Higher regularity of the "tangential" fields in the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system

Markus Kunze

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Köln, Weyertal 86-90, D-50931 Köln, Germany e-mail: mkunze@mi.uni-koeln.de

Abstract

It is shown that the "tangential" electric and magnetic fields, in the Glassey-Strauss representation formulas, are in fact bounded in $L^{\infty}_{\text{loc},t}L^{2+\delta}_x$ for some $\delta>0$.

1 Introduction and main result

The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system describes the time evolution of a plasma with particles moving at high velocities (close to the speed of light which is taken to be c=1). The Vlasov equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla f + (E + v \wedge B) \cdot \nabla_p f = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

governs the evolution of the scalar density function $f = f(t, x, p) \ge 0$, depending on time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, position $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and momentum $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$; here ∇ always means ∇_x . The velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ associated to p is

$$v = \frac{p}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}$$
, thus $p = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}$,

where $p^2 = |p|^2$ and $v^2 = |v|^2$ for brevity. The Lorentz force

$$L = L(t, x, v) = E(t, x) + v \wedge B(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

is obtained from the electric field $E=E(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and the magnetic field $B=B(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^3$, which in turn satisfy the Maxwell equations

$$\partial_t E = \nabla \wedge B - j, \quad \nabla \cdot E = \rho,$$
 (1.2)

and

$$\partial_t B = -\nabla \wedge E, \quad \nabla \cdot B = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

The coupling of (1.1) to (1.2), (1.3) is realized through the charge density $\rho = \rho(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}$ and the current density $j = j(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ via

$$\rho(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,x,p) \, dp \quad \text{and} \quad j(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \, f(t,x,p) \, dp.$$

Furthermore, initial data

$$f(t=0) = f^{(0)}, \quad E(t=0) = E^{(0)}, \quad \text{and} \quad B(t=0) = B^{(0)}$$

are prescribed such that the constraint equations

$$\nabla \cdot E^{(0)} = \rho^{(0)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^{(0)} dp$$
 and $\nabla \cdot B^{(0)} = 0$

are satisfied.

There has been quite some activity concerning the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell over the years, but nonetheless the question whether (for instance smooth) initial data will yield a global in time solution still remains open. See [2] and [14] for a general introduction and overview, [9] for a summary of results up to approximately 2015 and [12] for some newer and further refined criteria concerning unrestricted global existence, generalizing both [10, 11] and [9]; the full global existence problem has only been settled in two dimensions [4, 5] and in "two-and-one-half-dimensions" $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ [3].

To explain the observation which is the subject of the present paper, we go back to the pioneering work [6], where Glassey and Strauss noted that a bound on the momentum support of f does yield global existence; this result was later reproved by [8] and [1], using different methods. First recall that the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1 + p^2} f(t, x, p) \, dx \, dp + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|E(t, x)|^2 + |B(t, x)|^2) \, dx \tag{1.4}$$

is conserved along solutions of (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3); note that $\nabla_p \sqrt{1+p^2} = v$. Therefore one gets a bound

$$E, B \in L_t^{\infty} L_x^2 \tag{1.5}$$

in terms of the initial data for free. Next, defining $E^{(1)}(x) = \partial_t E(0, x)$ and $B^{(1)}(x) = \partial_t B(0, x)$, E and B are the solutions to the wave equations

$$\Box E = -(\partial_t j + \nabla \rho) = -\int_{\mathbb{D}^3} (v \, \partial_t + \nabla) f \, dp, \quad E(0) = E^{(0)}, \quad \partial_t E(0) = E^{(1)}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\Box B = \nabla \wedge j = \nabla \wedge \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v f \, dp, \qquad B(0) = B^{(0)}, \quad \partial_t B(0) = B^{(1)}. \tag{1.7}$$

In [6], Glassey and Strauss noted that (1.6) and (1.7) can be used to derive representation formulas for the fields as follows. Write

$$S = \partial_t + v \cdot \nabla, \quad T_j = -\omega_j \partial_t + \partial_{x_j}.$$

Then ∂_t and ∇ can be expressed in terms of S and T, since

$$\partial_t = (1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-1} (S - v \cdot T), \tag{1.8}$$

$$\partial_{x_i} = T_i + (1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-1} \omega_i (S - v \cdot T). \tag{1.9}$$

