζ_q is a primitive q-th root of unity, is an extension of F of degree dividing q - 1. Since this is prime to q, we find $ind(A) = ind(A \otimes_F F(\zeta_q))$ and $per(A) = per(A \otimes_F F(\zeta_q))$, by [Pie82], Propositions 13.4(vi) and 14.4b(v). Since $F(\zeta_q)$ is still a finitely generated extension of K of transcendence degree 1, we may therefore assume without loss of generality that $\zeta_q \in F$.

Observe (as in the proof of Theorem 4.10) that there is a regular projective T-curve \hat{X} with function field F such that the ramification divisor D of A on \hat{X} has only normal crossings. Namely, let \hat{X}_1 be a normal projective model for F over T, and let D_1 be the ramification divisor of A on \hat{X}_1 . By Lemma 4.7, there is a regular projective Tcurve \hat{X} with function field F, and a birational morphism $\pi: \hat{X} \to \hat{X}_1$, such that $\pi^{-1}(D_1)$ has only normal crossings. The ramification divisor D of A on \hat{X} is contained in $\pi^{-1}(D_1)$, and so it also has only normal crossings.

By Proposition 5.2(a), for each irreducible component X_0 of the closed fiber X of \widehat{X} , there is a Zariski dense affine open subset $U_0 \subset X_0$

such that $A_{F_{U_0}}$ has index dividing q^{d+1} . Let S be the (finite) set of points of X that do not lie in any of our chosen sets U_0 (as X_0 ranges over the components of X), together with all the closed points at which distinct components of X meet. By [HH07], Proposition 6.6, there is a finite morphism $f: \hat{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_T$ such that $S \subseteq \mathcal{P} := f^{-1}(\infty)$. Under Notation 3.5, and by the choice of f, each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is contained in one of the above sets U_0 ; hence F_U contains F_{U_0} . Thus each A_{F_U} has index dividing q^{d+1} . Meanwhile, since the ramification divisor of A has at most normal crossings, by Proposition 5.2(b) we also have that the index of A_{F_P} divides q^{d+1} for $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore $\operatorname{ind}(A)$ divides q^{d+1} by Theorem 5.1, and the result is proven in this case.

We now consider the general case $per(A) = q^r$ by induction on r. Choose an algebra B in the class $q^{r-1}[A]$. Since B has period q, it has index dividing q^{d+1} (by the first part of the proof for the case $\ell = 1$). Consequently, B has a splitting field L whose degree over F divides q^{d+1} . Since L is a finitely generated field extension of Kof transcendence degree 1, and $A \otimes_F L$ has period dividing q^{r-1} (by definition of L), it follows by induction that $A \otimes_F L$ has index dividing $(q^{r-1})^{d+1}$. Hence $A \otimes_F L$ has a splitting field L' whose degree over Ldivides $(q^{r-1})^{d+1}$. Therefore L'/F is a splitting field of A of degree dividing $(q^r)^{d+1}$, and the proof is complete. \Box

As in the quadratic form case, the main theorem generalizes to a result about henselian discrete valuation rings.

Corollary 5.6

Let T be an excellent henselian discrete valuation ring having fraction field K and residue field k. Let $d \ge 0$. Suppose that k has Brauer dimension d away from char(k). Then K has Brauer dimension at most d + 1 away from char(k).

Proof. We wish to show that if E is a finitely generated field extension of K of transcendence degree $\ell \leq 1$, and if the period of a central simple E-algebra A is not divisible by char(k), then $\operatorname{ind}(A) | \operatorname{per}(A)^{d+\ell-1} =: i$. Equivalently, we wish to show that there is an E-point on the generalized Severi-Brauer variety $\operatorname{SB}_i(A)$.

The completion \widehat{T} of T is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k. Hence by Theorem 5.5, the Brauer dimension of its fraction field \widehat{K} is at most d+1 away from char(k). So for every finitely generated field extension L of \widehat{K} of transcendence degree ℓ over which A is defined (e.g. containing E), the index of A_L divides $\operatorname{per}(A_L)^{d+\ell-1}$ and hence divides $i = \operatorname{per}(A)^{d+\ell-1}$. Thus $\operatorname{SB}_i(A)$ has a rational point over every such field L. So by Lemma 4.11, $SB_i(A)$ has a rational point over E.

Recall the definition of an *m*-local field given in Section 4.

Corollary 5.7

Let K be an m-local field with residue field k, for some $m \ge 1$. Let $d \ge 0$, and suppose that k has Brauer dimension d away from char(k). Then K has Brauer dimension at most d + m away from char(k).

