

Corrections and improvements for the 1999 Chinese Reprint

p. 9 (1.2) Definition: last sentence removed

p. 29 Fisher's Model: $u \geq 0$

p. 51 second formula: $\dots \gamma = 0.4, \delta = \frac{1}{25}$

p. 92 CSTR, more digits:

$$\lambda_0 = 0.1300175, \quad T_0 = 8.479958, \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_0 = 0.2464796, \quad T_0 = 1.186330$$

p. 135 "pseudo arclength" Riks [415] is also quoted.

p. 150 after (5.3) $\dots, a_1 > a_2, a_1$ not eigenvalue,...

p. 151 Exercise 5.1(b) assume further $\beta_1 \neq 0$ and $(j < k \implies \alpha_j \geq \alpha_k)$.

p. 206 Fig. 5.26 caption: $\dots \beta = 0.4 \dots$

p. 206 eq.(5.68):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}, \lambda, \gamma) \\ \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}}(y, \lambda, \gamma)\mathbf{h} \\ h_k - 1 \\ \mathbf{g}^{tr} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}}(y, \delta, \gamma) \\ g_l - 1 \\ \mathbf{g}^{tr} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}}(y, \lambda, \gamma)\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h} \end{pmatrix}$$

p. 220 Figure 6.7 caption: \dots for $\gamma = 20, \beta = 0.4$

p. 226 last 3 lines: $\frac{b-a}{2}$ instead of $\frac{a+b}{2}$

p. 242 line 3: For $y \approx y_s$ the linear \dots

p. 247 eq.(6.66) $f_1(\underline{y(0)}, \Lambda) = 0$

p. 258 second formula add: $\dots, \varphi(t; \mathbf{q}) \notin \Omega$ for $0 < t < T_{\Omega}(\mathbf{q})$

p. 264 Figure 7.11 caption: \dots / fold bifurcation

p. 266 last line: $\dots \lambda_0 = 7.14547, T_0 = 2.88882$

p. 269 Figure 7.14 caption: \dots / flip bifurcation

p. 271 bottom: Hopf point at $\lambda_0 = 12.1435,$

$$\lambda_1 = 10.5710$$

$$\lambda_2 = 10.1465$$

$$\lambda_3 = 10.0912$$

$$\lambda_4 = 10.0808$$

p. 272 replace lines 3,4 by: 0.13

$$0.188$$

p. 286 (more hints on period doubling system) $\mathbf{h}(0) + \mathbf{h}(1) = \mathbf{0}$

p. 288 $\lambda_0 = 1.30176, T_0 = 6.03555$

p. 316 Figure 8.7: ζ instead of τ

p. 340 (in Exercise 9.7) $\delta = 4.6692016$

p. 378 ref. 147 J. Statistical Phys.

p. 380 ref. 204 Hartman instead of Hartmann

ref. 203 Engelbrecht instead of Englebrecht