# **Conformal Loop Ensemble for the Integer Quantum Hall Transition**

### E. Bettelheim

Hebrew University, Jerusalem

Workshop on Geometric aspects of the Quantum Hall Effect December 17, 2015 Cologne, Germany Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

Conclusion

# Work with I. Gruzberg.

#### Acknowledgement

#### Introduction

- -Chalker-Coddington
- -Positive Weights
- -Restriction
- -Recap
- CLE Approach

Conclusion

### Introduction

Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach

Conclusion

### • The Chalker-Coddington network:



Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach

Conclusion

• The Chalker-Coddington network:



 The wavefunction accumulates a random phase on each link

Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach





- The wavefunction accumulates a random phase on each link
- A beam splitter is at each node:

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1\\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach

Conclusion

The Chalker-Coddington network:



• The probability amplitude from a to b is:  $G(a,b) = \sum_{P} \prod_{l \in P} e^{i\theta_l} \prod_{(l,l') \in P} S_{l,l'}$   $S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach

Conclusion

We compute  $\sigma(a,b) = \langle |G(a,b)|^2 \rangle = \sum_{P,P'} \langle W(P)W^*(P') \rangle,$ with  $W(P) = \prod_{l \in P} e^{i\theta_l} \prod_{(l,l') \in P} S_{l,l'}.$ 

Acknowledgement

We compute

- Introduction -Chalker-Coddington
- -Positive Weights -Restriction
- -Recap
- CLE Approach

- $\begin{aligned} \sigma(a,b) &= \langle |G(a,b)|^2 \rangle = \sum_{P,P'} \langle W(P)W^*(P') \rangle, \\ \text{with } W(P) &= \prod_{l \in P} e^{i\theta_l} \prod_{(l,l') \in P} S_{l,l'}. \end{aligned}$
- The phases need to cancel

Acknowledgement

- We compute
- Introduction -Chalker-Coddington
- -Positive Weights
- -Restriction
- -Recap
- CLE Approach
- Conclusion

 $\sigma(a,b) = \langle |G(a,b)|^2 \rangle = \sum_{P,P'} \langle W(P)W^*(P') \rangle,$ with  $W(P) = \prod_{l \in P} e^{i\theta_l} \prod_{(l,l') \in P} S_{l,l'}$ .

The phases need to cancel  $\implies$  the picture of P is the same as P'



Acknowledgement

- We compute
- Introduction -Chalker-Coddington
- -Positive Weights
- -Restriction
- -Recap
- CLE Approach
- Conclusion

with  $W(P) = \prod_{l \in P} e^{i\theta_l} \prod_{(l,l') \in P} S_{l,l'}$ . Where  $V(P) = \prod_{l \in P} e^{i\theta_l} \prod_{(l,l') \in P} S_{l,l'}$ . The phases need to cancel  $\Longrightarrow$  the picture of P is the same as P'

• We get  $\left(s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$  $\sigma(a, b) = \sum_{I} \frac{A(I)^2}{2^{L(I)}}, \quad A(I) = \sum_{P \in I} \prod_{(l,l') \in P} (-)^{\sigma(l,l')}$ 

### **Conformal Restriction**

Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach

Conclusion

A Large Cluster's Shape is determined EB, I. Gruzberg, A. W. W. Ludwig by conformal invariance and intrinsic weights ('conformal restriction' Lawler)



### **Conformal Restriction**

Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach

Conclusion

A Large Cluster's Shape is determined EB, I. Gruzberg, A. W. W. Ludwig by conformal invariance and intrinsic weights ('conformal restriction' Lawler)



• Only external perimeter is determined.

### **Conformal Restriction**

Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach

Conclusion

A Large Cluster's Shape is determined EB, I. Gruzberg, A. W. W. Ludwig by conformal invariance and intrinsic weights ('conformal restriction' Lawler)



• Only external perimeter is determined.

It's the same perimeter for Percolation, Random Walks, Transition, etc.

Acknowledgement

Introduction

-Chalker-Coddington

-Positive Weights

-Restriction

-Recap

CLE Approach

Conclusion

A statistical approach may be obtained for the integer quantum Hall transition.

- Introduction
- Chalker-Coddington
- -Positive Weights
- -Restriction
- -Recap
- CLE Approach
- Conclusion

- A statistical approach may be obtained for the integer quantum Hall transition.
- The approach gives fractal properties of the electron's path.

- Introduction
- Chalker-Coddington
- -Positive Weights
- -Restriction
- -Recap
- CLE Approach
- Conclusion

- A statistical approach may be obtained for the integer quantum Hall transition.
- The approach gives fractal properties of the electron's path.
- The accessible fractal properties seem too general.

- Introduction
- -Chalker-Coddington
- -Positive Weights
- -Restriction
- -Recap
- CLE Approach
- Conclusion

- A statistical approach may be obtained for the integer quantum Hall transition.
- The approach gives fractal properties of the electron's path.
- The accessible fractal properties seem too general.
- A more detailed description of the interior of the path is needed.

#### Acknowledgement

#### Introduction

#### CLE Approach

-CLEs

- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting

Conclusion

# Conformal Loop Ensemble Approach

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting

Conclusion



Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting

Conclusion

In statistical mechanics, one can often describe the problem as that of loops in the plane.



• At critical points these loops have conformal invariance properties.

