



Restricted spaces of holomorphic sections vanishing along subvarieties

Dan Coman¹ · George Marinescu^{2,3} · Viêt-Anh Nguyễn^{4,5}

Received: 24 October 2023 / Accepted: 24 September 2024

© The Author(s) 2025

Abstract

Let X be a compact normal complex space of dimension n and L be a holomorphic line bundle on X . Suppose that $\Sigma = (\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\ell)$ is an ℓ -tuple of distinct irreducible proper analytic subsets of X , $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ is an ℓ -tuple of positive real numbers, and let $H_0^0(X, L^p)$ be the space of holomorphic sections of $L^p := L^{\otimes p}$ that vanish to order at least $\tau_j p$ along Σ_j , $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. If $Y \subset X$ is an irreducible analytic subset of dimension m , we consider the space $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ of holomorphic sections of $L^p|_Y$ that extend to global holomorphic sections in $H_0^0(X, L^p)$. Assuming that the triplet (L, Σ, τ) is big in the sense that $\dim H_0^0(X, L^p) \sim p^n$, we give a general condition on Y to ensure that $\dim H_0^0(X|Y, L^p) \sim p^m$. When L is endowed with a continuous Hermitian metric, we show that the Fubini-Study currents of the spaces $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ converge to a certain equilibrium current on Y . We apply this to the study of the equidistribution of zeros in Y of random holomorphic sections in $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

D. Coman is partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS-2154273 and by the Labex CEMPI (ANR-11-LABX-0007-01), G. Marinescu is partially supported by the DFG funded projects SFB/TRR 191 'Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics' (Project-ID 281071066-TRR 191), DFG Priority Program 2265 'Random Geometric Systems' (Project-ID 422743078) and the ANR-DFG project QuaSiDy (Project-ID 490843120), V.-A. Nguyen is supported by the Labex CEMPI (ANR-11-LABX-0007-01), the ANR-DFG project QuaSiDy (ANR-21-CE40-0016), and partially by Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM).

✉ George Marinescu
gmarines@math.uni-koeln.de

Dan Coman
dcoman@syr.edu

Viêt-Anh Nguyễn
Viet-Anh.Nguyen@univ-lille.fr

¹ Department of Mathematics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244-1150, USA

² Universität zu Köln, Department Mathematik/Informatik, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Köln, Deutschland

³ Institute of Mathematics 'Simion Stoilow', Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania

⁴ Université de Lille, Laboratoire de mathématiques Paul Painlevé, CNRS U.M.R. 8524, Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex 59655, France

⁵ Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM), 157 Chua Lang Street, Hanoi, Vietnam

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 32L10; Secondary 32A60 · 32C20 · 32U05 · 32U40 · 53C55 · 81Q50

Contents

1	Introduction	
2	Preliminaries	
2.1	Compact complex manifolds and analytic spaces	
2.2	Bergman kernel functions and Fubini-Study currents	
2.3	L^2 -extension theorem for vector bundles	
3	Dimension of restricted spaces of sections vanishing along subvarieties	
3.1	Big triplets and divisorizations	
3.2	Non-Kähler loci and blow-ups	
3.3	Bonavero's Morse inequalities	
3.4	Proof of Theorem 1.2	
4	Convergence of the Fubini-Study potentials	
4.1	Envelopes of quasi-psh functions with poles along a divisor	
4.2	Proof of Theorem 1.3	
5	Zeros of random holomorphic sections	
	References	

1 Introduction

Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n . If L is a holomorphic line bundle over X we let $L^p := L^{\otimes p}$ and denote by $H^0(X, L^p)$ the space of global holomorphic sections of L^p . The line bundle L is called *big* if its Kodaira-Iitaka dimension is equal to the dimension of X (see [37, Definition 2.2.5]). One has that L is big if and only if the volume of L

$$\mathrm{Vol}_X(L) := \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{-n} \dim H^0(X, L^p) > 0$$

(see [37, Theorem 2.2.7]). By the Ji-Shiffman/Bonavero/Takayama criterion [37, Theorem 2.3.30], L is big if and only if it admits a strictly positively curved singular Hermitian metric h (see Sect. 2.1 for definitions).

Let $Y \subset X$ be a complex submanifold of dimension m . To understand “how many” sections of $L^p|_Y$ are restrictions to Y of global sections in $H^0(X, L^p)$, Hisamoto considers in [32] the space $H^0(X|Y, L^p) := \{S|_Y : S \in H^0(X, L^p)\}$ and the restricted volume

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{X|Y}(L) := \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} p^{-m} \dim H^0(X|Y, L^p).$$

He studies the asymptotics of the restricted Bergman kernels of the spaces $H^0(X|Y, L^p)$ when L is endowed with a smooth Hermitian metric h , and obtains formulas for $\mathrm{Vol}_{X|Y}(L)$ in terms of the Monge-Ampère measure related to an equilibrium metric associated to h along Y .

Let now X be a compact normal complex space of dimension n and L be a holomorphic line bundle over X . Suppose $\Sigma = (\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\ell)$ is an ℓ -tuple of distinct irreducible proper analytic subsets of X and $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ is an ℓ -tuple of positive real numbers. In [17] we studied the spaces of holomorphic sections of L^p that vanish to order at least $\tau_j p$ along Σ_j for all $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Motivated by [32], in this paper we consider in addition an analytic subset $Y \subset X$ of dimension m and the spaces $H^0_0(X|Y, L^p)$ of sections of $L^p|_Y$ which extend to global holomorphic sections of L^p on X having the above vanishing properties. We study

algebraic and analytic objects associated to $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$, especially the asymptotic growth of their dimension, and the asymptotics of their Bergman kernels, Fubini-Study currents and potentials. We also study the equidistribution of zeros of random sequences of sections $\{s_p \in H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)\}_{p \geq 1}$, as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

More precisely, in analogy to [17], we consider in this paper the following setting:

(A) X is a compact, irreducible, normal (reduced) complex space of dimension n , X_{reg} denotes the set of regular points of X , and X_{sing} denotes the set of singular points of X .

(B) L is a holomorphic line bundle on X .

(C) $\Sigma = (\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\ell)$ is an ℓ -tuple of distinct irreducible proper analytic subsets of X such that $\Sigma_j \not\subset X_{\text{sing}}$, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. We set

$$\Sigma^\cup = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \Sigma_j.$$

(D) $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ is an ℓ -tuple of positive real numbers such that $\tau_j > \tau_k$, for every $j, k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ with $\Sigma_j \subset \Sigma_k$.

(E) Y is an irreducible proper analytic subset of X of dimension m such that

$$Y \not\subset X_{\text{sing}} \cup \Sigma^\cup \cup A,$$

where $A = A(L, \Sigma, \tau)$ is the analytic subset of X defined in (3.2).

For $p \geq 1$, let $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ be the space of sections $S \in H^0(Y, L^p|_Y)$ which extend to a holomorphic section of L^p on X that vanishes to order at least $\tau_j p$ along Σ_j , $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Set

$$t_{j,p} = \begin{cases} \tau_j p & \text{if } \tau_j p \in \mathbb{N} \\ \lfloor \tau_j p \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } \tau_j p \notin \mathbb{N} \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell, \quad p \geq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$H_0^0(X|Y, L^p) = H_0^0(X|Y, L^p, \Sigma, \tau) := \{S|_Y : S \in H_0^0(X, L^p)\} \subset H^0(Y, L^p|_Y), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$H_0^0(X, L^p) = H_0^0(X, L^p, \Sigma, \tau) := \{S \in H^0(X, L^p) : \text{ord}(S, \Sigma_j) \geq t_{j,p}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}, \quad (1.3)$$

and $\text{ord}(S, Z)$ denotes the vanishing order of S along an irreducible analytic subset Z of X , $Z \not\subset X_{\text{sing}}$.

To measure the asymptotic growth of the dimension of these spaces, we define the restricted volume of L relative to Y with vanishing along (Σ, τ) by

$$\text{Vol}_{Y, \Sigma, \tau}(L) := \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)}{p^m / m!}. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that, when $Y = X$, $H_0^0(X|X, L^p) = H_0^0(X, L^p)$ are the spaces defined in (1.3), which were introduced and studied in [17]. We recall from [17] the following:

Definition 1.1 We say that the triplet (L, Σ, τ) is *big* if

$$\text{Vol}_{X, \Sigma, \tau}(L) = \limsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim H_0^0(X, L^p)}{p^n / n!} > 0.$$

In [17, Theorem 1.6] we gave a complete characterization of big triplets (L, Σ, τ) , in analogy to the Ji-Shiffman/Bonavero/Takayama criterion for big line bundles. We recall this characterization in Sect. 3.1. Our first main result here is the following:

Theorem 1.2 *Let X, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(D), and assume that (L, Σ, τ) is big and X is a Kähler space. Then $\text{Vol}_{Y, \Sigma, \tau}(L) > 0$ for any analytic subset $Y \subset X$ that verifies (E). More precisely, if Y verifies (E) then there exist constants $C > 0, p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\dim H_0^0(X|Y, L^p) \geq Cp^m, \quad \forall p > p_0.$$

Theorem 1.2 shows that if Y verifies (E) the dimension of the restricted spaces $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ of sections of L^p vanishing along (Σ, τ) has the largest possible asymptotic growth $p^{\dim Y}$, as soon as the dimension of the “global” spaces $H_0^0(X, L^p)$ grows like $p^{\dim X}$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Sect. 3.

Our next result deals with the asymptotics of the Bergman kernel functions and Fubini-Study currents associated to the spaces $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$. Let X, Y, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(E), and assume in addition that there exists a Kähler form ω on X and that h is a singular Hermitian metric on L . We fix a smooth Hermitian metric h_0 on L and write

$$\alpha := c_1(L, h_0), \quad h = h_0 e^{-2\varphi}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\varphi \in L^1(X, \omega^n)$ is called the (global) weight of h relative to h_0 . The metric h is called bounded, continuous, resp. Hölder continuous, if φ is a bounded, continuous, resp. Hölder continuous, function on X .

Let $H_{(2)}^0(X, L^p) = H_{(2)}^0(X, L^p, h^p, \omega^n)$ be the Bergman space of L^2 -holomorphic sections of L^p relative to the metric $h^p := h^{\otimes p}$ and the volume form ω^n on X , endowed with the inner product

$$(S, S')_p := \int_X \langle S, S' \rangle_{h^p} \frac{\omega^n}{n!},$$

and set $\|S\|_p^2 := (S, S)_p$.

We assume in the sequel that the metric h is bounded. Then $h|_Y = h_0|_Y e^{-2\varphi|_Y}$ is a well defined singular metric on $L|_Y$ and we have

$$H_0^0(X|Y, L^p) \subset H_{(2)}^0(Y, L^p|_Y, h^p|_Y, \omega^m|_Y).$$

We use the notation

$$H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p) = H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p, \Sigma, \tau, h^p, \omega^m) := H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$$

when we consider the space $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ with the inner product induced by $h^p|_Y$ and $\omega^m|_Y$.

