

# Chapter II Harmonic analysis of (finite) groups.

## §1 Motivation: Positive-definite kernels

still have to understand: the map

$$(x, y) \mapsto P_k^n(x, y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in S^{n-1}$$

is a positive (-definite) kernel.

### More general setup:

Let  $G$  be a topological group acting continuously on a topological space  $X$ .

Def. Let  $G$  be a group and let  $X$  be a set.

We say that  $G$  acts on  $X$  if there is a map

$$G \times X \rightarrow X$$

which satisfies (i)  $e x = x$  for all  $x \in X$  [ $e$  the identity element of  $G$ ]

(ii)  $g h(x) = (gh)x$  for all  $g, h \in G, x \in X$ .

## Example

$$G = O(n) = \{ A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : A^T A = I_n \}$$

orthogonal group

$X = S^{n-1}$  unit sphere

$$O(n) \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$$

$$(A, x) \mapsto Ax.$$

Def. Call a continuous function  $K: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a positive-definite kernel if for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$  the matrix  $[K(x_i, x_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$  is Hermitian and positive semidefinite. We say that  $K$  is  $G$ -invariant if  $K(gx, gy) = K(x, y)$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $x, y \in X$ .

Example  $K(x, y) = P_h^n(x \cdot y)$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant positive definite kernel.

## Constructing / Characterizing $G$ -invariant positive-definite kernels

Def. Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with Hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We say that  $V$  is a unitary representation of a (finite) group  $G$  if  $G$  acts on  $V$  and the action respects the unitary structure of  $V$ :

$$(i) \quad g(\lambda v + \mu w) = \lambda g v + \mu g w$$

$$(ii) \quad \langle g v, g w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$$

for all  $g \in G$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $v, w \in V$ .

In other words, there is a group homomorphism

$G \rightarrow U(V)$ , where  $U(V)$  is the group of unitary transformations of  $V$ .

Lemma Let  $\Psi: X \rightarrow V$  be a  $G$ -equivariant map, i.e.  $\Psi(gx) = g\Psi(x)$ , then the kernel

$K(x, y) = \langle \Psi(x), \Psi(y) \rangle$  is a positive-definite  $G$ -invariant kernel.

Proof •  $K$  Hermitian:  $\checkmark$

•  $K$   $G$ -invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} K(gx, gy) &= \langle \Psi(gx), \Psi(gy) \rangle \\ &= \langle g\Psi(x), g\Psi(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi(x), \Psi(y) \rangle \\ &= K(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

•  $K$  positive-definite: clear, every finite submatrix is a Gram matrix of the vectors  $\Psi(x_i)$ .  $\square$

In fact, every  $G$ -invariant positive-definite kernel arises by this construction:

Theorem Let  $K: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be  $G$ -invariant and positive definite. Then there is a unitary representation  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  of  $G$  and a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow V$  so that

$$K(x, y) = \langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

holds.

Proof (the GNS construction, by Gelfand, Naimark, Segal).

Define  $W = \mathbb{C}^X$  with basis vectors  $e_x, x \in X$ .

Define on  $W$  the positive semidefinite sesquilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by  $\langle e_x, e_y \rangle = K(x, y)$  and extend linearly. The action of  $G$  on  $X$  extends to  $\mathbb{C}^X$

by  $g e_x = e_{gx}$ . For  $v, w \in W$  we have

$$\langle g v, g w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle \quad \text{for all } g \in G$$

because

$$\langle g e_x, g e_y \rangle = \langle e_{gx}, e_{gy} \rangle = K(gx, gy) = K(x, y) = \langle e_x, e_y \rangle$$

Define

$$W_0 = \{ w \in \mathbb{C}^X : \langle w, w \rangle = 0 \}$$

which is a subspace of  $W$ , which is  $G$ -invariant, i.e. for  $w \in W_0$ ,  $g \in G$  we have  $gw \in W_0$ .

Then, the inner product on  $V = W/W_0$  is positive definite and we have a unitary representation of  $G$ .

Furthermore, define  $\varphi: X \rightarrow V$  by  $\varphi(x) = e_x + W_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle &= \langle e_x + W_0, e_y + W_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle e_x, e_y \rangle = K(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Observation Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a unitary representation of  $G$ . Suppose it decomposes  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ , where  $V_1, V_2$  are  $G$ -invariant.

Consider  $K(x, y) = \langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle$  with  $\varphi: X \rightarrow V$ .

