

# **Plug-in estimators for random fields with nearest neighbor interactions**

**Wolfgang Wefelmeyer**

University of Cologne

<http://www.mi.uni-koeln.de/~wefelm/>

Joint with

**Cindy Greenwood**, University of British Columbia

**Ian McKeague**, Columbia University

**Salerno, June 15, 2018**

**Empirical estimator.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  be the  $d$ -dimensional square lattice,  $E$  an arbitrary state space,  $X$  a stationary random field on  $E^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ . Observe  $X$  on the window  $W = [-n, n]^d$ .

Let  $f_V$  on  $E^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  be *local*, i.e., dependent only on a finite set  $V \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ . A natural estimator for the expectation  $E f_V(X_V)$  is the *empirical estimator*

$$\mathbb{P}_V f_V = \frac{1}{|i : V + i \subset W|} \sum_{V+i \subset W} f_V(X_{V+i}).$$

Under appropriate integrability conditions, the empirical estimator is *asymptotically normal*.

If LAN holds and no structural assumptions on the field are made, the empirical estimator is *efficient*.

Greenwood and W (1999), Janžura (2014).

The distribution of the random field  $X$  on  $E^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  is determined by its *local characteristic* at 0: the conditional distribution at 0 given the other sites.

Denote the *Manhattan metric* on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  by

$$|i| = \sum_{r=1}^d |i_r|, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The *nearest neighbors* of 0 are the sites  $i$  with  $|i| = 1$ .

These are the  $2d$  points in the unit sphere (rhombus) around 0.

The random field has *nearest neighbor interactions* if the local characteristic at 0 depends only on the  $2d$  nearest neighbors.

A *clique* is a set of sites that are neighbors to each other. For nearest neighbor interactions, these are 0 and the  $d$  pairs  $(0, e_r)$  with unit vectors  $e_r$ , and their shifts.

**Gibbs representation.** From now on the state space is  $E = [0, 1]$ , and the random field on  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  has Lebesgue density and nearest neighbor interactions. The *shift* of  $x$  by  $-i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  is  $\vartheta_i(x)_j = x_{j+i}$ . Then for each finite  $V \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the conditional density on  $V$  given the complement of  $V$  has the form

$$\frac{1}{Z_V} \exp \left[ - \sum_{(C+i) \cap V \neq \emptyset} u_C \circ \vartheta_i \right]$$

with  $Z_V$  the norming constant and  $u_C$  functions depending on one of the  $d + 1$  cliques  $0$  and  $(0, e_r)$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, d$ .

In principle, the Gibbs representation can be used to construct efficient estimators. For *parametric* random fields, in particular finite state space, one can use a maximum likelihood estimator. The norming constant  $Z_V$  is a problem.

The empirical estimator for the expectation  $E f_V(X_V)$  of a local function  $f_V$  is efficient if and only if  $f_V$  is sum of functions each depending on a single clique. Greenwood and W (1999).

**Factoring the distribution.** For arbitrary random field and configurations  $A, B, C$ , we say that  $A$  *splits*  $B$  and  $C$  if  $B$  and  $C$  are conditionally independent given  $A$ .

We say that  $B$  *factors* given  $A$  if the sites in  $B$  are conditionally independent given  $A$ .

Consider a random field on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Call a site  $i$  *even* if  $\sum_{r=1}^d i_r$  is even; otherwise *odd*.

Assume nearest neighbor interactions. Then the odd sites factor given the even sites, and conversely. Besag (1974).

Let  $B_m$  and  $S_m$  be the ball and the sphere of radius  $m$  around 0. Then  $S_m$  consists of even sites if  $m$  is even, and conversely.

Hence  $S_{m-1}$  splits  $B_{m-2}$  and  $B_{m-1}^c$ .

Hence the conditional distribution of  $B_{m-1}^c$  given  $B_{m-1}$  equals the conditional distribution of  $B_{m-1}^c$  given  $S_{m-1}$ .

Also, by Besag's observation,  $S_m$  factors given  $S_{m-1}$ .

The distribution on the ball  $B_k$  around 0 is now factored starting at 0 and going outward through the spheres  $S_1, \dots, S_k$ .

Take distribution  $P_0$  at 0. Now  $S_1$  factors given  $S_0 = \{0\}$  into  $2d$  one-dimensional conditional distributions given 0.

Given  $s \in S_m$  write  $(s)$  for the neighbors of  $s$  in  $S_{m-1}$ .