Note that with $\omega = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$:

$$\nabla_y \left[f(t - |y - x|, y, p) \right] = (-\omega \, \partial_t + \nabla) f(\ldots) = (Tf)(\ldots),$$

and, for instance,

$$E = -\Box^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \, (\nabla + v \, \partial_t) f$$
$$\cong -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \, \int_{|y-x| < t} \frac{dy}{|y-x|} \, (\nabla + v \, \partial_t) f.$$

First one uses (1.9) and (1.8) for the right-hand side and then one integrates $(Tf)(\ldots) = \nabla_y[\ldots]$ by parts in y. After a lengthy calculation one finds

$$E = E_D + E_{DT} + E_T + E_S (1.10)$$

(and a similar expression for B), where E_D and E_{DT} are data terms,

$$E_T(t,x) = -\int_{|y| \le t} \frac{dy}{|y|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \, K_{E,T}(\omega, v) f(t - |y|, x + y, p), \tag{1.11}$$

$$E_S(t,x) = -\int_{|y| \le t} \frac{dy}{|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \, K_{E,S}(\omega,v) \, (Lf)(t-|y|,x+y,p), \tag{1.12}$$

and the integral kernels $K_{E,T}(\omega,v) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $K_{E,S}(\omega,v) \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ behave as follows:

$$|K_{E,T}(\omega, v)| \le C(1+p^2)^{-1}(1+v\cdot\omega)^{-3/2},$$
(1.13)

$$|K_{E,S}(\omega,v)z| \le C(1+p^2)^{-1/2}(1+v\cdot\omega)^{-1}|z| \quad (z\in\mathbb{R}^3).$$
 (1.14)

See Section 3 below for the precise form of the kernels and a recap of the proof of (1.13) and (1.14). Relation (1.10) is the Glassey-Strauss representation formula for the electric field E and, together with its counterpart for B, it has become an indipensible tool for proving existence results for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system. Variants of it have been used for related systems as well.

Assuming initial data of compact support, certainly the data terms E_D and E_{DT} in (1.10) will behave well. Thus, in the light of (1.5), it is natural to ask what could be said about the terms E_T and E_S individually. We will call E_T the tangential part and we are going to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 Consider initial data of compact support. Then $E_T, B_T \in L^{\infty}_{loc,t}L^{2+\delta}_x$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Remark 1.2 (a) Since the argument for B_T is the same as for E_T , we will only consider the latter in what follows.

- (b) The number $\delta > 0$ will be a uniform constant, for instance $\delta = \frac{2}{17}$ is a possible choice. As this result is mainly understood to be a "proof of concept", certainly the regularity that is gained here will not be optimal.
- (c) By $E_T \in L^{\infty}_{loc,t}L^{2+\delta}_x$ we mean the following: There is a continuous function C = C(t): $[0,\infty[\to [1,\infty[$ which only depends on t and the initial energy $\mathcal{E}(0)$, the initial mass $\mathcal{M}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f^{(0)}(x,p) \, dx \, dp$ and $||f^{(0)}||_{\infty}$ such that $||E_T(t,\cdot)||_{L^{2+\delta}_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(t)$ for $t \in [0,T_{\max}[$, where $T_{\max} > 0$ denotes the maximal time of existence of the solution. A constant denoted by C will always be one which only depends on $\mathcal{E}(0)$, $\mathcal{M}(0)$ and $||f^{(0)}||_{\infty}$.
- (d) Due to Theorem 1.1 and (1.5) one has $E_S \in L^{\infty}_{loc,t}L^2_x$, but we are not able to derive this bound directly from (1.12).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

According to (1.11) and (1.13) we have

$$|E_T(t,x)| \leq C \int_{|y| \leq t} \frac{dy}{|y|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} \frac{1}{(1+v \cdot \omega)^{3/2}} f(t-|y|, x+y, p)$$

=: $Cu(t,x)$.

The Fourier transform of u is

$$\begin{split} \hat{u}(t,\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i\,\xi\cdot x}\,u(t,x)\,dx \\ &= \int_{|y| \le t} \frac{dy}{|y|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} \,\frac{1}{(1+v\cdot\omega)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx\,e^{-i\,\xi\cdot x}\,f(t-|y|,x+y,p) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} \int_{|y| \le t} \frac{dy}{|y|^2} \,e^{i\,\xi\cdot y} \,\frac{1}{(1+v\cdot\omega)^{3/2}} \,\hat{f}(t-|y|,\xi,p) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} \int_0^t ds\,\hat{f}(t-s,\xi,p) \int_{|\omega|=1} dS(\omega) \,\frac{e^{is\,\xi\cdot\,\omega}}{(1+v\cdot\omega)^{3/2}}. \end{split}$$

