

# Quantitative Risk Management

Topic: Market Risk Measurement

Vanessa Dietze

08 June 2018

University of Cologne

## Structure

- Motivation
- Conditional and Unconditional Loss Distributions
- Various Simulations
- Monte Carlo Method
- Estimating Risk Measures
- Losses and Scaling
- Example

# Motivation

- $L_{t+1} = l_{[t]}(X_{t+1})$
- 2 problems
  - finding the estimation for the distribution of  $X_{t+1}$
  - evaluate  $L_{t+1}$  numerically

# Conditional and Unconditional Loss Distributions

- conditional distribution of risk-factor changes:  
 $F_{X_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t}$  where  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\{X_s : s \leq t\})$
- conditional loss distribution:  
 $df F_{L_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t}(l) = P(l_{[t]}(X_{t+1}) \leq l | \mathcal{F}_t)$  where  $l_{[t]}(\cdot)$  is loss operator under  $F_{X_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t}$
- unconditional loss distribution: process of risk factor changes  $(X_s)_{s \leq t}$  is stationary multivariate time series
- if risk-factor changes are iid  $\rightarrow F_{X_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t} = F_X$   
so it follows conditional = unconditional
- since 2007 estimations using stressed VaR data

# Various Simulations

## Historical Simulation:

- most popular method
- estimation of the distribution of the loss operator under empirical distribution of data  $X_{t-n+1}, \dots, X_t$
- construct a univariate data set and get a set of historically simulated losses

$$\{\tilde{L}_s = l_{[t]}(X_s) : s = t - n + 1, \dots, t\}$$

- Assuming risk-factor changes are iid with df  $F_X$ :  
with the strong law of large numbers as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$F_n(l) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=t-n+1}^t I_{\{\tilde{L}_s \leq l\}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=t-n+1}^t I_{\{l_{[t]}(X_s) \leq l\}} \rightarrow P(l_{[t]}(X) \leq l) = F_L(l)$$

where  $X$  is generic vector of risk-factor changes with distribution  $F_X$  and  $L = l_{[t]}(X)$

$\rightarrow F_n(l)$  consistent estimator

- strengths and weaknesses:

- easy to implement

- reduces to one-dimensional problem

- no statistical estimation necessary

- no assumption about dependence

- unconditional method

- dependence on ability to collect sufficient quantities of relevant data for all risk factors

- difficult to implement for large portfolios → full revaluation

# Dynamic Historical Simulation

univariate approach:

- **Reminder:**  
historical simulation data  $\{\tilde{L}_s = l_{[t]}(X_s) : s = t - n + 1, \dots, t\}$
- $l_{[t]} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $L_{t+1} = l_{[t]}(X_{t+1})$  next RV in process
- $(\tilde{L}_s)$  satisfies  $\tilde{L}_s = \mu_s + \sigma_s Z_s$  for all  $s$
- $Var_{\alpha}^t = \mu_{t+1} + \sigma_{t+1} q_{\alpha}(Z)$  for the  $\alpha$ -quantile of  $F_{L_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t}$
- $ES_{\alpha}^t = \mu_{t+1} + \sigma_{t+1} ES_{\alpha}(Z)$  where  $Z$  is generic RV with the df  $F_Z$
- with Gaussian innovations:  
 $q_{\alpha}(Z) = \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$  and  $ES_{\alpha}(Z) = \phi(\Phi^{-1}(\alpha))/(1 - \alpha)$
- 2 different possible estimation strategies:
  - weighted historical simulation
  - filtered historical simulation
- weakness: loss of information

### multivariate approach:

- risk-factor change data  $X_{t-n+1}, \dots, X_t$  from multivariate time-series process  $(X_s)$  that satisfies  $X_s = \mu_s + \Delta_s Z_s$  where  $\Delta_s = \text{diag}(\sigma_{s,1}, \dots, \sigma_{s,d})$
- $Z_s$  are iid random vectors, covariance matrix = correlation matrix  $P$
- $\mathbb{E}(X_{s,k} | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}) = \mu_{s,k}$
- $\text{var}(X_{s,k} | \mathcal{F}_{s-1}) = \sigma_{s,k}^2$
- key idea: apply simulation to unobserved innovations  $(Z_s)$
- Step 1: compute estimates  $\{\hat{\mu}_s : s = t - n + 1, \dots, t\}$  and  $\{\hat{\Delta}_s : s = t - n + 1, \dots, t\}$
- Step 2: construct residuals  $\{\hat{Z}_s = \Delta_s^{-1}(X_s - \hat{\mu}_s) : s = t - n + 1, \dots, t\}$
- Step 3: Construct  $\{\tilde{L}_s = l_{[t]}(\hat{\mu}_{t+1} + \hat{\Delta}_{t+1} \hat{Z}_s) : s = t - n + 1, \dots, t\}$



# Monte Carlo Method

- simulation of an explicit parametric model for risk-factor changes
- only evaluating  $L_{t+1} = l_{[t]}(X_{t+1})$  under a given model for  $X_{t+1}$
- already estimated  $X_{t-n+1}, \dots, X_t$ , now we generate  $m$  realizations  $\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{X}_{t+1}^{(m)}$  from  $\hat{F}_{X_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_t}$
- apply loss operator  $\rightarrow \{\tilde{L}_{t+1}^{(i)} = l_{[t]}(\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{(i)}) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$
- estimation of VaR and ES
- strengths and weaknesses:
  - $\rightarrow$  free to choose  $m$
  - $\rightarrow m$  can be larger than the number of data
  - $\rightarrow$  no solution for finding a model for  $X_{t+1}$
  - $\rightarrow$  computational cost could be high

