

# Partitions, singular moduli, and irreducible polynomials

Michael H. Mertens  
joint work with Larry Rolen

Emory University

30<sup>th</sup> Automorphic Forms Workshop  
Winston-Salem, March 09, 2016

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Ingredients for the proof

- Masser's formula
- $N$ -systems

## 3 Proof of the Theorem

## Definition

A partition of a positive integer  $n$  is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers summing up to  $n$ . The number of partitions of  $n$  is denoted by  $p(n)$ .

# Partitions

## Definition

A partition of a positive integer  $n$  is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers summing up to  $n$ . The number of partitions of  $n$  is denoted by  $p(n)$ .

## Example

The partitions of 10 are

(10), (9, 1), (8, 2), (8, 1, 1), (7, 3), (7, 2, 1), (7, 1, 1, 1), (6, 4), (6, 3, 1), (6, 2, 2), (6, 2, 1, 1), (6, 1, 1, 1, 1),  
(5, 5), (5, 4, 1), (5, 3, 2), (5, 3, 1, 1), (5, 2, 2, 1), (5, 2, 1, 1, 1), (5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (4, 4, 2), (4, 4, 1, 1), (4, 3, 3),  
(4, 3, 2, 1), (4, 3, 1, 1, 1), (4, 2, 2, 2), (4, 2, 2, 1, 1), (4, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (3, 3, 3, 1), (3, 3, 2, 2),  
(3, 3, 2, 1, 1), (3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1), (3, 2, 2, 2, 1), (3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1), (3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2, 2, 2),  
(2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

# Partitions

## Definition

A partition of a positive integer  $n$  is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers summing up to  $n$ . The number of partitions of  $n$  is denoted by  $p(n)$ .

## Example

The partitions of 10 are

(10), (9, 1), (8, 2), (8, 1, 1), (7, 3), (7, 2, 1), (7, 1, 1, 1), (6, 4), (6, 3, 1), (6, 2, 2), (6, 2, 1, 1), (6, 1, 1, 1, 1),  
(5, 5), (5, 4, 1), (5, 3, 2), (5, 3, 1, 1), (5, 2, 2, 1), (5, 2, 1, 1, 1), (5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (4, 4, 2), (4, 4, 1, 1), (4, 3, 3),  
(4, 3, 2, 1), (4, 3, 1, 1, 1), (4, 2, 2, 2), (4, 2, 2, 1, 1), (4, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (3, 3, 3, 1), (3, 3, 2, 2),  
(3, 3, 2, 1, 1), (3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1), (3, 2, 2, 2, 1), (3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1), (3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2, 2, 2),  
(2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

So  $p(10) = 42$ .

# Formulas for $p(n)$

- L. Euler (1749):

$$p(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \left[ p\left(n - \frac{3m^2 - m}{2}\right) + p\left(n - \frac{3m^2 + m}{2}\right) \right],$$

# Formulas for $p(n)$

- L. Euler (1749):

$$p(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \left[ p\left(n - \frac{3m^2 - m}{2}\right) + p\left(n - \frac{3m^2 + m}{2}\right) \right],$$

- G. H. Hardy – S. Ramanujan (1918):

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

# Formulas for $p(n)$

- L. Euler (1749):

$$p(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \left[ p\left(n - \frac{3m^2 - m}{2}\right) + p\left(n - \frac{3m^2 + m}{2}\right) \right],$$

- G. H. Hardy – S. Ramanujan (1918):

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

- H. Rademacher (1937):

$$p(n) = \frac{2\pi}{(24n-1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_k(n)}{k} I_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{24n-1}}{6k}\right).$$

# An algebraic formula for $p(n)$

Theorem (Bruinier-Ono, 2013)

We have

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{24n - 1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D} P(\tau_Q),$$

where

# An algebraic formula for $p(n)$

Theorem (Bruinier-Ono, 2013)