Write  $\varphi(x) = \varphi_1(x) + \varphi_2(x)$  for  $\varphi_i: X \rightarrow V_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}K(x, y) &= \langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_1(x) + \varphi_2(x), \varphi_1(y) + \varphi_2(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_1(x), \varphi_1(y) \rangle + \langle \varphi_2(x), \varphi_2(y) \rangle \\ &= K_1(x, y) + K_2(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

→ So: It makes sense to understand the irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ . They are the building blocks for  $G$ -invariant positive definite kernels; the cone of  $G$ -invariant pos. def. kernels is spanned by these.

Complete answer | Peter-Weyl theorem (1927)

If  $G$  is a compact group, then every unitary rep. of  $G$  is the orthogonal direct sum of finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations.

We shall prove the Peter-Weyl theorem for finite groups now.

## §2 The Peter-Weyl theorem

Recap: discrete Fourier transform (DFT)

Consider the Abelian group  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  defines a character

$$\chi_a: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z\bar{z} = 1\}$$

$$\chi_a(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i a x}{n}}$$

a group homomorphism from  $G$  to the torus  $\mathbb{T}$ .

The characters  $\chi_a$ ,  $a \in G$ , form an ONB of  $\mathbb{C}^G$  with inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g(x)}$ .

$\hat{G} = \{\chi_a : a \in G\}$  is called the dual group

(with pointwise multiplication)

Define  $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{C}^{\hat{G}}$ , the DFT of  $f$ , by

$$\hat{f}(X) = \langle f, X \rangle$$



# Central properties of DFT

(i) Parseval-Plancherel formula

$$\langle f, g \rangle = (\hat{f}, \hat{g}) = \sum_{x \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(x) \overline{\hat{g}(x)}$$

(ii) Fourier inversion formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\chi) \chi(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in G$$

(iii) Convolution identity

$$\widehat{f * g}(\chi) = \hat{f}(\chi) \cdot \hat{g}(\chi),$$

where  $(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{y \in G} f(y) g(x-y)$  the  
convolution of  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^G$

→ see MPDM 2014/15, p. 97-106.

Want to have the same for non-Abelian groups!

But this is impossible!

For example Fourier inversion:

$$f(xy) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\chi) \chi(xy)$$

$$= \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\chi) \chi(x) \chi(y)$$

$$= \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\chi) \chi(yx)$$

$$= f(yx) \quad \text{for all } f, x, y \\ \leadsto G \text{ Abelian.}$$

Problem in non-Abelian groups: there are not sufficiently many characters.

Solution (Frobenius 1897)

Replace character by irreducible unitary representations and derive similar formulas (but involving matrices).

## Our setting

Let  $G$  be a finite group which acts transitively on set  $V$ , i.e. for all  $x, y \in V$  there exists  $g \in G$  so that  $gx = y$ .

Fix a point  $e \in V$  and consider the stabilizer of  $e$ :  $K = \text{Stab}(G, e) = \{g \in G \mid ge = e\}$ .

Then  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $V \cong G/K$ .

Example  $G = O(n)$  [ok, it is not finite, but almost as it is compact]

$$V = S^{n-1}$$

$e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  the North pole

$$K \cong O(n-1)$$

= all  $O(n)$ -matrices with 1<sup>st</sup> column  $e$ .

$$G/K \cong S^{n-1}$$

$\mathbb{C}^V$  is a complex, finite dimensional Hilbert space

with inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{x \in V} f(x) \overline{g(x)}$ .

$G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^V$  by

$$u f(x) = f(u^{-1}x), \quad u \in G, f \in \mathbb{C}^V, x \in V.$$

The inner product is  $G$ -invariant:

$$\langle u f, u g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle, \quad u \in G, f, g \in \mathbb{C}^V.$$

Def. Let  $S, S' \subseteq \mathbb{C}^V$  be subspaces and

$T: S \rightarrow S'$  be a linear map. We say

(a)  $S$  is  $G$ -invariant if  $GS = S$

(b)  $S \neq \{0\}$  is  $G$ -irreducible if  $S$  is  $G$ -invariant and the only  $G$ -invariant subspaces of  $S$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $S$  itself

(c)  $T$  is a  $G$ -map if  $T(uf) = uT(f)$

for all  $u \in G, f \in S$ , and if  $\langle T f, T g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$

(d)  $S$  and  $S'$  are  $G$ -equivalent ( $S \sim S'$ )  
if  $\exists G$ -map  $T: S \rightarrow S'$  which is  
bijective.