Let P_p^Y, γ_p^Y be the Bergman kernel function and Fubini-Study current of the Bergman space $H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p)$ defined in (2.4) and (2.7)–(2.8). Then

$$\frac{1}{p} \gamma_p^Y = c_1(L, h)|_Y + \frac{1}{2p} dd^c \log P_p^Y = \alpha|_Y + dd^c \varphi_p^Y, \quad \text{where } \varphi_p^Y = \varphi|_Y + \frac{1}{2p} \log P_p^Y. \quad (1.6)$$

Here $d^c := \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\partial - \bar{\partial})$, so $dd^c = \frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial}$. We call the function φ_p^Y the global Fubini-Study potential of γ_p^Y . We obtain here the following result on the convergence of the Fubini-Study currents.

Theorem 1.3 *Let X, Y, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(E), and assume that (L, Σ, τ) is big and there exists a Kähler form ω on X . Let h be a continuous Hermitian metric on L and α, φ_p^Y be defined in (1.5), (1.6). Then there exists a weakly $\alpha|_Y$ -plurisubharmonic function φ_{eq}^Y on Y such that*

$$\varphi_p^Y \rightarrow \varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y, \quad \frac{1}{p} \gamma_p^Y = \alpha|_Y + dd^c \varphi_p^Y \rightarrow T_{\text{eq}}^Y := \alpha|_Y + dd^c \varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y, \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.7)$$

in $L^1(Y, \omega^m|_Y)$, respectively weakly on Y . Moreover, if h is Hölder continuous then there exist a constant $C > 0$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_Y |\varphi_p^Y - \varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y| \omega^m \leq C \frac{\log p}{p}, \quad \text{for all } p \geq p_0. \quad (1.8)$$

Definition 1.4 The current T_{eq}^Y from Theorem 1.3 is called the *equilibrium current associated to (Y, L, h, Σ, τ)* .

Theorem 1.3 is proved in Sect. 4. The study of the Fubini-Study currents associated to various Bergman spaces of holomorphic sections is motivated by a foundational result of Tian [44] (see also [37, Theorem 5.1.4]), who showed that in the case of a positive line bundle (L, h) on a projective manifold X the corresponding Fubini-Study forms $\gamma_p/p \rightarrow c_1(L, h)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -topology. This result was generalized in [11, Theorem 5.1] to the case of a singular metric h whose curvature is a Kähler current, by showing that in this case $\gamma_p/p \rightarrow c_1(L, h)$ in the weak sense of currents. It was further generalized to the case of arbitrary curved Hermitian metrics h on big line bundles over projective manifolds was treated in [3, 4] by considering the equilibrium metric associated to h , constructed by analogy to extremal plurisubharmonic functions. Previously, Bloom [6, 7] (cf. also Bloom-Levenberg [8]) pointed out the role of the extremal plurisubharmonic functions in the equidistribution theory for random polynomials. More generally, equilibrium metrics with prescribed singularities on a line bundle are introduced and studied in [42] (see also [18, Theorem 3]).

We conclude this paper with an application of Theorem 1.3 to the study of the distribution of zeros in Y of random sections in the spaces $H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. To this end, we consider the projective space

$$\mathbb{X}_p^Y := \mathbb{P}H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p), \quad d_p := \dim H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p) - 1.$$

We identify $H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p) \equiv \mathbb{C}^{d_p+1}$ by using an orthonormal basis, and we let $\sigma_p = \omega_{\text{FS}}^{d_p}$ be the Fubini-Study volume on $\mathbb{X}_p^Y$ induced by this identification. Here and in the sequel ω_{FS} denotes the Fubini-Study form on a projective space $\mathbb{P}^N$. We also consider the product probability space

$$(\mathbb{X}_\infty^Y, \sigma_\infty) := \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{X}_p^Y, \sigma_p).$$

Theorem 1.5 *Let X, Y, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(E), let h be a singular Hermitian metric on L , and assume that (L, Σ, τ) is big and there exists a Kähler form ω on X .*

(i) *If h is continuous then $\frac{1}{p} [s_p = 0] \rightarrow T_{\text{eq}}^Y$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, in the weak sense of currents on Y , for σ_∞ -a.e. $\{s_p\}_{p \geq 1} \in \mathbb{X}_\infty^Y$.*

(ii) If h is Hölder continuous then there exists a constant $c > 0$ with the following property: For any sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ such that

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{\log p} > (1 + m)c,$$

there exist subsets $E_p \subset \mathbb{X}_p^Y$ such that, for all p sufficiently large,

(a) $\sigma_p(E_p) \leq cp^m \exp(-\lambda_p/c)$,

(b) if $s_p \in \mathbb{X}_p^Y \setminus E_p$ we have

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{1}{p} [s_p = 0] - T_{\text{eq}}^Y, \phi \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{c\lambda_p}{p} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2},$$

for any $(m-1, m-1)$ -form ϕ of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ on Y .

In particular, the last estimate holds for σ_∞ -a.e. $\{s_p\}_{p \geq 1} \in \mathbb{X}_\infty^Y$ provided that p is large enough.

Theorem 1.5 shows that, as soon as the triplet (L, Σ, τ) is big, the normalized zeros of random holomorphic sections in $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ restricted to suitable analytic subsets $Y \subset X$, distribute as $p \rightarrow \infty$ to the equilibrium current T_{eq}^Y constructed in Theorem 1.3. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is given in Sect. 5.

If (X, L) is a polarized projective manifold Shiffman-Zelditch [43] showed how Tian's theorem can be applied to obtain the distribution of the zeros of random holomorphic sections of $H^0(X, L^p)$. Dinh-Sibony [29] used meromorphic transforms to obtain an estimate on the speed of convergence of the zeros (see also [28] for the non-compact setting). The result of [43] was generalized to the case of singular metrics in [11] and further to the case of sequences of line bundles over normal complex spaces in [14] (see also [12, 26]). In the latter situation, the equidistribution of zeros is considered for general classes of probability measures on the spaces of sections in [1, 2]. The case of common zeros of random k -tuples of sections was considered in [15, 16]

2 Preliminaries

We introduce here some notation and we recall a few notions and results that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1 Compact complex manifolds and analytic spaces

Let X be a compact complex manifold and ω be a Hermitian form on X . If T is a positive closed current on X we denote by $\nu(T, x)$ the Lelong number of T at $x \in X$ (see e.g. [23]). A function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is called *quasi-plurisubharmonic* (quasi-psh) if it is locally the sum of a plurisubharmonic (psh) function and smooth one. Let α be a smooth real closed $(1, 1)$ -form on X . A quasi-psh function φ is called *α -plurisubharmonic* (α -psh) if $\alpha + dd^c \varphi \geq 0$ in the sense of currents. We denote by $\text{PSH}(X, \alpha)$ the set of all α -psh functions on X . The Lelong number of an α -psh function φ at a point $x \in X$ is defined by $\nu(\varphi, x) := \nu(\alpha + dd^c \varphi, x)$.

Since in general the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma does not hold on X , we consider the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology and in particular the space $H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [10]). This space is finite dimensional, and if α

is a smooth real closed $(1, 1)$ -form on X we denote its $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology class by $\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$. If X is Kähler then $H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and we write $\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} = \{\alpha\}$.

Definition 2.1 A positive closed current T of bidegree $(1, 1)$ on X is called a *Kähler current* if $T \geq \varepsilon\omega$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. A class $\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ is called *big* if it contains a Kähler current.

Definition 2.2 A quasi-psh function φ on X is said to have *analytic singularities* if there exists a coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ and $c > 0$ such that φ can be written locally as

$$\varphi = \frac{c}{2} \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |f_j|^2 \right) + \psi, \quad (2.1)$$

where $f_1, \dots, f_m$ are local generators of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ and ψ is a smooth function. If c is rational, we furthermore say that φ has *algebraic singularities*. Note that $\{\varphi = -\infty\}$ is the support of the subscheme $V(\mathcal{I})$ defined by $\mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.3 A quasi-psh function φ on X is said to have *almost analytic (resp. almost algebraic) singularities* if the following hold:

- (i) $\{\varphi = -\infty\}$ is an analytic subset of X ,
- (ii) φ is smooth on $X \setminus \{\varphi = -\infty\}$,
- (iii) there exists a proper modification $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, obtained as a finite composition of blow-ups with smooth center and with blow-up locus contained in $\{\varphi = -\infty\}$, such that $\varphi \circ \sigma$ has analytic (resp. algebraic) singularities on $\tilde{X}$.

If A is an analytic subset of X , we say that φ has *almost analytic (resp. almost algebraic) singularities in A* if φ has almost analytic (resp. almost algebraic) singularities and $\{\varphi = -\infty\} \subset A$.

If L is a holomorphic line bundle on X and h^L is a singular Hermitian metric on L , written $h^L = h_0^L e^{-2\varphi}$ where h_0^L is smooth and φ is a quasi-psh function, we say that h^L has (almost) analytic (resp. algebraic) singularities if φ has (almost) analytic (resp. algebraic) singularities. A current $T = \alpha + dd^c \varphi$, where α is a smooth real closed $(1, 1)$ -form on X and φ is a quasi-psh function, is said to have (almost) analytic (resp. algebraic) singularities if φ has (almost) analytic (resp. algebraic) singularities.

Suppose that $\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ is big. By Demailly's regularization theorem [22] (see also [25, Theorem 3.2]), one can find a Kähler current $T \in \{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ with almost algebraic singularities. The *non-Kähler locus* of $\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ is defined in [10, Definition 3.16] as the set

$$E_{nK}(\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}) = \bigcap \{E_+(T) : T \in \{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \text{ Kähler current}\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $E_+(T) = \{x \in X : \nu(T, x) > 0\}$. Then, by Demailly's regularization theorem [22],

$$E_{nK}(\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}) = \bigcap \{E_+(T) : T \in \{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \text{ Kähler current with almost algebraic singularities}\},$$

hence $E_{nK}(\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}})$ is an analytic subset of X . It is shown in [10, Theorem 3.17] that there exists a Kähler current $T \in \{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ with almost algebraic singularities such that

$$E_+(T) = E_{nK}(\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}).$$

Let now X be a complex space. We write $X = X_{\text{reg}} \cup X_{\text{sing}}$, where X_{reg} and X_{sing} are the sets of regular and singular points of X . We denote by $\text{PSH}(X)$ the set of all psh functions on X , and by $\text{PSH}(X, \alpha)$ the set of all α -psh functions on X , where α is a smooth real closed

(1, 1)-form on X (see e.g. [14, 17] for the definitions). If X has pure dimension n , we consider currents on X as defined in [20]. We denote by $[Z]$ the current of integration along a pure dimensional analytic subset $Z \subset X$. If T is a current of bidegree (1, 1) on X so that every $x \in X$ has a neighborhood U such that $T = dd^c v$ on U for some $v \in \text{PSH}(U)$, then T is positive and closed, and we say that v is a local potential of T . A Kähler form on X is a current T as above whose local potentials v extend to smooth strictly psh functions in local embeddings of X to Euclidean spaces. We call X a Kähler space if X admits a Kähler form (see also [30, p. 346], [38, Section 5]). Hermitian forms on X are defined in a similar way by means of local embeddings (see e.g. [14, 17]).

