

Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics



The theory of the probabilities of large deviations, and applications in statistical physics

Wolfgang König TU Berlin and WIAS Berlin

Mohrenstrasse 39 · 10117 Berlin · Germany · Tel. +49 30 20372 0 · www.wias-berlin.de Cologne, 15 June 2018



We look at sequences $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of random variables showing an exponential behaviour

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \approx x) \approx e^{-nI(x)}$$
 as $n \to \infty$

for any x, with I(x) some rate function.

- The event $\{S_n \approx x\}$ is an event of a large deviation (strictly speaking, only if $x \neq \mathbb{E}(S_n)$).
- We make this precise, and build a theory around it.
- We give the main tools of that theory.
- We explain the relation with asymptotics of exponential integrals of the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{nf(S_n)}\right] \approx \mathrm{e}^{n\sup[f-I]} \quad \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and draw conclusions.

- We give a number of fundamental and instrumental examples of sequences $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- We show how to use them to analyse models from statistical physics.





Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ an i.i.d. sequence of real random variables, and consider the mean $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)$. Assume that X_1 has all exponential moments finite and expectation zero. Then, for any x > 0, the probability of $\{S_n \ge x\}$ converges to zero, according to the law of large numbers. This event is called a large deviation.

What is the decay speed of its probability?





Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ an i.i.d. sequence of real random variables, and consider the mean $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$. Assume that X_1 has all exponential moments finite and expectation zero. Then, for any x > 0, the probability of $\{S_n \ge x\}$ converges to zero, according to the law of large numbers. This event is called a large deviation.

- What is the decay speed of its probability?
- It is even exponential, as an application of the Markov inequality (*exponential Chebyshev inequality*) shows for any y > 0 and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \ge x) = \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{e}^{ynS_n} \ge \mathrm{e}^{yxn}) \le \mathrm{e}^{-yxn} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{ynS_n}] = \mathrm{e}^{-yxn} \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{e}^{yX_i}\right]$$
$$= \mathrm{e}^{-yxn} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{yX_1}]^n = \left(\mathrm{e}^{-yx} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{yX_1}]\right)^n.$$





Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ an i.i.d. sequence of real random variables, and consider the mean $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$. Assume that X_1 has all exponential moments finite and expectation zero. Then, for any x > 0, the probability of $\{S_n \ge x\}$ converges to zero, according to the law of large numbers. This event is called a large deviation.

- What is the decay speed of its probability?
- It is even exponential, as an application of the Markov inequality (*exponential Chebyshev inequality*) shows for any y > 0 and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \ge x) = \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{e}^{ynS_n} \ge \mathrm{e}^{yxn}) \le \mathrm{e}^{-yxn} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{ynS_n}] = \mathrm{e}^{-yxn} \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{e}^{yX_i}\right]$$
$$= \mathrm{e}^{-yxn} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{yX_1}]^n = \left(\mathrm{e}^{-yx} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{yX_1}]\right)^n.$$

This may be summarized by saying that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(S_n \ge x) \le -I(x), \qquad x \in (0, \infty),$$

with rate function equal to the Legendre transform

$$I(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} [yx - \log \mathbb{E}(e^{yX_1})].$$

Large-deviations theory · Cologne, 15 June 2018 · Page 3 (23)



Definition

We say that a sequence $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of random variables with values in a metric space \mathcal{X} satisfies a large-deviations principle (LDP) with rate function $I: \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty]$ if the set function $\frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(S_n \in \cdot)$ converges weakly towards the set function $-\inf_{x\in \cdot} I(x)$, i.e., for any open set $G \subset \mathcal{X}$ and for any closed set $F \subset \mathcal{X}$,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(S_n \in G) \ge -\inf_G I,$$
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(S_n \in F) \le -\inf_F I.$$

Hence, topology plays an important role in an LDP.

- Often (but not always), I is convex, and $I(x) \ge 0$ with equality if and only if $\mathbb{E}[S_n] = x$.
- I is lower semi-continuous, i.e., the level sets $\{x : I(x) \le \alpha\}$ are closed. If they are even compact, then *I* is called good. (Many authors include this in the definition.)
- The LDP gives (1) the decay rate of the probability and (2) potentially a formula for deeper analysis.