We have

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{24n - 1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D} P(\tau_Q),$$

where

- $\mathcal{Q}_D$ : representatives of positive definite binary quadratic forms  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$  modulo  $\Gamma_0(6)$ , where  $6|a$  and  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ ,

# An algebraic formula for $p(n)$

Theorem (Bruinier-Ono, 2013)

We have

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{24n - 1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D} P(\tau_Q),$$

where

- $\mathcal{Q}_D$ : representatives of positive definite binary quadratic forms  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$  modulo  $\Gamma_0(6)$ , where  $6|a$  and  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ ,
- $P(\tau)$  is a certain Maaß form for  $\Gamma_0(6)$  of weight 0,

# An algebraic formula for $p(n)$

Theorem (Bruinier-Ono, 2013)

We have

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{24n - 1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D} P(\tau_Q),$$

where

- $\mathcal{Q}_D$ : representatives of positive definite binary quadratic forms  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$  modulo  $\Gamma_0(6)$ , where  $6|a$  and  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ ,
- $P(\tau)$  is a certain Maaß form for  $\Gamma_0(6)$  of weight 0,
- $\tau_Q \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $Q(\tau_Q, 1) = 0$ .

# An algebraic formula for $p(n)$

Theorem (Bruinier-Ono, 2013)

We have

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{24n - 1} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D} P(\tau_Q),$$

where

- $\mathcal{Q}_D$ : representatives of positive definite binary quadratic forms  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$  modulo  $\Gamma_0(6)$ , where  $6|a$  and  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ ,
- $P(\tau)$  is a certain Maaß form for  $\Gamma_0(6)$  of weight 0,
- $\tau_Q \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $Q(\tau_Q, 1) = 0$ .

Remark

The numbers  $P(\tau_Q)$  above are algebraic numbers with denominators dividing  $D$  (Larsson-Rolen, 2013).

# A polynomial related to partitions

Consider the polynomial

$$H_D(x) := \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D} (x - P(\tau_Q)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

# A polynomial related to partitions

Consider the polynomial

$$H_D(x) := \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D} (x - P(\tau_Q)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

**Question:** Is this polynomial irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?

# A polynomial related to partitions

Consider the polynomial

$$H_D(x) := \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_D} (x - P(\tau_Q)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

**Question:** Is this polynomial irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?

**Answer:** Usually not,

$$H_D(x) = \prod_{\substack{f > 0 \\ f^2 | D}} \varepsilon(f)^{h\left(\frac{D}{f^2}\right)} \widehat{H}_{\frac{D}{f^2}}(\varepsilon(f)x),$$

- $h(d)$  denotes the class number of discriminant  $d$ ,
- $\varepsilon(f) = 1$  if  $f \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$  and  $\varepsilon(f) = -1$  otherwise,

## Another polynomial related to partitions

Letting  $\mathcal{P}_D$  denote the set of primitive forms in  $\mathcal{Q}_D$  (i.e.,  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ ), define

$$\widehat{H}_D(x) := \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_D} (x - P(\tau_Q)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

## Another polynomial related to partitions

Letting  $\mathcal{P}_D$  denote the set of primitive forms in  $\mathcal{Q}_D$  (i.e.,  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ ), define

$$\widehat{H}_D(x) := \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_D} (x - P(\tau_Q)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

**Question** (Bruinier-Ono-Sutherland): Is this irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?

# Another polynomial related to partitions

Letting  $\mathcal{P}_D$  denote the set of primitive forms in  $\mathcal{Q}_D$  (i.e.,  $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ ), define

$$\widehat{H}_D(x) := \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_D} (x - P(\tau_Q)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

**Question** (Bruinier-Ono-Sutherland): Is this irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?

**Theorem** (M.-Rolen, 2015)

The polynomial  $\widehat{H}_D(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Moreover we have that  $\Omega_t$ , the ring class field of the order of conductor  $t$  in  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , is exactly the splitting field of  $\widehat{H}_D(x)$  over  $K$ .

