## CENTRALIZERS IN 3-MANIFOLD GROUPS

#### STEFAN FRIEDL

ABSTRACT. Using the Geometrization Theorem we prove a result on centralizers in fundamental groups of 3-manifolds. This result had been obtained by Jaco and Shalen and by Johannson using different techniques.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we will study centralizers in fundamental groups of 3-manifolds. By a 3-manifold we will always mean a compact, orientable, connected, irreducible 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary.

Let  $\pi$  be a group. The *centralizer* of an element  $g \in \pi$  is defined to be the subgroup

$$C_{\pi}(g) := \{ h \in \pi \mid gh = hg \}.$$

Determining centralizers is an important step towards understanding a group. The goal of this note is to give a new proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let N be a 3-manifold. We write  $\pi = \pi_1(N)$ . Let  $q \in \pi$ . If  $C_{\pi}(q)$  is non-cyclic, then one of the following holds:

(1) there exists a JSJ torus or a boundary torus T and  $h \in \pi$  such that  $g \in h\pi_1(T)h^{-1}$  and such that

$$C_{\pi}(g) = h\pi_1(T)h^{-1},$$

(2) there exists a Seifert fibered component M and  $h \in \pi$  such that  $g \in h\pi_1(M)h^{-1}$  and such that

$$C_{\pi}(g) = hC_{\pi_1(M)}(h^{-1}gh)h^{-1}.$$

If N is Seifert fibered, then the theorem holds trivially, and if N is hyperbolic, then it follows from well-known properties of hyperbolic 3-manifold groups (we refer to Section 3.1 for details). If N is neither Seifert fibered nor hyperbolic, then by the Geometrization Theorem N has a non-trivial JSJ decomposition, in particular N is Haken, and in that case the theorem was proved by Jaco and Shalen [6, Theorem VI.1.6] and independently by Johannson [7, Proposition 32.9].

In this note we will give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 for 3-manifolds with non-trivial JSJ decomposition using the Geometrization Theorem proved by Perelman. Our proof involves basic facts about fundamental groups of Seifert fibered spaces and hyperbolic 3-manifolds and it consists of a careful study of the fundamental group of the graph of groups corresponding to the JSJ decomposition.

In order to determine centralizers of 3-manifolds it thus suffices to understand centralizers of Seifert fibered spaces. For the reader's convenience we recall the results of Jaco-Shalen and Johannson. Let N be a Seifert fibered 3-manifold with a given Seifert fiber structure. Then there exists a projection map  $p \colon N \to B$  where B is the base orbifold. We denote by  $B' \to B$  the orientation cover, note that this is either the identity or a 2-fold cover. Following [6] we refer to  $p_*^{-1}(\pi_1(B'))$  as the canonical subgroup of  $\pi_1(N)$ . If f is a regular fiber of the Seifert fibration, then we refer to the subgroup of  $\pi_1(N)$  generated by f as the fiber subgroup. Recall that if N is non-spherical, then the fiber subgroup is infinite cyclic and normal. (Note that the fact that the fiber subgroup is normal implies in particular that it is well-defined, and not just up to conjugacy.)

Remark. Note that the definition of the canonical subgroup and of the fiber subgroup depend on the Seifert fiber structure. By [10, Theorem 3.8] (see also [9] and [6, II.4.11]) a Seifert fibered 3-manifold N admits a unique Seifert fiber structure unless N is either covered by  $S^3$ ,  $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ , or the 3-torus, or  $N = S^1 \times D^2$  or if N is an I-bundle over the torus or the Klein bottle.

The following theorem, together with Theorem 1.1, now classifies centralizers of non-spherical 3-manifolds.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let N be a non-spherical Seifert fibered 3-manifold with a given Seifert fiber structure. Let  $g \in \pi = \pi_1(N)$  be a non-trivial element. Then the following hold:

- (1) if g lies in the fiber group, then  $C_{\pi}(g)$  equals the canonical subgroup,
- (2) if g does not lie in the fiber group, then the intersection of  $C_{\pi}(g)$  with the canonical subgroup is abelian, in particular  $C_{\pi}(g)$  admits an abelian subgroup of index at most two,
- (3) if g does not lie in the canonical subgroup, then  $C_{\pi}(g)$  is infinite cyclic.

The first statement is [6, Proposition II.4.5]. The second and the third statement follow from [6, Proposition II.4.7]. Using Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 one can now immediately obtain results on root structures

and the divisibility of elements in 3-manifold groups. We refer to [1, Section 4] for details.

**Acknowledgment.** We would like to thank Matthias Aschenbrenner, Saul Schleimer, Stephan Tillmann and Henry Wilton for helpful conversations.

### 2. Graphs of groups

In this section we summarize some basic definitions and facts concerning graphs of groups and their fundamental groups. We refer to [2, 3, 11] for missing details.

