# Covariant Symplectic Structure and Conserved Charges of Topologically Massive Gravity

Caner Nazaroglu, 1,\* Yavuz Nutku, 2 and Bayram Tekin 1,†

1 Department of Physics,

Middle East Technical University, 06531, Ankara, Turkey

Fera Correct Institute P.O. Box 6 Cengelköv, Istanbul 81220 Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Feza Gürsey Institute P.O.Box 6 Çengelköy, Istanbul 81220 Turkey (Dated: May 18, 2011)

We present the covariant symplectic structure of the Topologically Massive Gravity and find a compact expression for the conserved charges of generic spacetimes with Killing symmetries.

#### Contents

| I.   | Introduction  | 1                |
|------|---|------------------|
| II.  | Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory   | 2                |
| III. | Topologically massive gravity   | 3                |
| IV.  | Diffeomorphisms and conserved quantities  | 6                |
| V.   | Conclusion  | 7                |
| VI.  | Acknowledgments   | 8                |
| VII. | Appendix: Conserved charges for non-Einstein solutions of TMG  A. Logarithmic solution of TMG at the chiral point  B. Null warped AdS <sub>3</sub> C. Spacelike stretched black holes | 8<br>8<br>8<br>9 |
|      | References  | 9                |

PACS numbers:

# I. INTRODUCTION

The classical theory of symplectic structure was cast into covariant form by Gotay<sup>1</sup> [1], Witten [2] and Zuckerman [3] almost simultaneously. This is an important development in the theory of symplectic structure founded in the latter part of the nineteenth century. It is particularly apt for dealing with covariant field theories such as colored gauge theories and gravity.

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: e155529@metu.edu.tr

<sup>†</sup>Electronic address: btekin@metu.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> One of us (YN) has written a number of papers on covariant symplectic structure without being aware of Gotay's paper, principally because it was published in a conference proceedings. Thanks are due to Dr. Partha Gupta for calling attention to Gotay's work.

The covariant symplectic structure is defined by a vector-density-valued 2-form which is closed and divergence free. Its time component agrees with the inverted Dirac [4] bracket, *i.e.* the Poisson bracket of the constraints.

For Yang-Mills and Einstein theories the covariant symplectic structure was given in [2]. These results can directly be carried over into Kaluza-Klein theories in higher dimensions. However, in 4n + 3 dimensions there is an added feature, namely the existence of Chern-Simons invariants. In particular for the simplest case of three dimensions we have the theories of Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons, as well as the Topologically Massive Gravity (TMG) [5]. TMG is a higher derivative dynamical theory with a single degree of freedom and compared to the pure Einstein's gravity in three dimensions, its local structure is quite rich. For this theory the Dirac constraint analysis was carried out by Deser and Xiang [6]. In this paper, to define the classical phase space in a covariant way, we find the symplectic structure. The analysis naturally gives the conserved charges that are generated by Killing symmetries for generic spacetimes.

The lay out of the paper is as follows: In Section II, we give the symplectic structure of the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory as a warm-up problem. In Section III, we find the symplectic 2-form for TMG and show that it is conserved and closed. In section IV, we study the diffeomorphism invariance of the symplectic structure and show that it has vanishing components in the gauge directions. In that section, we also find the conserved charges and compute the energy of the BTZ black hole.

#### II. MAXWELL-CHERN-SIMONS THEORY

Before we find the symplectic 2-form of TMG, we start with a simpler model, that is the topologically massive electrodynamics. The existence of the symplectic structure leads to a covariant canonical description of the classical and quantum theory. Since one must choose a time and define momenta etc in a canonical description, it might appear that one cannot have covariance. But, as was shown in [1–3], all the properties of the phase space (Z) is encoded in the symplectic structure and momenta need not be defined. We will use the notation and follow the construction of [2]. On Z one defines a 2-form  $\omega$  which is closed ( $\delta\omega = 0$ ) and non-degenerate (save for the gauge directions); i.e., for any vector field v on Z, if  $\iota_v\omega = v^I\omega_{IJ} = 0$ , then v = 0 (which just means, as a matrix  $\omega$  has no zero eigenvalues and hence it is invertible). What is quite remarkable is that, in local coordinates of the phase space  $(q^I)$ , the basic Poisson bracket is given by the components of the inverse of the 2-form  $\{q^I,q^J\}=\omega^{IJ}$ . Therefore, one can use the symplectic 2-form to carry out a covariant, geometric quantization of the system.