# Table of Contents

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Ingredients for the proof

- Masser's formula
- $N$ -systems

## 3 Proof of the Theorem

# Non-holomorphic modular functions

Define

$$F(\tau) := \frac{E_2(\tau) - 2E_2(2\tau) - 3E_2(3\tau) + 6E_2(6\tau)}{2\eta(\tau)^2\eta(2\tau)^2\eta(3\tau)^2\eta(6\tau)^2}$$
$$= q^{-1} - 10 - 29q - 104q^2 - \dots \in M_{-2}^!(\Gamma_0(6))$$

and

$$P(\tau) := R_{-2}(F)(\tau) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{(-2)}{4\pi \operatorname{Im}(\tau)} \right) F(\tau).$$

# Non-holomorphic modular functions

Define

$$F(\tau) := \frac{E_2(\tau) - 2E_2(2\tau) - 3E_2(3\tau) + 6E_2(6\tau)}{2\eta(\tau)^2\eta(2\tau)^2\eta(3\tau)^2\eta(6\tau)^2}$$
$$= q^{-1} - 10 - 29q - 104q^2 - \dots \in M_{-2}^!(\Gamma_0(6))$$

and

$$P(\tau) := R_{-2}(F)(\tau) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{(-2)}{4\pi \operatorname{Im}(\tau)} \right) F(\tau).$$

Write  $P = A + B \cdot C$  with

- $A(\tau)$ ,  $B(\tau)$  explicit meromorphic modular functions for  $\Gamma_0(6)$ ,
- $C(\tau)$  is an explicit **real-analytic** modular function for  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

# Singular moduli

## Lemma

For every non-special discriminant  $D < 0$  (i.e.,  $D \neq -3 \cdot \square$ ), there exists a (meromorphic) modular function  $M_D$  for  $\Gamma_0(6)$  such that

$$P(\tau_Q) = M_D(\tau_Q)$$

for all quadratic forms  $Q$  of discriminant  $D$ .

# Singular moduli

## Lemma

For every non-special discriminant  $D < 0$  (i.e.,  $D \neq -3 \cdot \square$ ), there exists a (meromorphic) modular function  $M_D$  for  $\Gamma_0(6)$  such that

$$P(\tau_Q) = M_D(\tau_Q)$$

for all quadratic forms  $Q$  of discriminant  $D$ .

## Proof.

- Consider the modular polynomial  $\Phi_{-D}(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  defined by  $\Phi_{-D}(j(\tau), y) := \prod_{M \in \mathcal{V}_{-D}} (y - j(M\tau))$ .

# Singular moduli

## Lemma

For every non-special discriminant  $D < 0$  (i.e.,  $D \neq -3 \cdot \square$ ), there exists a (meromorphic) modular function  $M_D$  for  $\Gamma_0(6)$  such that

$$P(\tau_Q) = M_D(\tau_Q)$$

for all quadratic forms  $Q$  of discriminant  $D$ .

## Proof.

- Consider the modular polynomial  $\Phi_{-D}(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  defined by  $\Phi_{-D}(j(\tau), y) := \prod_{M \in \mathcal{V}_{-D}} (y - j(M\tau))$ .
- Write

$$\Phi_{-D}(x, y) =: \sum_{\mu, \nu} \beta_{\mu, \nu}(\tau_Q) (x - j(\tau_Q))^{\mu} (y - j(\tau_Q))^{\nu}.$$

# Singular moduli

## Lemma

For every non-special discriminant  $D < 0$  (i.e.,  $D \neq -3 \cdot \square$ ), there exists a (meromorphic) modular function  $M_D$  for  $\Gamma_0(6)$  such that

$$P(\tau_Q) = M_D(\tau_Q)$$

for all quadratic forms  $Q$  of discriminant  $D$ .

