

Asymptotics of the Eta invariant

Nikhil Savale

University of Notre Dame

April 5, 2014

AMS Special Session on Topics in Spectral Geometry and Global
Analysis, II

The Dirac Operator

M^n oriented, Riemannian manifold.

A Clifford module (S, h^S, ∇^S, c) is a Hermitian vector bundle equipped with unitary connection ∇^S and a compatible morphism of algebra bundles $c : Cl(T^*X) \rightarrow \text{End}(S)$.

We can then form the **Dirac operator**

$$D = c \circ \nabla : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow C^\infty(S).$$

The Dirac Operator

M^n oriented, Riemannian manifold.

A Clifford module (S, h^S, ∇^S, c) is a Hermitian vector bundle equipped with unitary connection ∇^S and a compatible morphism of algebra bundles $c : Cl(T^*X) \rightarrow \text{End}(S)$.

We can then form the **Dirac operator**

$$D = c \circ \nabla : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow C^\infty(S).$$

Two main examples:

1. M^n spin manifold and $S = S^{TX} \otimes L$ is the spin bundle twisted by a Hermitian line bundle L . A unitary connection A on L now gives the corresponding Dirac operator $D_A : C^\infty(S^{TX} \otimes L) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{TX} \otimes L)$.

The Dirac Operator

M^n oriented, Riemannian manifold.

A Clifford module (S, h^S, ∇^S, c) is a Hermitian vector bundle equipped with unitary connection ∇^S and a compatible morphism of algebra bundles $c : Cl(T^*X) \rightarrow \text{End}(S)$.

We can then form the **Dirac operator**

$$D = c \circ \nabla : C^\infty(S) \rightarrow C^\infty(S).$$

Two main examples:

1. M^n spin manifold and $S = S^{TX} \otimes L$ is the spin bundle twisted by a Hermitian line bundle L . A unitary connection A on L now gives the corresponding Dirac operator

$$D_A : C^\infty(S^{TX} \otimes L) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{TX} \otimes L).$$

2. X complex manifold with $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ Hermitian holomorphic line bundle with holomorphic derivative $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L})$. If X additionally Kahler, then

$$D = \sqrt{2} (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}}^*)$$

is a Dirac operator.

Spectral Invariants

The Dirac operator is self-adjoint and elliptic. Hence it has a discrete spectrum of real eigenvalues

$$\dots \lambda_{-1} \leq 0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots$$

One can form the spectral invariants $k_D = \dim \ker(D)$, the determinant of D^2 and the signature of D .

Spectral Invariants

The Dirac operator is self-adjoint and elliptic. Hence it has a discrete spectrum of real eigenvalues

$$\dots \lambda_{-1} \leq 0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots$$

One can form the spectral invariants $k_D = \dim \ker(D)$, the determinant of D^2 and the signature of D .

Signature of D

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_D &= \sum_j \text{sign}(\lambda_j) \\ &= \sum_j \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \lambda_j e^{-t\lambda_j^2} dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\eta_D = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \text{tr} \left(D e^{-tD^2} \right) dt$$

Spectral Invariants

Determinant of D^2

$$\det(D^2) = e^{-\zeta_D'(0)}$$

$$\zeta_D(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \operatorname{tr}(e^{-tD^2}) - k_D \right\} t^{s-1} dt, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0.$$

Asymptotics of Spectral Invariants

Consider Y^n oriented, Riemannian spin of odd dimension with Hermitian line bundle L . Fix base unitary connection A_0 , and imaginary one form $a \in \Omega^1(Y; i\mathbb{R})$. This gives family of connections $A_r = A_0 + ra$ and associated Dirac operators

$$D_r = D_{A_r} : C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L).$$

Asymptotics of Spectral Invariants

Consider Y^n oriented, Riemannian spin of odd dimension with Hermitian line bundle L . Fix base unitary connection A_0 , and imaginary one form $a \in \Omega^1(Y; i\mathbb{R})$. This gives family of connections $A_r = A_0 + ra$ and associated Dirac operators

$$D_r = D_{A_r} : C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L).$$

Consider the associated spectral invariants

$$k_r = k_{D_r}$$

$$\eta_r = \eta_{D_r}$$

$$d_r = \det(D_r^2).$$

What happens to these as $r \rightarrow \infty$?