The Lagrangian of the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory is given as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \kappa\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\mu\nu}A_{\lambda},\tag{1}$$

where  $\kappa$  is a coupling constant. From the first variation of the action we obtain the field equation

$$\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} + 2\kappa\epsilon^{\mu\lambda\nu}F_{\mu\lambda} = 0, \tag{2}$$

along with the boundary term

$$\alpha^{\mu} = -F^{\mu\nu}\delta A_{\nu} - 2\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} A_{\nu} \delta A_{\lambda}. \tag{3}$$

Then we obtain the symplectic current as

$$J^{\mu} = -\delta \alpha^{\mu} = \delta F^{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta A_{\nu} + 2\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \delta A_{\nu} \wedge \delta A_{\lambda}. \tag{4}$$

It is easy to see from there, that  $J^{\mu}$  is conserved on shell and closed

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0, \quad \delta J^{\mu} = 0. \tag{5}$$

Therefore the two-form defined as

$$\omega = \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma_{\mu} J^{\mu} = \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma_{\mu} \left( \delta F^{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta A_{\nu} + 2\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \delta A_{\nu} \wedge \delta A_{\lambda} \right), \tag{6}$$

where  $\Sigma$  is an initial value hypersurface, is closed and Poincaré invariant and hence gives the symplectic structure we seek on the space of classical solutions (let us call it  $\hat{Z}$ ), however we still have to show that it is a gauge invariant closed two-form in the *quotient* of the solution space,  $Z = \hat{Z}/G$ , where G is the group of gauge transformations, which, in this case, is U(1). Showing the gauge invariance of  $\omega$  on the full space of solutions is easy, since under infinitesimal gauge transformations we have

$$A_{\lambda} \to A_{\lambda} + \partial_{\lambda} \xi$$
 implying  $\delta A_{\lambda} \to \delta A_{\lambda}$  and  $\delta F_{\mu\nu} \to \delta F_{\mu\nu}$ . (7)

Hence,  $\omega$  is gauge invariant on the full solution space. Let us now show that it is also gauge invariant on Z. To do this we must show that  $\omega$  has vanishing components along the pure gauge directions. Then, we can split the field into pure gauge and non-gauge parts as

$$\delta A'_{\mu} = \delta A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} \xi, \tag{8}$$

where  $\xi$  is a one form on the cotangent space of the phase space manifold. Then the change in  $\omega$  due to this pure gauge part is

$$\Delta\omega = \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma_{\mu} \left( \partial_{\lambda} \delta F^{\lambda\mu} + 4\kappa \epsilon^{\lambda\nu\mu} \partial_{\lambda} \delta A_{\nu} \right) \wedge \xi + \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma_{\mu} \partial_{\lambda} \left[ \left( \delta F^{\mu\lambda} + 4\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \delta A_{\nu} \right) \wedge \xi \right]. \tag{9}$$

The first term vanishes on shell and the second one is a boundary term disappearing for fields decaying sufficiently fast. Therefore,  $\omega$  is the sought-after symplectic structure on the classical phase space modulo gauge transformations.

#### III. TOPOLOGICALLY MASSIVE GRAVITY

For gravity the situation is slightly more complicated. The action for TMG is given by [5]

$$I = \int d^3x \left[ \sqrt{g}R + \frac{1}{2\mu} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\nu} \left( \partial_{\beta} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \gamma\mu} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \beta\rho} \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \gamma\mu} \right) \right], \tag{10}$$

where  $e^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol, which, as a tensor density, has the same weight as  $\sqrt{g}$ . (One can add a cosmological constant to this action; but this will not change the discussion below.)