To evaluate the inner integral choose a unit vector $e \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\{\bar{v}, \bar{u}, e\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 , where $\bar{v} = v/|v| = p/|p|$ and $\bar{u} = \frac{\bar{\xi} - (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})\bar{v}}{\sqrt{1 - (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2}}$ are orthogonal unit vectors. Consider

the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ \bar{u} \\ \bar{v} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$, where the vectors are taken as rows. Then $A\bar{v} = e_3$ and

 $A\bar{u} = e_2$. It follows that $Av = |v|A\bar{v} = |v|e_3$ and $A\xi = |\xi|A\bar{\xi} = |\xi|A(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^2}\,\bar{u} + (\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})\bar{v}) = |\xi|(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^2}\,e_2 + (\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})e_3)$. Thus if $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $A\omega = \eta$, then by the invariance of the inner product,

$$\xi \cdot \omega = (A\xi) \cdot (A\omega) = |\xi| \left(\sqrt{1 - (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2} e_2 + (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v}) e_3 \right) \cdot \eta = |\xi| \left(\sqrt{1 - (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2} \eta_2 + (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v}) \eta_3 \right),$$

and similarly $v \cdot \omega = |v|\eta_3$. Hence we can employ the change of variables $\eta = A\omega$, $dS(\eta) = dS(\omega)$, and afterwards pass to spherical coordinates to obtain

$$\int_{|\omega|=1} dS(\omega) \frac{e^{is\,\xi\cdot\omega}}{(1+v\cdot\omega)^{3/2}} = \int_{|\eta|=1} dS(\eta) \frac{e^{is\,|\xi|(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^2}\,\eta_2+(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})\eta_3)}}{(1+|v|\eta_3)^{3/2}} \\
= \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi \sin\varphi \frac{e^{is\,|\xi|(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^2}\,\sin\theta\sin\varphi+(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})\cos\varphi)}}{(1+|v|\cos\varphi)^{3/2}} \\
= \int_{-1}^1 d\sigma \frac{e^{is\sigma\,\xi\cdot\bar{v}}}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \, e^{is|\xi|\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^2}\,\sin\theta\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}} \\
= 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 d\sigma \, \frac{e^{is\sigma\,\xi\cdot\bar{v}}}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} J_0\Big(s|\xi|\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^2}\,\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}\Big),$$

where

$$J_0(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ir\sin\theta} \, d\theta$$

is the Bessel function of order zero. Its asymptotic expansion is

$$J_0(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi r}} \cos\left(r - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(r^{-3/2}), \quad r \to \infty,$$
 (2.1)

see [7, p. 432], and also $|J_0(r)| \leq 1$ is verified. Thus altogether we obtain

$$\hat{u}(t,\xi) = 2\pi \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} \,\hat{f}(t-s,\xi,p) \, \int_{-1}^1 d\sigma \, \frac{e^{is\sigma\,\xi\cdot\bar{v}}}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} J_0\Big(s|\xi|\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^2} \,\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}\Big).$$

Our estimates below will only use (2.1) and $|J_0(r)| \leq 1$. It is tempting to assume that some improvement could be possible due to the oscillatory behavior of J_0 , but we have not been able to do so.

Next we introduce a standard Littlewood-Paley decomposition of u. For, fix $\varphi_0 \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi_0(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\varphi_0(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 2$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ put $\varphi_j(\xi) = \varphi_0(2^{-j}\xi) - \varphi_0(2^{-j+1}\xi)$. Then $\varphi_j(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \leq 2^{j-1}$ and for $|\xi| \geq 2^{j+1}$. Furthermore, $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(\xi) = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Henceforth we shall consider $u_j = u_j(t, x)$ given by $\hat{u}_j(t, \xi) = \varphi_j(\xi)\hat{u}(t, \xi)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In this way we obtain