- 2.1. **Graphs.** A graph  $\mathcal{Y}$  consists of a set  $V = V(\mathcal{Y})$  of vertices and a set  $E = E(\mathcal{Y})$  of edges, and two maps  $E \to V \times V$ :  $e \mapsto (o(e), t(e))$  and  $E \to E$ :  $e \mapsto \overline{e}$ , subject to the following condition: for each  $e \in E$  we have  $\overline{\overline{e}} = e$ ,  $\overline{e} \neq e$ , and  $o(e) = t(\overline{e})$ . We sometimes also denote  $\overline{e}$  by  $e^{-1}$ . Throughout this paper, all graphs are understood to be connected and finite (i.e., their vertex sets and edge sets are finite).
- 2.2. The fundamental group of a graph of groups. Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a graph. A graph  $\mathcal{G}$  of groups based on  $\mathcal{Y}$  consists of families  $\{G_v\}_{v\in V(\mathcal{Y})}$  and  $\{G_e\}_{e\in E(\mathcal{Y})}$  of groups satisfying  $G_e=G_{\overline{e}}$  for every  $e\in E(\mathcal{Y})$ , together with a family  $\{\varphi_e\}_{e\in E(\mathcal{Y})}$  of monomorphisms  $\varphi_e\colon G_e\to G_{t(e)}$   $(e\in E(\mathcal{Y}))$ . We will refer to  $\mathcal{Y}$  as the underlying graph of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a graph of groups based on a graph  $\mathcal{Y}$ . We recall the construction of the fundamental group  $G = \pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  from [11, I.5.1]. First, consider the path group  $\pi(\mathcal{G})$  which is generated by the groups  $G_v$  ( $v \in V(\mathcal{Y})$ ) and the elements  $e \in E(\mathcal{Y})$  subject to the relations

$$e\varphi_e(g)\overline{e} = \varphi_{\overline{e}}(g) \qquad (e \in E(\mathcal{Y}), g \in G_e).$$

By a path in  $\mathcal{Y}$  from a vertex v to a vertex w we mean a sequence  $(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n)$  where  $o(e_1) = v, t(e_i) = o(e_{i+1}), i = 1, \ldots, n-1$  and  $t(e_n) = w$ .

By a path in  $\mathcal{G}$  from a vertex v to a vertex w we mean a sequence

$$(g_0, e_1, g_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, g_n),$$

of elements in E where  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  is a path of length n in  $\mathcal{Y}$  from v to w and where  $g_0 \in G_v$  and where  $g_i \in G_{t(e_i)}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . We write  $l(\gamma) = n$  and call it the length of  $\gamma$ . We say that the path  $\gamma$  represents the element

$$g = g_0 e_1 g_1 e_2 \cdots e_n g_n$$

of  $\pi(\mathcal{G})$ .

Let now w be a fixed vertex of  $\mathcal{Y}$ . We will refer to a path from w to w as a loop based at w. The fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, w)$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  (with base point w) is defined to be the subgroup of  $\pi(\mathcal{G})$  consisting of elements represented by loops based at w. If  $w' \in V(\mathcal{Y})$  is another base point, and g is an element of  $\pi(\mathcal{G})$  represented by a path from w' to w, then  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, w') \to \pi_1(\mathcal{G}, w) \colon t \mapsto g^{-1}tg$  is an isomorphism. By abuse of notation we write  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  to denote  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, w)$  if the particular choice of base point is irrelevant.

Now let  $v \in V$ . Pick a path g from v to w. Then the map  $G_v \to \pi_1(\mathcal{G}, w)$  given by  $t \mapsto g^{-1}tg$  defines a group morphism which is injective (see again [11, I.5.2, Corollary 1]). In particular the vertex groups define subgroups of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}, w)$  which are well-defined up to conjugation. Given a graph of groups  $\mathcal{G}$  and a base vertex w it is always understood that for each vertex v we picked once and for all a path from v to w.

We will later on make use of the following operations on paths. Given a path p in  $\mathcal{G}$  from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  we write  $o(p) = v_1$  and  $t(p) = v_2$ . Given two paths

$$p := (g_0, e_1, g_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, g_n), \text{ and } q := (h_0, f_1, h_1, f_2, \dots, f_m, h_m),$$

with t(p) = o(q) we define

$$p * q := (g_0, e_1, g_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, g_n \cdot h_0, f_1, h_1, f_2, \dots, f_m, h_m)$$

which is a path from o(p) to t(q). Furthermore, given a path

$$p := (g_0, e_1, g_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, g_n)$$

we define the inverse path to be

$$p^{-1} := (g_n^{-1}, \overline{e_n}, \dots, g_1^{-1}, \overline{e_1}, g_0^{-1}).$$

Note that  $p^{-1}$  is a path from t(p) to o(p).

- 2.3. Reduced paths. A path  $(g_0, e_1, g_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, g_n)$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  is reduced if it satisfies one of the following conditions:
  - (1) n = 0, or
  - (2) n > 0 and  $g_i \notin \varphi_{e_i}(G_{e_i})$  for each index i such that  $e_{i+1} = \overline{e_i}$ .