Now, we calculate the variation of this action with respect to the metric:

$$\delta I = \delta I_{EH} + \delta I_{CS}. \tag{11}$$

The Einstein-Hilbert term is known to yield

$$\delta I_{EH} = \delta \int d^3x \sqrt{g} R$$

$$= \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \delta g^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + \int d^3x \partial_\alpha \left( \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} - \sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\mu} \delta \Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \mu\nu} \right). \tag{12}$$

For Chern-Simons term we have

$$\delta I_{CS} = \delta \int d^3x \frac{1}{2\mu} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu} \left( \partial_{\beta} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\gamma\mu} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\beta\rho} \Gamma^{\rho}_{\gamma\mu} \right) 
= \frac{1}{2\mu} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \delta \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\nu} R^{\nu}_{\mu\beta\gamma} + \int d^3x \partial_{\alpha} \left( -\frac{1}{2\mu} \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma} \Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\beta} \delta \Gamma^{\beta}_{\sigma\rho} \right) 
= \frac{1}{\mu} \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \delta g^{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu} + \int d^3x \partial_{\alpha} \left[ -\frac{1}{\mu} \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma} \left( \tilde{R}^{\rho}_{\sigma} \delta g_{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\beta} \delta \Gamma^{\beta}_{\sigma\rho} \right) \right], \tag{13}$$

where the Cotton tensor is  $C^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma}}{\sqrt{g}} \nabla_{\beta} \tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\ \gamma}$  with  $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} R$ . This yields the equation of motion  $G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu} = 0$  and the boundary term

$$\Lambda^{\alpha} = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{EH} + \Lambda^{\alpha}_{CS},\tag{14}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{EH}^{\alpha} = \sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}\delta\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} - \sqrt{g}g^{\alpha\mu}\delta\Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \mu\nu},\tag{15}$$

$$\Lambda_{CS}^{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{\mu} \epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma} \left( \tilde{R}^{\rho}_{\ \sigma} \delta g_{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \nu\beta} \delta \Gamma^{\beta}_{\ \sigma\rho} \right). \tag{16}$$

From the boundary terms one can construct the symplectic current as follows:

$$J^{\alpha} = J_{EH}^{\alpha} + J_{CS}^{\alpha},\tag{17}$$

where

$$J_{EH}^{\alpha} = -\frac{\delta \Lambda_{EH}^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{g}} = \delta \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \wedge \left( \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \delta \ln g \right) - \delta \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\nu} \wedge \left( \delta g^{\alpha\mu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\mu} \delta \ln g \right)$$
(18)

and

$$J_{CS}^{\alpha} = -\frac{\delta \Lambda_{CS}^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{g}} = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha \nu \sigma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left( \delta \tilde{R}_{\sigma}^{\rho} \wedge \delta g_{\nu \rho} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \Gamma_{\nu \beta}^{\rho} \wedge \delta \Gamma_{\sigma \rho}^{\beta} \right). \tag{19}$$

Then, the symplectic two-form on the phase space of TMG,  $\omega = \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma_{\alpha} \sqrt{g} J^{\alpha}$  reads

$$\omega = \int_{\Sigma} d\Sigma_{\alpha} \sqrt{g} \left[ \delta\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} \wedge \left( \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \delta \ln g \right) - \delta\Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \mu\nu} \wedge \left( \delta g^{\alpha\mu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\mu} \delta \ln g \right) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left( \delta \tilde{R}^{\rho}_{\ \sigma} \wedge \delta g_{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \nu\beta} \wedge \delta \Gamma^{\beta}_{\ \sigma\rho} \right) \right].$$
(20)

Without the use of field equations it is not difficult to see that the two-form is closed,  $\delta\omega=0$ .

The next part of the computation is to show that  $J^{\alpha}$  is conserved on shell, that is,  $\nabla_{\alpha}J^{\alpha}=0$  modulo field equations and their variations,  $\delta G_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{\mu}\delta C_{\mu\nu}=0$ . Below we give some details of this computation. Let us define the covariant divergence of the current as

$$\nabla_{\alpha} J^{\alpha} \equiv I_1 + I_2 + \frac{1}{\mu} I_3, \tag{21}$$

where

$$I_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\alpha} \left( g^{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} \wedge \delta \ln g - g^{\alpha\mu} \delta \Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \mu\nu} \wedge \delta \ln g \right), \tag{22}$$

$$I_2 \equiv \nabla_\alpha \left( \delta \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \delta \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta g^{\alpha\mu} \right), \tag{23}$$

and

$$I_{3} \equiv \nabla_{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left( \delta \tilde{R}^{\rho}_{\ \sigma} \wedge \delta g_{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \Gamma^{\rho}_{\ \nu\beta} \wedge \delta \Gamma^{\beta}_{\ \sigma\rho} \right) \right]. \tag{24}$$