Analogous problem

X complex (not nec. Kahler), $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ Hermitian holomorphic line bundle

$$D_p = \sqrt{2} (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}}^*) : \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}).$$

Similar spectral quantities k_p, η_p, d_p . What happens to these as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Here p is analogous to r .

Analogous problem

X complex (not nec. Kahler), $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ Hermitian holomorphic line bundle

$$D_p = \sqrt{2} (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}}^*) : \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}).$$

Similar spectral quantities k_p, η_p, d_p . What happens to these as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Here p is analogous to r .

(Demailly '85, Bismut '87) $k_p = O\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$.

Application to the Grauert- Riemenschneider conjecture.

Analogous problem

X complex (not nec. Kahler), $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ Hermitian holomorphic line bundle

$$D_p = \sqrt{2} (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}}^*) : \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}).$$

Similar spectral quantities k_p, η_p, d_p . What happens to these as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Here p is analogous to r .

(Demailly '85, Bismut '87) $k_p = O\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$.

Application to the Grauert- Riemenschneider conjecture.

$\eta_p = 0$.

Analogous problem

X complex (not nec. Kahler), $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ Hermitian holomorphic line bundle

$$D_p = \sqrt{2} (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}}^*) : \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}).$$

Similar spectral quantities k_p, η_p, d_p . What happens to these as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Here p is analogous to r .

(Demailly '85, Bismut '87) $k_p = O\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$.

Application to the Grauert- Riemenschneider conjecture.

$\eta_p = 0$.

(Bismut-Vasserot '89) \mathcal{L} positive.

$$\tau_p = \log \left[\frac{\det(D_p^2|_{\mathcal{A}^{0,even}})}{\det(D_p^2|_{\mathcal{A}^{0,odd}})} \right] = O\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}}\right).$$

Arithmetic applications by Faltings ('84), Vojta ('89).

Analogous problem

X complex (not nec. Kahler), $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ Hermitian holomorphic line bundle

$$D_p = \sqrt{2} (\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}}^*) : \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,*}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}).$$

Similar spectral quantities k_p, η_p, d_p . What happens to these as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Here p is analogous to r .

(Demailly '85, Bismut '87) $k_p = O\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$.

Application to the Grauert- Riemenschneider conjecture.

$\eta_p = 0$.

(Bismut-Vasserot '89) \mathcal{L} positive.

$$\tau_p = \log \left[\frac{\det(D_p^2|_{\mathcal{A}^{0,even}})}{\det(D_p^2|_{\mathcal{A}^{0,odd}})} \right] = O\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}}\right).$$

Arithmetic applications by Faltings ('84), Vojta ('89).

Kahler-Einstein program: Asymptotics of the projector

$\Pi_p : C^\infty(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes p}) \rightarrow \ker(D_p)$.

New Results

Coming back to

$$D_r = D_{A_r} : C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L), \quad A_r = A_0 + ra.$$

New Results

Coming back to

$$D_r = D_{A_r} : C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L), \quad A_r = A_0 + ra.$$

$$(S.) \quad k_r = o\left(r^{\frac{n}{2}}\right).$$

$$(\text{Taubes '07}) \quad \eta_r = O(r^p), \quad p = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2(n+1)} + \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

$$(S.) \quad \eta_r = o\left(r^{\frac{n}{2}}\right).$$

Application to proving the Weinstein conjecture in dimension 3.

$$(S.) \quad d_r = o\left(r^{\frac{n}{2}}\right).$$

The Weinstein Conjecture

Given (Y^3, a) contact manifold (i.e. $a \wedge da \neq 0$). Its Reeb vector field R defined via $i_R da = 0$, $a(R) = 1$ has a closed orbit.