A function $u : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ is called *weakly psh*, resp. *weakly α -psh*, if it is psh, resp. α -psh, on X_{reg} and it is locally upper bounded on X . If u is weakly psh, resp. weakly α -psh, then u is locally integrable on X and $dd^c u \geq 0$, resp. $\alpha + dd^c u \geq 0$, in the sense of currents on X (see [20, Theorem 1.10]). When X is compact, a function $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called Hölder continuous if, locally, it is Hölder continuous with respect to the metric induced by the Euclidean distance by means of a local embedding of X into $\mathbb{C}^N$.

If (L, h) is a singular Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over X , the *curvature current* $c_1(L, h)$ of h is defined as in the case when X is smooth (see [14], [21]). We say that h is *positively curved*, resp. *strictly positively curved*, if $c_1(L, h) \geq 0$, resp. $c_1(L, h) \geq \varepsilon \omega$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some Hermitian form ω on X .

2.2 Bergman kernel functions and Fubini-Study currents

Let X be as in (A), Y be as in (E), ω be a Hermitian form and (L, h) be a singular Hermitian holomorphic line bundle on X such that the metric h is *bounded*. Since X is compact, the space $H^0(X, L)$ is finite dimensional. The metric h induces by restriction a singular metric $h|_Y$ on $L|_Y$ and we have $c_1(L|_Y, h|_Y) = c_1(L, h)|_Y$.

Let $H_{(2)}^0(Y, L) = H_{(2)}^0(Y, L|_Y, h|_Y, \omega^m|_Y)$ be the Bergman space of L^2 -holomorphic sections of $L|_Y$ relative to the metric $h|_Y$ and the volume form $\omega^m/m!$ on Y , endowed with the inner product

$$(S, S') := \int_Y \langle S, S' \rangle_h \frac{\omega^m}{m!}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let V be a subspace of $H_{(2)}^0(Y, L)$, $r = \dim V$, and $S_1, \dots, S_r$ be an orthonormal basis of V . The *Bergman kernel function* $P = P_V$ of V is defined by

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r |S_j(x)|_h^2, \quad |S_j(x)|_h^2 := \langle S_j(x), S_j(x) \rangle_h, \quad x \in Y. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that this definition is independent of the choice of basis. Let U be an open set in Y such that L has a local holomorphic frame e_U on U . Then $|e_U|_h = e^{-\varphi_U}$, $S_j = s_j e_U$, where $\varphi_U \in L^\infty(U)$, $s_j \in \mathcal{O}_Y(U)$. It follows that

$$\log P|_U = \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^r |s_j|^2 \right) - 2\varphi_U, \quad (2.5)$$

which shows that $\log P \in L^1(Y, \omega^m|_Y)$.

The Kodaira map determined by V is the meromorphic map given by

$$\Phi = \Phi_V : Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^*), \quad \Phi(x) = \{S \in V : S(x) = 0\}, \quad x \in Y \setminus \text{Bs}(V), \quad (2.6)$$

where a point in $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ is identified with a hyperplane through the origin in V and $\text{Bs}(V) = \{x \in Y : S(x) = 0, \forall S \in V\}$ is the base locus of V . We define the *Fubini-Study current* $\gamma = \gamma_V$ of V by

$$\gamma := \Phi^*(\omega_{\text{FS}}), \quad (2.7)$$

where ω_{FS} denotes the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$. Then γ is a positive closed current of bidegree $(1, 1)$ on Y , and if U is as above we have

$$\gamma|_U = \frac{1}{2} dd^c \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^r |s_j|^2 \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Hence by (2.5),

$$\gamma = c_1(L, h)|_Y + \frac{1}{2} dd^c \log P. \quad (2.9)$$

Let now X, Y, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(E) and $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ be the space defined in (1.2). Since h is a bounded metric on L we have $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p) \subset H_{(2)}^0(Y, L^p|_Y, h^p|_Y, \omega^m|_Y)$. The Bergman kernel function P_p^Y and Fubini-Study current γ_p^Y of $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ are called the *restricted partial Bergman kernel function*, resp. *restricted partial Fubini-Study current*, of the space of sections that vanish to order τp along Σ . We have the following variational principle:

$$P_p^Y(x) = \max \left\{ |S(x)|_{h^p}^2 : S \in H_0^0(X|Y, L^p), \|S\|_p^Y = 1 \right\}, \quad x \in Y, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p^Y$ denotes the norm given by the inner product in $H_{(2)}^0(Y, L^p|_Y, h^p|_Y, \omega^m|_Y)$.

2.3 L^2 -extension theorem for vector bundles

We will need the following variant of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi-Manivel L^2 extension theorem [36, 40] due to Hisamoto [32, Theorem 1.4] (see also [24, 34, 39])

Theorem 2.4 *Let X be a projective manifold, $Y \subset X$ a complex submanifold, ω a Kähler form on X , and let $E \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle with a smooth Hermitian metric h_E . Then there exist positive constants $N = N(Y, X, h_E, \omega)$, $C = C(Y, X)$, such that the following holds:*

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric $h_L e^{-2\varphi}$ such that its curvature satisfies $c_1(L, h_L) + dd^c \varphi \geq N\omega$. Then for any section $s \in H^0(Y, E \otimes L)$ with $\int_Y |s|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dV_{\omega, Y} < \infty$, there exists a section $\tilde{s} \in H^0(X, E \otimes L)$ such that $\tilde{s}|_Y = s$ and

$$\int_X |\tilde{s}|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dV_{\omega, X} \leq C \int_Y |s|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dV_{\omega, Y},$$

where $|s|$ denotes the norm of s relative to the smooth metric $h_E \otimes h_L$.

3 Dimension of restricted spaces of sections vanishing along subvarieties

We start by recalling here the characterization of big triplets (see Definition 1.1) by means of divisorizations that was obtained in [17]. We then prove Theorem 1.2.

3.1 Big triplets and divisorizations

The characterization of big triplets (L, Σ, τ) relies on the following consequence of Hironaka's theorem on resolution of singularities:

Proposition 3.1 [17, Proposition 1.4] *Let X and Σ verify assumptions (A) and (C). Then there exist a compact complex manifold $\tilde{X}$ of dimension n and a surjective holomorphic map $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, given as the composition of finitely many blow-ups with smooth center, with the following properties:*

- (i) *There exists an analytic subset $X_\pi \subset X$ such that $\dim X_\pi \leq n - 2$, $X_\pi \subset X_{\text{sing}} \cup \Sigma^\cup$, $X_{\text{sing}} \subset X_\pi$, $\Sigma_j \subset X_\pi$ if $\dim \Sigma_j \leq n - 2$, $E_\pi = \pi^{-1}(X_\pi)$ is a divisor in $\tilde{X}$ that has only normal crossings, and $\pi : \tilde{X} \setminus E_\pi \rightarrow X \setminus X_\pi$ is a biholomorphism.*
- (ii) *There exist (connected) smooth complex hypersurfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Sigma}_\ell$ in $\tilde{X}$, which have only normal crossings, such that $\pi(\tilde{\Sigma}_j) = \Sigma_j$. Moreover, if $\dim \Sigma_j = n - 1$ then $\tilde{\Sigma}_j$ is the final strict transform of Σ_j , and if $\dim \Sigma_j \leq n - 2$ then $\tilde{\Sigma}_j$ is an irreducible component of E_π .*
- (iii) *If $F \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic line bundle and $S \in H^0(X, F)$ then $\text{ord}(S, \Sigma_j) = \text{ord}(\pi^*S, \tilde{\Sigma}_j)$, for all $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.*

Definition 3.2 [17, Definition 1.5] If $\tilde{X}, \pi, \tilde{\Sigma} := (\tilde{\Sigma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Sigma}_\ell)$, verify the conclusions of Proposition 3.1, we say that $(\tilde{X}, \pi, \tilde{\Sigma})$ is a divisorization of (X, Σ) .

The following analog of Ji-Shiffman's criterion for big line bundles [33, Theorem 4.6] (see also [9], [37, Theorem 2.3.30]) was obtained in [17, Theorem 1.6]:

Theorem 3.3 *Let X, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(D). The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *(L, Σ, τ) is big;*
- (ii) *For every divisorization $(\tilde{X}, \pi, \tilde{\Sigma})$ of (X, Σ) , there exists a singular Hermitian metric h^* on π^*L such that $c_1(\pi^*L, h^*) - \sum_{j=1}^\ell \tau_j[\tilde{\Sigma}_j]$ is a Kähler current on $\tilde{X}$ (see Definition 2.1);*
- (iii) *There exist a divisorization $(\tilde{X}, \pi, \tilde{\Sigma})$ of (X, Σ) and a singular Hermitian metric h^* on π^*L such that $c_1(\pi^*L, h^*) - \sum_{j=1}^\ell \tau_j[\tilde{\Sigma}_j]$ is a Kähler current on $\tilde{X}$;*
- (iv) *There exist $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c > 0$ such that $\dim H_0^0(X, L^p) \geq cp^n$ for all $p \geq p_0$.*

Given a triplet (L, Σ, τ) and a divisorization $(\tilde{X}, \pi, \tilde{\Sigma})$ of (X, Σ) , we consider the cohomology class

$$\Theta_\pi = \Theta_{\pi, L, \Sigma, \tau} := c_1(\pi^*L) - \sum_{j=1}^\ell \tau_j \{\tilde{\Sigma}_j\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $c_1(\pi^*L)$ is the first Chern class of π^*L and $\{\tilde{\Sigma}_j\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R})$ is the class of the current of integration along $\tilde{\Sigma}_j$. We have the following simple lemma whose proof is left to the interested reader.

Lemma 3.4 *In the above setting, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a singular Hermitian metric h^* on π^*L such that $c_1(\pi^*L, h^*) - \sum_{j=1}^\ell \tau_j[\tilde{\Sigma}_j]$ is a Kähler current on $\tilde{X}$;*
- (ii) *The class $\Theta_\pi \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R})$ is big.*

3.2 Non-Kähler loci and blow-ups

We will need certain results regarding the non-Kähler locus of big cohomology classes. The proofs are included for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.5 *Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and $\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a big cohomology class. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if η is a smooth real closed $(1, 1)$ -form on X with $\eta \geq -\varepsilon\omega$ then $\{\alpha + \eta\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ is big and $E_{nK}(\{\alpha + \eta\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}) \subset E_{nK}(\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}})$.*

Proof Let $T \in \{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ be a Kähler current such that $E_+(T) = E_{nK}(\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}})$ and $T \geq \delta\omega$ for some $\delta > 0$. If $\varepsilon < \delta$ and $\eta \geq -\varepsilon\omega$ then $T + \eta \in \{\alpha + \eta\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ is a Kähler current and $E_{nK}(\{\alpha + \eta\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}) \subset E_+(T + \eta) = E_+(T)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.6 *Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold and $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a finite composition of blow-ups with smooth center with final exceptional divisor E . If $\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a big cohomology class then $\sigma^*\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R})$ is big and*

$$E_{nK}(\sigma^*\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}) \subset \sigma^{-1}(E_{nK}(\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}})) \cup E.$$

Proof It is well known that there exist $a > 0$ and a Hermitian metric h on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E)$ determined by E such that $\tilde{\omega} := \sigma^*\omega - a\eta$ is a Hermitian form on $\tilde{X}$, where $\eta = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E), h)$ (see e.g. [14, Lemma 2.2]). Let s be the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E)$. Then, by the Lelong-Poincaré formula, $[E] = \eta + dd^c \log |s|_h$.