## Proof.

- Consider the modular polynomial  $\Phi_{-D}(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  defined by  $\Phi_{-D}(j(\tau), y) := \prod_{M \in \mathcal{V}_{-D}} (y - j(M\tau))$ .
- Write

$$\Phi_{-D}(x, y) =: \sum_{\mu, \nu} \beta_{\mu, \nu}(\tau_Q) (x - j(\tau_Q))^{\mu} (y - j(\tau_Q))^{\nu}.$$

- Write  $C(\tau_Q)$  in terms of  $\beta_{\mu, \nu}(\tau_Q)$  (Masser's formula).



# Table of Contents

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Ingredients for the proof

- Masser's formula
- $N$ -systems

## 3 Proof of the Theorem

# $N$ -systems

## Definition

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $D = t^2d < 0$  be a discriminant, with  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d$  a fundamental discriminant. Moreover, let  $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$  ( $Q_j(x, y) = a_jx^2 + b_jxy + c_jy^2$ ) be a system of representatives of primitive quadratic forms modulo  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We call the set  $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$  an  $N$ -system mod  $t$  if the conditions

$$\gcd(c_j, N) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_j \equiv b_\ell \pmod{N}, \quad 1 \leq j, \ell \leq r$$

are satisfied.

# $N$ -systems and Galois orbits

## Theorem (Schertz, 2002)

Let  $g$  be a modular function for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  whose Fourier coefficients at all cusps lie in the  $N$ th cyclotomic field. Suppose furthermore that  $g(\tau)$  and  $g(-\frac{1}{\tau})$  have rational Fourier coefficients, and let  $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  be a quadratic form of discriminant  $D = t^2d$ ,  $d$  a fundamental discriminant, with  $\gcd(c, N) = 1$  and  $N|a$ . Then we have that  $g(\tau_Q) \in \Omega_t$  unless  $g$  has a pole at  $\tau_Q$ .

Moreover, if  $\{Q = Q_1, \dots, Q_h\}$  is an  $N$ -system mod  $t$ , then

$$\{g(\tau_{Q_1}), \dots, g(\tau_{Q_h})\} = \{\sigma(g(\tau_{Q_1})) : \sigma \in \text{Gal}_D\}$$

where  $\text{Gal}_D$  denotes the Galois group of  $\Omega_t/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ .

# $\widehat{H}_D(x)$ is a perfect power

## Proposition

Let  $P$  be as in as in the introduction,  $D = -24n + 1 = t^2d$  with  $d$  a fundamental discriminant. Then  $P(\tau_{Q_0}) \in \Omega_t$  and

$$\{P(\tau_Q) : Q \in \mathcal{P}_D\} = \{\sigma(P(\tau_{Q_0})) : \sigma \in \text{Gal}_D\}$$

for all  $Q_0 \in \mathcal{P}_D$ . In particular, the values  $P(\tau_Q)$  for  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_D$  generate the ring class field  $\Omega_t$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  and  $\widehat{H}_D(x)$  is a perfect power of an irreducible polynomial.

# $\widehat{H}_D(x)$ has only simple zeros I

## Lemma

Let  $\gamma$  be one of 12 representatives of  $\Gamma_0(6)/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and assume that

$$F|_{-2\gamma}(\tau) = h\zeta q^{-\frac{1}{h}} + a_0 + a_1 q^{\frac{1}{h}} + \dots,$$

where  $h$  is the width of the cusp  $\gamma\infty$  and  $\zeta$  is a certain 6th root of unity. Then, for every  $\gamma$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$  (usual fundamental domain of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ), we have

$$P|_0\gamma(\tau) = \zeta \left(1 - \frac{h}{2\pi \mathrm{Im}(\tau)}\right) e^{-\frac{2\pi i \tau}{h}} + E_\gamma(\tau),$$

where the error  $E_\gamma$  satisfies the uniform bound  $|E_\gamma(\tau)| \leq \kappa := 1334.42$ .

# $\widehat{H}_D(x)$ has only simple zeros II

- $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 =: [a, b, c]$   $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -reduced quadratic form of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$ .