- random walks $(\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R})$, CRAMÉR's theorem
- LDPs from exponential moments \implies GÄRTNER-ELLIS theorem \implies occupation times measures of Brownian motions in a box B ($\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}_1(B)$).
- exponential integrals, VARADHAN's lemma \implies exponential transforms \implies CURIE-WEISS model (ferromagnetic spin system, $\mathcal{X} = [-1, 1]$)
- small factor times Brownian motion $(\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}[0,1]) \Longrightarrow$ SCHILDER's theorem
- empirical measures of i.i.d. sequences $(\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}_1(\Gamma)) \Longrightarrow$ SANOV's theorem \Longrightarrow Gibbs conditioning principle
- empirical pair measures of Markov chains $(\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)) \Longrightarrow$ one-dimensional polymer measures
- continuous functions of LDPs (contraction principle) \implies randomly perturbed dynamical systems ($\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}[0, 1]$, FREIDLIN-WENTZELL theory)
- empirical stationary fields $(\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\text{marked point processes})) \Longrightarrow$ thermodynamic limit of many-body systems





The mean $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ of i.i.d. real random variables X_1, \dots, X_n having all exponential moments finite satisfies, as $n \to \infty$, an LDP with speed n and rate function $I(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} [yx - \log \mathbb{E}(e^{yX_1})].$





The mean $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ of i.i.d. real random variables X_1, \dots, X_n having all exponential moments finite satisfies, as $n \to \infty$, an LDP with speed n and rate function $I(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} [yx - \log \mathbb{E}(e^{yX_1})].$

Proof steps for $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 0$:

- The proof of the upper bound for $F = [x, \infty)$ with x > 0 was shown above.
- Sets of the form $(-\infty, -x]$ are handled in the same way.





The mean $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ of i.i.d. real random variables X_1, \dots, X_n having all exponential moments finite satisfies, as $n \to \infty$, an LDP with speed n and rate function $I(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} [yx - \log \mathbb{E}(e^{yX_1})].$

Proof steps for $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 0$:

- The proof of the upper bound for $F = [x, \infty)$ with x > 0 was shown above.
- Sets of the form $(-\infty, -x]$ are handled in the same way.
- The proof of the corresponding lower bound requires the Cramér transform:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_a(X_1 \in A) = \frac{1}{Z_a} \mathbb{E}\big[e^{aX_1} \mathbb{1}\{X_1 \in A\} \big],$$

and we see that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \approx x) = Z_a^n \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_a \big[e^{-anS_n} \mathbb{1}\{S_n \approx x\} \big] \approx Z_a^n e^{-axn} \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_a(S_n \approx x).$$



Luibr

The mean $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ of i.i.d. real random variables X_1, \dots, X_n having all exponential moments finite satisfies, as $n \to \infty$, an LDP with speed n and rate function $I(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} [yx - \log \mathbb{E}(e^{yX_1})].$

Proof steps for $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 0$:

- \blacksquare The proof of the upper bound for $F=[x,\infty)$ with x>0 was shown above.
- Sets of the form $(-\infty, -x]$ are handled in the same way.
- The proof of the corresponding lower bound requires the Cramér transform:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_a(X_1 \in A) = \frac{1}{Z_a} \mathbb{E} \big[e^{aX_1} \mathbb{1} \{ X_1 \in A \} \big],$$

and we see that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \approx x) = Z_a^n \widehat{\mathbb{E}}_a \left[e^{-anS_n} \mathbb{1}\{S_n \approx x\} \right] \approx Z_a^n e^{-axn} \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_a(S_n \approx x).$$

Picking $a = a_x$ as the maximizer in I(x), then $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_{a_x}(S_n) = x$, and we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(S_n \approx x) = -[a_x x - \log Z_{a_x}] = -I(x).$$

General sets are handled by using that I is strictly in/decreasing in $[0,\infty)$ / $(-\infty,0]$.





Far-reaching extension of CRAMÉR's theorem.