Given  $g \in \pi(\mathcal{G})$  we define its length l(g) to be the length of a reduced path representing it. Note that this is well-defined (see [11, p. 4]), i.e. any g is represented by a reduced path and the definition is independent of the choice of the reduced path. Also note that

$$l(g) = \min\{l(p) \mid p \text{ a path which represents } g\}.$$

Note that l(g) = 0 if and only if g lies in  $G_v$  for some  $v \in V$ .

We say that  $s = (g_0, e_1, g_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, g_n)$  is cyclically reduced if s is reduced and if one of the following holds:

- (1) n = 0, or
- (2)  $e_1 \neq \overline{e_n}$ , or
- (3)  $e_1 = \overline{e_n}$  but  $g_n g_0$  is not conjugate to an element in  $\text{Im}(\varphi_{e_n})$ .

Note that a reduced loop  $s = (g_0, e_1, g_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, g_n)$  is cyclically reduced if and only if the element it represents has minimal length in its conjugacy class in the path group  $\pi(\mathcal{G})$ .

We say that  $g \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G}, w)$  is cyclically reduced if there exists a cyclically reduced loop which represents it. It is straightforward to see that g is cyclically reduced if and only if any reduced loop representing it is cyclically reduced. Also note that if g is cyclically reduced, then  $l(g^n) = n \cdot l(g)$ .

Any element g of the path groups  $\pi(\mathcal{G})$  is conjugate in  $\pi(\mathcal{G})$  to a cyclically reduced element s, we can thus define cl(g) = l(s). Note that this is independent of the choice of s. Note that if g is cyclically reduced, then a straightforward argument shows that  $l(g^n) = n \cdot l(g)$ . In particular given any g we have  $cl(g^n) = n \cdot cl(g)$ .

### 3. Fundamental groups of 3-manifolds

In the next two sections we cover properties of fundamental groups of hyperbolic 3-manifold groups and of Seifert fibered spaces, before we return to the study of 3-manifold groups in general.

3.1. Fundamental groups of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Let N be a 3-manifold. We say that N is hyperbolic if the interior admits a complete metric of finite volume and constant sectional curvature equal to -1.

Throughout this section we write

$$U:=\left\{\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon&a\\0&\varepsilon\end{pmatrix}\text{ with }\varepsilon\in\{-1,1\}\text{ and }a\in\mathbb{C}\right\}\subset\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}).$$

Note that U is an abelian subgroup of  $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ . Recall that  $A \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  is called *parabolic* if it is conjugate to an element in U. We say that A is *loxodromic* if A is diagonalizable with eigenvalues  $\lambda, \lambda^{-1}$  such that  $|\lambda| > 1$ . We recall the following well known proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let N be a hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then the following hold:

- (1) There exists a faithful discrete representation  $\rho \colon \pi_1(N) \to \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ .
- (2) Let  $g \in \pi_1(N)$ , then  $\rho(g)$  is either parabolic or loxodromic.
- (3) An element  $g \in \pi_1(N)$  is conjugate to an element in a boundary component if and only if  $\rho(g)$  is parabolic.

- (4) Let T be a boundary torus, then there exists a matrix  $P \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  such that  $P\rho(\pi_1(T))P^{-1} \subset U$ .
- (5) Let  $g \in \pi_1(N)$ . Then  $C_g(\pi_1(N))$  is either infinite cyclic or a free abelian group of rank two. The latter case occurs precisely when g is conjugate to an element in a boundary component T and in that case  $C_g(\pi_1(N))$  is a conjugate of  $\pi_1(T)$ .

We include the proof of the proposition for completeness' sake.

- Proof. (1) A hyperbolic 3-manifold N admits a faithful discrete representation  $\pi_1(N) \to \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^3) = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Thurston (see [12, Section 1.6]) showed that this representation lifts to a faithful discrete representation  $\pi_1(N) \to \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .
  - (2) This follows immediately from considering the Jordan transform of  $\rho(g)$  and from the fact that the infinite cyclic group generated by  $\rho(g)$  is discrete in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .
  - (3) This is well-known.
  - (4) This statement follows easily from the fact that  $\pi_1(T) \subset SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  is a discrete subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .
  - (5) By (1) we can view  $\pi = \pi_1(N)$  as a discrete, torsion-free subgroup of  $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ . Note that the centralizer of any non-trivial matrix in  $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  is abelian (this can be seen easily using the Jordan normal form of such a matrix). Now let  $g \in \pi \subset SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  be non-trivial. Since  $\pi$  is torsion-free and discrete in  $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  it follows easily that  $C_{\pi}(g)$  is in fact either infinite cyclic or a free abelian group of rank two. It now follows from [13, Proposition 5.4.4] (see also [10, Corollary 4.6] for the closed case) that there exists a boundary component S and  $h \in \pi_1(N)$  such that

$$C_{\pi}(g) = h\pi_1(S)h^{-1}.$$

Given a group  $\pi$  we say that an element g is divisible by an integer n if there exists an  $h \in \pi$  with  $g = h^n$ . We say g is infinitely divisible if g is divisible by infinitely many integers. The following lemma is an immediate consequences of Proposition 3.1 (5).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\pi \subset SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  be a discrete torsion-free group. Then  $\pi$  does not contain any non-trivial elements which are infinitely divisible.

