

Chapter IV Sum of squares

Notation Polynomials in n variables

- monomials $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} = x^\alpha$ $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$
 $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$
- degree of x^α : $\deg x^\alpha = |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$
- polynomial $p = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ finite linear combination of monomials x^{α} with coefficients $p_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$.
- polynomial ring : $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \{ p : p = \sum p_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \text{ poly.} \}$
 $\mathbb{R}[x]$
- $\deg p = \sup \{ |\alpha| : p_{\alpha} \neq 0 \}$ degree of poly. p .
- $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d = \mathbb{R}[x]_d = \{ p \in \mathbb{R}[x] : \deg p \leq d \}$
- fact : $\dim \mathbb{R}[x]_d = \binom{n+d}{d}$ and the monomials x^{α} , $|\alpha| \leq d$, form a basis of $\mathbb{R}[x]_d$.

Def. 1 (a) $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a sum of squares (SOS)

if $\exists q_1, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{R}[x] : p = q_1^2 + \dots + q_m^2$.

$$(b) \Sigma_{m,d} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}[x]_d \cdot p \text{ is SOS}\}$$

is called the SOS cone.

$$(c) \mathcal{P}_{m,d} = \{p \in \mathbb{R}[x]_d \cdot p(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

cone of nonnegative polynomial. (\rightarrow Ex. 1.3)

Obviously: $\Sigma_{n,2d+1} = \Sigma_{n,2d}$; $\mathcal{P}_{n,2d+1} = \mathcal{P}_{n,2d}$;

$$\Sigma_{n,d} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n,d}.$$

Theorem 2 "The Gram matrix method"

$$\Sigma_{n,2d} = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}[x]_{2d} : \exists Q \in \mathcal{S}_+^{\binom{n+d}{d}} : p = [x]_d^T Q [x]_d \right\},$$

where $[x]_d \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{\binom{n+d}{d}}$ is the vector containing a basis (for example monomials) of $\mathbb{R}[x]_d$.

Example $m=2, d=2$

$$[x]_2 = (1, x_1, x_2, x_1 x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2)^T$$

Proof (of Thm. 2)

⊆: For $p = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i^2$ write $q_i = \sum_{\alpha} q_{i\alpha} x^\alpha$.

$$= \underline{q}_i^T [x]_d,$$

with $\underline{q}_i = (q_{i\alpha}) \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{\binom{n+d}{d}}$.

Then, $q_i^2 = (\underline{q}_i^T [x]_d)^2 = [x]_d^T \underline{q}_i \underline{q}_i^T [x]_d$,

and $p = \sum_{i=1}^m [x]_d^T \underline{q}_i \underline{q}_i^T [x]_d$

$$= [x]_d^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \underline{q}_i \underline{q}_i^T \right) [x]_d$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\in S_+^{\binom{n+d}{d}}}$

⊇: Suppose $\exists Q \in S_+^{\binom{n+d}{d}}$. $p = [x]_d^T Q [x]_d$.

Apply spectral decomposition $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{n+d}{d}} \lambda_j \mu_j \mu_j^T$.

Then, $p = \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{n+d}{d}} \left(\underbrace{(\sqrt{\lambda_j} \mu_j)^T [x]_d}_{= q_j} \right)^2$.

□

Remarks • Theorem 2: Decision problem

- " $p \in \Sigma_{m,2d}$?" can be reduced to SDP feasibility.
- rank Q determines the number of summands in an SOS representation of p .
- Q is not uniquely determined.
- Decision problem " $p \in \mathcal{P}_{m,d}$ " is NP-hard.
- Blekherman (2006): $\mathcal{P}_{m,2d}$ is much bigger than $\Sigma_{m,2d}$.
(\rightarrow seminar)

But:

Theorem 3 (Hilbert, 1888)

$$\Sigma_{m,2d} = \mathcal{P}_{m,2d} \iff \begin{array}{l} m=1 \text{ or} \\ d=1 \text{ or} \\ m=2, d=2. \end{array}$$

Proof • $m=1$ (\rightarrow Ex.)

• $d=1$: Cholesky factorisation

• $m=2, d=2$: not easy, not here
(\rightarrow seminar)

• all other cases: use variant of Motzkin poly.

$$M(x, y) = x^2 y^2 (x^2 + y^2 - 3) + 1 \in \mathcal{P}_{2,6} \setminus \Sigma_{2,6}.$$

Proof $M \in \mathcal{P}_{2,6}$:

If $x^2 + y^2 - 3 \geq 0$, then $M(x, y) \geq 0$.

If $x^2 + y^2 - 3 < 0$, define $z^2 = 3 - x^2 - y^2 (> 0)$.

By the AM-GM-inequality:
(arithmetic mean - geometric mean)

$$(x^2 y^2 z^2)^{1/3} \leq \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{3} = 1 \Rightarrow M(x, y) \geq 0.$$

$$(-M(x, y) + 1)^{1/3}$$

$M \notin \Sigma_{2,6}$: Suppose $M = \sum_{k=1}^m q_k^2$. Then $\deg q_k \leq 3$.

Coefficients of q_k :

x^3	:	0
y^3	:	0
x^2	:	0
y^2	:	0
x	:	0
y	:	0

So $q_k = a_k xy^2 + b_k x^2 y + c_k xy + d_k$

Coefficient of $x^2 y^2$ in M is -3 .

So $\sum_{k=1}^m c_k^2 = -3$ \swarrow \searrow ☒

History

• Hilbert (1888): $\Sigma_{m,2d} \neq \mathcal{P}_{m,2d}$ in general

• Hilbert (1900): 17th problem

$$\mathcal{P}_{m,2d} = \left\{ p : p = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{f_i}{g_i} \right)^2, f_i, g_i \in \mathbb{R}[x] \right\}.$$

• Artin (1927): YES!

For Motzkin polynomial

$$M(x, y) = \frac{x^2 y^2 (x^2 + y^2 + 1) (x^2 + y^2 - 2)^2 + (x^2 - y^2)^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

sum of squares of four rational functions.

Literature: K. Schmüdgen - Around Hilbert's 17th problem, ISMP, 2012.