

A Kaneko-Zagier equation for Jacobi forms

Jan-Willem van Ittersum
(joint with Georg Oberdieck
and Aaron Pixton)

November 3, 2020

Universiteit Utrecht
Max Planck Institute for Mathematics



The Kaneko–Zagier equation

The study of **supersingular elliptic curves** leads to the **Kaneko–Zagier equation**

$$\vartheta_{k+2}\vartheta_k f_k = \frac{k(k+2)}{144} E_4 f_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Theorem This equation has a unique (normalized) *modular solution* f_k of weight k if $k \equiv 0$ or $k \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$:

$$f_k = \begin{cases} E_4^{k/4} F\left(-\frac{k}{12}, -\frac{k-4}{12}, -\frac{k-5}{6}; \frac{E_4^3 - E_6^2}{E_4^3}\right) & k \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{12}, \\ E_4^{\frac{k-6}{4}} E_6 F\left(-\frac{k-6}{12}, -\frac{k-10}{12}, -\frac{k-5}{6}; \frac{E_4^3 - E_6^2}{E_4^3}\right) & k \equiv 6, 10 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

Here:

- $D_\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ and the Serre derivative $\vartheta_k = D_\tau - \frac{k}{12} E_2(\tau)$,
- Eisenstein series: $E_k(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{\substack{m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (m, n) = 1}} \frac{1}{(m\tau + n)^k}$, where $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$
- Hypergeometric function:

$$F(a, b, c; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1) b(b+1) \cdots (b+n-1)}{c(c+1) \cdots (c+n-1)} \frac{x^n}{n!}. \quad 1$$

The solutions are given by the Weierstrass \wp function

Weierstrass \wp function

$$\wp(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}^* \left(\frac{1}{(z + m\tau + n)^2} - \frac{1}{(m\tau + n)^2} \right).$$

For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has

$$f_k = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(\frac{\wp'(\tau, z)}{-2} \right)^{-(k+1)/3}.$$

or, by **Lagrange inversion**, in terms of generating series

$$x = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k \frac{y^{k+1}}{k+1} \iff y = \left(\frac{\wp'(x)}{-2} \right)^{-1/3}.$$

A differential equation for quasi-Jacobi forms

The study of **Gromov–Witten invariants of K3 surfaces** leads for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ to the differential equation

$$D_{\tau}^2 \varphi_m = m^2 B \varphi_m,$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{\Theta} D_{\tau}^2 \Theta, \quad \Theta = \left(p^{1/2} - p^{-1/2} \right) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - pq^n)(1 - p^{-1}q^n)}{(1 - q^n)^2},$$

with $p = e^{2\pi iz}$, $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$.

Compare to the Kaneko–Zagier equation where

$$\frac{E_4}{144} = \frac{1}{\eta} D_{\tau}^2 \eta, \quad \eta = q^{1/24} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n).$$

The solutions are given by a ratio of Jacobi theta functions

Analogue for Jacobi forms:

$$D_{\tau}^2 \varphi_m = m^2 B \varphi_m.$$

Theorem (Oberdieck–Pixton–vl) For all $m \geq 0$ we have

$$\varphi_m = \operatorname{Res}_{x=0} \left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^m.$$

In terms of generating series

$$x = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi_m \frac{y^m}{m} \iff y = \frac{\Theta(x)}{\Theta(x+z)}.$$

In order to understand the functions φ_m we have to understand the meromorphic Jacobi form $\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)}$ (to be continued).

A recurring theme: residues of Jacobi forms

Situation: f meromorphic of weight -1 , and a differential equation

$$D_{\tau}^2 \varphi_m = m^2 \frac{D_{\tau}^2 f}{f} \varphi_m.$$

with solutions for $m \geq 0$ given by

$$\varphi_m = \operatorname{Res}_{x=0} F^m.$$

Examples

	f	F
<i>Kaneko–Zagier</i>	η^2	$(-\frac{1}{2}\wp')^{-1/3} = (-\frac{1}{2}D_z^3 \log \Theta)^{-1/3}$
<i>Oberdieck–Pixton–vl</i>	Θ	$\frac{\Theta(x)}{\Theta(x+z)}$
<i>Tomoaki Nakaya</i>	$\eta(\tau)\eta(2\tau)$	$(-D_z \frac{\Theta(2\tau, 2z)}{2\Theta(\tau, z)^2})^{-1/2}$

Question Is it possible to formulate this observation as a theorem?