Let  $\pi$  be a group. We say that a subgroup  $H \subset \pi$  is division closed if for any  $g \in \pi$  and n > 0 with  $g^n \in H$  the element g already lies in H. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1 (2) and (5) and from the observation that  $A \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  is parabolic (respectively loxodromic) if and only if a non-trivial power of A is parabolic (respectively loxodromic).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let N be a 3-manifold such that the interior of N is a hyperbolic 3-manifold of finite volume. Let T be a boundary component of N. Then  $\pi_1(T) \subset \pi_1(N)$  is division closed.

Let  $\pi$  be a group. We say that a subgroup H is malnormal if  $gHg^{-1}\cap H$  is trivial for any  $g \notin H$ . The following lemma is well-known.

# **Lemma 3.4.** Let N be a hyperbolic 3-manifold.

- (1) Let T be a boundary torus. Then  $\pi_1(T) \subset \pi_1(N)$  is malnormal.
- (2) Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be distinct boundary tori. Then for any  $g \in \pi_1(N)$  we have  $\pi_1(T_1) \cap g\pi_1(T_2)g^{-1} = \{e\}.$
- 3.2. Fundamental groups of Seifert fibered manifolds. Let N be a Seifert fibered space with regular fiber c. First note that if T is a boundary torus, then the Seifert fibration restricted to T induces a product structure. It follows that  $c \in \pi_1(T)$  and that c is indivisible in  $\pi_1(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

The following results summarize the key properties of fundamental groups of Seifert fibered spaces which are relevant to our discussion.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let N be a Seifert fibered 3-manifold with regular fiber c. Then there exists an  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  with the following property: If T is a boundary component, and if  $g \notin \pi_1(T)$  but some power of g lies in  $\pi_1(T)$ , then there exists d < s such that  $q^d = c$  or  $q^d = c^{-1}$ .

Proof. Let N be a Seifert fibered 3-manifold with boundary. Let s be the maximum order of a singular fiber of the fibration. Let T be a boundary component, and let  $g \notin \pi_1(T)$  such that some power of g lies in  $\pi_1(T)$ . We denote by  $p: N \to B$  the projection to the base orbifold. We denote by b the boundary curve of B corresponding to T. Note that  $p(g) \notin \langle b \rangle$  but a power of p(g) lies in  $\langle b \rangle$ . It follows easily from [6, Remark II.3.1] that p(g) is of finite order. In particular g corresponds to a singular fiber, and then it follows from the definition of s that there exists a  $d \leq s$  such that  $g^d = c$  or  $g^d = c^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Let N be a Seifert fibered 3-manifold with regular fiber c and let T be a boundary component. Let  $g \in \pi_1(T)$  which is not a power of c, then  $C_g(\pi_1(N)) = \pi_1(T)$ .

Proof. We denote by  $p: N \to B$  the projection to the base orbifold. Note that  $p(g) \in \pi_1(B)$  is non-trivial. It follows easily from [6, Remark II.3.1] that  $C_{p(g)}(\pi_1(B))$  is the group generated by the boundary curve of N corresponding to T. It follows easily that  $C_g(\pi_1(N)) = \pi_1(T)$ .

The following lemma is also well-known. It can be proved in a similar fashion as Lemma 3.6 by considering the equivalent problem in the fundamental group of the base manifold.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let N be a Seifert fibered 3-manifold. Denote by  $c \in \pi_1(N)$  the element represented by a regular fiber.

- (1) Let T be a boundary torus and  $g \in \pi_1(N) \setminus \pi_1(T)$ , then  $\pi_1(T) \cap g\pi_1(T)g^{-1} = \langle c \rangle$ .
- (2) Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be distinct boundary tori. Then for any  $g \in \pi_1(N)$  we have  $\pi_1(T_1) \cap g\pi_1(T_2)g^{-1} = \langle c \rangle$ .

We conclude with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let N be a non-spherical Seifert fibered manifold. Then  $\pi_1(N)$  does not contain non-trivial elements which are infinitely divisible.

*Proof.* Let N be a Seifert fibered manifold. Then there exists a finite cover N' which is an  $S^1$ -bundle over a surface S (see e.g. [5, p. 391] for details). We write  $\Gamma = \pi_1(S)$ ,  $\pi = \pi_1(N)$  and  $\pi' = \pi_1(N')$ . If N is non-spherical then the long exact sequence in homotopy implies that there exists a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \pi' \to \Gamma \to 1$$
.

Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Gamma$  are well-known not to admit any non-trivial infinitely divisible elements, it follows easily that  $\pi'$  does not admit a non-trivial infinitely divisible element. We write  $n = [\pi : \pi']$ . Since N is non-spherical we know that  $\pi$  is torsion-free. Note that if  $g \in \pi$  is non-trivial, then  $g^n$  lies in  $\pi'$  and it is also non-trivial. It is now easy to see that  $\pi$  can not admit a non-trivial infinitely divisible element either.