Using the Palatini identity,  $\delta R_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\alpha} \delta \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu} \delta \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \nu\alpha}$  and the explicit form of  $\delta \Gamma$  in terms of the metric and the symmetries of the involved tensors one can reduce  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  to the following forms:

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \delta R_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta \ln g + g^{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} \wedge \delta \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \alpha\lambda}, \tag{25}$$

$$I_2 = \delta R_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta g^{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} \delta \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\ \mu\nu} \wedge \delta \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \alpha\lambda}. \tag{26}$$

With the help of field equations  $I_1 + I_2$  can be reduced to

$$I_1 + I_2 = \frac{1}{\mu} I_4 \tag{27}$$

where

$$I_4 = \delta C^{\mu\nu} \wedge \left(\delta g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \delta \ln g\right) - C^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta \ln g. \tag{28}$$

The variation of the Cotton tensor,

$$\delta C^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\beta} \tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\ \gamma} \delta \ln g + \nabla_{\beta} \delta \tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\ \gamma} + \tilde{R}^{\sigma}_{\ \gamma} \delta \Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \beta\sigma} \right), \tag{29}$$

can be used to reduce  $I_4$  to

$$I_4 = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left( \tilde{R}^{\sigma}_{\ \gamma} \delta \Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \beta\sigma} + \nabla_{\beta} \delta \tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\ \gamma} \right) \wedge \delta g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{30}$$

 $I_3$  can be brought into the form

$$I_{3} = -\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left( \nabla_{\beta} \delta \tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\ \gamma} \wedge \delta g_{\mu\nu} + g_{\lambda\mu} \delta \tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\ \gamma} \wedge \delta \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \beta\nu} + \delta \Gamma^{\nu}_{\ \mu\sigma} \wedge \nabla_{\beta} \delta \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\ \gamma\nu} \right). \tag{31}$$

Then combining  $I_3$  and  $I_4$  one obtains

$$\nabla_{\alpha} J^{\alpha} = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma}}{\mu\sqrt{q}} \delta\Gamma^{\nu}_{\beta\sigma} \wedge \left[ \delta \left( g_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R}^{\sigma}_{\gamma} \right) + \nabla_{\mu} \delta\Gamma^{\sigma}_{\gamma\nu} \right]. \tag{32}$$

Finally, using the explicit form of the Riemann tensor in terms of the connection and the three dimensional identities

$$\epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma} R^{\sigma}_{\ \mu\gamma\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma} \left( \delta^{\sigma}_{\ \gamma} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{R}^{\sigma}_{\ \gamma} g_{\mu\nu} \right), \tag{33}$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma}\delta R^{\sigma}_{\ \mu\gamma\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma}\delta^{\sigma}_{\ \gamma}\delta\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu\beta\gamma}\delta\left(\tilde{R}^{\sigma}_{\ \gamma}g_{\mu\nu}\right),\tag{34}$$

one can show that the right hand side of (32) is zero and the symplectic current,  $J^{\alpha}$ , is covariantly conserved on shell.

Finally, we have to show that  $\omega$  is diffeomorphism invariant both in the full solution space and in the more relevant quotient space of solutions modulo the diffeomorphism group. The former computation requires no work since the constructed symplectic current only involves tensors as ingredients. The latter one, on the other hand, is somewhat nontrivial, but it is quite fruitful since it will also give us the conserved charges corresponding to the Killing symmetries. Therefore, we devote the following section to this computation.