We fix a Kähler current $T \in \{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ such that $E_+(T) = E_{nK}(\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}})$ and $T \geq \delta\omega$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then $\tilde{T} := \sigma^*T - \delta a\eta \geq \delta\tilde{\omega}$ is a Kähler current on $\tilde{X}$. We have

$$S := \sigma^*T + \delta a dd^c \log |s|_h = \tilde{T} + \delta a[E] \geq \delta\tilde{\omega}.$$

So $S \in \sigma^*\{\alpha\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ is a Kähler current. Note that $\sigma : \tilde{X} \setminus E \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is a biholomorphism, where Z is a analytic subset of X of codimension ≥ 2 such that $E = \sigma^{-1}(Z)$. Since Lelong numbers are biholomorphically invariant and the function $\log |s|_h$ is smooth on $\tilde{X} \setminus E$, we infer that $E_+(S) \subset \sigma^{-1}(E_+(T)) \cup E$. $\square$

3.3 Bonavero's Morse inequalities

Bonavero's singular holomorphic Morse inequalities [9] have the following consequence which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.7 *Let (L, h) be a singular Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over a compact Hermitian manifold (X, ω) of dimension n , such that h has almost algebraic singularities in an analytic subset $A \subset X$ and $c_1(L, h) \geq \varepsilon\omega$ on X , where $\varepsilon > 0$. If $Y \subset X$ is a (connected) complex submanifold of dimension m such that $Y \not\subset A$, we have as $p \rightarrow \infty$ that*

$$\dim H_{(2)}^0(Y, L^p|_Y, h^p|_Y, \omega^m|_Y) \geq \frac{p^m}{m!} \int_{Y \setminus A} c_1(L, h)^m + o(p^m) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^m p^m}{m!} \int_Y \omega^m + o(p^m).$$

Proof Note that h defines a singular Hermitian metric $h|_Y$ on $L|_Y$, since $Y \not\subset A$. Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a proper modification as in Definition 2.3 such that the metric $\tilde{h} := \sigma^*h$ on $\tilde{L} := \sigma^*L$ has algebraic singularities. Fix a Hermitian form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\tilde{X}$ such that $\tilde{\omega} \geq \sigma^*\omega$, and let $\tilde{Y}$ be the strict transform of Y under σ . Then $\tilde{Y}$ is a complex submanifold of $\tilde{X}$ of

dimension m . Since $\tilde{Y} \not\subset \sigma^{-1}(A)$ we see that $\tilde{h}$ induces a singular metric $\tilde{h}|_{\tilde{Y}}$ on $\tilde{L}|_{\tilde{Y}}$ which has algebraic singularities. Moreover,

$$H_{(2)}^0(Y, L^p|_Y, h^p|_Y, \omega^m|_Y) \cong H_{(2)}^0(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{L}^p|_{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{h}^p|_{\tilde{Y}}, \sigma^* \omega^m|_{\tilde{Y}}) \supset H_{(2)}^0(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{L}^p|_{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{h}^p|_{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{\omega}^m|_{\tilde{Y}}).$$

If $Z \subset A$ is the blow-up locus of σ then $\sigma : \tilde{X} \setminus E \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is a biholomorphism, where $E = \sigma^{-1}(Z)$ is the final exceptional divisor. Thus $\sigma : \tilde{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(A) \rightarrow Y \setminus A$ is a biholomorphism. Note that on $\tilde{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(A)$, $\tilde{h}|_{\tilde{Y}}$ is smooth and $c_1(\tilde{L}|_{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{h}|_{\tilde{Y}}) \geq \sigma^* \omega > 0$. Therefore, by [9] we have as $p \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H_{(2)}^0(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{L}^p|_{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{h}^p|_{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{\omega}^m|_{\tilde{Y}}) &\geq \frac{p^m}{m!} \int_{\tilde{Y} \setminus \sigma^{-1}(A)} c_1(\tilde{L}|_{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{h}|_{\tilde{Y}})^m + o(p^m) \\ &= \frac{p^m}{m!} \int_{Y \setminus A} c_1(L, h)^m + o(p^m). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us start by introducing the analytic subset $A \subset X$ from hypothesis (E). We set

$$A = A(L, \Sigma, \tau) := \bigcap \{ \pi(E_{nK}(\Theta_\pi)) : (\tilde{X}, \pi, \tilde{\Sigma}) \text{ is a divisorization of } (X, \Sigma) \}. \quad (3.2)$$

Here $\Theta_\pi \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R})$ is defined in (3.1) and it is a big class by Theorem 3.3, since the triplet (L, Σ, τ) is big.

Condition (E) implies that we can fix a divisorization $(\tilde{X}, \pi, \tilde{\Sigma})$ of (X, Σ) such that

$$Y \not\subset X_{\text{sing}} \cup \Sigma^\cup \cup \pi(E_{nK}(\Theta_\pi)). \quad (3.3)$$

We have that $\pi : \tilde{X} \setminus E_\pi \rightarrow X \setminus X_\pi$ is a biholomorphism (see Proposition 3.1). Let $\tilde{Y}$ be the final strict transform of Y , and set $\tilde{L} := \pi^* L$, $\tilde{\Sigma}^\cup := \bigcup_{j=1}^\ell \tilde{\Sigma}_j$.

Lemma 3.8 *There exists a compact complex manifold $\hat{X}$ of dimension n and a surjective holomorphic map $\tilde{\pi} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, given as the composition of finitely many blow-ups with smooth center, such that $\tilde{\pi} : \hat{X} \setminus \hat{E} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Z}$ is a biholomorphism, where $\tilde{Z} \subset \tilde{X}$ is an analytic subset of dimension $\leq n - 2$ and $\hat{E} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{Z})$ is the final exceptional divisor. Moreover, the strict transform $\hat{Y}$ of $\tilde{Y}$ is a (connected) complex submanifold of $\hat{X}$ of dimension m , and $\hat{Y}, \hat{E}_\pi, \hat{E}$ have simultaneously only normal crossings, where $\hat{E}_\pi$ denotes the union of the strict transforms under $\tilde{\pi}$ of the irreducible components of E_π .*

Proof We apply Hironaka's theorem on the embedded resolution of singularities [5, Theorems 10.7 and 1.6] to $\tilde{Y} \cup E_\pi \subset \tilde{X}$. □

Set

$$\hat{L} = \tilde{\pi}^* \tilde{L}, \quad \hat{\Sigma} = (\hat{\Sigma}_1, \dots, \hat{\Sigma}_\ell), \quad \hat{\Sigma}^\cup := \bigcup_{j=1}^\ell \hat{\Sigma}_j,$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}_j$ is the strict transform of $\tilde{\Sigma}_j$ under $\tilde{\pi}$. By [17, Corollary 3.4] we have

$$H_0^0(X, L^p, \Sigma, \tau) \cong H_0^0(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^p, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tau) \cong H_0^0(\hat{X}, \hat{L}^p, \hat{\Sigma}, \tau), \text{ for all } p \geq 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.9 $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p, \Sigma, \tau) \cong H_0^0(\tilde{X}|\tilde{Y}, \tilde{L}^p, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tau) \cong H_0^0(\hat{X}|\hat{Y}, \hat{L}^p, \hat{\Sigma}, \tau)$, for all $p \geq 1$.

Proof The linear map $\pi^* : H^0(X, L^p) \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^p)$, $S \rightarrow \pi^*S$, is bijective with inverse $\pi_* : H^0(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^p) \rightarrow H^0(X, L^p)$ defined as follows: if $\tilde{S} \in H^0(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^p)$, set $\pi_*\tilde{S} = S$, where $S := (\pi^{-1})^*(\tilde{S}|_{\tilde{X} \setminus E_\pi}) \in H^0(X \setminus X_\pi, L^p|_{X \setminus X_\pi})$ extends to a section in $H^0(X, L^p)$ since X is normal and $\dim X_\pi \leq n - 2$ [31, p. 143]. By [17, Corollary 3.4], $\pi^* : H_0^0(X, L^p) \rightarrow H_0^0(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}^p)$ is an isomorphism. We define a linear map $F : H_0^0(X|Y, L^p) \rightarrow H_0^0(\tilde{X}|\tilde{Y}, \tilde{L}^p)$ as follows: if $s \in H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ then $s = S|_Y$ for some $S \in H_0^0(X, L^p)$, and we set $F(s) = \pi^*S|_{\tilde{Y}}$. It is easy to see that F is well defined and bijective. In a similar manner we show that $H_0^0(\tilde{X}|\tilde{Y}, \tilde{L}^p) \cong H_0^0(\hat{X}|\hat{Y}, \hat{L}^p)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2 We use the notation and set-up introduced above, so $\pi(\tilde{Y}) = Y$, $\pi(\tilde{\Sigma}_j) = \Sigma_j$, $\tilde{\pi}(\hat{Y}) = \tilde{Y}$, $\tilde{\pi}(\hat{\Sigma}_j) = \tilde{\Sigma}_j$. Let $\hat{\omega}$ be a Hermitian form on $\hat{X}$. Since Y verifies (3.3) it follows that $\tilde{Y} \not\subset E_{nK}(\Theta_\pi) \cup \tilde{\Sigma}^\cup$, hence $\hat{Y} \not\subset \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(E_{nK}(\Theta_\pi)) \cup \hat{\Sigma}^\cup$. By Lemma 3.6 we have that the class $\tilde{\pi}^*\Theta_\pi \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(\hat{X}, \mathbb{R})$ is big and

$$\hat{Y} \not\subset E_{nK}(\tilde{\pi}^*\Theta_\pi) \cup \hat{\Sigma}^\cup. \quad (3.5)$$

Let now

$$\hat{\Theta} := c_1(\hat{L}) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j \{\hat{\Sigma}_j\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(\hat{X}, \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.6)$$

where $c_1(\hat{L})$ is the first Chern class of $\hat{L}$ and $\{\hat{\Sigma}_j\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(\hat{X}, \mathbb{R})$ is the class of the current of integration along $\hat{\Sigma}_j$. Using (3.1) we infer that $\hat{\Theta} = \tilde{\pi}^*\Theta_\pi + \{R\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$, for some positive closed current R of bidegree $(1, 1)$ supported in $\hat{E}$. This implies that $\hat{\Theta}$ is a big class and

$$E_{nK}(\hat{\Theta}) \subset E_{nK}(\tilde{\pi}^*\Theta_\pi) \cup \hat{E}. \quad (3.7)$$