3.3. **3-manifolds and graphs of groups.** In this section we recall the well-known interpretation of 3-manifold groups as the fundamental group of a graph of groups. Let N be an irreducible, closed, oriented 3-manifold. Recall that the JSJ tori are a minimal collection  $\{T_1, \ldots, T_k\}$  of tori such that the complements of the tori are either atoroidal or Seifert fibered.

We denote by  $\mathcal{G}(N)$  the corresponding JSJ graph, i.e. the vertex set  $V = V(\mathcal{G})$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  consists of the set of components of N cut along  $T_1, \ldots, T_k$  pieces and the set  $E = E(\mathcal{G})$  of (unoriented) edges consists of the set of JSJ tori  $T_1, \ldots, T_k$ . We sometimes denote the JSJ tori by  $T_e, e \in E$  and we denote the components of N cut along  $\bigcup_{e \in E} T_e$  by  $N_v, v \in V$ . We equip each  $T_e$  with an orientation, we thus obtain two

canonical embeddings  $i_{\pm}$  of  $T_e$  into N cut along  $T_e$ . We then denote by  $o(e) \in V$  the unique vertex with  $i_{-}(T_e) \in N_{i(e)}$  and we denote by  $t(e) \in V$  the unique vertex with  $i_{+}(T_e) \in N_{f(e)}$ .

Suppose that N has a non-trivial JSJ decomposition. Then given a Seifert fibered component  $N_v$  of the JSJ decomposition of N we denote by  $c_v \in \pi_1(N_v)$  the group element defined by a corresponding regular fiber. Note that  $c_v$  is well-defined up to inversion (see [14, Lemma 1] or [4]).

We conclude this section with the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let N be a closed, oriented 3-manifold. Denote by  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(N)$  the corresponding JSJ graph. If e is an edge such that o(e) and t(e) correspond to Seifert fibered spaces, then  $\varphi_e^{-1}(c_{t(e)}) \neq c_{o(e)}^{\pm 1}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varphi_e^{-1}(c_{t(e)})$  was equal to  $c_{o(e)}^{\pm 1}$ , then  $N_{o(e)}$  and  $N_{t(e)}$  would have Seifert fiber structures which (after an isotopy) match along the edge torus. But this contradicts the minimality of the JSJ decomposition.

#### 4. Proof of the main results

4.1. **Divisibility in 3-manifold groups.** We will first prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let N be a 3-manifold. If N is not spherical, then  $\pi_1(N)$  does not contain any non-trivial elements which are infinitely divisible.

*Proof.* Let N be a non-spherical 3-manifold and let  $x \in \pi_1(N)$  be a non-trivial element. Since the statement of theorem is independent of the choice of base point and conjugation we can without loss of generality assume that l(x) = cl(x). We write l = l(x).

First suppose that l > 0. Suppose we have  $y \in \pi_1(N)$  and n such that  $y^n = x$ . Note that  $0 < cl(x) = cl(y^n) = n \cdot cl(y)$ . It now follows immediately that  $n \le l = cl(x)$ .

Now suppose that l = 0. Note that this means that x lies in a vertex group  $\pi_1(N_w)$ . We now define

$$d := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid x = y^n \text{ for some } y \in \pi_1(N_w)\}.$$

Note that  $d < \infty$  by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.8. Furthermore, given a Seifert fibered component  $N_v$  we define

 $s_v := \text{maximum of the orders of the singular fibers of } N_v.$ 

П

Finally we define s to be the maximum over all  $s_v$ . If there are no Seifert fibered components, then we set s=1. The following claim now implies the theorem.

Claim. If there exists  $y \in \pi_1(N)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $y^n = x$ , then  $n \leq ds$ .

Suppose we have  $y \in \pi_1(N)$  and n such that  $y^n = x$ . Note that  $0 = l(x) = cl(x) = cl(y^n) = n \cdot cl(y)$ . It now follows that cl(y) = 0. If l(y) = 0, then  $y \in \pi_1(N_w)$ , hence the conclusion holds trivially by the definition of d. Now suppose that l(y) > 0. Then there exists a reduced path  $p = (g_0, e_1, g_1, \ldots, e_l, g_l)$  from w to a vertex v and  $z \in \pi_1(N_v)$  such that y is represented by  $p * z * p^{-1}$ . Among all such pairs (p, z) we pick a pair which minimizes the length of p.

Since p is minimal and l(p) > 0 we see that  $g_l z g_l^{-1} \not\in \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{e_l})$ . On the other hand  $p * z^n * p^{-1}$  represents  $y^n = x$ , hence this path is reduced, which implies that  $g_l z^n g_l^{-1} \in \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{e_l})$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{e_l})$  is not division closed, using Lemma 3.3 we conclude that  $N_v$  is Seifert fibered.