# IV. DIFFEOMORPHISMS AND CONSERVED QUANTITIES

To see that  $\omega$  has vanishing components in the pure gauge directions let us decompose the variation of the metric into non-gauge and pure gauge parts:

$$\delta g'_{\mu\nu} = \delta g_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{\mu} \xi_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu} \xi_{\mu}, \tag{35}$$

where  $\xi$  is a one-form on the cotangent space of the phase space. Under this decomposition the relevant tensors split as: <sup>2</sup>

$$\delta\Gamma^{\prime\lambda}_{\ \mu\nu} = \delta\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\nu} + \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\xi^{\lambda} + R^{\lambda}_{\nu\ \mu\beta}\xi^{\beta}, \tag{36}$$

$$\delta \tilde{R}^{\prime \mu}_{\ \nu} = \delta \tilde{R}^{\mu}_{\ \nu} + \xi^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \tilde{R}^{\mu}_{\ \nu} + \tilde{R}^{\mu}_{\ \beta} \nabla_{\nu} \xi^{\beta} - \tilde{R}_{\nu\beta} \nabla^{\beta} \xi^{\mu}. \tag{37}$$

The change in the symplectic current of the Einstein-Hilbert part can be computed as [2]:

$$\Delta J_{EH}^{\alpha} = \nabla_{\mu} X_{EH}^{\mu\alpha} + R^{\mu\alpha} \left( \xi_{\mu} \wedge \delta \ln g + 2\xi^{\nu} \wedge \delta g_{\mu\nu} \right) + R^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} \wedge \xi^{\alpha} + \delta R \wedge \xi^{\alpha} + 2\xi_{\mu} \wedge \delta R^{\alpha\mu}, \quad (38)$$

where the  $X_{EH}^{\mu\alpha}$  is an antisymmetric tensor defined as:

$$X_{EH}^{\mu\alpha} = \nabla^{\mu}\delta g^{\nu\alpha} \wedge \xi_{\nu} + \delta g^{\nu\alpha} \wedge \nabla_{\nu}\xi^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\delta \ln g \wedge \nabla^{\alpha}\xi^{\mu} + \nabla_{\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu} \wedge \xi^{\alpha} + \nabla^{\mu}\delta \ln g \wedge \xi^{\alpha} - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \mu). \tag{39}$$

If we consider the pure Einstein-Hilbert theory alone, then the last four terms of (38) vanish on shell and the first term is a boundary term, which vanishes for sufficiently decaying metric variations. Therefore, the corresponding symplectic two-form  $\omega_{EH}$  is diffeomorphism invariant on Z, the quotient space of classical solutions to the diffeomorphism group.

Let us now consider the change in the Chern-Simons part of the symplectic current:

$$\mu\Delta J_{CS}^{\alpha} = \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\nu\sigma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left[ \left( -\tilde{R}_{\beta\sigma} \nabla^{\beta} \xi^{\rho} + \tilde{R}_{\beta}^{\rho} \nabla_{\sigma} \xi^{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta} \tilde{R}_{\sigma}^{\rho} \xi^{\beta} \right) \wedge \delta g_{\nu\rho} \right. \\ \left. + \delta \tilde{R}_{\sigma}^{\rho} \wedge \left( \nabla_{\rho} \xi_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu} \xi_{\rho} \right) + \left( \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\beta} \xi^{\rho} + R_{\beta \nu\gamma}^{\rho} \xi^{\gamma} \right) \wedge \delta \Gamma_{\sigma\rho}^{\beta} \right].$$

$$(40)$$

The strategy is to collect terms in the form  $\nabla_{\mu}X_{CS}^{\mu\alpha}$  plus terms that will cancel the remaining non-boundary terms in the Einstein-Hilbert part (38). This can be achieved by using basic geometric relations, such as the relation between the Riemann tensor and the Einstein tensor in three dimensions, and the identities

$$\nabla_{\beta}\delta\tilde{R}^{\beta}_{\ \sigma} = \frac{1}{4}\nabla_{\sigma}\delta R + \delta\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \beta\sigma}\tilde{R}^{\beta}_{\ \lambda} - \delta\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \beta\lambda}\tilde{R}^{\beta}_{\ \sigma},\tag{41}$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta}\xi^{\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\rho\alpha\beta}\xi_{\rho} + g^{\alpha\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\rho\beta}\xi_{\rho} + g^{\beta\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\rho}\xi_{\rho}. \tag{42}$$

After a tedious computation one obtains:

$$\mu \Delta J_{CS}^{\alpha} = \nabla_{\mu} X_{CS}^{\mu\alpha} + C^{\mu\alpha} \left( \xi_{\mu} \wedge \delta \ln g + 2\xi^{\nu} \wedge \delta g_{\mu\nu} \right) + C^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} \wedge \xi^{\alpha} + 2\xi_{\mu} \wedge \delta C^{\alpha\mu}, \tag{43}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note that the computation boils down to finding the Lie derivative of the associated tensors,  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}T$ , with respect to the vector  $\xi$ .