Indeed, if $T \in \tilde{\pi}^*\Theta_\pi$ is a Kähler current with $E_+(T) = E_{nK}(\tilde{\pi}^*\Theta_\pi)$ then $T + R \in \hat{\Theta}$ is a Kähler current and $E_+(T + R) \subset E_+(T) \cup \hat{E}$. By using (3.5) it follows that

$$\hat{Y} \not\subset E_{nK}(\hat{\Theta}) \cup \hat{\Sigma}^\cup. \quad (3.8)$$

Consider the class

$$\hat{\Theta}_r := c_1(\hat{L}) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} r_j \{\hat{\Sigma}_j\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}} = \hat{\Theta} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (\tau_j - r_j) \{\hat{\Sigma}_j\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}, \quad r_j \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad r_j > \tau_j. \quad (3.9)$$

By Lemma 3.5 we have that $\hat{\Theta}_r$ is big and $E_{nK}(\hat{\Theta}_r) \subset E_{nK}(\hat{\Theta})$ if $r_j - \tau_j$ is small enough. Hence by (3.8),

$$\hat{Y} \not\subset E_{nK}(\hat{\Theta}_r) \cup \hat{\Sigma}^\cup. \quad (3.10)$$

By Demailly's regularization theorem [22] (see also [25, Theorem 3.2]) and by [10, Theorem 3.17] there exists a Kähler current $\hat{T} \in \hat{\Theta}_r$ with almost algebraic singularities such that $E_+(\hat{T}) = E_{nK}(\hat{\Theta}_r)$. Then $\hat{T} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} r_j [\hat{\Sigma}_j] \in c_1(\hat{L})$, so there exists a singular metric $\hat{h}$ on $\hat{L}$ such that $c_1(\hat{L}, \hat{h}) = \hat{T} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} r_j [\hat{\Sigma}_j]$. Since $\hat{T}$ has almost algebraic singularities and $r_j > 0$ are rational, it follows easily that the metric $\hat{h}$ has almost algebraic singularities

contained in $E_{nK}(\widehat{\Theta}_r) \cup \widehat{\Sigma}^\cup$. Moreover we have $c_1(\widehat{L}, \widehat{h}) \geq \widehat{T} \geq \varepsilon \widehat{\omega}$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Thanks to (3.10) we can apply Proposition 3.7 to obtain, as $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\dim H_{(2)}^0(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{h}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{\omega}^m|_{\widehat{Y}}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^m p^m}{m!} \int_{\widehat{Y}} \widehat{\omega}^m + o(p^m). \quad (3.11)$$

Since X is a Kähler space it follows that $\widehat{X}$ is a Kähler manifold (see e.g. [14, Lemma 2.2]). Moreover, (3.4) implies that the line bundle $\widehat{L}$ is big, as (L, Σ, τ) is a big triplet. Hence $\widehat{X}$ is a projective manifold. By Theorem 2.4, if p is sufficiently large, every section $s \in H_{(2)}^0(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{h}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{\omega}^m|_{\widehat{Y}})$ extends to a section $S \in H_{(2)}^0(\widehat{X}, \widehat{L}^p, \widehat{h}^p, \widehat{\omega}^n)$. Since $c_1(\widehat{L}, \widehat{h}) \geq r_j[\widehat{\Sigma}_j]$ the metric $\widehat{h}$ has a global quasi-psh weight with Lelong number $\geq r_j$ along $\widehat{\Sigma}_j$. Thus S must vanish to order $\lfloor r_j p \rfloor$ on $\widehat{\Sigma}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. As $r_j > \tau_j$ we have $\lfloor r_j p \rfloor > \tau_j p$ for all p sufficiently large, so $S \in H_0^0(\widehat{X}, \widehat{L}^p, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau)$. It follows that $H_{(2)}^0(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{h}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{\omega}^m|_{\widehat{Y}}) \subset H_0^0(\widehat{X}|_{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{L}^p, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau)$, and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is concluded by (3.11) and Lemma 3.9. $\square$

Remark 3.10 In the setting of Theorem 1.2 assume in addition that $Y \cap \Sigma^\cup = \emptyset$. Since the triplet (L, Σ, τ) is big it follows that L is big. By [32] we have that $\text{Vol}_{X|Y}(L) > 0$ when X, Y are smooth. Theorem 1.2 actually shows that $\text{Vol}_{Y, \Sigma, \tau}(L) > 0$, i.e. the dimension of the space of sections of $L^p|_Y$ that extend to X and vanish at least to order $p\tau$ on Σ , grows like $p^{\dim Y}$.

An important special situation is the one when X is smooth and Σ_j are analytic hypersurfaces. We recall the characterization of big triplets in this case, which follows from [17, Theorem 1.3] and Lemma 3.4.

Theorem 3.11 *Let X, L, Σ, τ verify (A)-(D) and assume that X is smooth and $\dim \Sigma_j = n - 1$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) (L, Σ, τ) is big;
- (ii) The class $\Theta = \Theta_{L, \Sigma, \tau} := c_1(L) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j [\Sigma_j]_{\partial\bar{\partial}} \in H_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is big.

As above, $\{\Sigma_j\}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}$ is the class of the current of integration $[\Sigma_j]$. In this case the exceptional set A from (3.2) can be described more precisely:

Proposition 3.12 *In the setting of Theorem 3.11, if (L, Σ, τ) is big then $A \subset E_{nK}(\Theta) \cup \Sigma^\cup$. Hence Theorem 1.2 holds for any Y that verifies the assumption*

(E^*) Y is an irreducible proper analytic subset of X of dimension m such that $Y \not\subset E_{nK}(\Theta) \cup \Sigma^\cup$.

Proof Let $(\widetilde{X}, \pi, \widetilde{\Sigma})$ be a divisorization of (X, Σ) . We apply (3.7) to $\pi : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X, \Theta$ and the class Θ_π from (3.1). Using Lemma 3.6 we infer that

$$E_{nK}(\Theta_\pi) \subset E_{nK}(\pi^* \Theta) \cup E_\pi \subset \pi^{-1}(E_{nK}(\Theta)) \cup E_\pi.$$

By Proposition 3.1, $\pi(E_\pi) = X_\pi \subset \Sigma^\cup$, hence $\pi(E_{nK}(\Theta_\pi)) \subset E_{nK}(\Theta) \cup \Sigma^\cup$. $\square$

We conclude this section with a simple example that illustrates Theorem 1.2.

Example 3.13 Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, $\tau_j > 0$, and Σ_j be irreducible analytic hypersurfaces in X of degree d_j , where $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Let $L = \mathcal{O}(d)$, where $d > \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j d_j$. Then $c_1(L) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j [\Sigma_j]$ is a Kähler class, so the triplet (L, Σ, τ) is big by Theorem 3.11. Moreover, $H_0^0(X, L^p)$ is given by the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree dp in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n]$

which are divisible by $\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} P_j^{t_j, p}$, where P_j is an irreducible polynomial of degree d_j such that $\Sigma_j = \{P_j = 0\}$. Let now $Y \subset X$ be an irreducible analytic subset of dimension m such that $Y \not\subset \Sigma^\cup$, i.e. Y verifies (E*). By Theorem 1.2 we have that the space $H_0^0(X|Y, L^p)$ of restrictions of polynomials in $H_0^0(X, L^p)$ to Y verifies $\dim H_0^0(X|Y, L^p) \geq Cp^m$ for all p sufficiently large.

4 Convergence of the Fubini-Study potentials

In this section we introduce a certain restricted extremal quasi-psh function with poles along a divisor, which will be used to define the equilibrium potential and current from Theorem 1.3. We refer to [35, 41] for similar constructions in the case of psh Green functions with poles along analytic sets. In the absence of the poles our envelope coincides with the restricted equilibrium weight introduced by Hisamoto [32, Definition 3.1]. We then proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4.1 Envelopes of quasi-psh functions with poles along a divisor

Let (X, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold of dimension n , $Y \subset X$ be a complex submanifold of dimension m , $\Sigma_j \subset X$ be irreducible complex hypersurfaces, and let $\tau_j > 0$, where $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Assume that

$$Y \not\subset \Sigma^\cup := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \Sigma_j.$$

We write $\Sigma = (\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\ell)$, $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_\ell)$, and let dist be the distance on X induced by ω .

Let α be a smooth real closed $(1, 1)$ -form on X . We fix a smooth Hermitian metric g_j on $\mathcal{O}_X(\Sigma_j)$, let s_{Σ_j} be the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_X(\Sigma_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, and set

$$\beta_j = c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(\Sigma_j), g_j), \quad \theta = \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j \beta_j, \quad \sigma_j := |s_{\Sigma_j}|_{g_j}. \quad (4.1)$$

As in [17, (4.2)] we consider the class

$$\mathcal{L}(X, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau) = \{\psi \in \text{PSH}(X, \alpha) : v(\psi, x) \geq \tau_j, \forall x \in \Sigma_j, 1 \leq j \leq \ell\} \quad (4.2)$$

of α -psh functions with logarithmic poles of order τ_j along Σ_j . Given a function $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ we introduce the following subclasses of quasi-psh functions and their upper envelopes:

$$\mathcal{A}(X|Y, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi) = \{\psi \in \mathcal{L}(X, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau) : \psi \leq \varphi \text{ on } Y\}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathcal{A}'(X|Y, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi) = \left\{ \psi' \in \text{PSH}(X, \theta) : \psi' \leq \varphi - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j \log \sigma_j \text{ on } Y \setminus \Sigma^\cup \right\}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y(x) = \varphi_{\text{eq}, \Sigma, \tau}^Y(x) = \sup\{\psi(x) : \psi \in \mathcal{A}(X|Y, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi)\}, \quad x \in Y, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\varphi_{\text{req}}^Y(x) = \varphi_{\text{req}, \Sigma, \tau}^Y(x) = \sup\{\psi'(x) : \psi' \in \mathcal{A}'(X|Y, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi)\}, \quad x \in Y. \quad (4.6)$$

We call φ_{eq}^Y the *equilibrium envelope* of $(\alpha, Y, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi)$, and φ_{req}^Y the *reduced equilibrium envelope* of $(\alpha, Y, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi)$. Note that when $Y = X$ these coincide with the equilibrium envelopes $\varphi_{\text{eq}}, \varphi_{\text{req}}$ defined in [17, Section 4]. However, when $Y \neq X$ it is possible that $\varphi_{\text{eq}} = \varphi_{\text{req}} = +\infty$ on $X \setminus Y$. The following result is concerned with some basic properties of these envelopes. Its proof is very similar to that of [17, Proposition 4.1], so we omit it.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $X, Y, \Sigma, \tau, \alpha, \theta$ be as above, and let $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be an upper semicontinuous function. Then the following hold:*