## Two important lemmas

Lemma (Maschke's theorem, 1899)

If  $S$  is  $G$ -invariant,  $U \subseteq S$   $G$ -invariant,

then  $U^\perp = \{f \in S : \langle f, g \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } g \in U\}$

is  $G$ -invariant, too.

Proof For  $f \in U^\perp$  and  $u \in G$  we have

$$\langle uf, g \rangle = \langle f, \overbrace{u^{-1}g} \in U \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in U. \quad \square$$

Corollary  $\mathbb{C}^V$  is an orthogonal direct sum of  
 $G$ -irreducible subspaces.

## Lemma (Schur's lemma, 1905)

Suppose  $S, S'$  are  $G$ -irreducible and

$T: S \rightarrow S'$  is a  $G$ -map.

If  $S \not\sim S'$ , then  $T = 0$ .

If  $S \sim S'$ , then either  $T = 0$  or  $T$  is bijective.

Proof The kernel of  $T$  is  $G$ -invariant:

For  $f \in \ker T$  and  $\mu \in G$  we have

$$T(\mu f) = \mu T(f) = \mu 0 = 0.$$

Similarly, the image of  $T$  is  $G$ -invariant:

For  $f \in S$  and  $\mu \in G$  we have

$$\mu T(f) = T(\mu f).$$

Since  $S$  and  $S'$  are  $G$ -irreducible, we are left with one out of four possibilities:

$$(1) \text{ Ker } T = \{0\}, \text{ im } T = \{0\}$$

This implies  $S = \{0\}$ , which is impossible.

$$(2) \text{ Ker } T = \{0\}, \text{ im } T = S'$$

Then  $T$  is bijective and  $S \sim S'$ .

$$(3) \text{ Ker } T = S, \text{ im } T = \{0\}$$

Then  $T = 0$ .

$$(4) \text{ Ker } T = S, \text{ im } T = S'$$

This implies  $S' = \{0\}$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

### Corollary (orthogonality relation)

Let  $S, S'$  be  $G$ -invariant, let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an ONB of  $S$ ,  $e'_1, \dots, e'_n$  be an ONB of  $S'$ .

If  $S \perp S'$ , then  $S \perp S'$ ;  $\langle e_i, e'_j \rangle = 0$ .

If  $S \sim S'$  and  $T$  is a bijective  $G$ -map with

$T e_i = e'_i$ , then there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\langle e_i, e'_j \rangle = \begin{cases} C, & \text{if } i=j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof Define the linear map  $A : S \rightarrow S'$  by

$$A(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{k'} \langle e_j', e_i \rangle e_j.$$

Claim  $A$  is a  $G$ -map

Proof  $\rightarrow$  Exercise.

If  $S \not\sim S'$ , then  $A = 0$  by Schur's lemma.

Thus,  $\langle e_i, e_j' \rangle = 0$ .

If  $S \sim S'$ , then suppose  $A \neq 0$ . Hence,  $A$  is bijective by Schur's lemma. Consider the endomorphism

$$T^{-1}A : S \rightarrow S, \quad T^{-1}A(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle e_j', e_i \rangle e_j.$$

$T^{-1}A$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear and bijective, so it has a non-zero eigenvalue  $c$ . Corresponding eigenspace is  $G$ -invariant, so it is equal to  $S$  as  $S$  is

$G$ -irreducible. Hence,  $T^{-1}A = cI$ , and

$$\langle e_i, e_j' \rangle = c \delta_{ij}. \quad \square$$



# Theorem (Peter-Weyl Theorem)

$$\mathbb{C}^V = \bigoplus_{k=1}^K H_k$$

$$\text{and } H_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_k} H_{k,i},$$

where the decomposition is orthogonal, and  $H_{k,i}$  is  $G$ -irreducible, and  $H_{k,i} \sim H_{k',i'}$  iff  $k=k'$ .