We call $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ exponentially tight if, for any M > 0, there is a compact set $K_M \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}(S_n \in K_M^c) \le -M.$$

GÄRTNER-ELLIS theorem

Let $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an exponentially tight sequence of random variables taking values in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . Assume that

$$\Lambda(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}\left[e^{nf(S_n)}\right], \quad f \in \mathcal{X}^*,$$

exists and that Λ is lower semicontinuous and Gâteau differentiable (i.e., for all $f, g \in \mathcal{X}^*$ the map $t \mapsto \Lambda(f + tg)$ is differentiable at zero).

Then $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an LDP with rate function equal to the Legendre transform of Λ .





- The proof is a (quite technical) extension of the above proof of CRAMÉR's theorem.
- This proof technique can derive only upper bounds with a convex rate function (candidate).
- The assumption of Gâteau differentiability is much more than a regularity condition. It ensures that the true rate function is convex. Otherwise, the proof of the lower bound would work only with the largest convex minorant of the true rate function.
- Many applications concern mixtures of *n* (asymptotically) independent variables.
- For an application to the set $\mathcal{M}_1(Q)$ of the probability measures on some box Q (see next page), it is used that the dual of the set $\mathcal{C}(Q)$ of continuous bounded functions $Q \to \mathbb{R}$ is the set of signed measures on Q.



Occupation times measures of Brownian motion



Let $B = (B_t)_{t \in [0,\infty)}$ be a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\mu_t(A) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{B_s \in A\}} ds$ denote its normalized occupation times measure.

DONSKER-VARADHAN-GÄRTNER LDP

For any compact nice set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the measure μ_t satisfies, as $t \to \infty$, an LDP on the set $\mathcal{M}_1(Q)$ under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot \cap \{B_s \in Q \text{ for any } s \in [0, t]\})$ with scale t and rate function

$$I_Q(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla f(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

if $f = \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}x}}$ exists and is smooth and satisfies zero boundary conditions in Q.



Occupation times measures of Brownian motion



Let $B = (B_t)_{t \in [0,\infty)}$ be a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\mu_t(A) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{B_s \in A\}} ds$ denote its normalized occupation times measure.

DONSKER-VARADHAN-GÄRTNER LDP

For any compact nice set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the measure μ_t satisfies, as $t \to \infty$, an LDP on the set $\mathcal{M}_1(Q)$ under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot \cap \{B_s \in Q \text{ for any } s \in [0, t]\})$ with scale t and rate function

$$I_Q(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla f(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

if $f = \sqrt{rac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}x}}$ exists and is smooth and satisfies zero boundary conditions in Q.

Indeed, an eigenvalue decomposition w.r.t. the spectrum of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + g$ shows that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{t \langle g, \mu_t \rangle} \mathbb{1}_{\{B_{[0,t]} \subset Q\}}] = \lambda_1(g, Q),$$

the principal eigenvalue of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta+g$ in Q.



Occupation times measures of Brownian motion



Let $B = (B_t)_{t \in [0,\infty)}$ be a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d , and let $\mu_t(A) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{B_s \in A\}} ds$ denote its normalized occupation times measure.

DONSKER-VARADHAN-GÄRTNER LDP

For any compact nice set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the measure μ_t satisfies, as $t \to \infty$, an LDP on the set $\mathcal{M}_1(Q)$ under $\mathbb{P}(\cdot \cap \{B_s \in Q \text{ for any } s \in [0, t]\})$ with scale t and rate function

$$I_Q(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla f(x)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

if $f = \sqrt{rac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}x}}$ exists and is smooth and satisfies zero boundary conditions in Q.

Indeed, an eigenvalue decomposition w.r.t. the spectrum of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + g$ shows that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{t\langle g, \mu_t \rangle} \mathbb{1}_{\{B_{[0,t]} \subset Q\}}] = \lambda_1(g,Q),$$

the principal eigenvalue of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta+g$ in Q. The Rayleigh-Ritz formula

$$\lambda_1(g,Q) = \sup_{\|f\|_2 = 1} \langle (-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + g)f, f \rangle = \sup_{\|f\|_2 = 1} \left(\langle g, f^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f\|_2^2 \right).$$

shows that it is the Legendre transform of I_Q (substitute $f^2 = \frac{d\mu}{dx}$).