The Weinstein Conjecture

Given (Y^3, a) contact manifold (i.e. $a \wedge da \neq 0$). Its Reeb vector field R defined via $i_R da = 0$, $a(R) = 1$ has a closed orbit.

Taubes ('07) proved the Weinstein conjecture using the following perturbed version of the Seiberg Witten equations

$$\begin{aligned}c(*F_A) &= r \left(\Phi \Phi^* - \frac{1}{2} |\Phi|^2 - a \right) \\ D_A \Phi &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

here A is a unitary connection on L and $\Phi \in C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L)$.

The Weinstein Conjecture

Given (Y^3, a) contact manifold (i.e. $a \wedge da \neq 0$). Its Reeb vector field R defined via $i_R da = 0$, $a(R) = 1$ has a closed orbit.

Taubes ('07) proved the Weinstein conjecture using the following perturbed version of the Seiberg Witten equations

$$\begin{aligned}c(*F_A) &= r \left(\Phi \Phi^* - \frac{1}{2} |\Phi|^2 - a \right) \\ D_A \Phi &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

here A is a unitary connection on L and $\Phi \in C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L)$.

These are variational equations for the functional

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CSD}(A, \Phi) &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_Y (A - A_0) \wedge (F_A + F_{A_0})}_{cs(A)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \langle D_A \Phi, \Phi \rangle dy \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{2} \int_Y a \wedge F_A.\end{aligned}$$

The Weinstein Conjecture

Given (Y^3, a) contact manifold (i.e. $a \wedge da \neq 0$). Its Reeb vector field R defined via $i_R da = 0$, $a(R) = 1$ has a closed orbit.

Taubes ('07) proved the Weinstein conjecture using the following perturbed version of the Seiberg Witten equations

$$\begin{aligned}c(*F_A) &= r \left(\Phi \Phi^* - \frac{1}{2} |\Phi|^2 - a \right) \\ D_A \Phi &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

here A is a unitary connection on L and $\Phi \in C^\infty(S^{TY} \otimes L)$.

These are variational equations for the functional

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CSD}(A, \Phi) &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_Y (A - A_0) \wedge (F_A + F_{A_0})}_{cs(A)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \langle D_A \Phi, \Phi \rangle dy \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{2} \int_Y a \wedge F_A.\end{aligned}$$

The Weinstein Conjecture

Infinite dimensional Morse Theory for this functional gives Monopole Floer group $\widehat{HM}(Y, L)$. The grading of a generator (A_r, Φ_r) is

$$\text{gr}(A_r, \Phi_r) = \eta(\text{Hess CSD}(A_r, \Phi_r)) - cs(A_r).$$

The Dirac operator $D_r = D_{A_r}$ is a component of this Hessian.

The Weinstein Conjecture

Infinite dimensional Morse Theory for this functional gives Monopole Floer group $\widehat{HM}(Y, L)$. The grading of a generator (A_r, Φ_r) is

$$\text{gr}(A_r, \Phi_r) = \eta(\text{Hess CSD}(A_r, \Phi_r)) - cs(A_r).$$

The Dirac operator $D_r = D_{A_r}$ is a component of this Hessian. The set $\Phi^{-1}(0)$ converges to a union of Reeb orbits as $r \rightarrow \infty$ assuming we have a bound on $cs(A_r)$.

Fixing the grading, this reduces to a bound on η_r .

Bound on the Eta invariant

To bound the eta invariant we use the integral formula

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_r &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \operatorname{tr} \left(D_r e^{-tD_r^2} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \operatorname{tr} \left(D_r e^{-tD_r^2} \right) dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \operatorname{tr} \left(D_r e^{-tD_r^2} \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \operatorname{tr} \left(D_r e^{-tD_r^2} \right) dt + \operatorname{tr} E(D_r)\end{aligned}$$

Where $E(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x) \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{|x|}^\infty e^{-s^2} ds \right] = \operatorname{sign}(x) \operatorname{erfc}(|x|)$. This is discontinuous and has a non-local trace

Local Index theory expansions

Maximum principle or otherwise gives the bound

$$r^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left| e^{-tD_r^2}(x, y) \right| \leq C e^{-r \frac{\rho(x, y)^2}{4t}} e^t.$$

where $\rho(x, y)$ = geodesic distance function.