In other words,  $\mathbb{C}^V$  has an orthonormal basis

$$(e_{k,i,l}), \quad k=1, \dots, K, \quad i=1, \dots, m_k, \quad l=1, \dots, h_k$$

so that

$$(1) \quad H_{k,i} = \text{span} \{ e_{k,i,1}, \dots, e_{k,i,h_k} \} \quad G\text{-irreducible.}$$

$$(2) \quad H_{k,i} \sim H_{k',i'} \iff k=k'$$

$$(3) \quad \exists \phi_{k,i}: H_{k,1} \rightarrow H_{k,i} \quad G\text{-map,}$$

$$\phi_{k,i}(e_{k,1,l}) = e_{k,i,l}, \quad k=1, \dots, K, \quad l=1, \dots, h_k.$$

Proof Follows from Mautner's theorem and Schur's lemma.  $\square$

## Corollary (Bochner's theorem, 1941)

Let  $K: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a positive semidefinite, Hermitian matrix which is  $G$ -invariant. Then,  $K$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i, j=1}^{m_k} f_{k,ij} \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} \varrho_{k,il}(x) \overline{\varrho_{k,jl}(y)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \langle F_k, \overline{Z_k(x, y)} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_k = (f_{k,ij})_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_k \times m_k}$  is Hermitian and positive semidefinite and where

$$(Z_k(x, y))_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} \varrho_{k,il}(x) \overline{\varrho_{k,jl}(y)}$$

and where  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(B^* A)$ .

Proof We have  $Z_k(x, y) = Z_k(ux, uy)$  for all  $u \in G, x, y \in V$  because:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_k(u_x, u_y) &= \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} l_{k,i,l}(u_x) \overline{l_{k,j,l}(u_y)} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} u^{-1} l_{k,i,l}(x) \overline{u^{-1} l_{k,j,l}(y)} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} u^{-1} \phi_{k,i}(l_{k,1,l})(x) \overline{u^{-1} \phi_{k,j}(l_{k,1,l})(y)} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} \phi_{k,i}(u^{-1} l_{k,1,l})(x) \overline{\phi_{k,j}(u^{-1} l_{k,1,l})(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

We can express  $u^{-1} l_{k,1,l}$  as  $\sum_{r=1}^{h_k} U_{r,l} l_{k,1,r}$  for a unitary matrix  $(U_{r,l})_{r,l=1, \dots, h_k}$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_k(u_x, u_y) &= \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} \phi_{k,i} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{h_k} U_{r,l} l_{k,1,r} \right) (x) \\
&\quad \cdot \overline{\phi_{k,j} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{h_k} U_{s,l} l_{k,1,s} \right) (y)} \\
&= \sum_{r,s=1}^{h_k} \underbrace{\left( \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} U_{r,l} \overline{U_{s,l}} \right)}_{\delta_{rs}} \phi_{k,i}(l_{k,1,r})(x) \overline{\phi_{k,j}(l_{k,1,s})(y)} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{h_k} \phi_{k,i}(l_{k,1,r})(x) \overline{\phi_{k,j}(l_{k,1,r})(y)} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{h_k} l_{k,i,r}(x) \overline{l_{k,j,r}(y)} = Z_k(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Every Hermitian matrix  $K : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$   
 can be written as a linear combination of

$$(x, y) \mapsto l_{k, i, l}(x) \overline{l_{k', i', l'}(y)}$$

$$\text{So, } K(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{k, k' \\ i, i' \\ l, l'}} f_{k, k', i, i', l, l'} l_{k, i, l}(x) \overline{l_{k', i', l'}(y)}$$

To show ①  $f_{k, k', i, i', l, l'} = 0$  if  $k \neq k', l \neq l'$

②  $f_{k, k', i, i', l, l'}$  does not depend on  $l$ ,

so that  $f_{k, i, i'} = f_{k, k, i, i', l, l}$ .

For this consider the  $G$ -invariant inner product  
 on  $\mathbb{C}^V$  defined by  $R$  (possibly degenerate)

$$(f, g)_R = \frac{1}{|V|^2} \sum_{x, y \in V} K(x, y) f(x) \overline{g(y)} \quad (*)$$

Now the orthogonality relation imply ① & ②.

The matrices  $F_k$  are positive semidefinite

because:

Consider the space spanned by

$$\varphi_{k,i} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{k,i,1} \\ \vdots \\ l_{k,i,h_k} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m_k.$$

$$\text{Then } (\varphi_{k,i}, \varphi_{k,i'}) = \left( \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} l_{k,i,l}, \sum_{l=1}^{h_k} l_{k,i',l} \right) K$$

is a (possibly degenerate) inner product. Furthermore,

$$(\varphi_{k,i}, \varphi_{k,i'}) = f_{k,i,i'}.$$

□

Remark Bochner's theorem shows that there is

an isomorphism between

$$\left( \mathcal{H}_+^V \right)^G = \text{cone of } G\text{-invariant, Hermitian positive semidefinite matrices}$$

and  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^K \mathcal{H}_+^{m_k}$ . In optimisation this is extremely

helpful, especially when  $m_k$  is small.