where the  $X_{CS}^{\mu\alpha}$  is an antisymmetric tensor defined as:

$$X_{CS}^{\mu\alpha} = \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\sigma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left( -\delta\Gamma^{\beta}_{\sigma\rho} \wedge \nabla_{\beta}\xi^{\rho} + 2\delta\tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\sigma} \wedge \xi_{\nu} + \tilde{R}^{\rho}_{\gamma}\delta g_{\sigma\rho} \wedge \xi^{\gamma} + \tilde{R}^{\beta}_{\sigma}\delta g_{\beta\rho} \wedge \xi^{\rho} \right). \tag{44}$$

Combining (38) and (43) and using the field equations and their variations one finds that  $\omega$  has no components in the pure gauge directions for sufficiently fast decaying metric variations. Finding such a symplectic two-form for TMG was the goal of this paper.

Finally, let us see how conserved charges can be obtained from the above construction. If we restrict the diffeomorphisms to the isometries of the background spacetime, then we have the Killing equation,  $\nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} = 0$ . This leads to

$$\Delta J^{\alpha} = \nabla_{\mu} \left( X_{EH}^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{\mu} X_{CS}^{\mu\alpha} \right) = 0, \tag{45}$$

and to the local conservation  $\partial_{\mu} \left[ \sqrt{g} \left( X_{EH}^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{\mu} X_{CS}^{\mu\alpha} \right) \right] = 0$ . Strictly speaking, to obtain the conserved charge we should identify  $\delta g_{\mu\nu} \to h_{\mu\nu}$ , where  $h_{\mu\nu}$  is a perturbation around a given background with Killing symmetries, and keep the  $\xi^{\mu}$  terms on the same side of the wedge products before dropping them. Therefore, the conserved charges can be written (up to a multiplicative constant) as

$$Q^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \Sigma} dS_{\alpha} \sqrt{g} \left( X_{EH}^{\mu\alpha} + \frac{1}{\mu} X_{CS}^{\mu\alpha} \right), \tag{46}$$

which gives nonzero results for more slowly decaying metric variations. We adopted the constant  $-\frac{1}{2\pi}$  in (46) and the convention that the one-forms  $\xi$  to be kept at the right side of the wedge products. With this choice, the conserved charges of TMG read:

$$Q^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \Sigma} dS_{\alpha} \sqrt{g} \left[ \left( \nabla^{\mu} h^{\nu\alpha} \xi_{\nu} + h^{\nu\alpha} \nabla_{\nu} \xi^{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} h \nabla^{\alpha} \xi^{\mu} + \nabla_{\nu} h^{\mu\nu} \xi^{\alpha} - \nabla^{\mu} h \xi^{\alpha} - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \mu) \right) + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\sigma}}{\sqrt{g}} \left( -\delta \Gamma^{\beta}_{\ \sigma\rho} \nabla_{\beta} \xi^{\rho} + 2\delta \tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\ \sigma} \xi_{\nu} + \tilde{R}^{\rho}_{\ \gamma} h_{\sigma\rho} \xi^{\gamma} + \tilde{R}^{\beta}_{\ \sigma} h_{\beta\rho} \xi^{\rho} \right) \right],$$

$$(47)$$

where  $2\delta\Gamma^{\beta}_{\sigma\rho} = g^{\beta\lambda} \left(\nabla_{\sigma}h_{\rho\lambda} + \nabla_{\rho}h_{\sigma\lambda} - \nabla_{\lambda}h_{\sigma\rho}\right)$  and  $\delta\tilde{R}^{\nu}_{\sigma} = \delta\left(g^{\nu\lambda}\tilde{R}_{\lambda\sigma}\right)$ . To compute the latter, one just needs the Palatini identity. The first line of (47) is exactly the expression given in [8], which can also be recast in the more compact form of [7]. The second line generalizes the (anti)-de Sitter (AdS) background case of [9]. Presumably, the expression given in [10] for generic backgrounds reduces to the more compact form above. To see that our expression gives the correct charges, we computed the energy of the BTZ black hole [11] around AdS background. We obtained  $E = m - \frac{a}{\mu l^2}$  and  $J = a - \frac{m}{\mu}$ , which is the same result given in [12, 13]. (Here, a is the rotation parameter in the metric and the vacuum is defined as a = m = 0.) In the appendix, we consider the conserved charges of three non-Einstein solutions of TMG.