This says that the heat kernel localizes (or concentrates along the diagonal) as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Local Index theory expansions

Maximum principle or otherwise gives the bound

$$r^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left| e^{-tD_r^2}(x, y) \right| \leq C e^{-r \frac{\rho(x, y)^2}{4t}} e^t.$$

where $\rho(x, y) =$ geodesic distance function.

This says that the heat kernel localizes (or concentrates along the diagonal) as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Local index theory (or semiclassical analysis) now gives expansions

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(e^{-tD_r^2} \right) \sim r^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(c_0(t) + c_1(t) r^{-1} + \dots \right)$$

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(D_r e^{-tD_r^2} \right) \sim r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(d_0(t) + d_1(t) r^{-1} + \dots \right)$$

Integrating the second expansion shows $o\left(r^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ bound on the first summand of

$$\eta_r = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \mathrm{tr} \left(D_r e^{-tD_r^2} \right) dt + \mathrm{tr} E(D_r).$$

Local Index theory expansions

In general for an smooth odd trace

$$\mathrm{tr} \varphi^{odd} (D_r) \sim r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (C_0(\varphi) + C_1(\varphi) r^{-1} + \dots).$$

Since E is odd, this would imply a bound on second summand except that it is discontinuous at 0. Need to control dimension of the kernel k_r .

Local Index theory expansions

In general for an smooth odd trace

$$\mathrm{tr} \varphi^{\mathrm{odd}}(D_r) \sim r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (C_0(\varphi) + C_1(\varphi)r^{-1} + \dots).$$

Since E is odd, this would imply a bound on second summand except that it is discontinuous at 0. Need to control dimension of the kernel k_r .

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\frac{n}{2}} k_r \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{tr} \left(e^{-tD_r^2} \right) \leq c_0(t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

Is the bound $\eta_r = o\left(r^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ optimal?

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

Is the bound $\eta_r = o\left(r^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ optimal?

No. The conjectured optimal bound is $\eta_r = O\left(r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)$.

An explicit computation shows that one can prove no better than this.

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

Is the bound $\eta_r = o\left(r^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ optimal?

No. The conjectured optimal bound is $\eta_r = O\left(r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)$.

An explicit computation shows that one can prove no better than this.

Start with $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X^{2m}$ positive line bundle over complex manifold.

$$S^1 \rightarrow Y^{2m+1} = \text{unit circle bundle of } \mathcal{L}$$

$$\downarrow \pi$$

$$X^{2m}, \quad n = 2m + 1.$$

Chern connection on \mathcal{L} gives splitting $TY = TS^1 \oplus \pi^*TX$. Choose adiabatic family of metrics

$$g_\varepsilon^{TY} = g^{TS^1} \oplus \varepsilon^{-1}g^{TX}.$$

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

Is the bound $\eta_r = o\left(r^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ optimal?

No. The conjectured optimal bound is $\eta_r = O\left(r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)$.

An explicit computation shows that one can prove no better than this.

Start with $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X^{2m}$ positive line bundle over complex manifold.

$$S^1 \rightarrow Y^{2m+1} = \text{unit circle bundle of } \mathcal{L}$$

$$\downarrow \pi$$

$$X^{2m}, \quad n = 2m + 1.$$

Chern connection on \mathcal{L} gives splitting $TY = TS^1 \oplus \pi^*TX$. Choose adiabatic family of metrics

$$g_\varepsilon^{TY} = g^{TS^1} \oplus \varepsilon^{-1}g^{TX}.$$

A spin structure on X corresponds to a square root bundle $\mathcal{K}^{\otimes 2} = K_X = \Lambda^{\text{top}} T^{0,1}X$. Associated twisted Dirac operator

$$\sqrt{2} (\bar{\partial}_k + \bar{\partial}_k^*) = \sqrt{2} \left(\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}} + \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}}^* \right).$$

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

The spin structures on TX , TS^1 combine to give one on TY . We twist the spin bundle trivially $S^{TY} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ but with the connections $d + ira$, a dual to generator of S^1 action. This gives our D_r^ε .