## Back to Fourier analysis on $G$

Consider  $\mathbb{C}^G$  with usual inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g(x)}$$

$G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^G$  by  $u f(x) = f(u^{-1}x)$ , aka  
the left regular representation of  $G$ .

Apply Peter-Weyl to  $\mathbb{C}^G$ :

$$\mathbb{C}^G = \bigoplus_{k=1}^K \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_k} H_{k,i}, \quad \dim H_{k,i} = h_k.$$

One can show: (  $\rightarrow$  see literature)

①  $H_{1,1}, \dots, H_{K,1}$  form a complete system of  
representatives of irreducible unitary representations  
of  $G$ .

Define  $\hat{G} = \{ \pi : \pi \text{ irreducible unitary rep.} \} / \sim$

Then  $|\hat{G}| = K$ .

$$\textcircled{1} \mathfrak{G} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m_k} H_{\pi, i}$$

②  $h_k = m_k = d_{\pi} = \text{degree of the irreducible unitary representation } \pi.$

$$\text{So } |\mathfrak{G}| = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}} d_{\pi}^2.$$

③  $|\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}| = \# \text{ conjugacy classes of } \mathfrak{G},$

where  $x, y$  lie in the same conjugacy class if there is a  $z$  so that  $x = z^{-1} y z.$

④ (Matrix valued) Fourier transform for  $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathfrak{G}}$

$$\hat{f}(\pi) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{\pi} \times d_{\pi}} \quad \text{for } \pi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}$$

$$\hat{f}(\pi) = \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{G}|} \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{G}} f(u) \underbrace{(\langle u e_{\pi, 1, j}, e_{\pi, 1, i} \rangle)_{ij}}_{= \pi(u)_{ij}}$$

$$\pi(u) \in U(\mathbb{C}^{d_{\pi}})$$

(i) Parseval / Plancherel formula

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}} d_{\pi} \operatorname{Tr} (\hat{f}(\pi) \hat{g}(\pi)^*) \\ = \langle \hat{f}(\pi), \hat{g}(\pi) \rangle$$

(ii) Fourier inversion formula

$$f(u) = \sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}} d_{\pi} \operatorname{Tr} (\hat{f}(\pi) \pi(u)^*)$$

(iii) Convolution identity

$$(f * g)(u) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{v \in G} f(v) g(v^{-1}u)$$

Then

$$\widehat{f * g}(\pi) = \hat{f}(\pi) \hat{g}(\pi)$$



### § 3 Spherical harmonics

Apply (by analogy) § 2 to

$$V = S^{n-1}, \quad G = O(n).$$

Consider

$$\mathcal{L}(S^{n-1}) = \left\{ f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ continuous} \right\}.$$

Then,  $O(n)$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}(S^{n-1})$  by  $Af(x) = f(A^{-1}x)$ ,  
and  $\mathcal{L}(S^{n-1})$  has an  $O(n)$ -invariant inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\omega(x).$$

We will see: Peter-Weyl theorem specialises here to:

Thm.  $\left[ \mathcal{L}(S^{n-1}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k \right]$ , where

$H_k$  are  $O(n)$ -irreducible spaces with  $H_k \sim H_{k'} \iff k=k'$ . More precisely,  $H_k$  is the space of homogeneous polynomial functions of degree  $k$  which vanish under the Laplace operator  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$ .

Bochner's theorem specialise to:

Thm. (Schoenberg, 1942)

Every positive,  $O(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -invariant, real-valued, kernel  $K \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1})$  can be written as

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k P_k^n(x \cdot y)$$

with  $f_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k < \infty$ , where the right hand side converges absolutely and uniformly to the left hand side.

[ $P_k^n$  orthogonal w.r.t  $\int_{-1}^1 f(t)g(t)(1-t^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} dt$ ]

So we are in the lucky case  $\boxed{m_k = 1}$  here.