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j$$

for

$$\hat{u}_{j}(t,\xi) = 2\pi \int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{dp}{1+p^{2}} \hat{f}_{j}(t-s,\xi,p) \int_{-1}^{1} d\sigma \frac{e^{is\sigma\,\xi\cdot\bar{v}}}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} J_{0}\left(s|\xi|\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}}\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}}\right),$$

where $\hat{f}_j(t,\xi,p) = \varphi_j(\xi)\hat{f}(t,\xi,p)$; the Fourier transform of f only refers to the variable x. Then

$$||f_j(t,\cdot,p)||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C||f(t,\cdot,p)||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad q \in [1,\infty],$$
 (2.2)

uniformly in t and p; the constant C > 0 does only depend on q. Since $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}_j(t,\cdot,p) \subset \{2^{j-1} \le |\xi| \le 2^{j+1}\}$, Bernstein's inequality (or a direct estimate) moreover leads to

$$||f_j(t,\cdot,p)||_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C2^{3j/2} ||f_j(t,\cdot,p)||_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \tag{2.3}$$

uniformly in t and p. Denote by $(\psi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ a partition of unity on]0,1] such that supp $\psi_0\subset [\frac{1}{3},1]$ and supp $\psi_j\subset [2^{-(j+2)},2^{-j+1}]$ for $j\in\mathbb{N}$. Accordingly we decompose

$$\hat{u}_j(t,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\xi),$$
(2.4)

where

$$\hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\xi) = 2\pi \int_{0}^{t} ds \, \psi_{k} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{dp}{1+p^{2}} \, \hat{f}_{j}(t-s,\xi,p) \, \psi_{n} \left(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}}\right) \\ \times \int_{-1}^{1} d\sigma \, \frac{e^{is\sigma\,\xi\cdot\bar{v}}}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} J_{0}\left(s|\xi|\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}} \, \sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}}\right) \psi_{m}(\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}}).$$

The next lemma is the main technical tool for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1 For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\|\hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le Ct \min\left\{1, \frac{2^{(k+m+n-j)/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right\} 2^{-k} \min\left\{2^{-2m} 2^{3j/2}, (\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{j}) 2^{-n}\right\}.$$
 (2.5)

Proof: Observe that by (2.1) always

$$\psi_{k}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)\psi_{n}\left(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}}\right)\psi_{m}(\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}})\left|J_{0}\left(s|\xi|\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}}\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}}\right)\right| \\
\leq C\psi_{k}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)\psi_{n}\left(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}}\right)\psi_{m}(\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}})\min\left\{1,\frac{1}{s^{1/2}|\xi|^{1/2}(1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2})^{1/4}(1-\sigma^{2})^{1/4}}\right\} \\
\leq C\psi_{k}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)\psi_{n}\left(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}}\right)\psi_{m}(\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}})\min\left\{1,\frac{2^{(k+m+n-j)/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right\}.$$

Therefore

$$|\hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\xi)| \leq C \min\left\{1, \frac{2^{(k+m+n-j)/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right\} \int_{0}^{t} ds \, \psi_{k}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{dp}{1+p^{2}} |\hat{f}_{j}(t-s,\xi,p)| \, \psi_{n}\left(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}}\right) \times \int_{1}^{1} d\sigma \, \frac{1}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} \, \psi_{m}(\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}}). \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) we deduce that

$$\|\hat{f}_j(t,\cdot,p)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C\|f_j(t,\cdot,p)\|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C2^{3j/2}\|f_j(t,\cdot,p)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C2^{3j/2}\|f(t,\cdot,p)\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (2.7)$$

and also

$$\|\hat{f}_j(t,\cdot,p)\|_{L_{\varepsilon}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \le C\|f_j(t,\cdot,p)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \le C\|f(t,\cdot,p)\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2, \tag{2.8}$$

both uniformly in t and p.

To begin with the estimate of (2.6), the support of $\psi_m(\sqrt{1-\sigma^2})$ is contained in

$$\sigma_{-} = \sqrt{1 - 2^{-2m+2}} < |\sigma| < \sqrt{1 - 2^{-2(m+2)}} = \sigma_{+}.$$

Then $\sigma_+ - \sigma_- \le C2^{-2m}$ and it follows that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} d\sigma \frac{1}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} \psi_{m}(\sqrt{1-\sigma^{2}})$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{|v|} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\sigma_{-}|v|}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\sigma_{+}|v|}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma_{+}|v|}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma_{-}|v|}} \right)$$

$$\leq C(\sigma_{+} - \sigma_{-}) \left(1 + (1+p^{2})^{3/2} \right) \leq C2^{-2m} (1+p^{2})^{3/2}.$$