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

The spin structures on TX , TS^1 combine to give one on TY . We twist the spin bundle trivially $S^{TY} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ but with the connections $d + ira$, a dual to generator of S^1 action. This gives our D_r^ε .

By using Fourier modes along the S^1 it is possible to write the spectrum of D_r^ε in terms of the spectrum of

$$\Delta_k^p = (\bar{\partial}_k \bar{\partial}_k^* + \bar{\partial}_k^* \bar{\partial}_k) |_{\Lambda^{0,p}}.$$

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

The spin structures on TX , TS^1 combine to give one on TY . We twist the spin bundle trivially $S^{TY} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ but with the connections $d + ira$, a dual to generator of S^1 action. This gives our D_r^ε .

By using Fourier modes along the S^1 it is possible to write the spectrum of D_r^ε in terms of the spectrum of

$$\Delta_k^p = (\bar{\partial}_k \bar{\partial}_k^* + \bar{\partial}_k^* \bar{\partial}_k) |_{\Lambda^{0,p}}.$$

There are two types of eigenvalues.

Type 1.

$$\lambda = (-1)^p \left(k + \varepsilon \left(p - \frac{m}{2} \right) - r \right), \quad 0 \leq p \leq m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

multiplicity $= h^{p,k} = \dim H^p(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$.

Type 2.

$$\lambda = \frac{(-1)^{p+1} \varepsilon \pm \sqrt{(2k + \varepsilon(2p - m) - 2r + 1)^2 + 4\mu^2 \varepsilon}}{2}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\frac{1}{2}\mu^2$ is a positive eigenvalue of Δ_k^p .

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

The spin structures on TX , TS^1 combine to give one on TY . We twist the spin bundle trivially $S^{TY} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ but with the connections $d + ira$, a dual to generator of S^1 action. This gives our D_r^ε .

By using Fourier modes along the S^1 it is possible to write the spectrum of D_r^ε in terms of the spectrum of

$$\Delta_k^p = (\bar{\partial}_k \bar{\partial}_k^* + \bar{\partial}_k^* \bar{\partial}_k) |_{\Lambda^{0,p}}.$$

There are two types of eigenvalues.

Type 1.

$$\lambda = (-1)^p \left(k + \varepsilon \left(p - \frac{m}{2} \right) - r \right), \quad 0 \leq p \leq m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

multiplicity $= h^{p,k} = \dim H^p(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$.

Type 2.

$$\lambda = \frac{(-1)^{p+1} \varepsilon \pm \sqrt{(2k + \varepsilon(2p - m) - 2r + 1)^2 + 4\mu^2 \varepsilon}}{2}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\frac{1}{2}\mu^2$ is a positive eigenvalue of Δ_k^p .

Gives large kernel conjecture for the Dirac operator in this case.

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

This computation now gives an asymptotic formula for η_r^ε in terms of characteristic classes on the base.

$$\bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon} = \sum_{a=0}^m \left\{ \left(\frac{r^{a+1}}{(a+1)!} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil r + \frac{\varepsilon m}{2} \rceil} \frac{k^a}{a!} \right) \int_X c_1(\mathcal{L})^a [\text{ch}(\mathcal{K}) \text{td}(X)]^{m-a} \right\} + O(1).$$

The above formula shows $\bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon}$ is discontinuous of $O\left(r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)$. Hence this would be the optimal estimate on the eta invariant.

Eta invariant of a circle bundle

This computation now gives an asymptotic formula for η_r^ε in terms of characteristic classes on the base.

$$\bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon} = \sum_{a=0}^m \left\{ \left(\frac{r^{a+1}}{(a+1)!} - \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil r + \frac{\varepsilon m}{2} \rceil} \frac{k^a}{a!} \right) \int_X c_1(\mathcal{L})^a [\text{ch}(\mathcal{K}) \text{td}(X)]^{m-a} \right\} + O(1).$$

The above formula shows $\bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon}$ is discontinuous of $O\left(r^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)$. Hence this would be the optimal estimate on the eta invariant. However this isn't an exact formula as there is an $O(1)$.