We denote by  $c_v$  the regular fiber of  $N_v$ . Recall that by Theorem 3.5 there exists  $r|s_v$  with  $g_l z^r g_l^{-1} = c_v$ . It also follows from Theorem 3.5 that  $g_l z^n g_l^{-1} = c_v^m \in \text{Im}(\varphi_{e_l})$  for some m. Note that n = mr.

We can now apply Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7, Theorem 3.9 and the fact that p is reduced to conclude that

$$(g_0, e_1, g_1, \dots, e_{l-1}, g_{l-1}\varphi_{e_l}^{-1}(c_v^m)g_{l-1}^{-1}, e_{l-1}^{-1}, \dots, g_1^{-1}, e_1^{-1}, g_0^{-1})$$

is reduced. It follows that l = 1. Note that

$$x = g_0 \varphi_{e_1}^{-1}(c_v^m) g_0^{-1} = (g_0 \varphi_{e_1}^{-1}(c_v) g_0^{-1})^m.$$

It follows that  $m \leq d$ . We also have  $r \leq s_v \leq s$ . We now conclude that  $n = mr \leq ds$ .

## 4.2. Commuting elements in 3-manifold groups.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let N be a 3-manifold. Let  $x, y \in \pi_1(N)$  with  $x = yxy^{-1}$ . Then one of the following holds:

- (1) x and y generate a cyclic group in  $\pi_1(N)$ , or
- (2) there exists a JSJ torus T such that x and y lie in a conjugate of  $\pi_1(T) \subset \pi_1(N)$ , or
- (3) there exists a Seifert fibered component M of the JSJ decomposition such that x and y lie in a conjugate of  $\pi_1(M) \subset \pi_1(N)$ .

*Proof.* Let N be a 3-manifold. Denote by  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(N)$  the corresponding JSJ graph with vertex set V and edge set E. We denote by  $w \in V$  the vertex which contains the base point of N. We denote the vertex groups by  $G_v = \pi_1(N_v), v \in V$ .

The theorem holds trivially for Seifert fibered spaces, we can therefore assume that N is not a Seifert fibered space, in particular that N is not spherical. Suppose we have  $x, y \in \pi_1(N)$  with  $x = yxy^{-1}$ . By the symmetry of x and y we can without loss of generality assume that  $cl(x) \leq cl(y)$ . Note that the statement of the theorem does not change under conjugation and change of base point, we can therefore without loss of generality assume that cl(x) = l(x).

We represent y by a reduced loop  $p = (h_0, f_1, h_1, \dots, f_{l-1}, h_{l-1}, f_l, h_l)$  based at w. If l = 0, then l(x) = 0 as well since  $l(x) = cl(x) \le cl(y) \le l(y) = 0$ . In that case we are done by Proposition 3.1 (5). We thus henceforth only consider the case that  $l \ge 1$ .

After conjugating x and y with  $h_l$  we can without loss of generality assume that  $h_l = 1$ . Recall that p being reduced implies that for i = 2, ..., l the following holds:

$$(4.1) f_i \neq \overline{f_{i-1}} mtext{ or } f_i = \overline{f_{i-1}} mtext{ and } h_{i-1} \notin \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{f_{i-1}}).$$

We first study the case that l(x) = 0, i.e.  $x \in G_w$ . Clearly we can assume that x is non-trivial.

Now consider

$$p * x * p^{-1} = (h_0, f_1, h_1, \dots, f_l, x, f_l^{-1}, \dots, h_1^{-1}, f_1^{-1}, h_0^{-1}).$$

This path is not reduced since  $yxy^{-1}$  can be represented by a path of length zero. It follows that  $x \in \text{Im}(\varphi_{f_l})$ . We can now represent  $x = yxy^{-1}$  by the following path:

$$(4.2) (h_0, f_1, h_1, \dots, f_{l-1}, h_{l-1}\varphi_{f_l}^{-1}(x)h_{l-1}^{-1}, f_{l-1}^{-1}, \dots, h_1^{-1}, f_1^{-1}, h_0^{-1}).$$

Case 1: l=1, i.e.  $y=(h_0,f_1,1)$ . In that case  $yxy^{-1}=x$  is represented by  $h_0\varphi_{f_1}^{-1}(x)h_0^{-1}$ . It follows that  $x\in \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{f_1})$  and  $x\in h_0\operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{\overline{f_1}})h_0^{-1}$ . But if  $t(f_1)=o(f_1)$  is hyperbolic this is not possible by Lemma 3.4 since the two boundary tori of  $N_{t(f_1)}=N_{o(f_1)}$  corresponding to the edge  $f_1$  are obviously different. If  $t(f_1)=o(f_1)$  is Seifert fibered, then we can similarly exclude this case by appealing to Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.9.

Case 2: The vertex  $o(f_l)$  is hyperbolic. It follows easily from (4.1) and Lemma 3.4 that the path (4.2) is reduced. Since the path represents x this implies in particular that l = 1. We thus reduced Case 2 to Case 1.

Case 3: The vertex  $o(f_l)$  is Seifert fibered and  $\varphi_{f_l}^{-1}(x) \notin \langle c_{o(f_l)} \rangle$ . Note that Lemma 3.7 together with Theorem 3.9 and (4.1) implies that the path (4.2) is reduced, i.e. l=1. We thus also reduced Case 3 to Case 1.