Thus, below taking $R = 2^m \ge 1$ and using that $\int_{-1}^1 \frac{d\sigma}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} = \frac{2}{|v|} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|v|}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|v|}} \right) \le \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{|v|} \sqrt{1+p^2}$, we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)| \int_{-1}^1 d\sigma \, \frac{1}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} \, \psi_m(\sqrt{1-\sigma^2})$$

$$= \int_{|p| \le R} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} (\ldots) + \int_{|p| \ge R} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} (\ldots)$$

$$\leq C2^{-2m} \int_{|p| \leq R} \sqrt{1 + p^2} |\hat{f}_j(t - s, \xi, p)| dp + C \int_{|p| \geq R} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1 + p^2}} \frac{1}{|v|} |\hat{f}_j(t - s, \xi, p)|$$

$$\leq C2^{-2m} \int_{|p| \leq R} \sqrt{1 + p^2} |\hat{f}_j(t - s, \xi, p)| dp + CR^{-2} \int_{|p| \geq R} \sqrt{1 + p^2} |\hat{f}_j(t - s, \xi, p)| dp$$

$$\leq C2^{-2m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1 + p^2} |\hat{f}_j(t - s, \xi, p)| dp.$$

As a consequence, by (2.7) and energy conservation (1.4),

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} \left| \hat{f}_j(t-s,\cdot,p) \right| \int_{-1}^1 d\sigma \, \frac{1}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} \, \psi_m(\sqrt{1-\sigma^2}) \right\|_{L^2_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \leq C 2^{-2m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+p^2} \, \|\hat{f}_j(t-s,\cdot,p)\|_{L^2_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \, dp \\ & \leq C 2^{-2m} \, 2^{3j/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dp \, \sqrt{1+p^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx f(t-s,x,p) \\ & \leq C \, \mathcal{E}(0) \, 2^{-2m} \, 2^{3j/2} \\ & = C \, 2^{-2m} \, 2^{3j/2}. \end{split}$$

Using this and $\psi_n(\ldots) \leq 1$ in (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\cdot)\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} &\leq C \min\left\{1, \frac{2^{(k+m+n-j)/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right\} 2^{-2m} 2^{3j/2} \int_{0}^{t} ds \, \psi_{k}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) \\ &\leq C t \min\left\{1, \frac{2^{(k+m+n-j)/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right\} 2^{-k} 2^{-2m} 2^{3j/2}. \end{aligned}$$
(2.9)

This finishes the proof of the first part of (2.5). Secondly, the support of $\psi_n(\sqrt{1-\tau^2})$ is contained in

$$\tau_- = \sqrt{1 - 2^{-2n+2}} \le |\tau| \le \sqrt{1 - 2^{-2(n+2)}} = \tau_+$$

and $\tau_+ - \tau_- \le C2^{-2n}$. Thus, for all $\tilde{R} \ge 1$,

$$\int_{1 \le |p| \le \tilde{R}} \frac{dp}{(1+p^2)^{3/2}} \, \psi_n \left(\sqrt{1 - (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2} \right) = \int_{1 \le |p| \le \tilde{R}} \frac{dp}{(1+p^2)^{3/2}} \, \psi_n \left(\sqrt{1 - \bar{v}_3^2} \right) \\
\le C \int_1^{\tilde{R}} dr \, \frac{r^2}{(1+r^2)^{3/2}} \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi \, \sin\varphi \, \psi_n (\sqrt{1 - \cos^2\varphi}) \\
\le C \ln(1+\tilde{R}) \int_{-1}^1 \psi_n (\sqrt{1-\tau^2}) \, d\tau \\
\le C \ln(1+\tilde{R}) \, (\tau_+ - \tau_-) \\
\le C \ln(1+\tilde{R}) \, 2^{-2n},$$

and similarly

$$\int_{|p| \le 1} \psi_n \left(\sqrt{1 - (\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2} \right) dp \le C \, 2^{-2n}.$$

