

Chapter IV First applications

§ 1 Robust optimisation

Consider a practical LP in real world application

$$\max \{ c^T x : Ax \geq b \}. \quad (x)$$

Potential problems: data uncertainty

- some entries A_{ij}, b_j are only forecasted
→ prediction errors
- some entries A_{ij}, b_j come from measurements
→ measurement errors
- variables x_i cannot be implemented exactly
→ implementation errors.

Idea of robust optimisation: Specify an uncertainty region Z and solve the robust counterpart of (x)

$$\max \{ c^T x : A(z)x \geq b(z) \quad \forall z \in Z \}. \quad (xx)$$

If Z is nice, e.g. it can be described by an LMI, then the robust counterpart of the LP (x) is an SDP.

Literature: Ben-Tal, El Ghaoui, Nemirovski - Robust Optimisation, Princeton, 2009.

Here: only simple example, LP with one linear inequality:

$$(x) \max \{ c^T x : a^T x \geq b \}, \quad c, a \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

uncertainty region $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ unit ball in \mathbb{R}^k .

Robust counterpart of (x)

$$(*x) \max \{ c^T x : a(z)^T x \geq b(z) \}$$

$$\text{with } a(z) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j a_j, \quad b(z) = b_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j b_j,$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_k)^T \in Z$ and a_j, b_j are known beforehand.

Theorem 1 The robust counterpart (*x) is equivalent to the following SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x \\ \text{with } \quad & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad z \in S_{\geq 0}^{k+1}, \\ & 2z_{j,k+1} = a_j^T x - b_j, \quad j \in [k] \\ & \text{Tr}(z) \leq a_0^T x - b_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\text{Set } \alpha_j = a_j^T x - b_j, \quad j = 0, \dots, k. \quad \text{and } \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_k \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

Then constraint $\forall z \in Z: a(z)^T x \geq b(z)$ is equivalent to

$$\alpha^T z \geq -\alpha_0 \quad \forall z \in Z.$$

Now the question is whether

$$p^* = \min_{\|z\| \leq 1} \alpha^T z \geq -\alpha_0 \quad ?$$

Using Lemma III.3.4 $\left[\mathcal{L}^{n+1} = \{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \begin{bmatrix} t I_n & x \\ x^T & t \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \}$

We see that

$$z \in \mathcal{Z} \Leftrightarrow \|z\| \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} I_k & z \\ z^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

$$\text{So } p^* = \min \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}, X \right\rangle$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & z \\ z^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0,$$

$$z \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

This SDP is strictly feasible and $p^* \geq -\infty$ is attained. Consider its dual: Strong duality yields:

$$p^* = d^* = \max -\text{Tr}(Z)$$

$$Z \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}^{k+1}$$

$$2z_{j, k+1} = \alpha_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Hence, the constraint

$$\forall z \in \mathcal{Z} : a(z)^T x \leq b(z)$$

is equivalent to

$$\exists Z \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 0}^{k+1} : 2z_{j, k+1} = \alpha_j, \quad j \in [k] \text{ and } -\text{Tr}(Z) \geq -\alpha_0.$$

[Crucial: "V" is replaced by "F"]

Substitute x back into α_j :

$$(xx) \iff \begin{aligned} \max c^T x \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in S_{\geq 0}^{k+1} \\ 2z_{j, k+1} = a_j^T x - b_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k \\ \text{Tr}(z) \leq a_0^T x - b_0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark Matrix z provides a proof that optimal vector x is a robust solution. □

§ 2 Eigenvalue optimisation

Theorem 1 The largest eigenvalue of a symmetric matrix $C \in S^m$ is given by

$$\lambda_{\max}(C) = \max_{X \in S_{\geq 0}^m} \langle C, X \rangle = \min \{ y \mid y I_n - C \geq 0, \langle I_n, X \rangle = 1 \}$$

The smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\min}(C)$ of C we get by replacing max and min.