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.6 and induction.

In particular, if k is separably closed away from char(k), and F is a one-variable function field over an m-local field with residue field k, then $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^m$ for any $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(F)$ of period not divisible by char(k). The above result also has the following consequence:

Corollary 5.8

Let K be an m-local field with residue field k and let F be a one-variable function field over K, where k is either

- (a) a finite field; or
- (b) the function field of a curve over a separably closed field k_0 .

Then $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^m$ (resp. $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{m+1}$) for every element in the Brauer group of K (resp. of F) of period not divisible by $\operatorname{char}(k)$.

Proof. (a) By Wedderburn's Theorem, Br(k') is trivial for every finite extension k' of k. Moreover, period equals index in the Brauer group of any one-dimensional function field over k (see [Rei75], Theorem 32.19). So the Brauer dimension of k is 1, and the conclusion follows from Corollary 5.7.

(b) Let $p = \operatorname{char}(k_0) = \operatorname{char}(k) \ge 0$. As noted before Proposition 5.2, since k_0 is separably closed there is no non-trivial prime-to-p torsion in $\operatorname{Br}(k)$. Moreover, if E is a one-variable function field over k, then E is the function field of a surface over k_0 ; and hence period equals index for elements of prime-to-p period in $\operatorname{Br}(E)$, by the main theorem of [deJ04]. Thus the Brauer dimension of k is 1, and the assertion again follows from Corollary 5.7.

As an example of Corollary 5.8(b), $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^2$ for any element α in the Brauer group of $\mathbb{C}(x)((t))(y)$. Also, as a special case of part (a) of the above result, we have the following analog of Corollary 4.15 that was first proven by Saltman [Sal97]:

Corollary 5.9

Let p be a prime, and let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p or of the field of algebraic p-adic numbers (i.e. the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{Q}_p). If F is a function field in one variable over K and the period of $\alpha \in Br(F)$ is not divisible by p, then $ind(\alpha)$ divides $per(\alpha)^2$.

As another example of Corollary 5.8(a) (taking m = 2), the field $K = \mathbb{Q}_p((t))$ satisfies the relation $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^2$ for $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(K)$ of period prime to p, and the field $F = \mathbb{Q}_p((t))(x)$ satisfies the relation $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^3$ for $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(F)$ of period prime to p.

In parallel with the quadratic form situation, Theorem 5.5 has an analog for the function fields of patches. Namely, using Lemma 4.16 and Theorem 5.5 we prove

Corollary 5.10

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k of characteristic $p \ge 0$. Let \widehat{X} be a smooth projective T-curve with closed fiber X, and let ξ be either a subset of X or a closed point of X. Suppose that k has Brauer dimension d. Then for all α in Br(F_{ξ}) with period not divisible by p, we have $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{d+2}$. Moreover if T contains a primitive $\operatorname{per}(\alpha)$ -th root of unity, then $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{d+1}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5.5 in the case $\ell = 1$, by considering the prime factorization of per(α) we reduce to the case that per(α) is a prime power, say q^r .

Let $T' = T[\zeta_{q^r}]$, where ζ_e denotes a primitive *e*-th root of unity. Let $\widehat{X}' = \widehat{X} \times_T T'$, with function field F' = FT', and let $\xi' = \xi \times_T T'$ in \widehat{X}' . Then $F_{\xi'} = F_{\xi}T' = F_{\xi}(\zeta_{q^r})$, where $F_{\xi'}$ is as in Notation 3.3 with respect to the curve \widehat{X}' . Consider the intermediate field $F_{\xi}(\zeta_q)$. The degree $[F_{\xi}(\zeta_q) : F_{\xi}]$ divides q - 1 and $s := [F_{\xi'} : F_{\xi}(\zeta_q)]$ divides q^{r-1} . Let $\alpha' \in \operatorname{Br}(F_{\xi'})$ and $\alpha'' \in \operatorname{Br}(F_{\xi}(\zeta_q))$ be the elements induced by $\alpha \in \operatorname{Br}(F_{\xi})$. Since $[F_{\xi}(\zeta_q) : F_{\xi}]$ is prime to the period of α , the period and index of α'' are equal to those of α ([Pie82], Propositions 13.4(vi) and 14.4b(v)). By [Pie82], Proposition 13.4(v), $s \operatorname{ind}(\alpha')$ is divisible by $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha'') = \operatorname{ind}(\alpha)$.