Hence if we take $\tilde{R} = 2^{n/2} 2^{3j/4} \ge 1$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)| \,\psi_n\left(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^2}\right) \int_{-1}^1 d\sigma \,\frac{1}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int_{|p| \leq 1} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} (\ldots) + \int_{1 \leq |p| \leq \tilde{R}} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} (\ldots) + \int_{|p| \geq \tilde{R}} \frac{dp}{1+p^2} (\ldots) \\ &\leq C \int_{|p| \leq 1} dp \sqrt{1+p^2} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)| \, \psi_n \Big(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2} \Big) \\ &+ C \int_{1 \leq |p| \leq \tilde{R}} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)| \, \psi_n \Big(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2} \Big) \\ &+ C \int_{|p| \geq \tilde{R}} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)| \\ &\leq C \Big(\int_{|p| \leq \tilde{R}} \psi_n \Big(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2} \Big) \, dp \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int_{|p| \leq 1} |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)|^2 \, dp \Big)^{1/2} \\ &+ C \Big(\int_{1 \leq |p| \leq \tilde{R}} \frac{dp}{(1+p^2)^{3/2}} \, \psi_n \Big(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi} \cdot \bar{v})^2} \Big) \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int_{1 \leq |p| \leq \tilde{R}} \sqrt{1+p^2} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)|^2 \, dp \Big)^{1/2} \\ &+ C \tilde{R}^{-2} \int_{|p| \geq \tilde{R}} \sqrt{1+p^2} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)| \, dp \\ &\leq C \, 2^{-n} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)|^2 \, dp \Big)^{1/2} \\ &+ C (\ln(1+\tilde{R}))^{1/2} \, 2^{-n} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+p^2} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)|^2 \, dp \Big)^{1/2} \\ &+ C \tilde{R}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+p^2} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)| \, dp \\ &\leq C (\ln(1+\tilde{R}))^{1/2} \, 2^{-n} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+p^2} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)|^2 \, dp \Big)^{1/2} \\ &+ C \tilde{R}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{1+p^2} \, |\hat{f}_j(t-s,\xi,p)| \, dp. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{dp}{1+p^{2}} \left| \hat{f}_{j}(t-s,\cdot,p) \right| \psi_{n} \left(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}} \right) \int_{-1}^{1} d\sigma \frac{1}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} \left\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \right| \\
\leq C(\ln(1+\tilde{R}))^{1/2} 2^{-n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} dp \sqrt{1+p^{2}} \left| \hat{f}_{j}(t-s,\xi,p) \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\
+ C\tilde{R}^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \sqrt{1+p^{2}} \left\| \hat{f}_{j}(t-s,\cdot,p) \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} dp.$$

For the first term, we use (2.8), $||f(t)||_{\infty} \le ||f^{(0)}||_{\infty}$ and (1.4), whereas we invoke (2.7) and (1.4) for the second. This leads to

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{dp}{1+p^{2}} \left| \hat{f}_{j}(t-s,\cdot,p) \right| \psi_{n} \left(\sqrt{1-(\bar{\xi}\cdot\bar{v})^{2}} \right) \int_{-1}^{1} d\sigma \frac{1}{(1+|v|\sigma)^{3/2}} \right\|_{L_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}$$

$$\leq C(\ln(1+\tilde{R}))^{1/2} 2^{-n} + C\tilde{R}^{-2} 2^{3j/2}$$

$$\leq C(\ln(1+2^{n/2} 2^{3j/4}))^{1/2} 2^{-n}$$

$$\leq C(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{j}) 2^{-n}.$$

Due to (2.6), and dropping $\psi_m(\ldots) \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\|\hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(0) \min\left\{1, \frac{2^{(k+m+n-j)/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right\} (\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{j}) \, 2^{-n} \int_0^t ds \, \psi_k\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) ds \, \psi_k\left(\frac{s}{$$

$$\leq C(0)t\min\left\{1, \frac{2^{(k+m+n-j)/2}}{t^{1/2}}\right\}(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{j}) 2^{-k} 2^{-n}.$$
 (2.10)

Therefore if we summarize (2.9) and (2.10), we have shown (2.5).

Lemma 2.2 For $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$||u_j(t,\cdot)||_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C(t+\sqrt{t}) \, 2^{-\frac{j}{11}}.$$

Proof: By (2.4),

$$||u_j(t,\cdot)||_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C||\hat{u}_j(t,\cdot)||_{L^2_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||\hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\cdot)||_{L^2_{\xi}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

