Universität zu Köln

Institut für Mathematik Dozent: Dr. P. Gracar Assistenten: A. Prévost, L. Schmitz

Solution for 0. Exercise sheet Probability II (To work on during the second weak)

(To work on during the second week)

>@@@<

Exercise 0.1

- a) Compute the characteristic function of a random variable X with distribution Bin(n, p), for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (0, 1)$.
- b) Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent random variables, such that the law of X_n is $\text{Uni}(1, \ldots, n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. What is the probability that $X_n = 1$ infinitely often?
- c) Let $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables, such that the law of X_n is $\operatorname{Geo}(\frac{\lambda}{n})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda > 0$. Show that

$$\frac{X_n}{n} \implies X \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda).$$

d) Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables, so that the density of X_n is $f_n(x) = 2xn^2 e^{-x^2n^2} \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda > 0$. Show that

$$X_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0.$$

Lösung.

a) We have

$$\varphi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(iXt)] = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \exp(ikt)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\exp(it)p)^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$
$$= (pe^{it} + 1 - p)^n.$$

b) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = 1) = \text{Uni}_{\{1,\dots,n\}}(\{1\}) = \frac{1}{n}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(X_n = 1) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} = \infty.$$

Since $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an independent family, we have by Borel-Cantelli lemma

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = 1 \text{ infinitely often}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} \{X_n = 1\}\right) = 1.$$

c) We have for all x > 0

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}X_n \le x\right) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_n > nx)$$

= $1 - \mathbb{P}(X_n > \lfloor nx \rfloor)$
= $1 - \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{\lfloor nx \rfloor} = 1 - \left((1 - \frac{\lambda}{n})^n\right)^{\frac{\lfloor nx \rfloor}{n}}$
 $\xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 1 - (e^{-\lambda})^x = 1 - e^{-x\lambda},$

since $\frac{xn-1}{n} = x - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{\lfloor nx \rfloor}{n} \le x$. For $x \le 0$ we have $\mathbb{P}(\frac{1}{n}X_n \le x) = 0$. Thus the claim follows.

d) Let $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - 0| \ge \varepsilon) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_n \in [0, \varepsilon)) = 1 - \int_0^\varepsilon 2tn^2 e^{-t^2n^2} dt$$
$$= 1 + \left[e^{-t^2n^2}\right]_0^\varepsilon = e^{-\varepsilon^2n^2} \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Exercise 0.2

Let $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and X be non-negative random variables in $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, such that $X_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} X$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. In the first two questions, we assume that $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

a) Show that if $||X_n - X||_p \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$, then $||X_n||_p \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} ||X||_p$.

b) Show that

$$\int \left((X_n - X)^p \right)^- d\mathbb{P} \mathop{\longrightarrow}_{n \to \infty} 0.$$

c) Show that, if p = 1,

$$\|X_n\|_1 \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \|X\|_1 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \|X_n - X\|_1 \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

d) If $p = \infty$, does the following equivalence always hold:

$$||X_n||_{\infty} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} ||X||_{\infty} \quad \iff \quad ||X_n - X||_{\infty} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0 ?$$

Lösung.

a) By Minkowski Inequality we have

$$||X_n||_p = ||X_n - X + X||_p \le ||X_n - X||_p + ||X||_p.$$

Similarly we have $||X||_p \le ||X_n - X||_p + ||X_n||_p$, and thus $|||X_n||_p - ||X||_p| \le ||X_n - X||_p$, and we can conclude.

b) Since $((X_n - X)^p)^- \leq |X_n - X|^p \mathbb{1}_{X > X_n} \leq X^p$ and $X \in \mathcal{L}^p$, we have have by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\int \left((X_n - X)^p \right)^- \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \to 0.$$

c) We have

$$\int (X_n - X)^+ d\mathbb{P} = \int_{X_n \ge X} (X_n - X) d\mathbb{P}$$
$$= \int X_n d\mathbb{P} - \int X d\mathbb{P} - \int_{X_n < X} (X_n - X) d\mathbb{P}$$

But

$$\left| \int_{X_n < X} (X_n - X) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \right| \le \left| \int (X_n - X)^- \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \right| \to 0,$$

and thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int X_n \, d\mathbb{P} = \int X \, d\mathbb{P}$ and b) give

$$\int (X_n - X)^+ \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \to 0.$$

Combining this with a) and b), we can conclude.

d) No: By definition we have $||X||_{\infty} = \inf\{M \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P}(X \ge M) = 0\}$. We take $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{F} = 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Poi}(1), X_n = \mathbb{1}_{\{1,n\}} \text{ and } X = \mathbb{1}_{\{1\}}$. Then we have $X_n \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} X \mathbb{P}$ -a.s. But $\mathbb{P}(X \ge M) = 0$ for all M > 1 and $\mathbb{P}(X \ge 1) = \operatorname{Poi}(1)(\{1\}) > 0$, so $||X||_{\infty} = 1$. Analogously, $||X_n||_{\infty} = ||X||_{\infty} = 1$. But we have $||X_n - X||_{\infty} = ||\mathbb{1}_n||_{\infty} = 1$.

Exercise 0.3

Let $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (E, \mathcal{E})$ and $Y : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ be two random variables. The goal of this exercise is to show that:

Y is $\sigma(X)$ -measurable $\Leftrightarrow \exists a \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable function $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $Y = f \circ X$.

- a) Show \Leftarrow .
- b) Show \Rightarrow when Y is a simple non-negative function.
- c) Show \Rightarrow when Y is non-negative.
- d) Show \Rightarrow .

Lösung.

a) We have for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

$$Y^{-1}(B) = (f \circ X)^{-1}(B) = X^{-1}(\underbrace{f^{-1}(B)}_{\in \mathcal{E}}) \in \sigma(X),$$

and thus Y is $\sigma(X)$ -measurable.

b) Let us assume that $Y = \sum_{i=1} a_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$, where $A_i \in \sigma(X)$, and let $B_i \in \mathcal{E}$ be such that $X^{-1}(B_i) = A_i$. Defining

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i},$$

we have that f is $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable and

$$f \circ X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbb{1}_{X \in B_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} = Y.$$

c) We first assume that X is non-negative. By the lecture "Wahrscheinlichkeitstheory I", there exists a sequence of $\sigma(X) - \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable simple functions $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, increasing to Y, that we can explicitly write as

$$Y_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n2^n-1} \frac{k}{2^n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{k}{2^n} \le Y < \frac{k+1}{2^n}\}} + n\mathbb{1}_{Y \ge n}.$$

By b), there exists for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a $\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable function f_n such that $Y_n = f_n \circ X$. Let us define

$$f(x) := \mathbb{1}_{\limsup_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) < \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} f_n(x).$$

Since the limsup of measurable function is measurable, we clearly have that f is $\mathcal{E} - \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable. Since $f_n \circ X = Y_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} Y$, we also have Y = f(X).

d) We write $Y = Y^+ - Y^-$, then there exists two $\sigma(X) - \mathcal{B}(R)$ -measurable functions f^+ and f^- such that

 $Y^+ = f^+ \circ X$ und $Y^- = f^- \circ X$.

Taking $f = f^+ - f^-$, we clear have that f is $\sigma(X) - \mathcal{B}(R)$ -measurable and that $Y = f \circ X$.