Since $F_{\xi'}$ contains ζ_{q^r} , by [MS82] the element $\alpha' \in \operatorname{Br}(F_{\xi'})$ is represented by a tensor product $(a_1, b_1)_{q^r} \otimes \cdots \otimes (a_m, b_m)_{q^r}$ of symbol algebras, where each $a_i, b_i \in F_{\xi'}$. Applying Lemma 4.16 to the smooth projective T'-curve \widehat{X}' , we may write $a_i = a'_i u_i^{q^r}$ and $b_i = b'_i v_i^{q^r}$ for $a'_i, b'_i \in F'$ and $u_i, v_i \in F_{\xi'}$. Thus $(a_i, b_i)_{q^r}$ is Brauer equivalent to $(a'_i, b'_i)_{q^r}$. So if we consider the central simple F'-algebra $A = (a'_1, b'_1)_{q^r} \otimes \cdots \otimes (a'_m, b'_m)_{q^r}$, then the class of $A \otimes_{F'} F_{\xi'}$ is α' .

By Theorem 5.5, $\operatorname{ind}(A) | \operatorname{per}(A)^{d+1}$. But $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha)$ divides $s \operatorname{ind}(\alpha')$ and hence $s \operatorname{ind}(A)$; and $\operatorname{per}(A)$ divides $q^r = \operatorname{per}(\alpha)$, since $\operatorname{per}(a_i, b_i)_{q^r} | q^r$. So $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | s \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{d+1}$.

Since s divides q^{r-1} , this shows that $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{d+2}$. In the case that T (and hence F_{ξ}) contains a primitive q^r -th root of unity, s = 1 and so $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) | \operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{d+1}$.

Corollary 5.11

Under the hypotheses of Corollary 5.10, if k is separably closed, then $per(\alpha) = ind(\alpha)$ for elements in $Br(F_{\xi})$ of period not divisible by the characteristic of k.

Proof. Since the characteristic of k does not divide $per(\alpha)$, it follows that k contains a primitive $per(\alpha)$ -th root of unity. Moreover k has Brauer dimension zero. So $ind(\alpha)$ divides $per(\alpha)$ by Corollary 5.10. But $per(\alpha)$ divides $ind(\alpha)$; so the result follows.

In particular, if k is separably closed, then period equals index for elements of period not divisible by char(k) in the Brauer groups of the fraction fields of k[[x, t]] and k[x][[t]]. Similarly, let $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}$ be the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Z}_p . The residue field of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is the algebraically closed field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and so the fraction fields of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}[[x]]$ and of the p-adic completion of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}[x]$ each have the property that period equals index for elements in their Brauer group having period prime to p.

Remark 5.12

(a) The proof of Corollary 5.10 actually shows more: that the index of $\alpha \in Br(F_{\xi})$ divides $[F_{\xi}(\zeta_n) : F_{\xi}(\zeta_{\rho(n)})]n^{d+1}$, where $n = per(\alpha)$ and where $\rho(n)$ denotes the product of the distinct primes that divide n(each taken with multiplicity one). In particular, the index of α in $Br(F_{\xi})$ divides $per(\alpha)^{d+2}/\rho(per(\alpha))$.

(b) We suspect that actually $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha) |\operatorname{per}(\alpha)^{d+1}$ in Corollary 5.10, even without the assumption on roots of unity. Perhaps this could be shown by paralleling the proof of Theorem 5.5 with F replaced by F_{ξ} . But doing this would require generalizations of previous results here and in [HH07].

Remark 5.12(a) shows that Corollary 5.11 can be strengthened to include the case that k is separably closed away from $p = \operatorname{char}(k)$. To see this, first note for any integer n, the degree $[F_{\xi}(\zeta_n) : F_{\xi}(\zeta_{\rho(n)})]$ is divisible only by primes that divide n. Now let α be an element of $\operatorname{Br}(F_{\xi})$ whose period n is not divisible by p. Then the above degree is prime to p. But k is separably closed away from p. So in fact this degree is equal to 1. Since the Brauer dimension d of k is zero, Remark 5.12(a) then shows that $ind(\alpha)$ divides (and hence is equal to) $n = per(\alpha)$.