Explicit computation of the eta invariant

To get an explicit computation of the eta invariant, we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

$$\bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon} + \text{sf} \{D_{A_r,\delta}\}_{0 \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{m+1}} \int_Z \hat{A}(R^{TZ})$$

Then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon}$ exists by work of Bismut-Cheeger ('89), Dai ('91), Zhang ('94). The spectral flow function is found, for ε small, from our description of the spectrum. The third term is a characteristic class $Z = Y \times [0, \varepsilon]$.

Explicit computation of the eta invariant

To get an explicit computation of the eta invariant, we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

$$\bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon} + \text{sf} \{D_{A_r,\delta}\}_{0 \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{m+1}} \int_Z \hat{A}(R^{TZ})$$

Then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\eta}^{r,\varepsilon}$ exists by work of Bismut-Cheeger ('89), Dai ('91), Zhang ('94). The spectral flow function is found, for ε small, from our description of the spectrum. The third term is a characteristic class $Z = Y \times [0, \varepsilon]$.

The result is a computation in terms of

$h^{p,k} = \dim H^p(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$ and characteristic classes of $TX^{1,0}$ and \mathcal{L} . This generalizes a computation of Nicolaescu ('97) in dimension 3.

Explicit computation of the eta invariant

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\eta}^{r, \varepsilon} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_X \hat{A}(X) \left[\frac{\exp\left(\left(1-2\{r\}\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)} - \frac{1}{c/2} \right] \exp\{rc\}, & \text{if } r \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_X \hat{A}(X) \left[\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \tanh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)} \right] \exp\{kc\} + h^{\frac{m}{2}, k} \right. \\ \quad \left. + \sum_{p > \frac{m}{2}} (-1)^p h^{p, k} - \sum_{p < \frac{m}{2}} (-1)^p h^{p, k} \right\}, & \text{if } r = k, \\ & m \text{ even} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_X \hat{A}(X) \left[\frac{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \tanh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)}{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)} \right] \exp\{kc\} \right. \\ \quad \left. + \sum_{p > \frac{m}{2}} (-1)^p h^{p, k} - \sum_{p < \frac{m}{2}} (-1)^p h^{p, k} \right\}, & \text{if } r = k, \\ & m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Explicit computation of the eta invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sf} \{D_{A_r, \delta}\}_{0 \leq \delta \leq \varepsilon} &= \sum_{p > \frac{m}{2}, \text{even}} \sum_{k=\lceil r-\varepsilon(p-\frac{m}{2}) \rceil}^{\lceil r \rceil - 1} h^{p,k} \\ &- \sum_{p > \frac{m}{2}, \text{odd}} \sum_{k=\lfloor r-\varepsilon(p-\frac{m}{2}) \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor r \rfloor} h^{p,k} \\ &- \sum_{p < \frac{m}{2}, \text{even}} \sum_{k=\lceil r \rceil}^{\lceil r-\varepsilon(p-\frac{m}{2}) \rceil - 1} h^{p,k} \\ &+ \sum_{p < \frac{m}{2}, \text{odd}} \sum_{k=\lfloor r \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor r-\varepsilon(p-\frac{m}{2}) \rfloor} h^{p,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Explicit computation of the eta invariant

$$\int_Z \hat{A}(R^{TZ}) = (2\pi) \int_0^\varepsilon d\delta \int_X \Omega_2 \exp\{\Omega_0\}, \quad \text{where}$$
$$\Omega_0 = 2\text{tr} \left[p \left(R^{TX^{1,0}} + 2i\delta\omega \right) \right] + 2p(2i\delta\omega),$$
$$\Omega_2 = 2\text{tr} \left[ip' \left(R^{TX^{1,0}} + i2\delta\omega \right) \right] + i2p'(2i\delta\omega)$$

and $p(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{z/2}{\sinh(z/2)} \right)$.

Thank you.