

## Solution to Exercise 4, Sheet 4

---

**Exercise.** For  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ , show that the segment  $S_{X^\sigma, X^\tau}$  ( $X^\sigma$  is the permutation matrix associated to  $\sigma$ ) connecting  $X^\sigma$  and  $X^\tau$  is an edge of the Birkhoff polytope  $B_N$  if and only if  $\sigma^{-1}\tau$  is a cycle.

**Proof.** We first show that it suffices to consider the case where  $\sigma = e$ , the identity element. That is to say,  $S_{X^\sigma, X^\tau}$  is an edge of  $B_N$  if and only if  $S_{X^e, X^{\sigma^{-1}\tau}}$  is an edge of  $B_N$ .

Assume that  $S_{X^\sigma, X^\tau}$  is an edge, then there exists a hyperplane  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, b}$  such that

- $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, b} \cap B_N = S_{X^\sigma, X^\tau}$ ;
- $B_N \subset \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, b}^-$ .

We show that  $S_{X^e, X^{\sigma^{-1}\tau}}$  is an edge. Indeed, we consider  $\alpha' := \alpha \cdot X^\sigma$ , and claim that

1.  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha', b} \cap B_N = S_{X^e, X^{\sigma^{-1}\tau}}$ ;
2.  $B_N \subset \mathcal{H}_{\alpha', b}^-$ .

We show the first part.

⊂: if  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha', b} \cap B_N$  then  $b = \alpha'(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha(X^\sigma \mathbf{x})$ , that is to say,  $X^\sigma \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, b}$ . Writing  $\mathbf{x}$  into a convex combination of the permutation matrices shows that  $X^\sigma \mathbf{x} \in B_N$ , hence it is contained in  $S_{X^\sigma, X^\tau}$ : there exists  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  such that  $X^\sigma \mathbf{x} = \lambda X^\sigma + (1 - \lambda)X^\tau$ , hence  $\mathbf{x} = \lambda X^e + (1 - \lambda)X^{\sigma^{-1}\tau}$ .

⊃: if  $\mathbf{x} = \lambda X^e + (1 - \lambda)X^{\sigma^{-1}\tau}$  for some  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \in B_N$  and

$$\alpha'(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda \alpha(X^\sigma X^e + (1 - \lambda)X^\sigma X^{\sigma^{-1}\tau}) = \alpha(\lambda X^\sigma + (1 - \lambda)X^\tau) = b.$$

The second part: Let  $\mathbf{x} \in B_N$ . Write it as a convex combination of permutation matrices:

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \lambda_\tau X^\tau.$$

Then

$$\alpha'(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \lambda_\tau \alpha(X^\sigma X^\tau) \leq b \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \lambda_\tau = b.$$

We proved that  $S_{X^e, X^{\sigma^{-1}\tau}}$  is an edge. The same argument shows the other implication.

Now it suffices to show that  $S_{X^e, X^\tau}$  is an edge if and only if  $\tau$  is a cycle.

Assume that  $\tau$  is not a cycle, that is to say,  $\tau = c_1 c_2$  where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are multiplications of at least one cycle. We claim that

$$X^e + X^\tau = X^{c_1} + X^{c_2},$$

which means that  $S_{X^e, X^\tau}$  is not an edge, as the middle point of the segment connecting  $X^e$  and  $X^\tau$  can be expressed as a convex combination of  $X^{c_1}$  and  $X^{c_2}$ .

To show this claim, it suffices to compare the  $(i, j)$ -entry of both sides, which is straightforward.

Now we assume that  $\tau = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is a cycle and want to show that  $S_{X^e, X^\tau}$  is an edge. That is to say, we want to find  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, b}$  such that

- $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,b} \cap B_N = S_{X^e, X^\tau}$ ;
- $B_N \subset \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,b}^-$ .

For  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$  we denote  $\varepsilon_{i,j}$  be the linear function on the vector space  $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{R})$  mapping a matrix to its  $(i, j)$ -entry. We consider

$$\alpha := \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_{i_s, i_{s+1}} + \varepsilon_{i_k, i_1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i,i}$$

and  $b = N$ . Let  $X$  be a double stochastic matrix in  $B_N$ . As its row and column sum are all 1, we know that  $B_N \subset \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,b}$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha(X) = N$ , then  $X$  must be supported on the set

$$\{(i_s, i_{s+1}), (i_k, i_1), (i, i) \mid s = 1, \dots, k-1; i = 1, \dots, N\},$$

which means that if the index set of an entry is not in this set, then the entry is zero. Again by the double stochastic property, for  $j \in J$ ,  $X_{j,j} = 1$  and

$$X_{i_1, i_2} = X_{i_2, i_3} = \dots = X_{i_{k-1}, i_k} = X_{i_k, i_1},$$

which implies that

$$X_{i_1, i_1} = \dots = X_{i_k, i_k} = 1 - X_{i_1, i_2}.$$

Let  $\lambda = X_{i_1, i_1}$  then  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and

$$X = \lambda X^e + (1 - \lambda) X^\tau.$$

This proves that  $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,b} \cap B_N \subset S_{X^e, X^\tau}$ . The other inclusion is clear, as  $\alpha(X^e) = N$  and  $\alpha(X^\tau) = N$  implies that the segment  $S_{X^e, X^\tau} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,b}$ .