### Harmonic polynomials

Notation: •  $f \in \mathcal{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathcal{C}[x]$  polynomial

•  $f \in \mathcal{C}[x]_d$  homogeneous poly. of degree  $d$

$$f(\alpha x) = \alpha^d f(x) \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, x \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

•  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}[x]_2$ ,  $\omega = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$

• Nabla operator

$$\nabla = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^T$$

• Laplace operator

$$\Delta = \nabla^T \nabla = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} = \omega(\nabla)$$

$$\Delta : \mathbb{C}[x]_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]_{d-2}$$

• inner product on  $\mathbb{C}[x]_d$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(\nabla) \bar{g}$$

easy to see: monomials are orthogonal with

$$\langle x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}, x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n} \rangle = m_1! \dots m_n!$$

→ nice interpretation: polynomials as symmetric tensors.  
(→ literature)

Lemma  $\langle \omega f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta g \rangle$

for  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]_{d-2}$ ,  $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]_d$ .

[  $\omega$  is adjoint  
of  $\Delta$  ]

Proof  $f \mapsto f(\nabla)$  is an algebra isomorphism between  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\mathbb{C}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right]$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega f, g \rangle &= \frac{1}{d!} \omega f(\nabla) \bar{g} = \frac{1}{d!} \omega(\nabla) f(\nabla) \bar{g} \\ &= \frac{1}{d!} f(\nabla) \overline{\Delta g} \\ &= \langle f, \Delta g \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Def.  $\text{Harm}_d = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[x]_d : \Delta f = 0\}$

harmonic polynomials.

Thm.  $\mathbb{C}[x]_d = \text{Harm}_d \perp \omega \text{Harm}_{d-2} \perp \omega^2 \text{Harm}_{d-4} \perp \dots$ ,

$$\dim \text{Harm}_k = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+k-3}{n-1}.$$

Proof ①  $\Delta : \mathbb{C}[x]_d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]_{d-2}$  is surjective

Let  $f \in (\Delta(\mathbb{C}[x]_d))^\perp$ . Then for  $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]_d$  we have

$$0 = \langle f, \Delta g \rangle = \langle \omega f, g \rangle.$$

So  $\omega f = 0$  and hence  $f = 0$ .

② Dimension formula for linear maps give

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \text{Harm}_k &= \dim \mathbb{C}[x]_k - \dim \mathbb{C}[x]_{k-2} \\ &= \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+k-3}{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

③  $\mathbb{C}[x]_d = \text{Harm}_d \perp \omega \mathbb{C}[x]_{d-2}$

for  $f \in \text{Harm}_d$  and  $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]_{d-2}$  we have

$$\langle f, \omega g \rangle = \langle \nabla f, g \rangle = 0.$$

④ Now the statement follows by induction, we only have to verify that  $\omega \text{Harm}_{d-2} \perp \omega^2 \mathbb{C}[x]_{d-4}$ .

Lemma  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} p = d p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}[x]_d$ .

Proof Check for monomial basis,  $p = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $d = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} = d x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

[Special case of Euler's homogeneous function theorem]

Lemma  $\Delta \omega p = (4d+2n)p + \omega \Delta p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}[x]_d$ .

Proof We have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} x_i^2 p = x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} p + 4x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} p_i + 2p,$$

and so

$$\Delta \omega p = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 \right) p$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} p + 4x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} p_i + 2p \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i \neq j} x_j^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} p$$

$$= \omega \Delta p + 2np + 4 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} p_i$$

$$= dp \quad (\text{by prev. lemma}) \quad \square$$

Back to  $\omega \text{Harm}_{d-2} \perp \omega^2 \mathbb{C}[x]_{d-4}$ . For  $f \in \text{Harm}_{d-2}$  and  $g \in \mathbb{C}[x]_{d-4}$  we have

$$\langle \omega f, \omega^2 g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta \omega^2 g \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, (4d+2n)\omega g + \omega \Delta \omega g \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, \omega((4d+2n)g + \Delta \omega g) \rangle = 0$$

$\text{Harm}_{d-2}$

The space  $\text{Harm}_d$  is invariant under the action of  $O(n)$  given by  $A f(x) = f(A^{-1}x)$ , because

$$\Delta(Af) = A \Delta f.$$

Also  $\omega \in [L^2]_{d-2}$  is  $O(n)$ -invariant.

Def. The space of spherical harmonics of degree  $k$

$$\text{is } H_k = \left\{ f|_{S^{n-1}} : f \in \text{Harm}_k \right\}$$

$\uparrow$  restriction of  $f$  to  $S^{n-1}$

The spaces  $\text{Harm}_k$  and  $H_k$  are equivalent  $O(n)$ -invariant spaces. Bijections:

$$\varphi : \text{Harm}_k \rightarrow H_k, \quad \varphi(f) = f|_{S^{n-1}}$$

$$\varphi^{-1} : H_k \rightarrow \text{Harm}_k, \quad \varphi^{-1}(f)(x) = \|x\|^k f\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right).$$

Remark Name "spherical harmonics" was introduced by Thomson (Lord Kelvin) and Tait (1867).