# V. CONCLUSION

We have found the symplectic structure of the topologically massive gravity, a closed, conserved, gauge invariant 2-form on the phase space. The nontrivial part of the computation was to show that the symplectic 2-form has vanishing components along the pure diffeomorphism directions. We have also found a compact expression for the conserved Killing charges for generic backgrounds and computed the energy of the BTZ black hole. A covariant canonical quantization can be carried out with the help of the symplectic structure we have presented.

#### VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of B.T. is supported by the TUBİTAK Grant No. 110T339, and METU Grant No. BAP-07-02-2010-00-02.

**Historical Remark** This work started in 2004 by the suggestion of Yavuz Nutku. He wrote the first draft and was eager to see its completion but died on December 7 2010. We dedicate this paper to his memory.

# VII. APPENDIX: CONSERVED CHARGES FOR NON-EINSTEIN SOLUTIONS OF TMG

Using the conserved charge expression (47) let us compute the charges of the previously studied non-Einstein solutions of TMG. The charges of the below metrics have been computed with different techniques before [10, 14–16].

### A. Logarithmic solution of TMG at the chiral point

At the chiral point  $\mu\ell=1$ , where  $\ell^2=-\frac{1}{\Lambda}$ , the following metric solves TMG [17]:

$$ds^{2} = -N(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{N(r)} + r^{2}(N_{\theta}(r)dt - d\theta)^{2} + N_{k}(r)(dt - \ell d\theta)^{2},$$
(48)

where

$$N(r) = \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} - m + \frac{m^2 \ell^2}{4r^2}, \quad N_{\theta}(r) = \frac{m\ell}{2r^2}, \quad N_k(r) = k \log(\frac{2r^2 - m\ell^2}{2r_0^2}). \tag{49}$$

Defining the background as m = k = 0, our formula (47) yields the energy (using the Killing vector  $\xi^{\mu} = (-1, 0, 0)$ ) and the angular momentum (using the Killing vector  $\xi^{\mu} = (0, 0, 1)$ ) as

$$E = 4k, \quad J = 4k\ell. \tag{50}$$

These are the same charges as the ones found in [17], employing the counterterm approach, and in [14], using the first order formalism, and in [15], employing Nester's definition of conserved charges [18] (Note that here our convention is 8G = 1.)

#### B. Null warped $AdS_3$

The following metric solves TMG for  $\mu\ell=-3$  ( See [16] and the references therein for the detailed description of the warped AdS metrics )

$$\frac{ds^2}{\ell^2} = -2rdtd\theta + \frac{dr^2}{4r^2} + (r^2 + r + k)d\theta^2.$$
 (51)

Taking k = 0 case to be the background metric, we compute the charges of this spacetime to be

$$E = 0, \quad J = -\frac{8k\ell}{3},$$
 (52)

which are the same as the ones given in [10, 16].

### C. Spacelike stretched black holes

The following metric solves TMG for any value of  $\mu$ 

$$ds^{2} = -N(r)dt^{2} + \ell^{2}R(r)(d\theta + N^{\theta}(r)dt)^{2} + \frac{\ell^{4}dr^{2}}{4R(r)N(r)},$$
(53)

where the metric functions are given as

$$R(r) \equiv \frac{r}{4} \left( 3(\nu^2 - 1)r + (\nu^2 + 3)(r_+ + r_-) - 4\nu\sqrt{r_+ r_-(\nu^2 + 3)} \right), \tag{54}$$

$$N(r) \equiv \frac{\ell^2(\nu^2 + 3)(r - r_+)(r - r_-)}{4R(r)}, \quad N^{\theta}(r) \equiv \frac{2\nu r - \sqrt{r_+ r_-(\nu^2 + 3)}}{2R(r)}, \tag{55}$$