## $\widehat{H}_D(x)$ has only simple zeros II

- $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 =: [a, b, c]$   $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -reduced quadratic form of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$ .
- Exactly one  $\gamma_Q$  as in Lemma satisfies  $Q \circ \gamma_Q^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_D$ .

## $\widehat{H}_D(x)$ has only simple zeros II

- $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 =: [a, b, c]$   $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -reduced quadratic form of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$ .
- Exactly one  $\gamma_Q$  as in Lemma satisfies  $Q \circ \gamma_Q^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_D$ .
- Define  $h_Q \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$  and  $\zeta_Q$  a 6<sup>th</sup> root of unity by

$$F|_{-2\gamma_Q}(\tau) = h_Q \zeta_Q q^{-\frac{1}{h_Q}} + O(1).$$

## $\widehat{H}_D(x)$ has only simple zeros II

- $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 =: [a, b, c]$   $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -reduced quadratic form of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$ .
- Exactly one  $\gamma_Q$  as in Lemma satisfies  $Q \circ \gamma_Q^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_D$ .
- Define  $h_Q \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$  and  $\zeta_Q$  a 6<sup>th</sup> root of unity by

$$F|_{-2}\gamma_Q(\tau) = h_Q \zeta_Q q^{-\frac{1}{h_Q}} + O(1).$$

- Notice that  $a \cdot h_Q \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , focus on  $a \cdot h_Q = 12$ .

# $\widehat{H}_D(x)$ has only simple zeros II

- $Q(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 =: [a, b, c]$   $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -reduced quadratic form of discriminant  $D = 1 - 24n$ .
- Exactly one  $\gamma_Q$  as in Lemma satisfies  $Q \circ \gamma_Q^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_D$ .
- Define  $h_Q \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$  and  $\zeta_Q$  a 6<sup>th</sup> root of unity by

$$F|_{-2}\gamma_Q(\tau) = h_Q \zeta_Q q^{-\frac{1}{h_Q}} + O(1).$$

- Notice that  $a \cdot h_Q \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , focus on  $a \cdot h_Q = 12$ .

$Q$	$\gamma_Q$	$h_Q$	$\zeta_Q$	$\varphi_Q$
$[2, -1, 3n]$	$\gamma_{0,0}$	6	1	$-\frac{\pi}{12}$
$[4, 1, \frac{3n}{2}]$	$\gamma_{\frac{1}{2},1}$	3	$\zeta_6$	$\frac{5\pi}{12}$
$[6, -5, n+1]$	$\gamma_{\frac{1}{3},0}$	2	1	$-\frac{5\pi}{12}$
$[12, 1, \frac{n}{2}]$	$\gamma_\infty$	1	1	$\frac{\pi}{12}$

Table: Quadratic forms with  $a \cdot h_Q = 12$  for  $n$  even

# $\widehat{H}_D(x)$ has only simple zeros III

- From estimates in Lemma: For  $n \geq 54$  we have

$$|P|\gamma_{Q_1}(\tau_{Q_1})| \neq |P|\gamma_{Q_2}(\tau_{Q_2})|$$

for  $h_{Q_1}a_1 = 12 \neq h_{Q_2}a_2$  and

$$\arg(P|\gamma_{Q_1}(\tau_{Q_1})) \neq \arg(P|\gamma_{Q_2}(\tau_{Q_2}))$$

for  $h_{Q_1}a_1 = 12 = h_{Q_2}a_2$ .

## General theorem

### Theorem (Braun-Buck-Girsch, 2015)

Let  $F \in M_{-2k}^!(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  with rational Fourier coefficients and define the non-holomorphic modular function  $P$  by applying the Maaß raising operator  $k$  times to  $F$  and define for each fundamental discriminant  $D < 0$  the polynomial

$$\widehat{H}_{D,F} := \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_D} (x - P(\tau_Q)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x].$$

Then  $\widehat{H}_{D,F}(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for sufficiently large  $D$  (bound explicit, depends on the principal part of  $F$ ).

Thank you for your attention.