Large-deviations theory · Cologne, 15 June 2018 · Page 9 (23)



Comments



Here is the underlying eigenvalue expansion:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{t\langle g,\mu_t\rangle}\mathbb{1}_{\{B_{[0,t]}\subset Q\}}; B_t \in \mathrm{d}x]/\mathrm{d}x = \sum_k \mathrm{e}^{t\lambda_k(g,Q)} v_k(0)v_k(x),$$

where $_1(g, Q) > \lambda_2(g, Q) \ge \lambda_3(g, Q) \ge \ldots$ are the eigenvalues of $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + g$ in Q, and $(v_k)_k$ is an orthonormal basis of corresponding eigenfunctions.

- There is an analogous discrete version on fixed finite subsets of \mathbb{Z}^d for continuous-time random walks.
- There is an version for increasing finite subsets of \mathbb{Z}^d for continuous-time random walks, which interpolates between the two LDPs in the spirit of Donsker's invariance principle.
- This makes it possible to find heuristics (and, with hard work, proofs) for the asymptotic behaviour of self-attractive path measures.
- Examples are models with a high number of self-intersections of the path, or high number of mutual intersections of several paths, or for random paths in a random potential (the parabolic ANDERSON model, e.g.).





VARADHAN's lemma

If $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an LDP with good rate function I in \mathcal{X} , and if $f \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and bounded, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{nf(S_n)}] = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (f(x) - I(x)).$$

This is a substantial extension of the well-known Laplace principle that says that $\int_0^1 e^{nf(x)} dx$ behaves to first order like $e^{n \max_{[0,1]} f}$ if $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.



Luib

VARADHAN's lemma

If $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an LDP with good rate function I in \mathcal{X} , and if $f \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and bounded, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{nf(S_n)}] = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (f(x) - I(x)).$$

This is a substantial extension of the well-known Laplace principle that says that $\int_0^1 e^{nf(x)} dx$ behaves to first order like $e^{n \max_{[0,1]} f}$ if $f \colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

Corollary: LDP for exponential tilts

If $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an LDP with good rate function I in \mathcal{X} , and if $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and bounded, then we define the transformed measure

$$\mathrm{d}\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n(S_n\in\cdot)=\frac{1}{Z_n}\mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{e}^{nf(S_n)}1\!\!1_{\{S_n\in\cdot\}}\big],\qquad\text{where }Z_n=\mathbb{E}\big[\mathrm{e}^{nf(S_n)}\big].$$

Then the distributions of S_n under $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n$ satisfy, as $n \to \infty$, an LDP with rate function

$$I_f(x) = I(x) - f(x) - \inf[I - f].$$





A mean-field model for ferromagnetism:

• configuration space $E = \{-1, 1\}^N$

• energy
$$H_N(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

• probability $\nu_N(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z_{N,\beta}} e^{-\beta H_N(\sigma)} 2^{-N}$.

mean magnetisation $\overline{\sigma}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i$. Then $-\beta H_N(\sigma) = F(\overline{\sigma})$ with $F(\eta) = \frac{\beta}{2}\eta^2$.

CRAMÉR \Longrightarrow LDP for $\overline{\sigma}_N$ under $[\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{-1}+\delta_1)]^{\otimes N}$ with rate function

$$I(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \left[xy - \log(\frac{1}{2}(e^{-y} + e^{y})) \right] = \frac{1+x}{2}\log(1+x) + \frac{1-x}{2}\log(1-x).$$

Corollary ⇒ LDP for *σ*_N under *ν*_N with rate function *I* − *F* − inf[*I* − *F*].
 Minimizer(s) *m*_β ∈ [−1, 1] are characterised by

$$m_{\beta} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\beta m_{\beta}} - 1}{\mathrm{e}^{2\beta m_{\beta}} + 1}.$$

Phase transition: $\beta \leq 1 \implies m_{\beta} = 0$ and $\beta > 1 \implies m_{\beta} > 0$.