In the following, Lemma 2.1 will be used to bound the right-hand side for fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $\alpha = \frac{16}{15} > 1$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{20} \in]0,1[$. Then by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \| \hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\cdot) \|_{L_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} & \leq & \sum_{m,n} \mathbf{1}_{\{m > \alpha \frac{3j}{4}\}} \| \hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\cdot) \|_{L_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \sum_{m,n} \mathbf{1}_{\{m \leq \alpha \frac{3j}{4}\}} \| \hat{u}_{jkmn}(t,\cdot) \|_{L_{\xi}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ & \leq & Ct \, 2^{-k} \sum_{m=[\alpha \frac{3j}{4}]-1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^{-2m} \, 2^{3j/2})^{1-\varepsilon} \left((\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{j}) \, 2^{-n} \right)^{\varepsilon} \\ & + C\sqrt{t} \, 2^{-k/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\left[\alpha \frac{3j}{4}\right]+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{(m+n-j)/2} \left(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{j} \right) 2^{-n} \\ & \leq & Ct \, 2^{-k} \, 2^{3(1-\varepsilon)j/2} \, j^{\varepsilon/2} \sum_{m=\left[\alpha \frac{3j}{4}\right]+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2(1-\varepsilon)m} \\ & + C\sqrt{t} \, 2^{-k/2} \, 2^{-j/2} \, \sqrt{j} \sum_{m=0}^{\left[\alpha \frac{3j}{4}\right]+1} 2^{m/2} \\ & \leq & Ct \, 2^{-k} \, 2^{3(1-\varepsilon)j/2} \, j^{\varepsilon/2} \, 2^{-2(1-\varepsilon)\alpha \frac{3j}{4}} + C\sqrt{t} \, 2^{-k/2} \, 2^{-j/2} \, \sqrt{j} \, 2^{\alpha \frac{3j}{8}} \\ & = & Ct \, 2^{-k} \, j^{\varepsilon/2} \, 2^{-(1-\varepsilon)(\alpha-1) \frac{3j}{2}} + C\sqrt{t} \, 2^{-k/2} \, \sqrt{j} \, 2^{-\frac{j}{2}(1-\frac{3}{4}\alpha)} \\ & = & Ct \, 2^{-k} \, j^{\frac{1}{40}} \, 2^{-\frac{190}{200} j} + C\sqrt{t} \, 2^{-k/2} \, \sqrt{j} \, 2^{-\frac{j}{10}} \\ & \leq & C(t + \sqrt{t}) \, 2^{-k/2} \, 2^{-\frac{j}{11}}. \end{split}$$

Summation on $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ concludes the proof of the lemma.

Now we are in position to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1. To summarize, we have seen that

$$|E_T(t,x)| \le Cu(t,x), \quad u = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j, \quad ||u_j(t,\cdot)||_{L_x^2} \le C(t+\sqrt{t}) 2^{-\frac{j}{11}}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly one also has $||u_0(t,\cdot)||_{L^2_x} \leq Ct$. Let $H^s_x(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denote the standard (inhomogeneous) L^2_x -based Sobolev space of order s. Then by the inhomogeneous Sobolev embedding theorem and

by Plancherel's theorem, for $2 < q < \infty, \ s > 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{1}{q} + \frac{s}{3}$:

$$\begin{split} \|E_{T}(t,\cdot)\|_{L_{x}^{q}} & \leq C\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L_{x}^{q}} \\ & \leq C\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H_{x}^{s}} \\ & \leq C\left[\|u_{0}(t,\cdot)\|_{L_{x}^{2}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{2sj}\|u_{j}(t,\cdot)\|_{L_{x}^{2}}^{2}\right)^{1/2}\right] \\ & \leq C(t)\left[1 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{2j(s-\frac{1}{11})}\right)^{1/2}\right] \\ & \leq C(t), \end{split}$$

provided that $s < \frac{1}{11}$. Hence $q = 2 + \delta$ is possible, and for instance $s = \frac{1}{12}$ and $\delta = \frac{2}{17}$ is a suitable choice.

3 Appendix: Explicit form of the kernels

To make this paper self-contained, we will include the following formulas; see [6, Section II] and [13, (A13), (A14), (A3)]. The fields E and B can be written as

$$E = E_D + E_{DT} + E_T + E_S,$$

 $B = B_D + B_{DT} + B_T + B_S,$

where

$$E_{D}(t,x) = \partial_{t} \left(\frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} E^{(0)}(x+t\omega) d\omega \right)$$

$$+ \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \partial_{t} E(0,x+t\omega) d\omega,$$

$$E_{DT}(t,x) = -\frac{1}{t} \int_{|y|=t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{E,DT}(\omega,v) f^{(0)}(x+y,p) dp d\sigma(y),$$

$$B_{D}(t,x) = \partial_{t} \left(\frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} B^{(0)}(x+t\omega) d\omega \right)$$

$$+ \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \partial_{t} B(0,x+t\omega) d\omega,$$

$$B_{DT}(t,x) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{|y|=t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{B,DT}(\omega,v) f^{(0)}(x+y,p) dp d\sigma(y),$$

are the data terms. In addition,

$$E_{T}(t,x) = -\int_{|y| \le t} \frac{dy}{|y|^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} dp \, K_{E,T}(\omega,v) f(t-|y|,x+y,p),$$