Proof sketch of such a result

How does one prove that

$$D_{\tau}^2 \varphi_m = m^2 \frac{D_{\tau}^2 f}{f} \varphi_m \quad \text{is solved by} \quad \varphi_m = \text{Res}_{x=0} F^m?$$

Proof sketch. Observe

$$D_{\tau}^2 G = \frac{D_{\tau}^2 f}{f} D_y^2 G, \quad \text{where} \quad G = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi_m \frac{y^m}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad D_y = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

Applying

$$y = \frac{1}{H(x)} \iff x = G(y)$$

yields

$$D_x(H)^2 D_{\tau}^2(H) - 2D_x(H) D_x D_{\tau}(H) D_{\tau}(H) + D_x^2(H) D_{\tau}(H)^2 = \frac{D_{\tau}^2 f}{f} D_x^2 \log(H) H^3.$$

'Simply' checking that $F = H$ solves this differential equation suffices!
 \implies We have to understand the space of derivatives of Jacobi forms.

Intermezzo: transformation of some special functions

Let $A = D_z \log \Theta$. Write $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$.

Elliptic transformation For all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\wp(\tau, z + m\tau + n) = \wp(\tau, z)$$

$$e\left(\frac{1}{2}(m^2\tau + 2mz + m^2 + n^2)\right) \Theta(\tau, z + m\tau + n) = \Theta(\tau, z)$$

$$A(\tau, z + m\tau + n) = A(\tau, z) - m.$$

Modular transformation For all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$(c\tau + d)^{-2} \wp\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right) = \wp(\tau, z)$$

$$(c\tau + d) e\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{c^2}{c\tau + d}\right) \Theta\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right) = \Theta(\tau, z)$$

$$(c\tau + d)^{-1} A\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right) = A(\tau, z) + \frac{cz}{c\tau + d}$$

$$(c\tau + d)^{-2} E_2\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = E_2(\tau) + \frac{12}{2\pi i} \frac{c}{c\tau + d}.$$

Quasi-Jacobi forms

Definition

A **quasi-Jacobi form** is a polynomial in A and E_2 with Jacobi forms as coefficients.

Proposition

The space of meromorphic quasi-Jacobi forms is

- *finite dimensional after fixing a weight, index and discrete set of allowed poles $z = a\tau + b$;*
- *closed under the differential operators D_τ and D_z .*

Corollary

It is a finite computation to check whether $F = H$ solves a differential equation as

$$D_x(H)^2 D_\tau^2(H) - 2D_x(H) D_x D_\tau(H) D_\tau(H) + D_x^2(H) D_\tau(H)^2 = \frac{D_\tau^2 f}{f} D_x^2 \log(H) H^3.$$

The solutions φ_m as quasi-Jacobi forms

Theorem (Oberdieck–Pixtion–vl) *For $m \geq 0$, the functions*

$$\varphi_m = \operatorname{Res}_{x=0} \left(\frac{\Theta(x+z)}{\Theta(x)} \right)^m$$

are quasi-Jacobi forms of weight -1 and index $m/2$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial E_2} \varphi_m &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \varphi_m &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i+j=m \\ i,j \geq 1}} \frac{m^2}{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the Taylor coefficients of φ_m are quasimodular forms, depending polynomially on m :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_m &= mz - G_2 m^3 z^3 + \left(\left(\frac{1}{3} G_2^2 - \frac{1}{72} G_4 \right) m^5 + \left(\frac{1}{6} G_2^2 - \frac{5}{72} G_4 \right) m^3 \right) z^5 + \\ &+ \left(\left(-\frac{1}{18} G_2^3 + \frac{1}{180} G_2 G_4 - \frac{1}{43200} G_6 \right) m^7 + \left(-\frac{1}{9} G_2^3 + \frac{1}{18} G_2 G_4 - \frac{7}{8640} G_6 \right) m^5 + \right. \\ &+ \left. \left(\frac{1}{45} G_2 G_4 - \frac{7}{3600} G_6 \right) m^3 \right) z^7 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thank you!