SCHILDER's theorem

Let $W = (W_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a Brownian motion, then $(\varepsilon W)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ satisfies an LDP on $\mathcal{C}[0,1]$ with scale ε^{-2} and rate function $I(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^2 dt$ if φ is absolutely continuous with $\varphi(0) = 0$ (and $I(\varphi) = \infty$ otherwise).

Here is a heuristic proof: for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}[0,1]$ differentiable with $\varphi(0) = 0$, for large $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon W \approx \varphi) &\approx \mathbb{P}\big(W(i/r) \approx \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\varphi(i/r) \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, r\big) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{P}\big(W(1/r) \approx \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\varphi(i/r) - \varphi((i-1)/r))\big). \end{split}$$

Now use that W(1/r) is normal with variance 1/r:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon W \approx \varphi) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}r\varepsilon^{-2}(\varphi(i/r) - \varphi((i-1)/r))^{2}} \\ &= \exp\Big\{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-2}\frac{1}{r}\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Big(\frac{\varphi(i/r) - \varphi((i-1)/r)}{1/r}\Big)^{2}\Big\}. \end{split}$$

Using a RIEMANN sum approximation, we see that this is $\approx e^{-\varepsilon^{-2}I(\varphi)}$.

Large-deviations theory · Cologne, 15 June 2018 · Page 13 (23)



SANOV's theorem

If $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with distribution μ on a Polish space Γ , then the empirical measure $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$ satisfies an LDP on the set $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}_1(\Gamma)$ of probability measures on Γ with rate function equal to the KULLBACK-LEIBLER entropy

$$I(P) = H(P \mid \mu) = \int P(\mathrm{d}x) \log \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(x) = \int \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \,\varphi\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(x)\Big),$$

with $\varphi(y) = y \log y$.



SANOV's theorem

If $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with distribution μ on a Polish space Γ , then the empirical measure $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$ satisfies an LDP on the set $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}_1(\Gamma)$ of probability measures on Γ with rate function equal to the KULLBACK-LEIBLER entropy

$$I(P) = H(P \mid \mu) = \int P(\mathrm{d}x) \log \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(x) = \int \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \,\varphi\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(x)\Big),$$

with $\varphi(y) = y \log y$.

This can be seen as an abstract version of CRAMÉR's theorem for the i.i.d. variables δ_{X_i} :

Entropy = Legendre transform

For any $\nu, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Gamma)$,

$$H(\nu \mid \mu) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma)} \Big[\int_{\Gamma} f \, \mathrm{d}\nu - \log \int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{e}^{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mu \Big].$$

The minimizer is $f = \log \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$, if it is well-defined.

Large-deviations theory · Cologne, 15 June 2018 · Page 14 (23)





The Gibbs conditioning principle

Here is an application of SANOV's theorem to statistical physics. Assume that Γ is finite. We condition (X_1, \ldots, X_n) on the event

$$\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f(X_i)\in A\right\}=\left\{\langle f,S_n\rangle\in A\right\}=\left\{S_n\in\Sigma_{A,f}\right\},\$$

for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and some $f \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$. Assume that

$$\Lambda(\Sigma_{A,f}) \equiv \inf_{\Sigma_{A,f}^{\diamond}} H(\cdot \mid \mu) = \inf_{\overline{\Sigma_{A,f}}} H(\cdot \mid \mu),$$

and denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{A,f})$ the set of minimizers. Then

The Gibbs principle

All the accumulation points of the conditional distribution of S_n given $\{S_n \in \Sigma_{A,f}\}$ lie in $\overline{\operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{A,f}))}$.

If $\Sigma_{A,f}$ is convex with non-empty interior, then $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_{A,f})$ is a singleton, to which this distribution then converges.







Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a Markov chain on the finite set Γ with transition matrix $P = (p(i, j))_{i,j \in \Gamma}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Gamma^2)$ denote the set of probability measures on $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ with equal marginals.