$$E_{S}(t,x) = -\int_{|y| \le t} \frac{dy}{|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} dp \, K_{E,S}(\omega,v) \, (Lf)(t-|y|,x+y,p),$$

and

$$B_{T}(t,x) = \int_{|y| \le t} \frac{dy}{|y|^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} dp \, K_{B,T}(\omega,v) f(t-|y|,x+y,p),$$

$$B_{S}(t,x) = \int_{|y| \le t} \frac{dy}{|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} dp \, K_{B,S}(\omega,v) \, (Lf)(t-|y|,x+y,p),$$

defining $\omega = |y|^{-1}y$ and $L = E + v \wedge B$. The kernels are

$$K_{E,DT}(\omega, v) = (1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-1} (\omega - (v \cdot \omega)v),$$

$$K_{E,T}(\omega, v) = (1 + p^{2})^{-1} (1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-2} (v + \omega),$$

$$K_{E,S}(\omega, v) = (1 + p^{2})^{-1/2} (1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-2}$$

$$\left[(1 + v \cdot \omega) + ((v \cdot \omega)\omega - v) \otimes v - (v + \omega) \otimes \omega \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3},$$

and

$$K_{B,DT}(\omega, v) = -(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-1}(v \wedge \omega),$$

$$K_{B,T}(\omega, v) = -(1 + p^2)^{-1}(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-2}(v \wedge \omega),$$

$$K_{B,S}(\omega, v) = (1 + p^2)^{-1/2}(1 + v \cdot \omega)^{-2}$$

$$\left[(1 + v \cdot \omega) \omega \wedge (\ldots) - (v \wedge \omega) \otimes (v + \omega) \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}.$$

Proof of (1.13) and (1.14): The bound (1.13) is immediate from

$$|v + \omega| = (v^2 + 2(v \cdot \omega) + 1)^{1/2} \le \sqrt{2} (1 + v \cdot \omega)^{1/2}$$

Regarding (1.14), we use that

$$[((v \cdot \omega)\omega - v) \otimes v - (v + \omega) \otimes \omega] z = (v \cdot z)((v \cdot \omega)\omega - v) - (\omega \cdot z)(v + \omega)$$

$$= -(\omega - (v \cdot \omega)v) \cdot z (v + \omega) - (1 + v \cdot \omega)(v \cdot z) v$$

and

$$|\omega - (v \cdot \omega)v| = (1 - 2(v \cdot \omega)^2 + (v \cdot \omega)^2 v^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq (1 - (v \cdot \omega)^2)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{2} (1 + v \cdot \omega)^{1/2}.$$

This yields the claim.

References

- [1] BOUCHUT F., GOLSE F. & PALLARD C.: Classical solutions and the Glassey-Strauss theorem for the 3D Vlasov-Maxwell system, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **170**, 1-15 (2013)
- [2] GLASSEY R.: The Cauchy Problem in Kinetic Theory, SIAM, Philadelphia 1996

- [3] GLASSEY R. & SCHAEFFER J.: The "two and one-half-dimensional" relativistic Vlasov Maxwell system, Comm. Math. Phys. 185, 257-284 (1997)
- [4] Glassey R. & Schaeffer J.: The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system in two space dimensions. I, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 141, 331-354 (1998)
- [5] Glassey R. & Schaeffer J.: The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system in two space dimensions. II, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 141, 355-374 (1998)
- [6] GLASSEY R. & STRAUSS W.: Singularity formation in a collisionless plasma could occur only at high velocities, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 92, 59-90 (1986)
- [7] Grafakos L.: Classical Fourier Analysis, 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin-New York 2008
- [8] Klainerman S. & Staffilani G.: A new approach to study the Vlasov-Maxwell system, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. 1, 103-125 (2002)
- [9] Kunze M.: Yet another criterion for global existence in the 3D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, J. Differential Equations 259, 4413-4442 (2015)
- [10] Luk J. & Strain R.: A new continuation criterion for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, Comm. Math. Phys. **331**, 1005-1027 (2014)
- [11] Luk J. & Strain R.: Strichartz estimates and moment bounds for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 219, 445-552 (2016)
- [12] Patel N.: Three new results on continuation criteria for the 3D relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, J. Differential Equations 264, 1841-1885 (2018)
- [13] Schaeffer J.: The classical limit of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system, Comm. Math. Phys. 104, 403-421 (1986)
- [14] STRAUSS W.A.: Nonlinear Wave Equations, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences/Regional Conference Series in Mathematics No. 73, AMS, Providence/Rhode Island 1989