Case 4: The vertex  $o(f_l)$  is Seifert fibered,  $\varphi_{f_l}^{-1}(x) \in \langle c_{o(f_l)} \rangle$  and l > 1. Note that by Theorem 3.5 (2) this implies that  $h_{l-1}\varphi_{f_l}^{-1}(x)h_{l-1}^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\varphi_{f_{l-1}})$ . We can thus represent x by

$$(h_0, f_1, \dots, f_{l-2}, h_{l-2} \cdot \varphi_{f_{l-1}}^{-1}(h_{l-1}\varphi_{f_l}^{-1}(x)h_{l-1}^{-1}) \cdot h_{l-2}^{-1}, f_{l-2}^{-1}, \dots, f_1^{-1}, h_0^{-1}).$$

If  $o(f_{l-1})$  is hyperbolic, then the argument of Case 2 immediately shows that l=2. If  $o(f_{l-1})$  is Seifert fibered, then it follows from Theorems 3.5 and 3.9 and from Lemma 3.7 (2) that  $h_{l-2} \cdot \varphi_{f_{l-1}}^{-1} (h_{l-1} \varphi_{f_l}^{-1}(x) h_{l-1}^{-1}) \cdot h_{l-2}^{-1} \notin \langle c_{o(f_{l-1})} \rangle$ . The argument of Case 3 immediately shows that again l=2. We now showed that l=2, we thus see that x equals

$$h_0 \cdot \varphi_{f_{l-1}}^{-1}(h_{l-1}\varphi_{f_l}^{-1}(x)h_{l-1}^{-1}) \cdot h_0^{-1}.$$

If  $o(f_1) = t(f_2)$  is hyperbolic, then  $x \in \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{f_2})$  and  $x \in h_0 \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{\overline{f_1}}) h_0^{-1}$ . It follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $f_1 = \overline{f_2}$  and  $h_0 \in \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{\overline{f_1}})$ . If we change the base point to  $o(f_2) = t(f_1)$  we see that x is represented by  $\varphi_{f_2}^{-1}(x) \in G_{o(f_2)}$  and y is represented by  $\varphi_{f_1}(h_0)h_1 \in G_{o(f_2)}$ . If on the other hand  $o(f_1) = t(f_2)$  is Seifert fibered, then it follows from Theorem 3.9 that  $x \notin \langle c_{t(f_2)} \rangle$ . It now follows easily from Lemma 3.7 that  $f_1 = \overline{f_2}$  and  $h_0 \in \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{\overline{f_1}})$ . We conclude the argument as above.

We now turn to the case that l(x) > 0. We claim that Conclusion (1) holds. By Theorem 4.1 we can find  $z \in \pi_1(N)$  which is indivisible and n > 0 with  $x = z^n$ . Without loss of generality assume that z is cyclically reduced. We claim that y is a power of z as well. We represent z by a reduced loop  $q = (g_0, e_1, g_1, \ldots, e_k, g_k)$ . We now consider the path  $p * q^n * p^{-1}$  which is given by

$$(h_0, f_1, h_1, \dots, f_l, h_l \cdot g_0, e_1, g_1, \dots, e_k, g_k \cdot h_l^{-1}, f_l^{-1}, \dots, h_1^{-1}, f_1^{-1}, h_0^{-1}).$$

This loop has to be reduced since l > 0 and therefore the loop is longer than the loop  $q^n$  which represents the same element. We conclude that one of the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $f_l = \overline{e_1}$  and  $h_l g_0 \in \operatorname{Im}(\varphi_{f_l})$ , or
- (2)  $e_k = f_l \text{ and } g_k h_l^{-1} \in \text{Im}(\varphi_{e_k}).$

Note though that not both conclusions can hold, otherwise x would not be cyclically reduced. Now suppose that (1) holds and (2) does not hold. A straightforward induction argument now shows that  $p = p' * q^{-1}$  for some reduced path p'. On the other hand, if (2) holds and (1) does not hold, then a straightforward induction argument shows that  $p = q^{-1} * p'$  for some reduced path p'.

Claim. If l(p') = 0, then p' represents the trivial element.

If l(p') = 0, then we denote by y' the element represented by p'. Suppose that y' is non-trivial. In that case we have  $y'x^n(y')^{-1} = x^n$  for any n, in particular  $x^ny'x^{-n} = y'$ . It follows from the discussion of Cases 1, 2, 3 and 4 above that  $l(x^n) \leq 2$  for any n. Since x is cyclically reduced and l(x) > 0 this case can not occur. This concludes the proof of the claim.