LDP for the empirical pair measures

The empirical pair measure $L_n^{(2)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(X_i, X_{i+1})}$ satisfies an LDP on $\mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Gamma^2)$ with rate function

$$I^{(2)}(
u) = \sum_{\gamma, ilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma}
u(\gamma, ilde{\gamma}) \log rac{
u(\gamma, \gamma)}{\overline{
u}(\gamma) p(\gamma, ilde{\gamma})}.$$





Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a Markov chain on the finite set Γ with transition matrix $P = (p(i, j))_{i,j \in \Gamma}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Gamma^2)$ denote the set of probability measures on $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ with equal marginals.

LDP for the empirical pair measures

The empirical pair measure $L_n^{(2)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(X_i, X_{i+1})}$ satisfies an LDP on $\mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Gamma^2)$ with rate function

$$I^{(2)}(\nu) = \sum_{\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma} \nu(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) \log \frac{\nu(\gamma, \gamma)}{\overline{\nu}(\gamma) p(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma})}.$$

- There is a combinatorial proof. There are versions for Polish spaces Γ, e.g. under the assumption of a strong uniform ergodicity.
- I $I^{(2)}(\nu)$ is the entropy of ν with respect to $\overline{\nu} \otimes P$.
- There is an extension to k-tupels, $L_n^{(k)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(X_i, \dots, X_{i-1+k})} \in \mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Gamma^k)$. The rate function $I^{(k)}(\nu)$ is the entropy of ν with respect to $\overline{\nu} \otimes P$, where $\overline{\nu}$ is the projection on the first k-1 coordinates.
- Using projective limits as $k \to \infty$, one finds, via the DAWSON-GÄRTNER approach, an extension for $k = \infty$, i.e., mixtures of Dirac measures on shifts, see below.





•
$$(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$$
 = simple random walk on \mathbb{Z} , $\ell_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i = x\}}$ local times,

$$Y_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} 1_{\{X_i = X_j\}} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_n(x)^2$$

number of self-intersections





$$\begin{array}{l} (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \text{simple random walk on } \mathbb{Z}, \ \ell_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n 1\!\!1_{\{X_i = x\}} \text{ local times,} \\ \\ Y_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^n 1\!\!1_{\{X_i = X_j\}} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_n(x)^2 \quad \text{ number of self-intersections} \end{array}$$

polymer measure
$$\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{n,eta}=rac{1}{Z_{n,eta}}\mathrm{e}^{-eta Y_n}\,\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}, \qquad eta\in(0,\infty),$$







$$\begin{aligned} & (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \text{simple random walk on } \mathbb{Z}, \ \ell_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n 1\!\!1_{\{X_i = x\}} \text{ local times,} \\ & Y_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^n 1\!\!1_{\{X_i = X_j\}} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_n(x)^2 \quad \text{ number of self-intersections} \\ & \text{polymer measure} \quad \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{n,\beta} = \frac{1}{Z_{n,\beta}} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta Y_n} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}, \qquad \beta \in (0,\infty), \end{aligned}$$

■ Discrete version of the RAY-KNIGHT theorem ⇒ in some situations,

 $\ell_n(x)=m(x)+m(x-1)-1$ with a Markov chain $(m(x))_{x\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ on $\mathbb N$ with transition kernel

$$p(i,j) = 2^{-(i+j-1)} \binom{i+j-1}{i-1}, \quad i,j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} Z_{n,\beta}(\theta) &:= \mathbb{E} \big[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta Y_n} \mathbbm{1}_{\{X_n \approx \theta_n\}} \big] \\ &\approx \mathbb{E} \Big[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta \sum_{x=1}^{\theta_n} (m(x) + m(x-1) - 1)^2} \mathbbm{1}_{\{\sum_{x=1}^{\theta_n} (m(x) + m(x-1) - 1) = n\}} \Big] \\ &\approx \mathbb{E} \Big[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta \theta_n \langle L_{\theta_n}^{(2)}, \varphi^2 \rangle} \mathbbm{1}_{\{ (L_{\theta_n}^{(2)}, \varphi) = 1/\theta \}} \Big], \quad \text{with } \varphi(i, j) = i + j - 1. \end{split}$$

Large-deviations theory · Cologne, 15 June 2018 · Page 17 (23)





Hence, the LDP for $L_n^{(2)}$, together with Varadhan's lemma, gives

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Z_{n,\beta}(\theta) = -\chi_{\beta}(\theta),$$

where

$$\chi_{\beta}(\theta) = \theta \inf \left\{ \beta \langle \nu, \varphi^2 \rangle + I^{(2)}(\nu) \colon \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\mathbb{N}^2), \langle \nu, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\theta} \right\}.$$

The minimizer exists, and is unique; it gives a lot of information about the 'typical' behaviour of the polymer measure. In particular, χ_{β} is strictly minimal at some *positive* θ_{β}^{*} , i.e., the polymer has a positive drift.