Def. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_{h_k}$  be an ONB of  $H_k$ . Define the zonal spherical function

$$z_k : S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ by } z_k(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} e_i(x) \overline{e_i(y)}.$$

Remark Same construction as in Bochner's Theorem.

Lemma (a)  $z_k$  does not depend on the choice of ONB.

(b)  $z_k(Ax, Ay) = z_k(x, y)$  for all  $A \in O(n)$ ,  $x, y \in S^{n-1}$ .

Proof  $\rightarrow$  see proof of Bochner's theorem.  $\square$

Def. Let  $e \in S^{n-1}$  be a point. Consider the stabilizer subgroup  $K$  of  $e$  in  $O(n)$ ;  $K = \{A \in O(n) : Ae = e\}$ .

We say that a function  $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a zonal spherical function with pole  $e$  if  $Af = f$  for all  $A \in K$ .

Example  $x \mapsto z_k(x, e)$  is a zonal spherical function with pole  $e$ .



function value only depends on latitude.



Lemma Let  $\mathbb{C}[S^{n-1}]_d$  be the space of homogeneous polynomial functions on  $S^{n-1}$  of degree  $d$ . Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}[S^{n-1}]_d$  be an  $O(n)$ -invariant subspace. If the dimension of all zonal spherical functions with pole  $e$  in  $U$  is exactly one, then  $U$  is  $O(n)$ -irreducible.

Proof Suppose  $U$  is not irreducible and splits as  $U = V \perp V^\perp$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  be an ONB of  $V$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  one of  $V^\perp$ . Then

$$z_V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i(e) \overline{\ell_i(x)}$$

$$z_{V^\perp}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(e) \overline{f_i(x)}$$

are linearly independent zonal spherical functions with pole  $e$ .  $\square$

Theorem (Peter-Weyl for  $S^{n-1}$ )

Let  $\mathbb{C}[S^{n-1}]_{\leq d}$  be the space of poly. functions on  $S^{n-1}$  of degree  $\leq d$ . Then

$$\boxed{\mathbb{C}[S^{n-1}]_{\leq d} = H_0 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_d}$$

where  $H_k$  are  $O(n)$ -irreducible and pairwise inequivalent.

Proof Apply the previous lemma: Let  $f \in H_k$  be a zonal spherical function with pole  $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ .

Consider  $f$  as a polynomial in  $Harm_k$ . Write

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^k x_1^{k-i} f_i(x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

The  $f_i$ 's are homogeneous of degree  $i$ . Since  $f$  is invariant under  $K$ , the  $f_i$ 's only depend on the norm of  $(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . So

$$f_i(x_2, \dots, x_n) = c_i (x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{i/2} \text{ for } c_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular,  $f_i = 0$  if  $i$  odd.

Together

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{k/2} c_i x_1^{k-2i} (x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^i.$$

Since  $f$  is harmonic:

$$0 = \Delta f = \sum_{i=1}^{k/2} (\alpha_i c_i + \beta_i c_{i-1}) x_1^{k-2i} (x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{i-1}$$

with  $\alpha_i = 2i(n+2i-3)$ ,  $\beta_i = (k-2i+1)(k-2i+2)$

(direct verification).

So

$$\alpha_1 c_1 + \beta_1 c_0 = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = -\frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_1} c_0$$

$$\alpha_2 c_2 + \beta_2 c_1 = 0 \Rightarrow c_2 = -\frac{\beta_2}{\alpha_2} c_1 = \frac{\beta_2 \beta_1}{\alpha_2 \alpha_1} c_0$$

$$\vdots$$
$$\Rightarrow c_i = (-1)^i \frac{\beta_i \dots \beta_0}{\alpha_i \dots \alpha_0} c_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k/2.$$

So  $f$  is only determined by  $c_0$ . Thus, the space of zonal spherical functions with pole  $e$  in  $H_k$  has dimension 1, so  $H_k$  is  $O(n)$ -irreducible.

For  $n > 2$ , the dimensions  $h_k$  all differ. So the  $H_k$ 's are pairwise inequivalent. Case  $n = 2$ :  $\rightarrow$  Exercise. □

Remark Dimensions of  $H_k$   $\binom{n+k-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+k-3}{n-1}$

$n = 2$ : constant 2 for  $k \geq 1$ .