# Estimating Risk Measures

- Data  $L_1, \dots, L_n$  from underlying  $F_L$  and estimate  
$$VaR_\alpha = q_\alpha(F_L) = F_L^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) \text{ or } ES_\alpha = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \int_{\alpha}^1 q_\theta(F_L) d\theta$$

## L-estimators:

- upper-order statistics  $L_{1,n} \geq \dots \geq L_{n,n}$
- lower-order statistics  $L_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq L_{(n)}$
- $L_{k,n} = L_{(n-k+1)}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$
- $F_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{L_i \leq x\}} \rightarrow F_n^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) = L_{(k)}$  for  $\frac{k-1}{n} < \alpha \leq \frac{k}{n}$
- $F_n^{\leftarrow}(\alpha) = L_{(\lceil n\alpha \rceil)}$
- $\lfloor -x \rfloor = -\lceil x \rceil$  and therefore  $L_{(\lceil n\alpha \rceil)} = L_{k,n}$  where  
 $k = n - \lceil n\alpha \rceil + 1 = \lfloor n(1 - \alpha) \rfloor + 1$
- $\widehat{VaR}_\alpha = L_{k,n}$

## L-estimator of ES:



$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{ES}_\alpha &= \frac{1}{n(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^n L_{(k)}((k - n\alpha)^+ - ((k-1) - n\alpha)^+) \\ &= \frac{1}{n(1-\alpha)} \left( \sum_{k=\lceil n\alpha \rceil + 1}^n L_{(k)} \right) + (\lceil n\alpha \rceil - n\alpha) L_{(\lceil n\alpha \rceil)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n(1-\alpha)} \left( \sum_k^{\lfloor n(1-\alpha) \rfloor} L_{k,n} \right) + (\lceil n\alpha \rceil - n\alpha) L_{(\lfloor n(1-\alpha) \rfloor + 1, n)}\end{aligned}$$

## EVT-based estimators:

- inaccurate for n modest size
- solution: use of EVT (based on generalized Pareto distribution)
- high threshold  $u = L_{k+1,n}$
- ML estimation based on k exceedances of threshold  $\rightarrow \hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\xi}$
- $\frac{k}{n} > 1 - \alpha$
- $\widehat{VaR}_\alpha = u + \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\hat{\xi}} \left( \left( \frac{1-\alpha}{\frac{k}{n}} \right)^{-\hat{\xi}-1} - 1 \right)$
- $\widehat{ES}_\alpha = \frac{\widehat{VaR}_\alpha}{1-\hat{\xi}} + \frac{\hat{\beta} - \hat{\xi}u}{1-\hat{\xi}}$

# Losses and Scaling

## Losses over Several Periods:

- regulatory capital purposes: 99% VaR estimation for 10 trading days
- model historical risk-factor changes over 10-day interval
- **Example:**  
n=1000 days  $\rightarrow$  100 10-day observations  
BUT we would need n=10.000 days of data for accuracy  
 $\rightarrow$  square-root-of-time rule to estimate only one-day VaR

## Scaling:

- $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $h \geq 1$  and loss defined by  $L_{t+h}^{(h)}$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{t+h}^{(h)} &= -(V_{t+h} - V_h) \\ &= -(g(\tau_{t+h}, Z_{t+h}) - g(\tau_t, Z_t)) \\ &= -(g(\tau_{t+h}, Z_t + X_{t+1} + \dots + X_{t+h}) - g(\tau_t, Z_t)) \\ &=: l_{[t]}^{(h)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^h X_{t+i}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- for simplicity:  $l_{[t]}^{(h)}(x) = l_{[t]}(x) \rightarrow l_{[t]}^{\Delta}(x) = b'_t x$

$$\rightarrow L_{t+h}^{(h)\Delta} = l_{[t]}^{\Delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^h X_{t+i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^h b'_t X_{t+i}$$

# Example

square-root-of-time scaling:

- risk-factor change vectors are iid with  $N_d(0, \Sigma)$

- $\sum_{i=1}^h X_{t+i} \sim N_d(0, h \Sigma)$

- $L_{t+h}^{(h)\Delta} \sim N(0, h b_t' \Sigma b_t)$

→ scaling according to  $\sqrt{h}$

- $ES_{\alpha}^{(h)} = \sqrt{h} \sigma \frac{\phi(\Phi^{-1}(\alpha))}{1-\alpha}$  where  $\sigma^2 = b_t' \Sigma b_t$

→  $ES_{\alpha}^{(h)} = \sqrt{h} ES_{\alpha}^{(1)}$

- $VaR_{\alpha}^{(h)} = \sqrt{h} VaR_{\alpha}^{(1)}$

## Monte Carlo approach:

- time-series model for risk-factor changes  $(X_s)_{s \leq t}$
- future processes:  $\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{(i)}, \dots, \tilde{X}_{t+h}^{(i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$

- Monte Carlo simulated losses:

$$\{\tilde{L}_{t+h}^{(h)(i)} = l_{[t]}^{(h)}(\tilde{X}_{t+1}^{(i)} + \dots + \tilde{X}_{t+h}^{(i)}) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

- statistical inference about loss distribution and associated risk measures



# Literature

## Used literature:

[MFE] A.McNeil R.Frey P.Embrechts, *Quantitative Risk Management: Concepts, Techniques and Tools*, University Press Group Ltd 2015, Subsection 9.2, pages 338-351

Thank you for your attention!