(Details: [GREVEN/DEN HOLLANDER (1993)])

An important tool:

Contraction principle

If $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an LDP with rate function I on \mathcal{X} , and if $F \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ is a continuous map into another metric space, then also $(F(S_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies an LDP with rate function

$$J(y) = \inf\{I(x) \colon x \in \mathcal{X}, F(x) = y\}, \quad y \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Markov chains: The (explicit) LDP for empirical pair measures $L_n^{(2)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(X_i, X_{i+1})} \text{ of a Markov chain implies a (less explicit) LDP for the}$ empirical measure $L_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$ of this chain, since the map $\nu \mapsto \overline{\nu}$ (marginal measure) is continuous. There is in general no better formula than

$$I^{(1)}(\mu) = \inf \left\{ I^{(2)}(\nu) \colon \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Gamma \times \Gamma), \overline{\nu} = \mu \right\}.$$





This is an application of the contraction principle to SCHILDER's theorem. It is the starting point of the FREIDLIN-WENTZELL theory.

Let $B = (B_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a d-dimensional Brownian motion, and consider the SDE (randomly perturbed ODE)

 $\mathrm{d} X_t^{(\varepsilon)} = b(X_t^{(\varepsilon)}) \,\mathrm{d} t + \varepsilon \mathrm{d} B_t, \qquad t \in [0,1], \qquad X_0^{(\varepsilon)} = x_0,$

with $b\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ Lipschitz continuous. That is,

$$X_t^{(\varepsilon)} = x_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s^{(\varepsilon)}) \,\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon B_t, \qquad t \in [0, 1].$$

Hence, $X^{(\varepsilon)}$ is a continuous function of B. Hence, an application of the contraction principle to SCHILDER's theorem gives that $(X^{(\varepsilon)})_{\varepsilon>0}$ satisfies an LDP with scale ε^{-2} and rate function

$$\psi \mapsto rac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |\psi'(t) - b(\psi(t))|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t, \quad ext{ if } \psi(0) = x_0 ext{ and } \psi ext{ is absolutely continuous.}$$







This is a far-reaching extension of the LDP for k-tuple measures for Markov chains:

$\blacksquare \ k = \infty.$

- d-dimensional parameter space instead of \mathbb{N} .
- **\blacksquare** continuous parameter space \mathbb{R}^d instead of \mathbb{N}^d
- reference measure is the Poisson point process (PPP) instead of a Markov chain.
- we add marks to the particles.





This is a far-reaching extension of the LDP for k-tuple measures for Markov chains:

- $\blacksquare \ k = \infty.$
- d-dimensional parameter space instead of \mathbb{N} .
- **continuous** parameter space \mathbb{R}^d instead of \mathbb{N}^d
- reference measure is the Poisson point process (PPP) instead of a Markov chain.
- we add marks to the particles.

Let $\omega_{\mathrm{P}} = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{(x_i, m_i)}$ be a marked PPP in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathfrak{M}$ with intensity measure $\lambda \mathrm{Leb} \otimes m$. For a centred box Λ , let $\omega^{(\Lambda)}$ be the Λ -periodic repetition of the restriction of ω to Λ .

empirical stationary field:
$$\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda}(\omega) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \int_{\Lambda} \mathrm{d}x \, \delta_{\theta_x(\omega^{(\Lambda)})}$$

This is a stationary marked point processes in \mathbb{R}^d .