Write  $Q(x_{(s)}, dx_s)$  for the corresponding conditional distribution.

The distribution on the ball  $B_k$  factors as

$$P_0(dx_0) \prod_{m=1}^k \prod_{s \in S_m} Q(x_{(s)}, dx_s).$$

Note: Configuration  $(s)$  is the smaller the more “exposed”  $s$  is:

If  $s$  is in the interior of a side of  $S_m$  of dimension  $r = 2, \dots, d - 1$ , then  $s$  has  $r$  neighbors in  $S_{m-1}$ .

Also, the “corners” of  $S_m$  have single neighbor in  $S_{m-1}$ .

**Plug-in estimator.** Let  $X$  be a stationary nearest-neighbor random field on  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ .

For simplicity, let the finite-dimensional densities of  $X$  be *quasi-uniform*, i.e., bounded and bounded away from 0.

Write  $[s]$  for the union of  $s$  and  $(s)$ .

Factor the density of  $X_{[s]}$  into density of  $X_{(s)}$  and conditional density of  $X_s$  given  $X_{(s)}$ :

$$p_{[s]}(x_{[s]}) = p_{(s)}(x_{(s)})q_{s|(s)}(x_{(s)}, x_s).$$

Then the density on  $B_k$  factors as

$$p_0(x_0) \prod_{m=1}^k \prod_{s \in S_m} \frac{p_{[s]}(x_{[s]})}{p_{(s)}(x_{(s)})}.$$



Plug in density estimators  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_{(s)}, \hat{p}_{[s]}$  for  $p_0, p_{(s)}, p_{[s]}$  based on observations in the window  $W = [-n, n]^d$  if the densities are smooth enough to allow for density estimators that have **uniform rate**  $o(n^{-1/4})$  in probability, and a **plug-in property**:

$$\int f_V(x_V) \hat{p}_V(x_V) dx_V = \mathbb{P}_V f_V + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

where  $\mathbb{P}_V f_V$  is again the empirical estimator

$$\mathbb{P}_V f_V = \frac{1}{|i : V + i \subset W|} \sum_{V+i \subset W} f_V(X_{V+i}).$$

The **plug-in estimator** for an expectation  $E f_{B_k}(X_{B_k})$  is

$$\hat{P}_{B_k} f_{B_k} = \int f(x_{B_k}) \hat{p}_0(x_0) \prod_{m=1}^k \prod_{s \in S_m} \frac{\hat{p}_{[s]}(x_{[s]})}{\hat{p}_{(s)}(x_{(s)})} dx_{B_k}.$$

It has smaller asymptotic variance than the empirical estimator

$$\mathbb{P}_{B_k} f_{B_k} = \frac{1}{|i : B_k + i \subset W|} \sum_{B_k+i \subset W} f(X_{B_k+i}).$$

Explicitly: The plug-in estimator is *asymptotically linear*,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}f_{B_k} &= \mathbb{P}_0 E(f_{B_k} | X_0) \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{s \in S_m} \left( \mathbb{P}_{[s]} E(f_{B_k} | X_{[s]}) - \mathbb{P}_{(s)} E(f_{B_k} | X_{(s)}) \right) + o_p(n^{-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

and the influence function is a projection of the influence function of the empirical estimator.

Degenerate case: Dimension  $d = 1$ .

Then the nearest neighbor random field is a first-order Markov chain.

The **local characteristic** at 0 is the conditional distribution at 0 given sites  $-1$  and  $1$ . The **cliques** are  $0$ ,  $(0, 1)$ , and their shifts.

For notational convenience, consider the time interval  $\{0, \dots, k\}$  in place of the ball  $B_k = \{-k, \dots, k\}$  around  $0$ .

Assume state space  $[0, 1]$  and Lebesgue density.

We have a representation of the density on  $0, \dots, k$  in terms of (conditional) densities on cliques, as in a Gibbs representation:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k+1}(x_0, \dots, x_k) &= p(x_0)q(x_0, x_1) \cdots q(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &= \frac{p(x_0, x_1) \cdots p(x_{m-1}, x_m)}{p_2(x_1) \cdots p_2(x_{m-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $p$  and  $p_2$  are the 1- and 2-dimensional densities of the Markov chain, and  $q$  is the transition density.

Under conditions, the **plug-in estimator is always efficient**.

Kwon (2000). Different construction: Schick and W (2002).