where<sup>3</sup>  $\nu = -\frac{\mu\ell}{3}$ . The solution describes a spacelike stretched black hole for  $\nu^2 > 1$  with  $r_{\pm}$  as inner and outer horizons. This type of solutions to TMG was found by Nutku [19] and Gurses [20] and studied in [10, 14–16]. The conserved charges of this metric was discussed in the latter works. Using (47) and defining the background to be  $r_{\pm} = 0$  case and using the Killing vectors<sup>4</sup>  $\xi^{\mu} = (-1/\ell, 0, 0)$  and  $\xi^{\mu} = (0, 0, 1)$  we get

$$E = \frac{(3+\nu^2)}{3\nu} \left( \nu(r_+ + r_-) - \sqrt{(3+\nu^2) r_+ r_-} \right),\tag{56}$$

$$J = \frac{\ell}{24\nu} \left[ 2(10\nu^4 - 15\nu^2 + 9)(r_+^2 + r_-^2) + 18(\nu^2 - 1)(\nu^2 - 2)r_+r_- + \nu(5\nu^2 - 9)(r_+ + r_-)\sqrt{(3+\nu^2)\,r_+r_-} \right].$$
(57)

Both E and J turn out to be finite in a highly nontrivial way: Einstein-Hilbert and Chern-Simons parts give divergent results separately, but they yield a finite result when added. Energy computed here is exactly the same as the one given in [10, 15, 16]. However, the angular momentum, J, differs from the one,  $\mathcal{J}$ , given in those papers.  $\mathcal{J}$  is a linear combination of E and J given above. The relation is as follows:

$$\mathcal{J} = c_1 J + c_2 \ell E,\tag{58}$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{(3+\nu^2)(3+5\nu^2)}{2(\nu^4+15\nu^2-18)},\tag{59}$$

$$c_2 = -\frac{\nu(101\nu^4 - 72\nu^2 + 27)(r_+ + r_-) + 2\sqrt{(3+\nu^2)}\,r_+ r_-(67\nu^4 + 9\nu^2 - 72)}{16(\nu^4 + 15\nu^2 - 18)}.$$
 (60)

[1] M.J. Gotay in NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics (Como 1987) Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. **250** 295 Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht (1988).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Note that with this choice of sign and with the convention  $e^{tr\theta} = 1$ , the metric solves the TMG equations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> To keep the energy dimensionless we rescale the Killing charge.

- [2] E. Witten Nuc. Phys. B **276** 291 (1986); C. Crnkovic and E. Witten in *Three hundred years of gravitation* S. W. Hawking and W. Israel, eds. Cambridge University Press (1987).
- [3] G.J. Zuckerman in *Mathematical Aspects of String Theory* S.T. Yau ed. World Scientific Singapore (1987).
- [4] P.A.M. Dirac *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* Belfer Graduate School of Science Monographs series 2, New York (1964).
- [5] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 975 (1982); Annals Phys. 140, 372 (1982).
- [6] S. Deser and X. Xiang, Phys. Lett. B **263**, 39 (1991).
- [7] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, Nucl. Phys. B **195**, 76 (1982).
- [8] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D 67, 084009 (2003).
- [9] S. Deser and B. Tekin, Class. Quant. Grav. 20, L259 (2003).
- [10] A. Bouchareb and G. Clement, Class. Quant. Grav. 24, 5581 (2007).
- [11] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1849 (1992).
- [12] S. Deser, I. Kanik and B. Tekin, Class. Quant. Grav. 22, 3383 (2005).
- [13] S. Olmez, O. Sarioglu and B. Tekin, Class. Quant. Grav. 22, 4355 (2005).
- [14] O. Miskovic and R. Olea, JHEP **0912**, 046 (2009).
- [15] M. Blagojevic and B. Cvetkovic, Phys. Rev. D 81, 124024 (2010).
- [16] D. Anninos, W. Li, M. Padi, W. Song, A. Strominger, JHEP 0903, 130 (2009).
- [17] A. Garbarz, G. Giribet, Y. Vasquez, Phys. Rev. D79, 044036 (2009).
- [18] J.M. Nester, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6, 2655 (1991).
- [19] Y. Nutku, Class. Quant. Grav. 10 2657 (1993).
- [20] M. Gurses, Class. Quant. Grav. 11 2585 (1994).