LDP for the field [GEORGII/ZESSIN (1994)]

As $\Lambda\uparrow\mathbb{R}^d$, the distributions of $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda(\omega_{\mathrm{P}})$ satisfy an LDP with rate function

$$I(P) = H(P \mid \omega_{\mathrm{P}}) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} H_{\Lambda}(P|_{\Lambda} \mid \omega_{\mathrm{P}}|_{\Lambda}),$$

which is lower semi-continuous and affine.





N independent particles X_1, \ldots, X_N in a centred box $\Lambda_N \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of volume N/ρ . pair interaction energy

$$V(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} v(|x_i - x_j|), \quad \text{ with } v \colon (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lim_{r \downarrow 0} v(r) = \infty.$$

Parameters: $\beta \in (0,\infty)$ inverse temperature and $\rho \in (0,\infty)$ particle density.

Partition function:
$$Z_{N,\beta,\Lambda_N} = \frac{1}{N!} \int_{\Lambda_N^N} dx_1 \dots dx_N e^{-\beta V(x)}.$$

(Mark-dependent models also within reach in general)

We seek for a formula for the free energy per volume

$$f(\beta, \rho) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda_N|} \log Z_{N,\beta,\Lambda_N}.$$



Libriz

Strategy:

- **1.** Rewrite Z_{N,β,Λ_N} in terms of a PPP $(\rho), \omega_P$.
- 2. Use that it has N i.i.d. uniform particles, when conditioned on having N particles in Λ_N .
- 3. Rewrite the energy as $|\Lambda_N| \langle \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_N}(\omega_P), \beta F \rangle$ with suitable F and the conditioning event as $\{ \langle \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_N}(\omega_P), \mathcal{N}_U \rangle = \rho \}.$
- 4. Use the LDP and obtain a variational formula
- 5. (Try to squeeze some information out ...)

Lnibniz

Strategy:

- **1.** Rewrite Z_{N,β,Λ_N} in terms of a PPP $(\rho), \omega_P$.
- 2. Use that it has N i.i.d. uniform particles, when conditioned on having N particles in Λ_N .
- 3. Rewrite the energy as $|\Lambda_N| \langle \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_N}(\omega_P), \beta F \rangle$ with suitable F and the conditioning event as $\{ \langle \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_N}(\omega_P), \mathcal{N}_U \rangle = \rho \}.$
- 4. Use the LDP and obtain a variational formula
- 5. (Try to squeeze some information out ...)

The functionals are (for $\omega = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{x_i}$, using the unit box $U = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$),

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j : \ x_i \in U} v(|x_i - x_j|) \quad \text{ and } \quad \mathcal{N}_U(\omega) = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbbm{1}_U(x_i).$$

Hence, we should obtain

$$f(\beta,\rho) = \inf \left\{ \langle P,F \rangle + \frac{1}{\beta} I(P) \colon P \in \mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Omega), \langle P,\mathcal{N}_U \rangle = \rho \right\}.$$



Loibri

Strategy:

- **1.** Rewrite Z_{N,β,Λ_N} in terms of a PPP $(\rho), \omega_P$.
- 2. Use that it has N i.i.d. uniform particles, when conditioned on having N particles in Λ_N .
- 3. Rewrite the energy as $|\Lambda_N| \langle \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_N}(\omega_P), \beta F \rangle$ with suitable F and the conditioning event as $\{ \langle \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_N}(\omega_P), \mathcal{N}_U \rangle = \rho \}.$
- 4. Use the LDP and obtain a variational formula
- 5. (Try to squeeze some information out ...)

The functionals are (for $\omega = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{x_i}$, using the unit box $U = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$),

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j : \ x_i \in U} v(|x_i - x_j|) \quad \text{ and } \quad \mathcal{N}_U(\omega) = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbbm{1}_U(x_i).$$

Hence, we should obtain

$$f(\beta,\rho) = \inf \left\{ \langle P, F \rangle + \frac{1}{\beta} I(P) \colon P \in \mathcal{M}_1^{(s)}(\Omega), \langle P, \mathcal{N}_U \rangle = \rho \right\}.$$

A number of open questions: percolation, statistics of cluster sizes, phase transitions, ... Particularly interesting if marks with unbounded mark space are added.

Large-deviations theory · Cologne, 15 June 2018 · Page 23 (23)