(i) *The mapping $\text{PSH}(X, \theta) \ni \psi' \mapsto \psi := \psi' + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j \log \sigma_j \in \mathcal{L}(X, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau)$ is well defined and bijective, with inverse $\mathcal{L}(X, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau) \ni \psi \mapsto \psi' := \psi - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j \log \sigma_j \in \text{PSH}(X, \theta)$.*

(ii) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on $X, Y, \Sigma, \tau, \alpha, \theta$ such that $\sup_Y \psi' \leq \sup_Y \varphi + C$, for every $\psi' \in \mathcal{A}'(X|Y, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi)$.*

(iii) *$\mathcal{A}(X|Y, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}'(X|Y, \alpha, \Sigma, \tau, \varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, in this case we have that $(\varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y)^* \in \text{PSH}(Y, \alpha|_Y)$ and $(\varphi_{\text{req}}^Y)^* \in \text{PSH}(Y, \theta|_Y)$, where the upper semicontinuous regularization is taken along Y , and*

$$\varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y = \varphi_{\text{req}}^Y + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j \log \sigma_j \text{ on } Y. \quad (4.7)$$

(iv) *If φ is bounded and there exists a bounded θ -psh function on X , then φ_{req}^Y is bounded.*

(v) *If $\text{PSH}(X, \theta) \neq \emptyset$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are bounded and upper semicontinuous, then*

$$\varphi_{1, \text{req}}^Y - \sup_Y |\varphi_1 - \varphi_2| \leq \varphi_{2, \text{req}}^Y \leq \varphi_{1, \text{req}}^Y + \sup_Y |\varphi_1 - \varphi_2|$$

holds on Y . Moreover, if $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2$ then $\varphi_{1, \text{req}}^Y \leq \varphi_{2, \text{req}}^Y$.

It is worth noting that we may obtain a regularity of φ_{eq}^Y in terms of φ using the technique developed in [27] and [17].

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let X, Y, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(E), and assume in addition that there exists a Kähler form ω on X and that h is a continuous Hermitian metric on L . Let h_0, φ be as in (1.5). Let P_p^Y, γ_p^Y be the Bergman kernel function and Fubini-Study current of the space $H_{0, (2)}^0(X|Y, L^p)$, and let φ_p^Y be the global Fubini-Study potential of γ_p^Y (see (1.6)).

We use the set-up and notation introduced in Sect. 3.4. Namely, $(\tilde{X}, \pi, \tilde{\Sigma})$ is a divisorization of (X, Σ) such that (3.3) holds, and $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a resolution of singularities as in Lemma 3.8.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\hat{\pi} := \pi \circ \tilde{\pi} : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ and $Z := X_{\pi} \cup \pi(\tilde{Z})$. Then $Z \subset X$ is an analytic subset of dimension $\leq n - 2$, $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(Z) = \hat{E}_{\pi} \cup \hat{E}$, $\hat{\pi} : \hat{X} \setminus (\hat{E}_{\pi} \cup \hat{E}) \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is a biholomorphism, and $\hat{\pi}^* \omega > 0$ on $\hat{X} \setminus (\hat{E}_{\pi} \cup \hat{E})$.*

Proof Note that $\pi(\tilde{Z}) \subset X$ is an analytic subset of dimension $\leq n - 2$, by Remmert's proper mapping theorem. We have that $\pi^{-1}(Z) = E_{\pi} \cup \tilde{Z}$, so $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(Z) = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(E_{\pi} \cup \tilde{Z}) = \hat{E}_{\pi} \cup \hat{E}$, and the lemma follows. $\square$

Let $\widehat{\omega}$ be a Kähler form on $\widehat{X}$ such that $\widehat{\omega} \geq \widehat{\pi}^* \omega$ (see e.g. [14, Lemma 2.2]) and denote by dist the distance on $\widehat{X}$ induced by $\widehat{\omega}$. Set

$$\widehat{L} := \widehat{\pi}^* L, \quad \widehat{h}_0 := \widehat{\pi}^* h_0, \quad \widehat{\alpha} := \widehat{\pi}^* \alpha = c_1(\widehat{L}, \widehat{h}_0), \quad \widehat{\varphi} := \varphi \circ \widehat{\pi}, \quad \widehat{h} := \widehat{\pi}^* h = \widehat{h}_0 e^{-2\widehat{\varphi}}. \quad (4.8)$$

We write $\widehat{h}^p = \widehat{h}^{\otimes p}$ and $\widehat{h}_0^p = \widehat{h}_0^{\otimes p}$. Lemma 3.9 implies that the map

$$S \in H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p) \rightarrow \widehat{\pi}^* S \in H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p) = H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau, \widehat{h}^p, \widehat{\pi}^* \omega^m) \quad (4.9)$$

is an isometry. It follows that

$$\widehat{P}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = P_p^Y \circ \widehat{\pi}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \widehat{\pi}^* \gamma_p^Y \quad (4.10)$$

are the Bergman kernel function, resp. Fubini-Study current, of the space $H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p)$. Note that $\widehat{\pi}(\widehat{Y}) = Y$ and

$$\frac{1}{p} \widehat{\gamma}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \widehat{\alpha}|_{\widehat{Y}} + dd^c \widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}}, \quad \text{where } \widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \widehat{\varphi}|_{\widehat{Y}} + \frac{1}{2p} \log \widehat{P}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \varphi_p^Y \circ \widehat{\pi}. \quad (4.11)$$

Let $\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}}$ be the equilibrium envelope of $(\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{Y}, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau, \widehat{\varphi})$ defined in (4.5),

$$\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}}(x) = \sup\{\psi(x) : \psi \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau), \psi \leq \widehat{\varphi} \text{ on } \widehat{Y}\}, \quad x \in \widehat{Y}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau)$ is defined in (4.2). Let $s_{\widehat{\Sigma}_j}$ be the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{\Sigma}_j)$ and fix a smooth Hermitian metric g_j on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{\Sigma}_j)$ such that

$$\sigma_j := |s_{\widehat{\Sigma}_j}|_{g_j} < 1 \text{ on } \widehat{X}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell. \quad (4.13)$$

Set

$$\beta_j = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(\widehat{\Sigma}_j), g_j), \quad \widehat{\theta} = \widehat{\alpha} - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j \beta_j. \quad (4.14)$$

Note that $[\widehat{\Sigma}_j] = \beta_j + dd^c \log \sigma_j$, by the Lelong-Poincaré formula. Moreover $\{\widehat{\theta}\} = \widehat{\Theta}$, where $\widehat{\Theta}$ is the big class defined in (3.6). In this setting, we first prove the convergence of the global Fubini-Study potentials on $\widehat{Y}$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let X, Y, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(E), and assume that (L, Σ, τ) is big and there exists a Kähler form ω on X . Let h be a continuous Hermitian metric on L , let $\varphi, \widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{\theta}$ be defined in (1.5), (4.8), (4.11), (4.12), resp. (4.14), and set $\widehat{Z} := E_{nK}(\{\widehat{\theta}\}) \cup \widehat{\Sigma}^{\cup}$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) $\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} \rightarrow (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^*$ in $L^1(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{\omega}^m|_{\widehat{Y}})$ and locally uniformly on $\widehat{Y} \setminus \widehat{Z}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) If φ is Hölder continuous on Y then there exist a constant $C > 0$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $y \in \widehat{Y} \setminus \widehat{Z}$ and $p \geq p_0$ we have

$$|\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}}(y) - (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^*(y)| \leq \frac{C}{p} (\log p + |\log \text{dist}(y, \widehat{Z})|).$$

The proof is done by estimating the partial Bergman kernel $\widehat{P}_p^{\widehat{Y}}$ from (4.10) as in [17, Theorem 5.1] (see also [3], [4], [11], [42] for similar approaches). Let

$$\Omega_{\widehat{\varphi}}(\delta) = \sup\{|\widehat{\varphi}(x) - \widehat{\varphi}(y)| : x, y \in \widehat{Y}, \text{dist}(x, y) < \delta\}$$

be the modulus of continuity of $\widehat{\varphi}$.

Proposition 4.4 *In the setting of Theorem 4.3, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $p \geq 1$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ the following estimate holds on $\widehat{Y}$:*

$$\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} \leq \widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}} + C \left(\delta + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\log \delta}{p} \right) + 2\Omega_{\widehat{\varphi}}(C\delta).$$

Proof We proceed along the same lines as in the proof of [17, Proposition 5.4], working with $\widehat{Y}$ instead of $\widehat{X}$. Using Lemma 4.2 and following the proof of [17, Proposition 5.2] we can show that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$F_p(\delta) := \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2p} \log \widehat{P}_p^{\widehat{Y}}(y) : y \in \widehat{Y}, \text{dist}(y, \widehat{E}_\pi \cup \widehat{E}) \geq \delta \right\} \leq \frac{C}{p} (1 - \log \delta) + \delta + \Omega_{\widehat{\varphi}}(\delta), \quad (4.15)$$

for $p \geq 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

By Lemma 3.8, $\widehat{Y}$, $\widehat{E}_\pi$, $\widehat{E}$ have simultaneously only normal crossings. So $\widehat{E}_\pi \cap \widehat{Y}$, $\widehat{E} \cap \widehat{Y}$ are divisors in $\widehat{Y}$ that have simultaneously only normal crossings. Therefore the argument from the proof of [17, Proposition 5.4] goes through without changes and shows that there exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that for all $y \in \widehat{Y}$, $p \geq 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ we have

$$\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}}(y) \leq \widehat{\varphi}(y) + C'\delta + \Omega_{\widehat{\varphi}}(C'\delta) + F_p(\delta/C'). \quad (4.16)$$

Recall that the sections in $H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p)$ are restrictions to $\widehat{Y}$ of sections in $H_0^0(\widehat{X}, \widehat{L}^p, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau)$. Therefore we infer from (4.11) that the function $\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}}$ is the restriction to $\widehat{Y}$ of an $\widehat{\alpha}$ -psh function v on $\widehat{X}$ with Lelong number $\geq t_{j,p}/p \geq \tau_j$ along $\widehat{\Sigma}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. So $v \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau)$, and by (4.16) and (4.12)

$$\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} \leq \widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}} + C'\delta + \Omega_{\widehat{\varphi}}(C'\delta) + F_p(\delta/C').$$

The proof is concluded by applying (4.15). $\square$

We now obtain a lower bound on $\log \widehat{P}_p^{\widehat{Y}}$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}}$. Recall that $\{\widehat{\theta}\} = \widehat{\Theta}$ is a big class, where $\widehat{\theta}$ is defined in (4.14) and $\widehat{\Theta}$ in (3.6).