If p' represents the trivial element we are clearly done. If not, then l(p') > 0 and we can do an induction argument on the length of p' to show that y is in fact a power of z.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** For the reader's convenience we recall the statement of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let N be a 3-manifold. We write  $\pi = \pi_1(N)$ . Let  $g \in \pi$ . If  $C_{\pi}(g)$  is non-cyclic, then one of the following holds:

(1) there exists a JSJ torus or a boundary torus T and  $h \in \pi$  such that  $g \in h\pi_1(T)h^{-1}$  and such that

$$C_{\pi}(g) = h\pi_1(T)h^{-1},$$

(2) there exists a Seifert fibered component M and  $h \in \pi$  such that  $g \in h\pi_1(M)h^{-1}$  and such that

$$C_{\pi}(g) = hC_{\pi_1(M)}(h^{-1}gh)h^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let N be a 3-manifold and let  $g \in \pi = \pi_1(N)$ . If for any  $h \in C_{\pi}(g)$  the group generated by g and h is cyclic, then either  $C_{\pi}(g)$  is cyclic, or g is infinitely divisible. Since the former case is excluded by Theorem 4.1 the latter case has to hold.

Now suppose that  $C_{\pi}(g)$  is not cyclic and suppose that there exist an  $h \in C_{\pi}(g)$  such that the group generated by g and h is not cyclic. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that one of the following three cases occurs:

- (1) there exists a JSJ torus T such that g lies in a conjugate of  $\pi_1(T) \subset \pi_1(N)$ ,
- (2) there exists a Seifert fibered component M of the JSJ decomposition such that g lies in a conjugate of  $\pi_1(M) \subset \pi_1(N)$ ,

First suppose there exists a JSJ torus T such that g lies in a conjugate of  $\pi_1(T) \subset \pi_1(N)$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $g \in \pi_1(T)$ . We first consider the case that the two JSJ components abutting T are different. We denote these two components by  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . By Proposition 3.1 (5) the following claim implies the theorem in this case.

Claim. There exists an  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that

$$C_{\pi}(g) = C_{\pi_1(M_i)}(g).$$

Let  $h \in C_{\pi}(g)$ . It follows easily from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that either  $h \in \pi_1(M_1)$  or  $h \in \pi_1(M_2)$ . If  $M_1$  is hyperbolic, then it follows from Lemma 3.2 and from Proposition 3.1 (5) that  $h \in \pi_1(T)$ . It follows that  $C_{\pi}(g) = C_{\pi_1(M_2)}(g)$ . Similarly we deal with the case that  $M_2$  is hyperbolic. Finally assume that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are Seifert fibered. We denote by  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  the regular fibers of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . If g is not a power of  $c_1$ , then it follows from Lemma 3.6 that  $C_{\pi}(g) = C_{\pi_1(M_2)}(g)$ , similarly if g is not a power of  $c_2$ . Recall that  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are indivisible in  $\pi_1(T)$  and that by Theorem 3.9 we have  $c_1 \neq c_2^{\pm 1}$ . It follows that g is either not a power of  $c_1$  or not a power of  $c_2$ .

The case that the torus is non-separating can be dealt with similarly. We leave this to the reader. Also, if there exists a Seifert fibered component M of the JSJ decomposition such that g lies in a conjugate of  $\pi_1(M) \subset \pi_1(N)$  and such that g does not lie in the image of a boundary torus, then it follows easily from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that

$$C_{\pi}(g) = C_{\pi_1(M)}(g).$$

### References

- [1] M. Aschenbrenner, S. Friedl and H. Wilton, 3-manifold groups, Preprint (2011)
- [2] H. Bass, Covering theory for graphs of groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 89 (1993), no. 1-2, 3-47.
- [3] D. E. Cohen, Combinatorial Group Theory: A Topological Approach, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 14, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [4] C. McA. Gordon and W. Heil, Cyclic normal subgroups of fundamental groups of 3-manifolds, Topology 14, 305-309 (1975).
- [5] J. Hempel, Residual finiteness for 3-manifolds, Combinatorial group theory and topology (Alta, Utah, 1984), 379–396, Ann. of Math. Stud., 111, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987
- [6] W. Jaco and P. Shalen, Seifert fibered spaces in 3-manifolds, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1979), no. 220.
- [7] K. Johannson, Homotopy equivalences of 3-manifolds with boundaries, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 761. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [8] P. Orlik, Seifert manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 291, Springer-Verlag (1972).
- [9] P. Orlik, E. Vogt and H. Zieschang, Zur Topologie gefaserter dreidimensionaler Manniafaltigkeiten, Topology, 6 (1967), 49-64.
- [10] P. Scott, The geometries of 3-manifolds, Bull. London Math. Soc. 15 (1983), no. 5, 401–487
- [11] J.-P. Serre, Trees, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- [12] P. Shalen, Representations of 3-manifold groups, Handbook of Geometric Topology, pp. 955-1044 (2002)

- [13] W. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, Princeton Lecture Notes (1979), available at http://www.msri.org/publications/books/gt3m/
- [14] J. Tollefson, *Involutions of Seifert fiber spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 74 (1978), no.
- 2, 519–529.
- [15] F. Waldhausen, On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large, Ann. Math. (2) 87, 56-88 (1968).

Mathematisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Germany  $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \mathtt{sfriedl@gmail.com}$