$n = 3$ :  $2k+1 \rightarrow$  physics.

$n = 4$ :  $(k+1)^2$

## Addition formula

Goal Compute  $z_k(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} e_{k,i}(x) \overline{e_{k,i}(y)}$  explicitly.

Theorem There is a positive constant  $\alpha_k$  so that

$$z_k(x, y) = \alpha_k P_k^n(x \cdot y).$$

In particular, this implies the positivity property

$(x, y) \mapsto P_k^n(x \cdot y)$  is a positive definite  $O(n)$ -invariant kernel.

Proof Let  $z_k \in H_k$  and  $z_{k'} \in H_{k'}$  be zonal spherical functions with pole  $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ . By the orthogonality relations we have for  $k \neq k'$ :

$$(z_k, z_{k'}) = \int_{S^{n-1}} z_k(x) \overline{z_{k'}(x)} d\omega(x) = 0.$$

Rewrite this using spherical coordinates and use the fact that  $z_k, z_{k'}$  are  $K$ -invariant:

$$(z_k, z_{k'}) = \int_{-1}^1 z_k(x) \overline{z_{k'}(x)} (1-x^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} dx = 0.$$

Hence,  $z_k$  is a multiple of  $P_k^n$ :

$$z_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_k P_k^n(x_1).$$

So we have the addition formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h_k} z_i(x) \overline{z_i(y)} = \alpha_k P_k^n(x \cdot y).$$

Since  $P_k^n(1) = 1$ , we have  $\alpha_k > 0$ . □

### Spherical $t$ -designs

Recall  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq S^{n-1}$  is a spherical  $t$ -design if for all polynomials  $f$  of  $\text{deg.} \leq t$ :

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} f(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) d\omega(x).$$

Theorem (Spherical design strength test).

$$\mathcal{C} \subseteq S^{n-1} \text{ spherical } M\text{-design} \iff \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{C}} P_k^n(x \cdot y) = 0$$

for  $k=1, \dots, M$ .

Proof  $\Rightarrow$  For  $k=1, \dots, M$ ,  $i=1, \dots, h_k$  consider

$l_{k,i}$  ONB for  $H_k$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a spherical  $M$ -design we have

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} l_{k,i}(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} l_{k,i}(x) d\omega(x) = 0$$

because  $H_k \perp H_0$  and  $H_0 = \mathbb{C}1$ .

So,

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} l_{k,i}(x) \right) \left( \sum_{y \in \mathcal{C}} \overline{l_{k,i}(y)} \right) = \alpha_k \sum_{x,y \in \mathcal{C}} P_k^n(x \cdot y).$$

$\Leftarrow$ : Let  $f$  be a polynomial of degree  $\leq M$ .

Consider  $f$  as a polynomial function on  $S^{n-1}$  and

expand: 
$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^M \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} a_{k,i} l_{k,i}(x).$$

Claim 
$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} f(x) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{C}|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} a_{0,1} = a_{0,1} = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) d\omega(x).$$

## Proof of $\otimes$

It suffices to show

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \ell_{k,i}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } k=1, \dots, M, \quad i=1, \dots, h_k.$$

This follows from an easy sum-of-squares argument. By assumption we know

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{x,y \in \mathcal{E}} P_0^*(x,y) = \frac{1}{d_k} \sum_{x,y \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} \ell_{k,i}(x) \overline{\ell_{k,i}(y)} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_k} \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} \underbrace{\left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \ell_{k,i}(x) \right)}_{\geq 0} \overline{\left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \ell_{k,i}(x) \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \ell_{k,i}(x) = 0.$

$\square$

Remark For given  $m, t$  it is interesting to find spherical  $t$ -designs  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq S^{m-1}$  with  $|\mathcal{E}|$  as small as possible. An LP bound ( $\rightarrow$  Exercise) gives lower bounds.

Deza, Goethals, Seidel (1977) showed

$$N(m,t) \geq \begin{cases} \binom{n-1+k}{n-1} + \binom{n-1+k-1}{n-1} & \text{for } t=2k \\ 2 \binom{n-1+k}{n-1} & \text{for } t=2k+1. \end{cases}$$

Asymptotically

$$N(n, t) \in \Omega(t^{n-1}).$$

Bondarenko, Radchenko, Vershynko (2013) proved

$$N(n, t) \in O(t^{n-1}).$$

using tools from topology (Brouwer degree theory).

\* \* \* \* \*