Lemma 4.5 *There exists a $\widehat{\theta}$ -psh function η with almost algebraic singularities on $\widehat{X}$ such that*

$$\{\eta = -\infty\} = E_{nK}(\{\widehat{\theta}\}), \quad \eta \leq -1, \quad \widehat{\theta} + dd^c \eta \geq \varepsilon_0 \widehat{\omega} \geq \varepsilon_0 \pi^* \omega \quad (4.17)$$

hold on $\widehat{X}$, for some constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Moreover, there exist constants $N_0, M_0 > 0$ such that

$$\eta(x) \geq -N_0 |\log \text{dist}(x, E_{nK}(\{\widehat{\theta}\}))| - M_0, \quad x \in \widehat{X}. \quad (4.18)$$

Proof The existence of η satisfying (4.17) follows directly from [10, Theorem 3.17] and Demailly's regularization theorem [22, 25]. Moreover, by [22, Proposition 3.7] η has locally the form $\eta = c \log(\sum_{j=1}^\infty |f_j|^2) + \psi$, where $c > 0$ is rational, f_j are holomorphic functions, and ψ is a bounded function. Since the ring of germs of holomorphic functions is Noetherian there exists k such that locally $E_{nK}(\{\widehat{\theta}\}) = \{f_1 = \dots = f_k = 0\}$. Thus $\eta \geq c \log(\sum_{j=1}^k |f_j|^2) + c'$, and (4.18) follows from the Łojasiewicz inequality. $\square$

Proposition 4.6 *In the setting of Theorem 4.3, there exist a constant $C > 0$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $p \geq p_0$ the following estimate holds on $\widehat{Y} \setminus \widehat{Z}$:*

$$\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} \geq (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^* + \frac{C}{p} \eta + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^\ell \log \sigma_j > -\infty.$$

Proof Using Choquet's lemma, we can find an increasing sequence of functions $\{\psi_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{A}(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau, \widehat{\varphi})$ such that $\psi_k \nearrow (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^*$ a.e. on $\widehat{Y}$. Let

$$\rho := \eta + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j \log \sigma_j \in \mathcal{L}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\widehat{X} \setminus \widehat{Z}), \quad (4.19)$$

where η is the function from Lemma 4.5. Then

$$\widehat{\alpha} + dd^c \rho = \widehat{\theta} + dd^c \eta + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \tau_j [\widehat{\Sigma}_j] \geq \varepsilon_0 \widehat{\omega}. \quad (4.20)$$

Since $\widehat{\varphi}$ is bounded there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho \leq \widehat{\varphi} + a$ on $\widehat{X}$. Replacing ψ_k by $\max\{\psi_k, \rho - a\}$ we obtain a sequence

$$\psi_k \in \mathcal{A}(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau, \widehat{\varphi}), \quad \psi_k \geq \rho - a \text{ on } \widehat{X}, \quad \psi_k \nearrow (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^* \text{ a.e. on } \widehat{Y}. \quad (4.21)$$

Consider the Bergman space $H_{(2)}^0(\widehat{X}, \widehat{L}^p, H_{p,k}, \widehat{\omega}^n)$, where the metric $H_{p,k}$ on $\widehat{L}^p$ is given by

$$H_{p,k} := \widehat{h}_0^p e^{-2\psi_{p,k}}, \quad \psi_{p,k} = (p - p_0)\psi_k + p_0\rho + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \log \sigma_j, \quad (4.22)$$

and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ will be specified later. We have that $\psi_{p,k} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\widehat{X} \setminus \widehat{Z})$, and $\psi_{p,k} \leq p\widehat{\varphi} + p_0a$ on $\widehat{Y}$ since $\sigma_j < 1$. Moreover, by (4.20) and since $\widehat{\alpha} + dd^c \psi_k \geq 0$ we obtain

$$c_1(\widehat{L}^p, H_{p,k}) = (p - p_0)(\widehat{\alpha} + dd^c \psi_k) + p_0(\widehat{\alpha} + dd^c \rho) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} ([\widehat{\Sigma}_j] - \beta_j) \geq (p_0\varepsilon_0 - C_1)\widehat{\omega}$$

for every $k \geq 1$, where $C_1 > 0$ is a constant such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \beta_j \leq C_1\widehat{\omega}$. By (3.8), the singular metric $H_{p,k}|_{\widehat{Y}}$ on $\widehat{L}|_{\widehat{Y}}$ is well defined and $c_1(\widehat{L}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}, H_{p,k}|_{\widehat{Y}}) \geq (p_0\varepsilon_0 - C_1)\widehat{\omega}|_{\widehat{Y}}$. Therefore, if p_0 is chosen large enough we can apply the L^2 -estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ from [19] (see also [17, Theorem 5.5]) and proceed as in the proofs of [11, Theorem 5.1] and [17, Proposition 5.6], working on $\widehat{Y}$, to show the following: there exist $C_2 > 0$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq 1$, $p \geq p_0$ and $y \in \widehat{Y} \setminus \widehat{Z}$ there exists $S_{y,p,k} \in H_{(2)}^0(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}, H_{p,k}|_{\widehat{Y}}, \widehat{\omega}^m|_{\widehat{Y}})$ with $S_{y,p,k}(y) \neq 0$ and

$$0 < \int_{\widehat{Y}} |S_{y,p,k}|_{H_{p,k}|_{\widehat{Y}}}^2 \frac{\widehat{\omega}^m}{m!} \leq C_2 |S_{y,p,k}(y)|_{H_{p,k}|_{\widehat{Y}}}^2 < +\infty. \quad (4.23)$$

Note that $\widehat{X}$ is projective since it is Kähler and $\widehat{L}$ is a big line bundle. Applying Theorem 2.4 and increasing p_0 if necessary (so that $p_0\varepsilon_0 - C_1 > N$), we infer that $S_{y,p,k}$ extends to a section $\widehat{S}_{y,p,k} \in H_{(2)}^0(\widehat{X}, \widehat{L}^p, H_{p,k}, \widehat{\omega}^n)$. Using (4.19), (4.21), (4.22), we see that the quasi-psh function $\psi_{p,k}$ has Lelong number $\geq p\tau_j + 1$ along $\widehat{\Sigma}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Hence $H_{(2)}^0(\widehat{X}, \widehat{L}^p, H_{p,k}, \widehat{\omega}^n) \subset H_0^0(\widehat{X}, \widehat{L}^p, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau)$ and $S_{y,p,k} \in H_0^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau)$. By (4.8), (4.22) we get

$$H_{p,k} = \widehat{h}_0^p e^{-2\psi_{p,k}} = \widehat{h}^p e^{2p\widehat{\varphi} - 2\psi_{p,k}}, \text{ so } H_{p,k} \geq \widehat{h}^p e^{-2p_0a} \text{ on } \widehat{Y}.$$

As $\widehat{\omega} \geq \widehat{\pi}^*\omega$ we obtain by (4.23)

$$e^{-2p_0a} \int_{\widehat{Y}} |S_{y,p,k}|_{\widehat{h}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}}^2 \frac{(\widehat{\pi}^*\omega)^m}{m!} \leq C_2 |S_{y,p,k}(y)|_{\widehat{h}^p|_{\widehat{Y}}}^2 e^{2p\widehat{\varphi}(y) - 2\psi_{p,k}(y)}.$$

Using (2.10) this yields that

$$\widehat{P}_p^{\widehat{Y}}(y) \geq C_2^{-1} e^{2\psi_{p,k}(y) - 2p\widehat{\varphi}(y) - 2p_0a}, \quad \forall k \geq 1, p \geq p_0, y \in \widehat{Y} \setminus \widehat{Z}. \quad (4.24)$$

So by (4.11), (4.22), (4.24) we get that

$$\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \widehat{\varphi}|_{\widehat{Y}} + \frac{1}{2p} \log \widehat{P}_p^{\widehat{Y}} \geq \frac{1}{p} \left((p - p_0)\psi_k + p_0\rho + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \log \sigma_j - \frac{\log C_2}{2} - p_0a \right) \text{ on } \widehat{Y} \setminus \widehat{Z},$$

for $p \geq p_0$ and $k \geq 1$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (4.21), (4.7), (4.19) we obtain

$$\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} \geq (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^* + \frac{1}{p} \left(p_0\eta - p_0(\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{req}}^{\widehat{Y}})^* + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \log \sigma_j - \frac{\log C_2}{2} - p_0a \right) \text{ on } \widehat{Y} \setminus \widehat{Z},$$

for $p \geq p_0$. Here $\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{req}}^{\widehat{Y}}$ is the reduced equilibrium envelope of $(\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{Y}, \widehat{\Sigma}, \tau, \widehat{\varphi})$ defined in (4.6). The conclusion follows since $(\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{req}}^{\widehat{Y}})^*$ is bounded above on $\widehat{Y}$ and $\eta \leq -1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.3 By the Łojasiewicz inequality, there exist constants $N_j, M_j > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, such that $\log \sigma_j(x) \geq -N_j |\log \text{dist}(x, \widehat{\Sigma}_j)| - M_j$, $x \in \widehat{X}$. Using Proposition 4.6 and (4.18) we infer that there exist $C_1 > 0$, $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}}(y) \geq (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^*(y) - \frac{C_1}{p} (|\log \text{dist}(y, \widehat{Z})| + 1), \quad y \in \widehat{Y}, p \geq p_0. \quad (4.25)$$

Note that $\log \text{dist}(\cdot, \widehat{Z})|_{\widehat{Y}} \in L^1(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{\omega}^m|_{\widehat{Y}})$, since $\widehat{Y} \not\subset \widehat{Z}$ (see e.g. [15, Lemma 5.2] and its proof). The proof of Theorem 4.3 now proceeds exactly as that of [17, Theorem 5.1] by using the lower bound (4.25) and the upper bound from Proposition 4.4. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Since $(\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^*$ is $\widehat{\alpha}|_{\widehat{Y}}$ -psh, we have $(\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^* \leq M$ on $\widehat{Y}$ for some constant M . Recall from Lemma 4.2 that $\widehat{\pi} : \widehat{X} \setminus (\widehat{E}_\pi \cup \widehat{E}) \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is a biholomorphism. Therefore the function

$$\varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y := (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^* \circ \widehat{\pi}^{-1} \quad (4.26)$$

is $\alpha|_Y$ -psh and $\varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y \leq M$ on $Y_{\text{reg}} \setminus Z$, hence it extends to a $\alpha|_Y$ -psh function on Y_{reg} which is bounded above by M . This shows that φ_{eq}^Y is a weakly $\alpha|_Y$ -psh function on Y . Moreover, by (4.11) and since $\widehat{\omega} \geq \widehat{\pi}^*\omega$ we have

$$\int_{Y \setminus Z} |\varphi_p^Y - \varphi_{\text{eq}}^Y| \omega^m = \int_{\widehat{Y} \setminus (\widehat{E}_\pi \cup \widehat{E})} |\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} - (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^*| \widehat{\pi}^* \omega^m \leq \int_{\widehat{Y}} |\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} - (\widehat{\varphi}_{\text{eq}}^{\widehat{Y}})^*| \widehat{\omega}^m.$$

Theorem 1.3 now follows from Theorem 4.3. $\square$

When φ is smooth, we may obtain a more precise estimate for $\frac{\widehat{P}_p^{\widehat{Y}}}{p^m}$, and hence for $\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}}$ as in [13].