References

- [Abh69] Shreeram S. Abhankar. Resolution of singularities of algebraic surfaces. In 1969 Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Collog., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968), pp. 1–11, Oxford Univ. Press, London.
- [Art69] Michael Artin. Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings. *Publ. Math. IHES*, No. 36, 1969, pp. 23–58.
- [Bor91] Armand Borel. Linear algebraic groups, second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, volume 126. Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin and Heidelberg, 1991.
- [BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert and Michel Raynaud. Néron models, volume 21 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik. Springer-Verlag, Berlin and Heidelberg, 1990.
- [COP02] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Manuel Ojanguren and R. Parimala. Quadratic forms over fraction fields of two-dimensional Henselian rings and Brauer groups of related schemes. In: *Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., vol. 16, pp. 185–217, Narosa Publ. Co., 2002.
- [deJ04] A. Johan de Jong. The period-index problem for the Brauer group of an algebraic surface. *Duke Math. J.* **123** (2004), 71–94.
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud. Commutative algebra, volume 150 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [For96] Timothy J. Ford. The Brauer group of a curve over a strictly local discrete valuation ring. *Israel J. Math.* **96** (1996), 259–266.
- [Gre66] Marvin J. Greenberg. Rational points in henselian discrete valuation rings. *Publ. Math. IHES*, No. 31, 1966, 59–64.
- [Gro61] Alexander Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique, III. Publ. Math. IHES, No. 11, 1961.
- [Gro65] Alexander Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique, IV, 2^e partie. *Publ. Math. IHES*, No. 24, 1965.
- [Gro67] Alexander Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique, IV, 4^e partie. *Publ. Math. IHES*, No. 32, 1967.
- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. Parts I-III. In Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, pages 46–188. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [Gro71] Alexander Grothendieck. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique, 1. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1971.
- [Grv02] Larry Grove. Classical groups and geometric algebra. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 39. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [Har03] David Harbater. Patching and Galois theory. In: Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups (L. Schneps, ed.), pp.313–424, MSRI Publications series, vol. 41, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

- [HH07] David Harbater and Julia Hartmann. Patching over fields, 2007. To appear in *Israel Journal of Mathematics*. Also available at arXiv:0710.1392.
- [Kn62] Martin Kneser. Schwache Approximation in algebraischen Gruppen. In: Centre Belge rech. Math. Colloque Théorie des groupes algébriques, Bruxelles 1962-41-52, 1962.
- [KMRT98] Max-Albert Knus, Alexander S. Merkurjev, Markus Rost, and Jean-Pierre Tignol. The book of involutions. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [Lam05] Tsit-Yuen Lam. Introduction to quadratic forms over fields. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [Lie08] Max Lieblich. Period and index in the Brauer group of an arithmetic surface, with an appendix by Daniel Krashen. ArXiv Preprint arXiv:math/0702240v3 (2008).
- [Lip75] Joseph Lipman. Introduction to resolution of singularities. In Algebraic geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 29, Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1974), pages 187–230. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975.
- [MS82] Alexander S. Merkurjev and Andrei A. Suslin. K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism (in Russian). Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 46 (1982), 1011-1046, 1135-1136. English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. 21 (1983), 307-340.
- [Mil72] James S. Milne. On the arithmetic of abelian varieties. *Inventiones Math.* 17 (1972), 177–190.
- [Pie82] Richard S. Pierce. Associative algebras. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [Pfi95] Albrecht Pfister. Quadratic Forms with Applications to Algebraic Geometry and Topology, LMS Lect. Note Series 217, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [PS07] R. Parimala and V. Suresh. The u-invariant of the function fields of p-adic curves. ArXiv Preprint arXiv:0708.3128 (2007).
- [Rei75] Irving Reiner. Maximal Orders. Academic Press, London, New York and San Francisco, 1975.
- [Sal97] David J. Saltman. Division algebras over p-adic curves. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 12(1) (1997), 25-47.
- [Sal98] David J. Saltman. Correction to: Division algebras over p-adic curves. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 13(2) (1998), 125–129.
- [Sal99] David J. Saltman. Lectures on division algebras, volume 94 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Sal07] David J. Saltman. Cyclic algebras over p-adic curves. Journal of Algebra 314 (2007), 817–843.
- [See99] George F. Seelinger. Brauer-Severi schemes of finitely generated algebras. Israel J. of Math. 111 (1999), 321–337.
- [Ser73] Jean-Pierre Serre. Cohomologie Galoisienne, fourth edition. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1973.

48 DAVID HARBATER, JULIA HARTMANN, AND DANIEL KRASHEN

[Sha72] Stephen S. Shatz. Profinite groups, arithmetic, and geometry. Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 67, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1972.

 [VdB88] Michel Van den Bergh. The Brauer-Severi scheme of the trace ring of generic matrices. In: *Perspectives in ring theory (Antwerp, 1987)*, pp. 333–338, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 233, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1988.

Author information:

David Harbater: Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395, USA email: harbater@math.upenn.edu

Julia Hartmann: Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen University, 52062 Aachen, Germany email: Hartmann@mathA.rwth-aachen.de

Daniel Krashen: Department of Mathematics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA email: dkrashen@math.uga.edu