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting

Conclusion



- At critical points these loops have conformal invariance properties.
- The classification of possible critical models can be done either by appealing to either

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting

Conclusion



- At critical points these loops have conformal invariance properties.
- The classification of possible critical models can be done either by appealing to either
  - 1. Conformal Field Theory

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting

Conclusion



- At critical points these loops have conformal invariance properties.
- The classification of possible critical models can be done either by appealing to either
  - 1. Conformal Field Theory or
  - 2. Loop Ensembles and the Schramm-Loewner Equation (SLE).

### The Manhattan (medial) Lattice

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees -Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

It's easier to keep track of the sign on the median lattice, which in this case is the Manhattan lattice.



### The Manhattan (medial) Lattice

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees -Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

It's easier to keep track of the sign on the median lattice, which in this case is the Manhattan lattice.



• We still need to count the ways to traverse the lattice, which interfere.

#### Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

#### -Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

Given a path, draw (for every node visited by the path) the link from which we got to that node first.

#### Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

#### -Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

Given a path, draw (for every node visited by the path) the link from which we got to that node first.





#### Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

#### -Trees

- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

Given a path, draw (for every node visited by the path) the link from which we got to that node first.



• One can reconstruct the possible paths given the tree and the number of visits to each link.

#### Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

#### -Trees

- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

Given a path, draw (for every node visited by the path) the link from which we got to that node first.



• One can reconstruct the possible paths given the tree and the number of visits to each link.

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

 Dealing with tree is easier due to Kasteleyn, Temperley, Kenyon:

 $|T| = \det(K)$ 

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

• Dealing with tree is easier due to Kasteleyn, Temperley, Kenyon:

$$|T| = \det(K)$$



Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

• Dealing with tree is easier due to Kasteleyn, Temperley, Kenyon:

$$|T| = \det(K)$$



Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

• Dealing with tree is easier due to Kasteleyn, Temperley, Kenyon:

$$|T| = \det(K)$$



Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

Dealing with tree is easier due to Kasteleyn, Temperley, Kenyon:

$$|T| = \det(K)$$



Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

 Dealing with tree is easier due to Kasteleyn, Temperley, Kenyon:

$$|T| = \det(K)$$



• 
$$\langle \psi_{i_1}^{\dagger} \psi_{j_1} \psi_{i_2}^{\dagger} \psi_{j_2} \dots \rangle = \det_{k,l} \left( K^{-1}(i_k, j_l) \right)$$

### The Loops



Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting



### The Loops



Conclusion



• Each loop is weighted by two copies of signed restricted trees.

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

-CLEs

-Manhattan

-Trees

-Fermions

-The Loops

-Re-weighting

Conclusion

The partition function of the Free fermions is  $Z = \det^2(\Delta)$ .

- Introduction
- CLE Approach
- -CLEs
- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

- The partition function of the Free fermions is  $Z = det^2(\Delta)$ .
- We have to re-weigh the loops (in Girsanov sense) to account of

Acknowle	edgement
----------	----------

- Introduction
- CLE Approach
- -CLEs
- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

- The partition function of the Free fermions is  $Z = det^2(\Delta)$ .
- We have to re-weigh the loops (in Girsanov sense) to account of
  - 1. Sign.

- Introduction
- CLE Approach
- -CLEs
- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

- The partition function of the Free fermions is  $Z = \det^2(\Delta)$ .
- We have to re-weigh the loops (in Girsanov sense) to account of
  - 1. Sign.
  - 2. Constraint



- Introduction
- CLE Approach
- -CLEs
- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

- The partition function of the Free fermions is  $Z = det^2(\Delta)$ .
- We have to re-weigh the loops (in Girsanov sense) to account of
  - 1. Sign.
  - 2. Constraint



- Introduction
- CLE Approach
- -CLEs
- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

- The partition function of the Free fermions is  $Z = det^2(\Delta)$ .
- We have to re-weigh the loops (in Girsanov sense) to account of
  - 1. Sign.
  - 2. Constraint



- Introduction
- CLE Approach
- -CLEs
- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

- The partition function of the Free fermions is  $Z = det^2(\Delta)$ .
- We have to re-weigh the loops (in Girsanov sense) to account of
  - 1. Sign.
  - 2. Constraint
- Both can be taken into account by bosonizing (introducing a height function that describes the trees). The height function is a Gaussian Free Field (GFF).

#### Acknowledgement

- Introduction
- CLE Approach
- -CLEs
- -Manhattan
- -Trees
- -Fermions
- -The Loops
- -Re-weighting
- Conclusion

- The partition function of the Free fermions is  $Z = det^2(\Delta)$ .
- We have to re-weigh the loops (in Girsanov sense) to account of
  - 1. Sign.
  - 2. Constraint
- Both can be taken into account by bosonizing (introducing a height function that describes the trees). The height function is a Gaussian Free Field (GFF).

•  $Z = \det^2(\Delta) \langle e^{\varphi A \varphi} \delta(\partial \varphi - v) \rangle_{GFF} \stackrel{?}{=} \det^{2+\delta c}(\Delta)$ 

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

Conclusion

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

Conclusion

The integer quantum Hall transition may be described in the language of classical statistical mechanics.

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

- The integer quantum Hall transition may be described in the language of classical statistical mechanics.
- A loop ensemble may be developed.

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

- The integer quantum Hall transition may be described in the language of classical statistical mechanics.
- A loop ensemble may be developed.
- Final result for the weight of the loops is till pending.

Acknowledgement

Introduction

CLE Approach

- The integer quantum Hall transition may be described in the language of classical statistical mechanics.
- A loop ensemble may be developed.
- Final result for the weight of the loops is till pending.
- Critical exponents may be obtained as directly related to fractal dimensions of subsets of the loops and trees.