5 Zeros of random holomorphic sections

We deal here with the proof of Theorem 1.5. It is very similar to the proof of [17, Theorem 1.10], so we will only give an outline. The first step is to show that zero divisors of random sections distribute like the Fubini-Study currents.

Theorem 5.1 *Let X, Y, L, Σ, τ verify assumptions (A)-(E), let h be a bounded singular Hermitian metric on L , and assume that (L, Σ, τ) is big and there exists a Kähler form ω on X . Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ with the following property: For any sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ such that*

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{\log p} > (1+m)c,$$

there exist subsets $E_p \subset \mathbb{X}_p^Y$ such that

- (a) $\sigma_p(E_p) \leq cp^m \exp(-\lambda_p/c)$ holds for all p sufficiently large;
- (b) if $s_p \in \mathbb{X}_p^Y \setminus E_p$ we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} \langle [s_p = 0] - \gamma_p^Y, \phi \rangle \right| \leq \frac{c\lambda_p}{p} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^2},$$

for any $(m-1, m-1)$ -form ϕ of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ on Y .

In particular, the last estimate holds for σ_∞ -a.e. $\{s_p\}_{p \geq 1} \in \mathbb{X}_\infty^Y$ provided that p is large enough.

Proof We follow closely the proof of [17, Theorem 6.1] and apply the Dinh-Sibony equidistribution theorem for meromorphic transforms [29, Theorem 4.1]. Recall by (4.9) that the spaces $H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p)$, $H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p)$ are isometric. Using the notation from Sect. 4.2, we first show that Theorem 5.1 holds on $\widehat{Y}$ for the spaces

$$\widehat{\mathbb{X}}_p^{\widehat{Y}} := \mathbb{P}H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p), \quad \sigma_p = \omega_{\text{FS}}^{d_p}, \quad (\widehat{\mathbb{X}}_\infty^{\widehat{Y}}, \sigma_\infty) := \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} (\widehat{\mathbb{X}}_p^{\widehat{Y}}, \sigma_p), \quad d_p = \dim \widehat{\mathbb{X}}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \dim \mathbb{X}_p^Y,$$

and the Fubini-Study currents $\widehat{\gamma}_p^{\widehat{Y}}$. This is done exactly as in the proof of Theorem 6.1, Step 1, from [17] (see also [15, Section 4]), by applying [29, Theorem 4.1] to the Kodaira maps considered as meromorphic transforms of codimension $m-1$, $\Phi_p: \widehat{Y} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p)$, with graph

$$\Gamma_p = \{(y, \hat{s}) \in \widehat{Y} \times \mathbb{P}H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p) : \hat{s}(y) = 0\}.$$

Note that Siegel's lemma implies that $d_p = O(p^m)$.

We next show that Theorem 5.1 holds on Y for the spaces $\mathbb{X}_p^Y$. Consider the restriction $\widehat{\pi} := \widehat{\pi}|_{\widehat{Y}}: \widehat{Y} \rightarrow Y$. By Lemma 4.2 $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{Y} \setminus (\widehat{E}_\pi \cup \widehat{E}) \rightarrow Y \setminus Z$ is a biholomorphism, and by (4.9) $S \in H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p) \rightarrow \widehat{\pi}^*S \in H_{0,(2)}^0(\widehat{X}|\widehat{Y}, \widehat{L}^p)$ is an isometry. Using (4.10) and (4.11) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p} \widehat{\gamma}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \widehat{\alpha}|_{\widehat{Y}} + dd^c \widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \widehat{\pi}^* \alpha + dd^c (\varphi_p^Y \circ \widehat{\pi}).$$

Since $\varphi_p^Y \in L^1(Y, \omega^m|_Y)$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \varphi_p^Y \circ \widehat{\pi} \in L^1(\widehat{Y}, \widehat{\omega}^m|_{\widehat{Y}})$ we infer that $\widehat{\pi}_* \widehat{\gamma}_p^{\widehat{Y}} = \gamma_p^Y$ as currents on Y . Similarly we can show that $\widehat{\pi}_*[\widehat{\pi}^*S = 0] = [S = 0]$ as currents on Y , for $S \in H_{0,(2)}^0(X|Y, L^p)$. Theorem 5.1 now follows from the above considerations by arguing as in the proof of Theorem 6.1, Step 2, from [17]. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 Theorem 1.5 follows easily from Theorems 1.3 and 5.1, by proceeding as in the proof of [17, Theorem 1.10]. $\square$

Remark 5.2 Assume that X, L, Σ, τ verify (A)-(D), X is smooth and $\dim \Sigma_j = n-1$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Then Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 hold for any analytic subset $Y \subset X$ that verifies assumption (E*) from Proposition 3.12.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Data availability The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Bayraktar, T., Coman, D., Marinescu, G.: Universality results for zeros of random holomorphic sections. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **373**(6), 3765–3791 (2020)
2. Bayraktar, T., Coman, D., Herrmann, H., Marinescu, G.: A survey on zeros of random holomorphic sections. *Dolomites Res. Notes Approx.* **11**, 1–19 (2018). (**Special Issue Norm Levenberg**)
3. Berman, R.: *Bergman kernels and equilibrium measures for ample line bundles*, preprint (2007), [arXiv:0704.1640v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/0704.1640v1)
4. Berman, R.: Bergman kernels and equilibrium measures for line bundles over projective manifolds. *Am. J. Math.* **131**, 1485–1524 (2009)
5. Bierstone, E., Milman, P.: Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant. *Invent. Math.* **128**, 207–302 (1997)
6. Bloom, T.: Random polynomials and Green functions. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **28**, 1689–1708 (2005)
7. Bloom, T.: Weighted polynomials and weighted pluripotential theory. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **361**(4), 2163–2179 (2009)
8. Bloom, T., Levenberg, N.: Random polynomials and pluripotential-theoretic extremal functions. *Potential Anal.* **42**, 311–334 (2015)
9. Bonavero, L.: *Inégalités de Morse holomorphes singulières*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **8** 409–425 (1998); announced in *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **317** 1163–1166 (1993)
10. Boucksom, S.: Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **4**(37), 45–76 (2004)
11. Coman, D., Marinescu, G.: Equidistribution results for singular metrics on line bundles. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) **48**, 497–536 (2015)
12. Coman, D., Marinescu, G.: Convergence of Fubini-study currents for orbifold line bundles. *Int. J. Math.* **24**(7), 1350051 (2013)
13. Coman, D., Marinescu, G.: On the first order asymptotics of partial Bergman kernels. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) **26**, 1193–1210 (2017)
14. Coman, D., Ma, X., Marinescu, G.: Equidistribution for sequences of line bundles on normal Kähler spaces. *Geom. Topol.* **21**, 923–962 (2017)
15. Coman, D., Marinescu, G., Nguyễn, V.-A.: Hölder singular metrics on big line bundles and equidistribution. *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **16**, 5048–5075 (2016)
16. Coman, D., Marinescu, G., Nguyễn, V.-A.: Approximation and equidistribution results for pseudo-effective line bundles. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **115**, 218–236 (2018)
17. Coman, D., Marinescu, G., Nguyễn, V.-A.: Holomorphic sections of line bundles vanishing along subvarieties. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **73**(2), 493–536 (2024)
18. Darvas, T.: Weak geodesic rays in the space of Kähler potentials and the class $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **16**, 837–858 (2017)
19. Demailly, J.-P.: Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d'un fibré holomorphe semipositif au-dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **15**(3), 457–511 (1982)
20. Demailly, J.-P.: *Mesures de Monge-Ampère et caractérisation géométrique des variétés algébriques affines*, *Mém. Soc. Math. France* **19**, Soc. Math. France, Paris (1985)
21. Demailly, J.-P.: Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles, in *Complex algebraic varieties* (Bayreuth, 1990), *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1507, Springer, Berlin, **1992**, 87–104 (1990)
22. Demailly, J.-P.: Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **1**, 361–409 (1992)

23. Demailly, J.-P.: Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory, in Complex analysis and geometry, pp. 115–193. Plenum, New York (1993)
24. Demailly, J.-P.: On the Ohsawa-Takegoshi-Manivel L^2 extension theorem, Complex analysis and geometry (Paris, 1997), 47–82, Progr. Math., 188, Birkhäuser, Basel, (2000)
25. Demailly, J.-P., Paun, M.: Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold. Ann. Math. (2) **159**(3), 1247–1274 (2004)
26. Dinh, T.-C., Ma, X., Marinescu, G.: Equidistribution and convergence speed for zeros of holomorphic sections of singular Hermitian line bundles. J. Funct. Anal. **271**(11), 3082–3110 (2016)
27. Dinh, T.-C., Ma, X., Nguyen, V.-A.: Equidistribution speed for Fekete points associated with an ample line bundle. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **50**, 545–578 (2017)
28. Dinh, T.-C., Marinescu, G., Schmidt, V.: Asymptotic distribution of zeros of holomorphic sections in the non-compact setting. J. Stat. Phys. **148**(1), 113–136 (2012)
29. Dinh, T.C., Sibony, N.: Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications. Comment. Math. Helv. **81**(1), 221–258 (2006)
30. Grauert, H.: Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen. Math. Ann. **146**, 331–368 (1962)
31. Grauert, H., Remmert, R.: *Coherent Analytic Sheaves*, Springer, Berlin, 1984. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 249 pp., (1984)
32. Hisamoto, T.: Restricted Bergman kernel asymptotics. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **364**(7), 3585–3607 (2012)
33. Ji, S., Shiffman, B.: Properties of compact complex manifolds carrying closed positive currents. J. Geom. Anal. **3**(1), 37–61 (1993)
34. Kim, D.: L^2 extension of adjoint line bundle sections. Ann. Inst. Fourier **60**(4), 1435–1477 (2010)
35. Lárusson, F., Sigurdsson, R.: Plurisubharmonic extremal functions, Lelong numbers and coherent ideal sheaves. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48**, 1513–1534 (1999)
36. Manivel, L.: Un théorème de prolongement L^2 de sections holomorphes d'un fibré hermitien. Math. Z. **212**(1), 107–122 (1993)
37. Ma, X., Marinescu, G.: *Holomorphic Morse Inequalities and Bergman Kernels*, Progress in Mathematics, 254. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2007). xiv+422 pp
38. Ohsawa, T.: Hodge spectral sequence and symmetry on compact Kähler spaces. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **23**, 613–625 (1987)
39. Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions. V. Effects of generalization. Nagoya Math. J. **161**, 1–21 (2001)
40. Ohsawa, T., Takegoshi, K.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions. Math. Z. **195**(2), 197–204 (1987)
41. Rashkovskii, A., Sigurdsson, R.: Green functions with singularities along complex spaces. Int. J. Math. **16**, 333–355 (2005)
42. Ross, J., Witt Nyström, D.: Envelopes of positive metrics with prescribed singularities. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **26**, 687–728 (2017)
43. Shiffman, B., Zelditch, S.: Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles. Comm. Math. Phys. **200**, 661–683 (1999)
44. Tian, G.: On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds. J. Differential Geom. **32**, 99–130 (1990)